In this paper, the method of generalized quasilinearization has been extended to reaction diffusion equations. The extension includes earlier known results as special cases. The earlier results developed are when (i) the right-hand side function is the sum of a convex and concave function, and (ii) the right-hand function can be made convex by adding a convex function. In our present result, if the monotone iterates are mildly nonlinear, we establish the quadratic convergence as in the quasilinearization method. If the iterates are totally linear then the iterates converge semi-quadratically.

Key words: Generalized Quasilinearization, Upper and Lower Solutions.

AMS subject classifications: 35K57, 35A35.

1. Introduction

The method of quasilinearization [1, 2, 3] is known to be a constructive approach to prove the existence of a solution of initial and boundary value problems. However, this method is applicable only if the right-hand side function is convex or concave. Also, the method yields either an increasing or decreasing sequence of approximate solutions which converge quadratically to the exact solution. The main advantage of the method is that the iterates are solutions of linear differential equations. Recently, the method has been extended, generalized, and revitalized so that it applies to a larger class of functions. See [6-13, 15-19] for details. In addition, two-sided bounds for the solution are obtained as in the monotone method. This method is now referred to as generalized quasilinearization. Recently, the method of generalized quasilinearization was extended to a dynamic system on time scales [13] so that it applies to many situations. This paper deals with an extension of the method of generalized
quasilinearization to reaction diffusion equations. The present result yields the earlier know results \[19, 21\] as special cases.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we list the assumptions and recall some known existence and comparison theorems which are needed to establish our main result. See \[4, 5, 15, 21\] for more details.

Consider the reaction diffusion system with initial and boundary value problem (IBVP for short) of the form

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}u &= f(t, x, u) \text{ in } Q_T \quad (2.1) \\
Bu &= \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T \\
u(0, x) &= u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega,
\end{align*}

where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) with boundary \( \partial \Omega \in C^{2+\alpha} \) and closure \( \bar{\Omega} \), \( Q_T = (0, T] \times \Omega \), \( \Gamma_T = (0, T] \times \partial \Omega \), \( \bar{Q}_T = [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \), \( \bar{\Gamma}_T = [0, T] \times \partial \Omega \), \( T > 0 \). Here \( \mathcal{L} \) is a second order differential operator defined by

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - L \\
L &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}
\end{align*}

and \( B \) is the boundary operator given by

\begin{align*}
Bu &= p(t, x)u + q(t, x) \frac{du}{d\gamma} \quad (2.4)
\end{align*}

where \( \frac{du}{d\gamma} \) denotes the normal derivative of \( u \), and \( \gamma(t, x) \) is the unit outward normal vector field on \( \partial \Omega \) for \( t \in [0, T] \).

We list the following assumptions for convenience.

\begin{enumerate}[(A_0)]
\item For each \( i, j = 1, \ldots, m, a_{ij}, b_j \in C^{\alpha/2, \alpha[\bar{Q}_T, R]} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) is strictly uniformly parabolic in \( \bar{Q}_T \);
\item \( p, q \in C^{1 + \alpha/2, 1 + \alpha[\bar{\Gamma}_T, R]}, p(t, x) > 0, q(t, x) \geq 0 \) on \( \Gamma_T \);
\item \( \partial \Omega \) belongs to \( C^{2+\alpha} \);
\item \( f \in C^{\alpha/2, \alpha[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times R, R}, \) that is \( f(t, x, u) \) is Hölder continuous in \( t \) and \( (x, u) \) with exponent \( \frac{\alpha}{2} \) and \( \alpha \) respectively;
\item \( \phi \in C^{1 + \alpha/2, 1 + \alpha[\bar{\Gamma}_T, R]}, \) and \( u_0(x) \in C^{2 + \alpha[\bar{\Omega}, R]} \);
\item The initial boundary value problem \( (2.1) \) satisfies the compatibility condition of order \( \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{2} \). See \[4\] for definition.
\end{enumerate}

**Definition 2.1:** We say a function \( v_0 \in C^{1, 2}[\bar{Q}_T, R] \) is called a lower solution of \( (2.1) \), if

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}v_0 &\leq f(t, x, v_0), \\
v_0(0, x) &\leq u_0(x), Bv_0(t, x) \leq \phi(x),
\end{align*}
and upper solution of (2.1) if reversed inequality holds.

