DYNAMICS OF THE REACTION S(^1D) + HD, H_2, AND D_2: ISOTOPIC BRANCHING RATIOS AND TRANSLATIONAL ENERGY RELEASE
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Doppler profiles of H and D atoms from the reaction S(^1D) with HD and a 1:1 mixture of H_2 and D_2 have been measured by a laser-induced fluorescence technique with a vacuum ultraviolet laser. An isotopic channel branching ratio of \( \phi (SD + H)/\phi (SH + D) \) is measured to be 0.9 ± 0.1 in the reaction of S(^1D) + HD at average collision energy \( E_{coll} = 1.2 \) kcal/mol. In S(^1D) + HD, D_2, and H_2, the translational energies released are almost the same, 4.6 ± 0.5 kcal/mol for H and D production channels. The measured branching ratio and translational energy release suggest that the reaction proceeds via a long-lived complex formed by insertion.
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INTRODUCTION

An atom-diatomic molecular reactive scattering is one of the fundamental elementary chemical reactions. Among them, the system for an atom, S and O, in the electronically excited singlet state,

\[
S(^1D) + H_2(^1Σ_g^+) \rightarrow SH(^2Π) + H(^2S), \quad ΔH = -6.4 \text{ kcal/mol} \tag{1}
\]

\[
O(^1D) + H_2(^1Σ_g^+) \rightarrow OH(^2Π) + H(^2S), \quad ΔH = -43.6 \text{ kcal/mol} \tag{2}
\]

has drawn much attention for many years because this system provides several particularly interesting features in the study of reaction dynamics. This system is characterized by a deep potential minimum corresponding to H_2O or H_2S on its X^1A_1 surface that is directly associated to the reagents.\(^1\)

Given these features, numerous experimental and theoretical studies have been performed to understand the dynamics of the reaction particularly for the O(^1D) + H_2 system.\(^2\) Previous measurements on internal energy distributions and the angular distributions of the OH products largely support an insertion mechanism for
Of particular importance among the measurements is the isotopic branching $[\text{H}] / [\text{D}]$ ratio, which denotes the channel branching ratio $\Phi(\text{OD} + \text{H}) / \Phi(\text{OH} + \text{D})$ from the reaction of $\text{O}'(\text{D})$ with $\text{HD}$. A measurement using a laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) detection of $\text{H}/\text{D}$ atoms with vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) laser light at a low collision energy ($E_{\text{coll}} = 2.4 \sim 3.4 \text{ kcal/mol}$) reported the ratio of $1.13 \pm 0.08^6$ or $1.4 \pm 0.3$. Theoretical ratio $\Phi(\text{OD} + \text{H}) / \Phi(\text{OH} + \text{D})$ varies from $1.1 \sim 2.3$, depending on what surface is used$^8,9$ because the ratio is very sensitive to the shape of the surface. Extensive theoretical studies and the recent measurements of the vibrational energy distribution of the $\text{OH}$ product predict a very short-lived complex because of its large exoergicity.

On the other hand, detailed dynamics of the $\text{S}'(\text{D}) + \text{H}_2$ reaction are still ambiguous. Since the $\text{S}'(\text{D}) + \text{H}_2$ reaction has much smaller exoergicity than $\text{O}'(\text{D}) + \text{H}_2$, comparison of these two isoelectronic reactions reveals a different detailed dynamics. In this paper, we report the reactions of $\text{S}'(\text{D})$ with $\text{H}_2$, $\text{D}_2$, or HD at a low collision energy using a vacuum-UV LIF technique which enables the measurement of the Doppler profiles of H and D spectra so that the average kinetic energies of the products can be calculated:

$$
\text{S}'(\text{D}) + \text{HD} \rightarrow \text{SD} + \text{H}, \quad \Delta H = -6.0 \text{ kcal/mol}
$$

