LASER-INDUCED CHARGE TRANSFER UNDER CROSSED-BEAM CONDITIONS: APPLICATION TO THE $\text{Na} + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}^+ + \text{I}_2^-$ SYSTEM
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A crossed-beam charge transfer study was carried out for the $\text{Na}(3^2P_{3/2,1/2}) + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}^+ + \text{I}_2^-$ system using laser excitation of the Na atom. The excitation functions were measured for the title reaction, searching for spin orbit effects. A common energy threshold of $E_0 \approx 0.23 \text{ eV}$ was found for both processes. In addition, similar post-threshold laws for each spin-orbit state indicate the absence of such effects over the low collision energy range.

INTRODUCTION

Charge transfer and chemi-ionization processes are well known phenomena from which valuable information about collision and reaction dynamics can be obtained. Indeed, charge transfer thresholds, obtained either by beam-gas or beam-beam studies, provide an excellent method to estimate molecular electron affinities. Nevertheless, most of these studies have been restricted to alkali metals, alkaline earth atoms or electronically excited inert gas atoms collisions with halogen-containing molecules, where the threshold was surmounted by translational excitation of the reagents.

Recently, collisional ionization of oriented CF$_3$I and CH$_3$I molecules by fast K atoms has also been studied. It was found that ionization is favoured for both molecules when the atom is incident at the I end of the molecule and that this effect is most pronounced near threshold.

In the present paper we report on one experiment where a charge transfer process is studied by the crossed-beam technique, using laser excitation of the reagents to populate the $^2P$ states of the Na attacking atom. To our knowledge, it is one of the first studies of energy threshold determination for a charge transfer process using the laser excited atoms in their different spin-orbit states. The study was carried out for the $\text{Na}(3^3P_{3/2,1/2}) + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}^+ + \text{I}_2^-$ system as described below.

* This work received financial support by CICYT grant PB88/146 and EEC grant SCI-00006C.
† To whom correspondence should be addressed.
ENERGETICS

Figure 1 displays the energetic diagram for the Na + I₂ → Na⁺ + I₂⁻ process where numbers in brackets indicate the energy of the corresponding state. Essentially, this process is endothermic in 2.59 ± 0.10 eV. This endothermicity typically has been surmounted by translational excitation of the reagents.¹⁻³ One of the main goals of the present work is to use electronic excitation by pumping the sodium atom into its two spin-orbit states, as indicated in the figure. Since the electronic excitation only accounts for 2.10 eV, the energy still required to overcome the barrier is given to the system as collision energy. Therefore, electronic versus translational energy selectivity as well as spin-orbit effects can be studied for the present charge-transfer reaction.

EXPERIMENTAL

Figure 2 shows a schematic view of the experimental arrangement. The molecular beam apparatus has been described elsewhere,¹⁰,¹¹ so only a brief description is given
here. The I₂ beam was formed by supersonic expansion using H₂ or He as carriers. A modified (cw or pulsed) Bosch injector (90 μm hole diameter) was used as nozzle source. The I₂ beam, after being skimmed, enters the scattering chamber where it is collimated before being crossed at 90° with the Na beam. I₂ beam densities and velocity distributions were determined by a quadrupole mass filter and time-of-flight technique. The Na beam is formed in a hot oven using a stainless steel pipe as crucible. The oven details and characterization have been reported elsewhere, so their description is omitted for brevity. The sodium beam is measured and characterized by time-of-flight technique using a Re surface ionization detector and/or measurement of the laser induced fluorescence by means of an optical fibre and a photomultiplier. Care was taken to avoid Na₂ formation by continuously checking the absence of chemi-ionization signal from the Na₂ + I₂ reaction. Since the energy threshold for the ground state reaction Na + I₂ → Na⁺ + I⁻ is well known to be \( E_{th} \approx 2.6 \pm 0.1 \) eV, all the runs for the present work were carried out at lower collision energies to guarantee no contribution to the ion signal from the ground state reaction.

The ion signal was collected using a home-made ion detector allocated right above the scattering center. The detector is made of two microchannel plates (Comstock CP-602). Gain factors of \( 10^5 \) or higher were observed with respect to simple Faraday cup detection.