We denote the closed set

\[ \Lambda = \{ u : v_0(t,x) \leq u \leq w_0(t,x), (t,x) \in \bar{Q}_T \} . \]

We recall a known existence result which proves the existence of a solution of (2.1) in the closed set defined by means of the upper and lower solution of (2.1).

**Theorem 2.1:** Assume \((A_0)\) holds, and that there exists \(v_0\) and \(w_0 \in C^{1,2}[\overline{Q}_T, R]\) which are lower and upper solutions of (2.1) such that \(v_0(t,x) \leq w_0(t,x)\) on \(Q_T\). Then the initial boundary value problem (2.1) has a solution belonging to \(C^{1 + \alpha/2,2 + \alpha}[\overline{Q}_T, R]\) such that \(v_0(t,x) \leq u(t,x) \leq w_0(t,x)\) on \(Q_T\).

See [4, 14, 19] for details. Next we give two comparison theorems which we need in the main result to prove the monotonicity of the iterates and quadratic convergence part respectively.

**Theorem 2.2:** Assume that

(i) \(v, w \in C^{1,2}[\overline{Q}_T, R], f \in C[\overline{Q}_T \times R, R]\) and

\[ \mathcal{L}u \leq f(t,x,v), \]

\[ \mathcal{L}u \geq f(t,x,w) \text{ on } \bar{Q}_T, \]

(ii) \((a)\) \(v(0,x) \leq w(0,x), x \in \Omega, \)

\((b)\) \(Bv(t,x) \leq Bw(t,x) \text{ on } \Gamma_T, \) where the boundary operator \(B\) is as in (2.4) such that \(p(t,x) > 0, q(t,x) \geq 0\) and \(p(t,x) + q(t,x) > 0\) on \(\Gamma_T\).

Then if \(f(t,x,u)\) is Lipschitzian in \(u\) for a constant \(L > 0\), then \(v(t,x) \leq w(t,x)\).

See [4] for the details for the proof.

The next result is a special case of Theorem 10.2.1 of [5].

**Theorem 2.3:** Suppose that

(i) \(m \in C^{1,2}[\overline{Q}_T, R]\) such that \(\mathcal{L}m \leq f(t,x,m)\) where \(f(t,x,u) \in C[\overline{Q}_T \times R, R]\) where the operator \(\mathcal{L}\) is parabolic,

(ii) \(g \in C[0,T] \times R, R\) and let \(r(t,0,y_0) \geq 0\) be the maximal solution of the differential equations

\[ y' = g(t,y), y(0) = y_0 \geq 0, \]

existing for \(t \geq 0\) and

\[ f(t,x,z) \leq g(t,z), z \geq 0; \]

(ii) \(m(0,x) \leq r(0,0,y_0)\) for \(x \in \bar{\Omega}\).

Then \(m(t,x) \leq r(t,0,y_0)\) on \(Q_T\).

3. Generalized Quasilinearization

**Theorem 3.1:** Suppose that there exist functions \(v_0, w_0, S_j, j = 1,2\) under the following assumptions:

\((A_1)\)

\[ v_0, w_0 \in C^{1,2}[\overline{Q}_T, R], \mathcal{L}v_0 \leq S_j(t,x,v_0,w_0,0) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}w_0 \geq S_j(t,x,w_0,v_0,0) \text{ for } j = 1,2 \text{ such that } v_0(0,x) \leq w(0,x) \leq w_0(0,x) \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}, Bv_0(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq Bw_0(x) \text{ on } \Gamma_T, v_0 \leq w_0 \text{ on } Q_T; \]

\((A_2)\)

\[ S_j \in C^{\alpha/2,\alpha}[0,T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Lambda^3, R, \] that is \(S_j\) is Hölder continuous in \(t\) and \(x,\)
u with exponent $\alpha/2$ and $\alpha$ respectively, where $S_j(t, x, u, v, w)$ is such that

