Operation Principle of Resonant Tunneling THz Oscillator at Fixed Bias Voltages
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Based on time-dependent numerical simulation of a double barrier quantum well structure, a time-dependent energy coupling model is presented to account for the operational principle of a new kind of resonant tunneling THz oscillators.

\textbf{Keywords:} Resonant tunneling; THz current oscillation; Simulation; Wigner function

Resonant tunneling diodes (RTD) have been studied as high frequency oscillators for many years \cite{1} \textendash{} \cite{9}. Traditionally, RTD's are implemented purely as a negative differential resistance element with one energy storage element, the device capacitance. This approach of extrinsically inducing oscillations will always encounter output power restrictions by external losses and low frequency design constraints. At the beginning of the 90’s, Jensen and Buot found that there are intrinsic high frequency (THz) current oscillations in RTD \cite{4}. The current oscillations are independent of external circuit. Their results provide evidence for a possible intrinsic approach to high frequency power generation. However, the causes of the intrinsic current oscillations are not clear \cite{4-6}. It is very important to understand the causes of the oscillations since they are important to the intrinsic approach of design of the THz RTD oscillators. In this paper, we will explain the operational principle of the intrinsic THz RTD oscillators, the origin of the intrinsic high frequency current oscillations.

Our explanation of the origin of intrinsic high frequency oscillations is based on our numerical simulation of a RTD. The detailed numerical technique and the RTD structure parameters used in our simulation can be found in our other paper \cite{7}.

Our simulated I–V characteristics of the structure used in this paper shows all main features of the experimental results: a plateau-like structure and two hysteresis regions (see Refs. \cite{4} and \cite{7}). This result convinces us of the correctness of our numerical calculation.
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Figure 1 shows the relationship between current density and simulation time. From this graph we know that there is a bias voltage window (BVW) in which the current density is oscillatory. The center bias voltage (CBV) of the bias window for the resonant tunneling structure (RTS) employed in this paper is 0.248 V. At this bias voltage, the current oscillation is harmonic. Simulation results show that if bias voltage is lower than the CBV, the current experiences a damping process at first and then develops into an oscillated state. If the bias voltage is greater than the CBV in the BVW, the current densities do not show the initial damping and then harmonic oscillation process that can be observed when bias voltage is less than the CBV. The current gets into a damping process directly with a big initial amplitude. These are the basic features of the current oscillations in the RTS.

Figure 2 and Figure 4 show time-dependent self-consistent potential and electron density at special bias voltages. We can see that the current oscillation is concurrent with those of potential and electron density in the whole region of the device. Except the oscillations of potential and density of electron in the whole region of the device, there is emitter quantum well (EQW) in front of the emitter barrier. Of course, the bottom of the EQW oscillates with the increase of the simulation time. Obviously, the current oscillation is related to the creation of an EQW. Figure 2 and Figure 4 also show that the oscillations have the following features. When the bias just enters the BVW, the potential vibrate a short time before getting into stable oscillation state. The oscillations are periodical rather than irregular. In the BVW, the potential and electron density get into stable oscillation quickly. After the bias voltage get out of the BVW in the higher bias voltage direction, the current oscillation become a decay oscillation, so do the potential and electron density. These situations last until the bias voltage reaches a special point where the plateau-like structure in the I–V characteristics ended.

As we have stated, the oscillation of current is closely related to the creation of an EQW, so do those of potential and density distributions. It is very important to note that the EQW, is created just after the current passes the maximum value of the current. Once the current passes the maximum value of the current, the reflection coefficient of the electron-wave increases dramatically. Thus, the interference between the injected electron-wave and the reflected electron-wave leads to depletion.
of electrons in front of the emitter barrier. The depletion of electrons in front of the emitter barrier further leads to a relatively positive charge background thereby an EQW. Once the EQW is created and the energy level in the EQW is separated from the three dimensional states in the emitter, the coupling between the energy level in the EQW and that in the main quantum well will causes the oscillation of current through the double barrier system. Suppose that the wavefunctions for the energy levels in the EQW and the main quantum well (MQW) are expressed respectively as

