Low Power VLSI Implementation of the DCT on Single Multiplier DSP Processors*
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A generic multiplication scheme for the low power VLSI implementation of the DCT is described in this paper. The scheme concurrently processes blocks of cosine coefficient and pixel values during the multiplication procedure, with the aim of reducing the total switched capacitance within the multiplier circuit. The cosine coefficients, within each block, are manipulated such that some are processed using shift operations only. The remaining coefficients are presented to the multiplier inputs as a sequence, ordered according to bit correlation between successive cosine coefficients. The paper describes the multiplication scheme, the power evaluation environment used, and presents results, with a number of standard benchmark examples, demonstrating up to 50% power saving.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Currently there is considerable interest in the low power implementation of the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). This is mainly due to the DCT being the computational bottleneck of standards such as JPEG and MPEG [1]. Most research work considering low power implementation of the DCT have targeted reducing the computational complexity of the design or modifying it for operation under a lower supply voltage [1, 2]. Both these techniques have a limited effect on power reduction. Another major contribution to power consumption is due to the effective switched capacitance [3, 4]. Only a few researchers have targeted reducing power of a DCT implementation through a reduction in the amount of switched capacitance. This reduction has been achieved through techniques such as the detection of zero-valued DCT coefficients and lookup table partitioning [5].

This paper presents a generic multiplication scheme for the low power VLSI implementation of the DCT on CMOS-Based Digital Signal
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Processors. A basic form of the scheme, which operated on primary images with bi-level pixel value patterns, was first proposed in [6]. The scheme, outlined in this paper, can be used with a wide variety of images including those with continuous and sharply varying pixel values. The scheme concurrently processes blocks of cosine coefficient and pixel values during the multiplication procedure, with the aim of reducing the total switched capacitance within the multiplier circuit. The cosine coefficients, within each block, are processed such that some are processed using shift operations only. The remaining coefficients are presented to the multiplier inputs as a sequence, ordered according to bit correlation between successive cosine coefficients. The paper describes the multiplication scheme, the power evaluation environment used, and presents results, with a number of standard benchmark examples, demonstrating up to 50% power savings.

2. IMPLEMENTATION

The computational bottleneck for the implementation of the DCT is the multiplication of the cosine coefficients matrix \([E]\), by the pixel matrix \([D]\), in order to obtain the DCT coefficients \([C]\), i.e.,

\[
[C] = [E] \times [D]
\]

where each element \(C_{ik}\) in \([C]\) matrix of order \(n\) is given by:

\[
C_{ik} = \sum_{x=1}^{n} E_{ix} D_{xk}
\]

Traditionally, the multiplication process is performed in a row-by-column fashion [7, 8]. See Eq. (2). In some applications where the number of multipliers is limited, this implies new data at both multiplier inputs every time a multiplication is conducted. This in turn implies a large switching activity inside the multiplier circuit.

A number of experiments were carried out with some cosine matrix and various pixel matrices. Careful analysis of the multiplication procedure revealed two distinct categories of cosine elements: (1) elements that are powers of 2, and (2) those which are non-powers of 2 numerics. For this reason, the algorithm processes the elements in (1) by performing a simple shift operation. It is well known that the switched capacitance of a shift is significantly less than that of a multiplication [2].

Examination of the multiplication procedure embodied in Eq. (2) can reveal more scope for power optimisation. This can be demonstrated by expanding Eq. (2) with \(n = 8\), as shown in Figure 1. As could be deduced from the figure, when computation is performed on the basis of evaluating coefficients successively, i.e., \(C_{11} - C_{81}\), then new pixel and coefficient values are processed at both inputs of the multiplier. If the multiplication procedure is performed on a column-after-column basis (i.e., \(E_{11} \times D_{11}, E_{21} \times D_{12}\), and so on) then the value of \(D_{xk}\) will be constant (for 8 multiplications) at the corresponding multiplier input. This implies less switching activity at the multiplier inputs and memory buses. For each column being
processed, the multiplication procedure outlined above has the product form \((m \cdot \text{constant})\), where \(m\) are the cosine matrix elements in the column being processed. The \textit{constant} remains valid for only these values of \(m\), if the column changes, a new \textit{constant} is activated. The multiplication results are unchanged irrespective of the order in which the \textit{constant} is multiplied by the sequence of cosine coefficients in the column. For this reason, the algorithm performs the multiplication of elements in (2) in a column-by-column fashion and orders the elements, in the column being processed, according to some criteria. In our case the criteria being minimum switching activity (hamming distance) between consecutive coefficients multiplied by the \textit{constant}. This guarantees that similar elements are subsequently applied to the inputs of the multiplier causing minimum switching activity within the internal circuit of the multiplier. Although, our investigations were carried out using the example of ordering elements according to minimum hamming distance, in practice, any ordering algorithm can be used and \textit{the amount of power saving is determined by the power of the algorithm used}. The steps in Figure 2 outline the algorithm, which commences with the following initialisation steps: (1) Process entries \(E_{ix}\) with shift operation. (2) Order remaining coefficients according to some ordering algorithm. (3) Save entries \(E_{ix}\) in \((E)\) memory, see Figure 3, with elements of the same column being adjacent to each other, followed by the next column, and so on.