As it is well known, to prepare a significant population of excited Na atoms is not a trivial problem because of its hyperfine structure. For the present work, we used a linear dye laser (Coherent 599-01) in multimode pumped by an argon ion laser (Coherent Innova-90). The dye laser used Rhodamine 6G that provides a tuning range from 570 nm to 630 nm. The tuning is realized by rotating an intracavity tilted

![Figure 2 Schematic view of the experimental set up.](image-url)
3-plate birefringent filter by means of a continuously running dc motor that is supplied by a pulse width modulating regulator. The laser wavelength can be read out from a micrometer screw with an accuracy of 0.1 nm. This micrometer screw is calibrated using the optogalvanic effect in a hollow cathode lamp. For the experiments, the laser beam was mechanically modulated at 75 Hz. The measured linewidth and power of the dye laser were 13.3 GHz and 80 mW, respectively. For all the runs, the laser was perpendicularly polarized to the plane of the beams and so to the relative velocity vector.

Data collection consisted of both laser induced fluorescence of the Na beam and charge transfer signal from Na* + I2 → Na+ + I2- collisions as a function of the laser wavelength which was continuously varied over the 5889–5897 Å spectral range to cover both Na(32S3/2 and Na(32S1/2→32P1/2) transitions. The laser induced fluorescence and charge transfer spectra were corrected by subtracting the background with the Na and I2 beams off.

In addition, during all the runs, the Na beam intensity was maintained constant and the fluorescence intensity ratio of the two 2P3/2-→2S1/2 and 2P1/2-→2S1/2 lines was found to be I3/2/I1/2 = 2.0 ± 0.2, i.e. in good agreement with the expected 2:1 ratio. As a result, we assume that optical thickness and saturation effects,13b if present, were similar for the two spin-orbit components and consequently they did not affect the spin-orbit normalization of the charge transfer cross-section data.

Once several spectral runs were taken, the whole procedure was repeated changing the relative velocity by varying the nozzle carrier pressure maintaining the Na beam fixed.

Data acquisition was interfaced to an INVES AT computer for storage and further analysis. As mentioned before, our aim was to measure both Na(32P3/2,1/2)+12-→Na+ + I2- charge transfer excitation functions to obtain information on the collision dynamics, spin-orbit and threshold effects of the charge transfer.

Table 1 lists all the relevant experimental parameters and conditions of the present work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Typical experimental conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beams</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na oven temperature / K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle oven temperature / K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na beam divergence / degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2 beam divergence / degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision energy range / eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Na velocity / m s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical I2 velocity / m s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure in the scattering chamber / mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detectors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microchannel plates HV / V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion repeller / V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photomultiplier HV / V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laser</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linewidth / GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power / mW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Beams Characterization

As usual, the I$_2$ densities and most probable beam velocities were determined as a function of the I$_2$ mole fraction in H$_2$/I$_2$ mixtures. These measurements were carried out by using quadrupole mass spectrometry and time-of-flight (TOF) techniques. Typical experimental values of I$_2$ densities and velocity distributions are displayed in Figures 3 and 4. The reader is addressed to $^{10,12}$ for more details about these methods.

Figure 5 shows a time-of-flight spectrum of the laser induced fluorescence of the Na beam, corresponding to the $^2P_{3/2} \leftarrow ^2S_{1/2}$ transition at 5889 Å. This experimental time-of-flight distribution was fitted to:

$$f(v) = N \left( \frac{v}{\alpha_s} \right)^2 \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{v - v_s}{\alpha_s} \right)^2 \right]$$

after the proper convolution over the known broadening effects (gate, RC, etc.). $v_s$ is the most probable velocity and $\alpha_s$ is the width parameter $\alpha_s = (2kT_s/m)^{1/2}$, $T_s$ being the translational temperature of the beam.

The same procedure was used to analyze the time-of-flight of the I$_2$ beams. Typical $v_s$ values of both Na and I$_2$ beams are also displayed in Table 1.
Figure 4  Most probable I$_2$ beam velocities as a function of I$_2$/H$_2$ mole fraction.

Figure 5  Time-of-flight spectrum of the laser induced fluorescence of the Na beam corresponding to the $^2P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$ atomic transitions (see text for details).
Charge Transfer Spectra and Excitation Functions

Figure 6 shows typical laser induced charge transfer spectra, i.e. positive ion signal versus laser wavelength from the crossed-beam Na* + I2 → Na* + I2− collisions. These spectra are normalized with both Na* and I2 densities. Note that at the indicated collision energies, all but no spin-orbit effect is observed in the charge transfer signal.