$$
\rightarrow \text{SH} + \text{D}. \quad \Delta H = -5.6 \text{ kcal/mol}
$$

EXPERIMENT

The experimental setup used is shown in Figure 1. The $[\text{H}] / [\text{D}]$ ratios and Doppler profiles from $\text{S}'(\text{D}) + \text{HD}$ were measured with a LIF method at 121.6 nm. Premixed $\text{CS}_2$ and HD ($\text{H}_2$, $\text{D}_2$) gas flowed into a reaction cell. The cell, $60 \times 60 \times 60 \text{ mm}$, was pumped by a rotary pump and a liquid nitrogen trap. The pressures of $\text{CS}_2$ and HD in the reaction cell were typically 5 and 60 mTorr, respectively. ArF excimer laser light at $193 \text{ nm} (-2 \text{ mJ/pulse}, 10 \text{ Hz})$ dissociated $\text{CS}_2$ and produced translationally hot $\text{S}'(\text{D})$ atoms. 200 ns after the firing the photolysis laser pulse, a probe vacuum-UV laser was fired to detect H and D atoms produced from the reaction. The two laser beams of the photolysis and probe were perpendicularly crossed with each other. The probe light for H and D atoms around 121.6 nm was generated by four-wave mixing using a $2\omega_1 - \omega_2$ scheme in a Kr gas cell$^{10}$ with two tunable dye lasers pumped by a XeCl excimer laser (308 nm, 240 mJ/pulse). The output of the vacuum-UV light going through the reaction cell was monitored with a vacuum-UV monochromator and a solar-blind photomultiplier. The laser induced fluorescence was observed by another solar-blind photomultiplier at right angles to both the photolysis and probe laser beams passing through a LiF window and a band-pass filter (Acton Research, $\lambda = 120 \text{ nm}$, $\Delta \lambda = 12 \text{ nm}$). The delay (200 ns) between the photolysis and probe light pulses was controlled with a time jitter of about 10 ns. Since the beam diameter of the photolysis laser was large enough, within a 200 ns delay the reactions were presumed to proceed
homogeneously in the probing region and escape of the products from the viewing zone could be ignored. Therefore, the measured concentration ratios $[\text{H}]/[\text{D}]$ are equal to the channel branching ratios of the reaction $\phi(\text{SD} + \text{H})/\phi(\text{SH} + \text{D})$ for the reaction of $\text{S}^1(\text{D})$ with HD.

In the experiments for the spatial distribution of the H atom velocity, the output of the photolysis laser was polarized with a pile-of-plates polarizer. Doppler profiles were measured in the two configurations of $\hat{E}_d // \hat{k}_p$ and $\hat{E}_d \perp \hat{k}_p$, where $\hat{E}_d$ is the polarization vector of the linearly polarized dissociation laser light and $\hat{k}_p$ is the propagation direction of the probe laser. The dye laser for the dumping light $\omega_d$ was installed with an intracavity etalon so that the spectral width was narrowed. In order to test the spectral resolution of our system, the Doppler spectra of the product D atoms were measured with Ar (2 Torr) added. While the theoretical thermal Doppler width is as narrow as 0.65 cm$^{-1}$, the measured full width at half maximum was 0.92 cm$^{-1}$ that was expected for a D atom with $T = 300$ K, considering that the splitting of the upper electronic states $\Delta E(\text{P}_{1/2} - \text{P}_{3/2})$ is 0.37 cm$^{-1}$ and the spectral width of the probe laser light is 0.34 cm$^{-1}$. Spectral width was broadened by a factor of 1.4 for the D atomic line at 300 K. Spectral broadening due to these terms is not large for the product H and D atoms from $\text{S}^1(\text{D}) + \text{H}_2$, $\text{D}_2$ and HD because H and D atoms have typical Doppler widths of 3 and 2 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The translational energy data were corrected for this broadening and the change was less than 5%.