$S_1(t, x, u, u, w) = f(t, u), S_2(t, x, u, v, u) = f(t, u),$

and $S_j(t, x, u, u, u) = f(t, u);\)

(A3) $S_1(t, x, u, v, w) \leq S_1(t, x, u, u, w)$ if $v \leq u$ for each $w$ on $\Lambda$ and

$S_2(t, x, u, v, w) \geq S_2(t, x, u, v, u)$ if $w \leq u$ for each $v$ on $\Lambda;$

(A4) Further, $S_j$‘s are such that

$$< M |u - u_1| + N[|u - v|^{1 + \eta} + |u - w|^{1 + \eta}]$$

for $0 < \eta \leq 1,$ where $M,$ $N$ are nonnegative constants.

Then, there exist monotone sequences $\{v_n(t, x)\}$ and $\{w_n(t, x)\}$ which converge uniformly to the unique solution of (2.1) on $Q_T$ and the convergence is superlinear.

**Proof:** Consider the initial boundary value problems

$$Lv_1 = S_1(t, x, v_1, v_0, w_0) \text{ in } Q_T,$$

$$v_1(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}, Bv_1(t, x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T;$$

and

$$Lw_1 = S_2(t, x, w_1, v_0, w_0) \text{ in } Q_T,$$

$$w_1(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}, Bw_1(t, x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T;$$

where $v_0(0, x) \leq u_0(x) \leq w_0(0, x)$ and $Bv_0(t, x) \leq \phi \leq Bw_0(t, x)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\Gamma_T,$ respectively. With assumptions (A1) and (A2) we have

$$Lv_0 \leq f(t, x, v_0) = S_1(t, x, v_0, v_0, w_0)$$

and

$$Lw_0 \geq f(t, x, w_0) = S_1(t, x, w_0, v_0, w_0).$$

Consequently, Theorem 2.1 yields the existence of a unique solution $v_1(t, x)$ of (3.1) satisfying $v_0(t, x) \leq v_1(t, x) \leq w_0(t, x)$ on $\bar{Q}_T.$

Similarly, in view of (A1) and (A2), we also have

$$Lv_0 \leq f(t, x, v_0) \leq S_2(t, x, v_0, v_0, w_0),$$

$$Lw_0 \geq f(t, x, w_0) \geq S_2(t, x, w_0, v_0, w_0);$$

which, by Theorem 2.1, yields the existence of a unique solution $w_1(t, x)$ of (3.2) with $v_0(t, x) \leq w_1(t, x) \leq w_0(t, x)$ on $\bar{Q}_T.$

Now, since $v_0 \leq v_1$ and $w_1 \leq w_0$ on $\bar{Q}_T,$ using (A3) we have,

$$Lv_1 \leq S_1(t, x, v_1, v_0, w_0) \leq S_1(t, x, v_1, v_1, w_0) = f(t, v_1)$$

$$Lw_1 \geq S_2(t, x, w_1, v_0, w_0) \geq S_2(t, x, w_1, v_1, w_1) = f(t, w_1).$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2, we get $v_1(t, x) \leq w_1(t, x)$ on $\bar{Q}_T$ and this proves that

$$v_0 \leq v_1 \leq w_1 \leq w_0 \text{ on } \bar{Q}_T.$$

(3.3)
Furthermore, it proves that $v_1$ and $w_1$ are lower and upper solutions of (2.1).

Assume now that for some $k > 1$ and for $(t,x) \in \bar{Q}_T$,

$$Lv_k \leq f(t,x,v_k) \text{ in } Q_T,$$
$$v_k(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)
$$Bv_k(t,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T,$$

and

$$Lw_k \geq f(t,x,w_k) \text{ in } Q_T,$$
$$w_k(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)
$$Bw_k(t,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T,$$

and $v_0 \leq v_k \leq w_k \leq w_0$ on $\bar{Q}_T$. Certainly it holds true for $k = 1$. Then consider the initial boundary value problems

$$Lv_{k+1} = S_1(t,x,v_{k+1},v_k,w_k) \text{ on } Q_T,$$
$$v_{k+1}(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)
$$Bv_{k+1}(t,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T,$$

and

$$Lw_{k+1} = S_2(t,x,w_{k+1},v_k,w_k) \text{ on } Q_T,$$
$$w_{k+1}(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)
$$Bw_{k+1}(t,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T.$$

