detrimental effect on hepatic regeneration was more due to portal pooling and reperfusion of portal blood than ischemia/reperfusion by itself.

One problem in the preoperative evaluation of patients with liver cirrhosis is how to estimate the amount of ischaemia that can be inflicted on the liver with no harm. The patient group with liver cirrhosis comprises a wide range of functional reserve in relation to operative tolerance to ischemia. This is particularly difficult since we do not know which cell types in the liver are more prone to hypoxia. One group of patients which seems to be at particularly high risk for morbidity is patients with cholestasis. In this group of patients with cirrhosis and cholestasis preoperative drainage prior to resection may be indicated.

In conclusion, patients with cirrhosis can undergo hepatic resection with inflow occlusion with reduced blood loss and thereby reduced morbidity without inflicting any significant ischaemic damage to the liver, if ischaemia time is of one hour duration or less.
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IMPROVED RESULTS FOR RESECTION OF PERIAMPUTALY ADENOCARCINOMA

ABSTRACT


Background: This study evaluates the indications for and effects of pancreatectoduodenectomy (102 patients) or total pancreatectomy (15 patients) with extensive lymph node dissection performed upon 117 patients for treatment of periampullary adenocarcinoma.

Study Design: Presenting symptoms and postoperative morbidity and mortality rates were recorded. Cumulative survival rates were evaluated in relation to origin, size, and staging of tumor. Postoperative follow-up of clinical symptoms was done after one year.

Results: The postoperative mortality rate after Whipple's operation was 8 percent (eight patients). The median survival period was 1.1 year and the overall five year survival rate
was 15 percent (confidence limits, 5 to 25 percent). The five year survival rate for patients without tumor extension beyond the pancreas was 25 percent (confidence limits, 5 to 50 percent), and in patients with adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of Vater, 34 percent (confidence limits, 3 to 65 percent). The median survival rate in patients with adenocarcinoma of the ampulla of Vater was 3.3 years, which was significantly longer than in the other patients. Fifty-nine patients with distant spread could be divided into 14 patients with para-aortic lymph node metastases who had a significantly shorter survival period than 45 patients without para-aortic lymph node metastases (p=0.004). Most patients surviving more than one year were doing well, although 60 percent needed exocrine pancreatic substitution therapy.

Conclusions: Resection of periampullary carcinoma provides a better palliation and survival rate than nonoperative biliary drainage or bypass operation. An improved preoperative verification of para-aortic metastases could restrict resection to patients with a prognostic five year survival rate of more than 25 percent and a postoperative mortality rate of less than 5 percent. J. Am. Coll. Surg., 1994, 179: 545-552.

KEY WORDS: Periampullary carcinoma  Pancreatico-duodenectomy.

PAPER DISCUSSION

Resection of the pancreatic head and adjacent structures offers the only hope for cure of patients with adenocarcinoma of the periampullary region consisting of cancer of the pancreatic head, ampulla of Vater, distal common bile duct and duodenum. Although these tumours are frequently lumped together because of their similar symptomatology and diagnostic and therapeutic approach, the prognosis after resection differs substantially as shown in the paper of Anderson and coauthors.1 Especially for adenocarcinoma of the pancreatic head tumours, the possible benefit of resection is small and the costs expressed as morbidity can be substantial even though operative mortality ranges from 0 to 8.9% in the last few years2-5. In fact this operative mortality is even lower than after seemingly less invasive surgical procedure such as gastric resection for cancer6. The improved operative mortality after pancreato-duodenectomy in experienced hands has led to a more aggressive approach although long term survival has not improved much in patients surviving the resection7 as compared to the days when Crile advised strongly against Whipple's procedure for pancreatic head cancers5.

There is a strong correlation between surgical experience and operative risk8,9. Centres with a volume of more than eighty cases in an eight-year study period had a mortality of 5.5% as compared with 12.9% mortality in medium (51–80), 11.8% in low (10–50) and 18.9% in minimal (<10) volume hospitals10. According to these criteria the data in the paper by Andersen et al.1, come from a low to medium volume hospital and the operative mortality of 12% is what can be expected. The mortality in 102 of the 117 patients undergoing pancreato-duodenectomy is 8%, but additional portal vein resection and/or total pancreatectomy led to a prohibitive high operative mortality in those 15 patients. These data should caution the authors, as well as other pancreatectomists, to reconsider the necessity of extensive procedures since there is little evidence that resections of major vessels such as the portal or mesenteric vein improves the longterm survival after pancreato-duodenectomy11. However, when the point of no return is passed, tumour can be left behind at the portal vein and a pancreato-duodenectomy performed for palliation. This can be done with low mortality and an acceptable quality of life12.