Figure 1 Experimental setup for laser induced fluorescence measurement of H and D atoms at 121.6 nm.
RESULTS

The typical vacuum-UV LIF spectra of the H and D atoms produced from the reaction of S(^1D) with HD are shown in Figure 2. The isotopic ratio [H]/[D] is calculated to be 0.9 ± 0.1 for S(^1D) + HD from the obtained spectra and listed in Table I. This ratio is in good agreement with the reported ratio, ca.1. The ratio [H]/[D] for the reaction O(^1D) + HD is also listed in Table I for comparison purpose.  

Table I Isotopic branching ratios for S(^1D) + HD and O(^1D) + HD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>kJ/mol</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S(^1D)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ca.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(^1D)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Average center-of-mass collision energy for the reaction of S(^1D) and O(^1D) with HD.
b. Measured [H]/[D] product concentration ratios. The values correspond to isotopic channel ratios \( \phi(\text{AD} + \text{H})/\phi(\text{AH} + \text{D}) \). Numbers in parentheses are errors (one sigma).
c. Statistical model Eq. (9), using average collision energies.

With a linearly polarized photolysis laser at 193 nm, the measured Doppler profiles of the H(D) atoms were identical to each other for the two polarization configurations of \( \hat{E}_d \parallel \hat{k}_p \) and \( \hat{E}_d \perp \hat{k}_p \). This indicates that the angular distributions of the H and D
atoms are almost isotropic in the laboratory (LAB) frame although an anisotropic angular distribution is expected for the reactant S(1D) atoms produced from photodissociation of CS₂ by the polarized 193 nm laser light. Therefore, we assumed an isotropic velocity distribution for the H and D atoms in the LAB frame. The average kinetic energies of the H and D atoms in the laboratory frame were calculated from Figure 2 with the second moment \( <v^2> \) of the observed Doppler profiles and then converted to the total kinetic energy released to the products in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame \( <E_t^c> \). A second moment of the Doppler profiles of a product atom is given by the following equation:

\[
<v^2> = c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\nu - \nu_0)}{\nu_0} \right]^2 g(\nu) d\nu,
\]

where \( g(\nu) \) is the normalized Doppler profile, \( \nu \) the frequency of the probe laser, and \( \nu_0 \) the resonance center frequency.

The average translational energy \( <E_t^c> \) released to the products in the c.m. frame can be calculated from the average translational energy \( <E_{LAB}^t(H)> \) of the H atoms in the LAB frame:

\[
<E_{LAB}(H)> = \frac{(3m(H)/2)<v^2(H)>}{<E_t^c(m(SH)/m(H_2S) + <E_{LAB}(H_2S)>m(H)/m(H_2S),}
\]

for the S(1D) + H₂ reaction system, where \( <E_{LAB}(H_2S)> \) is the kinetic energy for the c.m. of the reaction system in the LAB frame. \( <E_{LAB}(H_2S)> \) is given by

\[
<E_{LAB}(H_2S)> = <E_{LAB}(S)>m(S)/m(H_2S) + <E_{LAB}(H_2)>m(H_2)/m(H_2S),
\]

The values of \( <E_{LAB}(H_2S)>m(H)/m(H_2S) \) in eq. (5), which correspond to the contribution of the motion of the center-of-mass, are 0.1-0.2 kcal/mol. The obtained values of \( <E_t^c> \) are listed in Table II. For calculation, the laser width (~0.34 cm⁻¹ at 121.6 nm) and the splitting of the upper H(2P) levels (0.37 cm⁻¹) were taken into account. An example of the curve fitting procedure of the Doppler profile is shown in Figure 3 for the D atom from the reaction S(1D) + D₂. The broken line represents each component of the D(2P₁/₂) → D(S) and D(3P₃/₂) → D(S) transition, and the solid line is a sum of these components that fits with the experimentally obtained Doppler profile. This correction reduced the \( <v^2> \) value by ca. 5%. The \( <E_t^c> \) values are almost equal among the reactions with HD, H₂, and D₂ as shown in Table II.