It is easy to see from assumptions $(A_2)$, that

$$Lv_k \leq f(t,x,v_k) = S_1(t,x,v_k,v_k,w_k) \text{ in } Q_T,$$
$$v_k(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$
$$Bv_k(0,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T,$$

and

$$Lw_k \geq f(t,x,w_k) = S_2(t,x,w_k,v_k,w_k) \text{ in } Q_T,$$
$$w_k(0,x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$
$$Bw_k(0,x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T.$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique solution $v_{k+1}(t,x)$ of (3.6) satisfying

$$v_k(t,x) \leq v_{k+1}(t,x) \leq w_k(t,x) \text{ on } \bar{Q}_T.$$

Similarly, one can show the existence of a unique solution $w_{k+1}(t,x)$ of (3.7) satisfying $v_k(t,x) \leq w_{k+1}(t,x) \leq w_k(t,x)$ on $Q_T$. Using $(A_3)$ and the facts that $v_k \leq v_{k+1}$ and $w_{k+1} \leq w_k$, we can see that

$$Lv_{k+1} = S_1(t,x,v_{k+1},v_k,w_k) \leq S_1(t,x,v_{k+1},v_{k+1},w_k) = f(t,x,v_{k+1})$$

and

$$Lw_{k+1} = S_2(t,x,w_{k+1},v_k,w_k) \geq S_2(t,x,w_{k+1},v_k,w_{k+1}) = f(t,x,w_{k+1}).$$

By Theorem 2.2, it follows that $v_{k+1} \leq w_{k+1}$ on $\bar{Q}_T$. Thus we have
\[ v_k \leq v_{k+1} \leq w_{k+1} \leq w_k \] on \( \bar{Q}_T \).

By induction, we then we have for all \( n \),

\[ v_0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq \ldots \leq v_n \leq \ldots \leq w_1 \leq w_0 \] on \( \bar{Q}_T \),

with

\[ \mathcal{L} v_{n+1} = S_1(t, x, v_{n+1}, v_n, w_n) \text{ in } Q_T, \]

\[ v_{n+1}(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}, \]

\[ \mathcal{B} v_{n+1}(t, x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T, \]

and

\[ \mathcal{L} w_{n+1} = S_2(t, x, w_{n+1}, v_n, w_n) \text{ in } Q_T, \]

\[ w_{n+1}(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}, \]

\[ \mathcal{B} w_{n+1}(t, x) = \phi \text{ on } \Gamma_T. \]

Employing standard arguments and using Theorem 2.2, we can conclude that the sequences \( \{v_n(t, x)\} \) and \( \{w_n(t, x)\} \) converge uniformly and monotonically to the unique solution \( u(t, x) \) on (2.1) on \( Q_T \).

In order to prove superlinear convergence of \( v_n(t, x) \) and \( w_n(t, x) \) to \( u(t, x) \), we set

\[ p_{n+1}(t, x) = u(t, x) - v_{n+1}(t, x) \]

and

\[ q_{n+1}(t, x) = w_{n+1}(t, x) - u(t, x) \]

so that \( p_{n+1}(t, x) \geq 0 \) and \( q_{n+1}(t, x) \geq 0 \) on \( \bar{Q}_T \). Also, we have \( p_{n+1}(0, x) = 0 = q_{n+1}(0, x) \) on \( \bar{\Omega} \) and \( \mathcal{B} p_{n+1}(t, x) = 0 = \mathcal{B} q_{n+1}(t, x) \) on \( \Gamma_T \). Using (A4) we obtain

\[ \mathcal{L} p_{n+1}(t) \leq M p_{n+1}(t) + N \left[ |p_n(t, x)|^{1+\eta} + |q_n(t, x)|^{1+\eta} \right] \text{ on } \bar{Q}_T. \]