Total pancreato-duodenectomy for cancer has been abandoned by most surgeons because evidence that this more extensive operation will improve survival is lacking13. For practical reasons many surgeons resect a part of the pancreatic remnant when frozen section shows tumor residue at the pancreatic resection margin.

Even in one series with a zero operative mortality after pancreato-duodenectomy, morbidity was present in about half of the patients5. Leakage of the pancreatoco-enteroanastomosis is one of the leading causes of postoperative morbidity in 5 to 25% of the patients in most series regardless of the type of anastomosis14 or whether a pancreatocojejunostomy or pancreatoco-gastrostomy is performed15. Peri-
operative administration of Somatostatin has been reported to lower the chance of this complication\(^2\). Andersen et al.\(^1\) report anastomotic leakages at the pancreato-jejunostomy site in 9 patients, leading to postoperative death in 2 patients. A 25 \% mortality after leakage of a pancreatic anastomosis has been reported by others\(^18\). Although anastomotic leakage even occurs in centres with a large volume and extensive experience, mortality due to this complication can be avoided by aggressive postoperative monitoring, using mainly clinical signs and symptoms\(^21\).

Although preoperative obstructive jaundice is a well known risk factor in pancreatic surgery\(^22\), and although studies in experimental animals univocally stress the beneficial effect of preoperative drainage on immune status, endotoxaemia, kidney and liver function, wound healing and other parameters\(^23,24,25\), the beneficial effect of preoperative biliary drainage in man has not been shown in prospective randomized trials. The possible complications of external or internal drainage may very well outweigh the possible benefits of the procedure\(^26,27\). Andersen et al.\(^1\) report that 47 of 117 patients underwent pre-operative drainage but they do not elucidate the indication for this procedure. Their hypothesis that pre-operative biliary drainage would cause a technically more demanding bilio-digestive anastomosis has neither been substantiated by the literature nor by our own experience.

It may well be that postoperative gastrointestinal and intra-abdominal bleeding, mentioned in the study by Andersen et al.\(^1\) were the result of erosion of a major artery that is a well known complication of pancreatic and biliary surgery\(^29\). Andersen et al.\(^1\) show a tendency to a higher postoperative morbidity and mortality rate among patients who were older than seventy. Although this age limit has been mentioned over the years, more recent reports do not indicate any increased risk for elderly patients selected for pancreatic surgery\(^5,11,29\).

Surgical techniques of pancreato-duodenectomy for periampullary cancer have changed and unlike Andersen et al., most authors favour the pylorus preserving modification with equal results for survival and possible benefits for weight gain and long term gastrointestinal function\(^30\). Delayed gastric emptying that has been reported after pylorus preserving pancreateoduodenectomy is only a transient phenomenon and is probably more dependent on the incidence of postoperative complications than on the preservation of the pylorus per se\(^31\).

Andersen et al. perform what they called ‘extensive lymph node dissections’ during PD in this series\(^1\). According to one Japanese study extended lymph node dissection could result in an improved survival in patients with early stage tumours\(^32\), but prospective studies of the effect of lymph node dissection have not been performed. Extensive dissection around the coeliac axis may cause severe postoperative diarrhoea and, according to our own experience, postoperative septic bleeding probably occurs more frequently after extended lymph node dissection.

Andersen et al.\(^1\) show that long term survival was absent in patients with positive para-aortic lymph nodes and that pancreateoduodenectomy was palliative in these patients\(^1\). Therefore biopsy of pre-aortic lymph nodes with frozen sections could have been helpful in the therapeutic approach during exploratory laparotomy, unless pancreate-duodenectomy is chosen as a palliative procedure. Although diagnostic laparoscopy has proven to be helpful in preoperative staging of pancreatic cancer\(^33\) visualization and biopsy of retropancreatic preaortic region are impossible through the laparoscope.

Survival after resection for periampullary adenocarcinoma in the paper by Andersen et al.\(^1\) is in accordance with many other studies and our own experience\(^34\). Although a five-year survival as high as 50\% can be reached after resection for carcinoma of the ampulla, the prognosis for other periampullary tumours is poor and no improvement by the extended lymph node dissection has been achieved in the paper by Andersen et al.\(^1\) Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy can be a potential benefit. 5FU with radiotherapy has been shown to be beneficial in one prospective randomized study\(^35\). The results of two recent prospective trials are awaited.

To conclude: early diagnosis, adequate preoperative conditioning, good preoperative staging including diagnostic laparoscopy, and optimal surgical techniques by an experienced surgeon in a high volume hospital, are all we can offer to these patients to improve short term survival and to lower postoperative morbidity. Whether extended lymph node dissection and perioperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy are beneficial for long term survival should be the subject of further clinical studies.
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