To illustrate the above algorithm, consider an example where:

\[
E = \begin{pmatrix} 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 & 2.00 \\ 1.85 & 0.77 & -0.77 & -1.85 \\ 1.41 & -1.41 & -1.41 & 1.41 \\ 0.77 & -1.85 & 1.85 & -0.77 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} 35 & 32 & 31 & 34 \\ 32 & 37 & 31 & 31 \\ 29 & 28 & 27 & 31 \\ 26 & 28 & 31 & 34 \end{pmatrix}
\]

After the first iteration \((i = 1, x = 1\) and \(k = 1\)), the first column, \(E_{i1}\), is ordered according to minimum hamming distance to produce the ordered sequence \((2.00, 0.77, 1.41, 1.85)\). The original locations of these elements are stored. Next the above sequence is multiplied by the first entry in \(D_{i1}\), 35. The entries in registers \(R_i\) will be \((70, 64.75, 49.35, 26.95)\), where the first entry \((70)\) is saved in \(R_1\) and the second entry is saved in \(R_2\) and so on. Similarly at the end of iteration 2 \((i = 2, x = 1\) and \(k = 1\)), \(R_i\) will contain \((134, 89.39, 42.3, -32.25)\). The last iteration \((i = 4, x = 1\) and \(k = 1\) for this particular column, results in \(R_i\) containing the first column of
3. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

Figure 4 illustrates the framework utilised for the evaluation of the scheme with a number of 512 × 512 pixel example benchmark images. These, which include Lena, Man and checked images, are shown in Figure 5. The cosine coefficient matrix used was obtained using the MATLAB signal processing toolbox [9]. This was scaled such that entries can be represented by numbers between −128 and 127. The evaluation environment is based upon the MPEG standards, where images are processed in blocks of 8 × 8. For this reason our results are obtained with n = 8, i.e., an 8 × 8 cosine matrix is used with 512 × 512 pixel images being processed in blocks of 8 × 8. An
8 × 8-bit array multiplier was constructed, using the Cadence VLSI suite with ES2 0.7 CMOS Technology, and processed down to layout level. A C-program based test-fixture mapping system was developed to generate input simulation files for the Verilog-XL™ digital simulator [10]. This involved forming the appropriate image-pixel/cosine-coefficient pairs, in the order imposed by the multiplication algorithm, so that they can be applied to the inputs of the hardware multiplier. Three types of input simulation files are generated, representing the use of one of the following: (1) Traditional cosine DCT multiplication (Traditional). (2) Column-based multiplication algorithm without ordering (Column-based). (3) Column-based multiplication algorithm with ordering according to minimum hamming distance (Hamming). In each simulation, the number of signal transitions (switching activity), at the output of each gate, is monitored. Capacitive information for each gate is extracted from the layout of the multiplier circuit. Both of these are used to obtain a figure for the total switched capacitance of the multiplier.

Table I illustrates the results obtained with the different benchmark images. Clearly, power saving is achieved in all cases with a maximum of 50% with the checked image using Hamming,
which reduces the amount of switched capacitance at both multiplier inputs. An average power saving of around 35% is achieved over all benchmark images. Our results illustrate that although the amount of power saving is proportional to the frequency of pixel value variation across the image, significant power saving is achieved with all image types. This include those with sharp, continuous, and low pixel variation frequency. This in turn implies the suitability of the scheme for wide ranges of images including coloured images.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents an effective scheme for the low power VLSI implementation of the DCT within an MPEG based environment. The scheme reduces power through the utilisation of shift operations, where possible, together with sequencing coefficient and pixel multiplications in an order dictated by the bit correlation of their successive values. This results in up to 50% power reduction with a number of standard benchmark images. Our investigations revealed that the scheme provides a generic framework for power saving in that significant power savings is achieved with a range of standard image types. These include those with sharp, continuous, and low pixel variation frequency. The scheme can easily be extended to the implementation of the 2D DCT.
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