The average fraction of the total available energy which is released as translation is defined as \( \xi = <E_t^c>/<E_{av}> \). For calculation of \( <E_{av}> \), one must know the collision energy. The average c.m. collision energies \( E_{coll} \) for the S(1D) + AB reaction (AB: H₂, D₂ or HD) are calculated with the following equation:

\[
<E_{coll}> = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m(AB)m(S)}{m(AB) + m(S)} \left[ \frac{2}{m(S)} <E_{LAB}^t(S)> + \frac{2}{m(AB)} \cdot \frac{3}{2} kT \right],
\]
Table II Translational energies for S(1D) or O(1D) + HD, H₂, and D₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>kcal mol⁻¹</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Theoretical ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S(1D) + HD → SD + H</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1D) + HD → SH + D</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1D) + H₂ → SH + H</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1D) + D₂ → SD + D</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1D) + HD → OD + H</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1D) + HD → OH + D</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1D) + H₂ → OH + H</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1D) + D₂ → OD + D</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Averaged available energy, <E_av> + ΔH. The Heat of Reaction is calculated based on the data ΔH_{sorption} from Refs. 14 and 15. <E_av> for the S(1D) reactions is given in the text. <E_av> for the reactions of O(1D) with HD, H₂, and D₂ are 2.4, 1.9, 2.8 kcal/mol⁻¹, respectively.
b. Averaged center-of-mass translational energy released to the product, which is obtained from the second moment of the Doppler profile of H or D atoms. Numbers in parentheses are errors (one sigma).
c. Fraction of the translational energy to the total available energy, <f> = <E> / <E_av>.
d. Statistical calculation using Eqs. (8) and (10).

Figure 3 LIF spectra of D atoms produced from S(1D) + D₂. The solid line is the best-fit of the simulated curve that is a sum of two Gaussian curves. A full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the probe laser is 0.34 cm⁻¹ and the splitting in the upper D(2P₃/₂) level is 0.37 cm⁻¹. A dotted line is a simulated curve with FWHM = 1.74 cm⁻¹ for each component of the D(2P₃/₂) – D(2S) and D(2P₃/₂) – D(2S) transitions.
where \( m(i) \) refers to a mass of the \( i \) reactant and \( T \) is room temperature. \( <E_{LAB}(S)> \) is the average translational energy in the LAB frame for the \( S(1D) \) atoms which are produced in the photolysis of \( CS_2 \) at 193 nm. The average kinetic energy of \( S(1D) \) from \( CS_2 \) at 193 nm has been reported to be 4.8 kcal/mol by McCrary et al. The estimated collision energies \( <E_{coll}> \) are 1.2, 1.3, and 1.1 kcal/mol for \( S(1D) + HD, D_2, \) and \( H_2 \). The average available energy is obtained by \( <E_{AVL}> = <E_{coll}> + \Delta H \) as shown in Table II. In this calculation the heat of formation used for \( \Delta H(SD) = 34.61 \) kcal/mol, \( \Delta H(SH) = 34.10 \) kcal/mol \( \Delta H(OD) = 8.81 \) kcal/mol, \( \Delta H(OH) = 9.31 \) kcal/mol, \( \Delta H(S(1D)) = 92.62 \) kcal/mol, \( \Delta H(H) = 52.09 \) kcal/mol, and \( \Delta H(D) = 52.98 \) kcal/mol. Using these \( <E_{AVL}> \) values, the fractions of the total available energy released into translation are calculated and listed in Table II. For comparison purpose, the fractions \( <t_f> \) for \( O(1D) + HD, H_2, \) and \( D_2 \) are also listed in Table II.