$$\psi_{\text{EQW}}(z, t) = C_{\text{EQW}}(z, t)e^{iE_{\text{EQW}}t/\hbar}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$\psi_{\text{MQW}}(z, t) = C_{\text{MQW}}(z, t)e^{iE_{\text{MQW}}t/\hbar - \gamma t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$
In writing the above wave-functions, we have assumed that the width of the energy level in the MQW is much wider than that in the EQW. Considering that the energy level in the MQW is next to the three dimensional states and the energy level in the EQW is a quasi-bounded state, this assumption is a very good one. The coupled state can be expressed by the following wave-function.

$$\psi(z,t) = C_1 \psi_{EQW}(z,t) + C_2 \psi_{MQW}(z,t) \quad (3)$$

With this wave-functions, the current density can be expressed as

$$\langle \psi | j | \psi \rangle = |C_1|^2 \langle \psi_{EQW} | j | \psi_{EQW} \rangle + |C_2 e^{-\gamma t}|^2 \langle \psi_{MQW} | j | \psi_{MQW} \rangle + 2Im(C_1^* C_2 \langle \psi_{EQW} | j | \psi_{MQW} \rangle) e^{i(E_{MQW} - E_{EQW})/(\hbar \gamma)} \quad (4)$$

The electron density can be expressed as

$$|\psi|^2 = |C_1|^2 \langle \psi_{EQW} | \psi_{EQW} \rangle + |C_2 e^{-\gamma t}|^2 \langle \psi_{MQW} | \psi_{MQW} \rangle + 2Im(C_1^* C_2 \langle \psi_{EQW} | \psi_{MQW} \rangle) e^{i(E_{MQW} - E_{EQW})/(\hbar \gamma)} \quad (5)$$

These two equations fully reflect all main features of the simulation results. In Eq. (4), the first term sets the current value at which the oscillation is surrounded at steady states. The second term stands for the damping of the irregularly initial oscillation. The third term represents the oscillation of the current. Separated calculation shows $\Delta E = E_{MQW} - E_{EQW} \sim 10\text{meV}$ in BVW [8]. Thus, the current oscillation frequency is in the order of 1 THz that coincides with the simulation results shown by Figure 1. In fact, the strength of the oscillations depends on the strength of the coupling between the energy levels and that of the electron–phonon interaction. When bias voltage is small, the factor $e^{-\gamma t}$ destroys contributions from the second term in Eq. (4) smoothly. With the increase of the depth of the EQW, the strength of the coupling between the two levels increases. The increase of the coupling leads to the increase of the amplitude of the oscillated currents. If this fact balances the effect of electron–phonon interaction, we get a harmonic current oscillation,
such as shown by the current oscillation at 0.248 V in Figure 1. If the strength of electron–phonon interaction is strong enough, the contribution from the second in Eq. (4) gets into effects. This effect is greater at higher bias voltages. Figure 1 confirms our analysis. At bias voltage 0.248 V, the self-consistent potential and electron density distribution all exhibit oscillation behaviors. The oscillation of electron density can be qualitatively explained by Eq. (5).

Our simulation results show that there is only one bias voltage region for the device structure employed in this paper in which the current oscillated. This is due to the electron–phonon interaction that destroys the current oscillations and the exchange of the positions of the energy levels in the EQW and the MQW that leads to the collapse of the EQW. In fact, if the device structure is suitable for the creation of another energy level in the EQW, there will be another bias voltage region in which the current oscillates [9].

CONCLUSION

We have pointed out the operational principle at a THz oscillator in an intrinsic approach. The operation of this kind of oscillators is not relied on external circuit but the intrinsic behavior of micro-particles, the wave behavior. The origin of the current oscillation is traced to the development of a dynamic emitter quantum well and the coupling of its energy level with the level of the main quantum well.
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