Now using Theorem 2.3 and computing the solution of the corresponding ordinary linear differential equation we get

\[ 0 \leq p_{n+1}(t, x) \leq \int_0^t e^{M(t-s)} \max_{Q_T} |p_n(s)|^{1+\eta} + |q_n(s)|^{1+\eta} ds. \]

This in turn proves

\[ \max_{Q_T} |u(t, x) - v_{n+1}(t, x)| \leq \frac{(e^{MT} - 1)N}{M} \max_{Q_T} |u(t, x) - v_n(t, x)|^{1+\eta} \]

\[ + \max_{Q_T} |w_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|^{1+\eta}. \]

Similarly, we can get the estimate

\[ \max_{Q_T} |w_{n+1}(t, x) - u(t, x)| \leq \frac{(e^{MT} - 1)N}{M} \max_{Q_T} |u(t, x) - v_{n+1}(t, x)|^{1+\eta} \]

\[ + \max_{Q_T} |w_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|^{1+\eta}. \]

This completes the proof.

The following result can be proved as an application of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2:** Assume that all of \((A_0)\) holds except \((iv)\). Furthermore, assume that

\((A_1)\) \( v_0 \) and \( w_0 \in C^{1,2}(\bar{Q}_T, R) \) which are lower and upper solutions of (2.1) such
that \( v_0(t,x) \leq w_0(t,x) \) on \( \bar{Q}_T \).

(A2) \( \) Let \( f(t,x,u) = f_1(t,x) + f_2(t,x,u) + f_3(t,x,u) \) are such that \( f_1(t,x,u) + \Phi(t,x,u) \) and \( \Phi(t,x,u) \) are uniformly convex in \( u \) on \( \Lambda \) (i.e., \( f_{1uu} + \Phi_{uu} \geq 0 \) and \( \Phi(x,u) \geq 0 \)). Also let \( f_2(t,x,u) + \Psi(t,x,u) \) and \( \Psi(t,x,u) \) be uniformly concave in \( u \) (i.e., \( f_{2uu} W_{uu} \leq 0 \) and \( \Psi(t,x,u) \leq 0 \)) on \( \Lambda \), and \( f_3(t,x,u) \) be Lipschitzian in \( u \) on \( \Lambda \), i.e.,

\[
|f_3(t,x,u_1) - f_3(t,x,u_2)| \leq \ell |u_1 - u_2|
\]

In addition, let \( F(t,x,u) = f_1(t,x,u) + \Phi_u(t,x,u), G(t,x,u) = f_2(t,x,u) + \Psi(t,x,u) \) and \( f_3(t,x,u) \in C^{\alpha/2,\alpha}[[0,T] \times \Omega \times R, R] \). That is, \( F(t,x,u), G(t,x,u), f_3(t,x,u) \) are Hölder continuous in \( t,x,u \) and \( u \) of order \( \alpha/2, \alpha \) respectively. Then there exists monotone sequences \( \{v_n(t,x)\} \) and \( \{w_n(t,x)\} \) which converge uniformly and monotonically to the unique solution of (2.1) and the convergence is quadratic.

\textbf{Proof:} Choose \( S_j \) as follows:

\[
S_1(t,x,u,v,w) = f_1(t,x,v) + f_2(t,x,v) + f_3(t,x,u) + [F_u(t,x,v) + G_u(t,x,w) - \Phi_u(t,x,w) - \Psi_u(t,x,v)](v - w)
\]

and

\[
S_2(t,x,u,v,w) = f_1(t,x,w) + f_2(t,x,w) + f_3(t,x,u) + [F_u(t,x,w) + G_u(t,x,w) - \Phi_u(t,x,w) - \Psi_u(t,x,v)](w - u).
\]

One can easily verify that \( S_j, j = 1,2 \), defined above, satisfy all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. Hence the conclusion follows.

We note that Theorem 3.2 includes results of [21] as a special case if we choose \( f_2 = f_3 = 0 \) in Theorem 3.2. Also the iterates generated from (3.6) and (3.7) from the \( S_j \) defined above are nonlinear due to \( f_3(t,x,u) \) term. If we make it linear as in the monotone method we get semi-quadratic convergence as in [18] for initial value problems.

\textbf{References}


to appear.


Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com