DISCUSSION

A. \([H]/[D]\) Ratio and Reaction Mechanism

The measured \([H]/[D]\) ratio for the reaction \( S(1D) + HD \) is given in Table I, which is \( 0.9 \pm 0.1 \). Black and Jusinski reported that the rate constant for the \( S(1D) + H_2 \) system is \( k = 2.1 \times 10^{-10} \) cm \( \text{molec}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) at 300 K. This large rate constant suggests that reactant attraction is rather strong and leads to a complex. When considering product branching ratios from a hot complex, Zamir and Levine proposed the prediction of a statistical theory. The prior distribution of states in the products is of the form,

\[
P^0(E_T, E_R, E_v | E_{AVL}) = (2J + 1) \rho_r(E_T) \times \delta (E_{AVL} - E_T - E_R - E_v) / \rho(E_{AVL}).
\]

The density of translational states \( \rho_r(E_T) \) is given as usual by \( \frac{A_T E_T^{1/2}}{\mu_T^{3/2}} \) where \( A_T = \frac{3}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3} \). \( \rho(E_{AVL}) \) is the total density of states and serves to normalize the prior distribution. In the present set of reactions \( A + HD \), the rotational and vibrational levels of product hydrides are widely separated so that a summation over the discrete vibrational states is required for calculation of the state density.

\[
[H]/[D] = \frac{\sum_{v=0}^{v_{max}} \sum_{J=0}^{J_{max}} (2J + 1) [E_{AVL}(AD) - E_{AD}(J, v)]^{1/2}}{\sum_{v=0}^{v_{max}} \sum_{J=0}^{J_{max}} (2J + 1) [E_{AVL}(AH) - E_{AH}(J, v)]^{1/2}}.
\]

where \( J \) and \( v \) are the rotational and the vibrational quantum number, respectively. \( \mu_{i,j} \) is the reduced mass of systems \( i \) and \( j \). In the calculation of \( E_{AVL} \), the averaged collision energies \( <E_{coll}> \) are calculated from the data of the translational energy reported by McCrary et al. Substituting the known spectroscopic data and \( E_{AVL} \) from Table II, calculated \([H]/[D]\) ratios are 0.89 for \( S(1D) + HD \). Even if the translational energy distribution of \( S(1D) \) atoms and the Boltzmann energy distribution of HD are taken into account, the calculated ratio \([H]/[D]\) is almost the same as that
calculated with the average value \(<E_{\text{coll}}\)>, probably because the range of distribution of the collision energy is as small as 1 kcal/mol. Our experimental result, 0.9 ± 0.1 for \(S(1D) + HD\) is in good agreement with the theoretical ratio, suggesting the formation of the complex. For comparison purpose, the isotopic branching ratio \([H]/[D]\) is also calculated for \(O(1D) + HD\) using eq. (9), which is 1.01. The reported experimental value was 1.5 ± 0.2 for the same collision energy. This difference between the theoretical and experimental values suggests nonstatistical behavior of the \(H_2O^+\) complex.

B. Kinetic Energy Release And Reaction Mechanism

The detailed dynamics of the reaction can be understood from the internal energy and the isotopic angular distribution of the products. The deep potential well followed by a steep increase in the potential energy along the reaction coordinate favors a long-lived complex and a statistical energy distribution among the degrees of freedom of the products. As shown in Table II, the product translational energies \(<E>\) for \(S(1D) + HD, H_2,\) and \(D_2\) are almost equal to each other and show no isotope effect. On the other hand, in the reaction of \(O(1D) + HD\), the \(<E>\) values for the \(OD + H\) product channel are almost twice as high as those for the \(OH + D\) channel. In addition, it should be noticed that the averaged value of \(<E>\) over the \(OD + H\) and \(OH + D\) channels are almost equal to \(<E>\) for \(O(1D) + H_2\) or \(O(1D) + D_2\) reactions. For both reaction systems of \(S(1D)\) and \(O(1D)\) with hydrogen (deuterium) molecules, an intermediate complex is formed: \(H_2S^+(X^1A_g)\) and \(H_2O^+(X^1A_g)\). The difference between these systems is in the available energies, that is, 7.6 kcal/mol for \(S(1D) + H_2\) and 45.5 kcal/mol for \(O(1D) + H_2\). Since the dissociation lifetime is determined by the velocity with which the complex passes over the activation region, \(H_2S^+\) decomposes much more slowly than \(H_2O^+\).