Figure 7 shows the two spin-orbit charge transfer excitation functions over the near-threshold collision energy range. These functions were obtained using the following equation:\(^{14}\)

\[
\sigma_R = \frac{F_{\text{ion}}}{n_{\text{Na}} n_{\text{I}} \Delta V v_R}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\Delta V\) is the cross-beam volume, held constant during all the experiments. \(F_{\text{ion}}\) is the total ion flux and \(n_{\text{Na}}\) and \(n_{\text{I}}\) are both beam densities. \(v_R\) is the relative velocity of the reagents. Solid line represents the best data fit to the threshold law\(^{14}\)

\[
\sigma_R = A \frac{(E_T - E_0)^n}{E_T} \tag{3}
\]

with \(n = 2.5\) and \(E_0 = 0.35\,\text{eV}\) as the best fitted parameters.

Charge transfer threshold for the ground charge transfer process is known to be \(E_0\) (ground Na) = 2.6 eV.\(^{1,2}\) Therefore, our value of 0.35 eV is in good agreement with it, considering that the electronic excitation due to the Na\(3^2\,P_{1/2,3/2}\) states, \(E^* \approx 2.1\,\text{eV}\) is used to promote the charge transfer and indicating the absence of any electronic versus translational selectivity for this atom-molecule charge transfer processes.

\[\text{Figure 6} \quad \text{Na}^+ \text{ion yield as a function of laser wavelength and collision energy.}\]
We can now determine the I\(_2\) molecule electron affinity from this threshold measurements as

\[ EA (I_2) = IP (Na) - E^* - E_0 = 5.15 - 2.10 - 0.35 = 2.69 \text{ eV}, \]

where \( IP (Na) \) is the ionization potential of the Na atom and \( E^* \) and \( E_0 \) stand for the electronic energy of the \( 2\text{P}_3/2 \) state and the threshold energy, respectively.

This value approaches the one that was previously determined by Los and co-workers\(^1\)\(^2\) from the ground-state process (2.55 ± 0.05 eV) although the difference between these two values:

\[ EA_{I_2} \text{ (present result)} - EA_{I_2} \text{ (previous result)} = 2.69 - 2.55 = 0.14 \text{ eV}, \]

is slightly higher than our uncertainty in the energy threshold \( \Delta E_0 = 0.05 \text{ eV}. \) Some possible factors that could explain this difference may be:

(i) The use of electronically (instead of translationally) excited Na atoms for the present study. This may produce an enhancement of the I\(_2\) vibrationally excited and therefore an increase of the vertical electron affinity, since the I\(_2^-\) equilibrium distance is greater than that of I\(_2\) (see reference 2).

(ii) The present excitation function was fitted using equation (2), changing simultaneously the two \( E_0 \) and \( n \) parameters. In the previous studies\(^1\)\(^2\) a fixed value of \( n \) (typically \( n = 1 \), i.e. linear functionality) was \textit{a priori} assumed to obtain the best (fitted) value of \( E_0 \).
(iii) The combined use of both electronic and translational excitation in the present study makes the total energy uncertainty lower than in the previously reported studies\(^1\)\(^2\) where only translational excitation was used.

Although important spin-orbit effects have been found in reactive and non-reactive collisions\(^6\), it is interesting to point out the absence of any significant spin-orbit effect in the charge transfer process. Figure 8 shows the adiabatic correlation of the Na + I\(_2\) system using the C\(_4\) group of symmetry. From a adiabatic correlation point of view, only the Na\(^{2P_{3/2}}\) + I\(_2\)\(^{1\Sigma^+}\) reaction correlates with the charge transfer Na\(^+\) + I\(_2^-\) products, but in the present work, the lack of such effect is not surprising. Because of the very small fine-structure splitting in the Na atom case (\(\Delta E = 2\text{meV}\)), non-adiabatic mixing in the entrance channel may probably scramble the incident spin-orbit levels, leading to the same post-threshold law as it was found.

Work is in progress to extend the present study to higher collision energies as well as to study alignment (of P orbital) effects in the charge transfer cross-section.
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