Most of the recent trajectory calculations predict a very short lifetime of the complex, shorter than one or two bending vibrational periods of the \(H_2O^+\) complex. The short lifetime of the complex \(H_2O^+\) would be expected because the system has a relatively small reduced mass upon which a large impulsive force is applied with large excess energy. Fitzcharles and Schatz presented a simple kinetic model for \(O(1D) + HD\) as follows. The \(O(1D)\) atom preferably approaches at right angles to the \(HD\) molecular axis and the insertion takes place. The bending mode in the \(HDO^+\) complex is strongly excited. Before the vibrational energy in the bending mode is redistributed among other modes, a hard collision between the \(H\) and \(D\) atoms causes dissociation of the complex and the lighter \(H\) atom escapes the complex with higher probability. On the other hand, in the \(HSD^+\) complex that has only 6.8–7.2 kcal/mol as the available energy, the bending mode is not strongly excited. Hence, the vibrational energy is randomized in the long-lived \(HSD^+\) complex. Thus, the relative quantum yield of \(H\) and \(D\) is close to unity.

Energy partitioning in dissociation of an energized molecule is discussed in the framework of the statistical theory by Zamir and Levine. An average translational energy \(<E_t>\) is given by,
\[ \langle E_T \rangle = \sum_{\nu_{\text{max}}} \sum_{j_{\text{max}}} E_T P^0(E_T, E_R, E_V|E_{AVL}). \] (10)

With suitable molecular constants, the average translational energies for the reactions of \( S(^1D) + HD, H_2, D_2 \) are calculated using the average collision energies. The fraction \( \langle f^c > \) of the translational energy over the available energy is shown in Table II. Even if the translational energy distribution of \( S(^1D) \) is taken into account, based on the data by McCrary et al.\(^{15} \) for \( \text{CS}_2 \) photodissociation at 193 nm, \( \langle f^c > \) is the same as that calculated with the average \( \langle E_{\text{col}} \rangle \) value. For the case of \( S(^1D) + HD, H_2, \) and \( D_2 \), the statistical (prior) distribution well reproduces the \( \langle f^c > \) values. On the other hand, for \( O(^1D) + HD, H_2, D_2 \), the calculated \( \langle f^c > \) values are much larger than the experimental results. This result suggests that the reaction \( S(^1D) + H_2 \) proceeds through the insertion/decomposition pathway with a rather long dissociation lifetime of the complex, while the reaction of \( O(^1D) + H_2 \) follows the insertion/decomposition pathway within a period of the bending motion.\(^9 \) The \( S(^1D) \) and \( O(^1D) \) atom insertion into the \( H_2 \) bond produces \( H_2S^\dagger \) and \( H_2O^\dagger \) with 98.6 and 165.1 kcal/mol internal excitation, respectively. A large fraction of the internal energy appears as large amplitude bending motion with the \( H_2S \) and \( H_2O \) intermediate undergoing inversion.

---

**Figure 4** Schematics of insertion/decomposition mechanisms of \( S(^1D) + HD \) and \( O(^1D) + HD \).
The dissociation mechanisms are schematically shown in Figure 4. During a relatively long lifetime of a HSD* complex, the excess energy in this reaction is randomized almost completely and follows the statistical energy partition rule. However, in the HOD* complex, a hard collision between the H and D atoms induces separation of the H or D atoms from the oxygen atom. The intermediate H$_2$O$^+$ decomposes into OH + D or OD + H after this single bending vibration. An anisotropic angular distribution of the product OH was reported by Buss et al. in their molecular beam scattering experiment of O(^1D) + H$_2$.
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