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1. Introduction

Quadratic functionals of Gaussian processes have attracted a great deal of interest over the past decades. Numerous results have already been reported both in the general setting of
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abstract Gaussian spaces and in various specific models. Concerning continuous-time processes, specially around the Brownian motion, Laplace transforms of such functionals have been extensively investigated further beyond the pioneer paper [1] of Cameron-Martin (see, e.g., [2-6, 8, 9] and references therein). Here we concentrate on Laplace transforms of quadratic forms (Ltqf, for short) of Gaussian sequences.

In what follows, all random variables, vectors and sequences are defined on a given stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $E$ denotes expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}$. Let us start with the well-known fundamental formula which tells us that when $X$ is a $n$-dimensional Gaussian vector with mean $\mu$ and covariance matrix $\Lambda$, then for any $n \times n$ nonnegative symmetric matrix $A$,

$$
E \exp \{ - \frac{1}{2} X'R X \} = \{ \det [I_n + RA] \}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \{ - \frac{1}{2} \mu' [I_n + RA]^{-1} R \mu \},
$$

(1)

where $I_n$ stands for the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Now, let $(X_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots)$ be an arbitrary one-dimensional Gaussian sequence, with mean function $(m_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots)$ and covariance function $(K(t, s), t, s = 0, 1, \ldots)$, i.e.,

$$
E X_t = m_t; \quad E(X_t - m_t)(x_s - m_s) = K(t, s),
$$

and let $(Q(t), t = 0, 1, \ldots)$ be any fixed (deterministic) sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Then, from formula (1), we get immediately that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
E \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t} Q(s) X_s^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= \{ \det [I_{t+1} + Q_r K_r] \}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \{ - \frac{1}{2} m_t' [I_{t+1} + Q_r K_r]^{-1} Q_t m_t \},
$$

(2)

where $Q_r$ stands for the $(t+1)$-dimensional diagonal matrix with $Q(s), s = 0, 1, \ldots, t$ as diagonal entries, $K_r$ denotes the $(t+1) \times (t+1)$ matrix $((K(r, s), r, s = 0, 1, \ldots, t))$, and $m_t$ is the $(t+1)$-dimensional vector with components $m_s, s = 0, 1, \ldots, t$.

Here we investigate alternative forms of expression (2) for the Laplace transform and discuss various methods of derivation of new formulas. The paper is organized as follows. At first, in Section 2, an approach which applies to arbitrary Gaussian sequences is developed; it is based on matching of an appropriate auxiliary filtering problem and it leads to a formula in terms of the solutions of Volterra type recursions describing characteristics of the corresponding optimal filter. Then, in Section 3, the case of Gauss-Markov sequences (where the previous equations reduce to ordinary forward recursive equations) is considered; an alternative approach provides another formula which involves the solution of a backward recursion equation. Comparing different formulas for the Laplace transforms, various relationships between the corresponding entries are identified. In particular, relationships between the solutions of matched forward and backward Riccati equations are proved probabilistically; they are viewed within the scope of the usual mathematical duality between optimal control and optimal filtering. Section 4 is devoted to various specific cases where a further analysis of the concerned equations leads to completely explicit formulas for the Laplace transform. Finally, the auxiliary results, which are themselves of independent interest, are investigated in Appendices A and B: the filtering problem introduced in Section 2 is solved and identities connected with the Riccati equations are proved again directly.

2. Ltqf of Arbitrary Gaussian Sequences - A Filtering Approach
Here we continue with the general setting introduced in Section 1. From now on, we use the following notation for the Lqtf corresponding to the Gaussian sequence \(X_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots\) and the given deterministic sequence \((Q(t), t = 0, 1, \ldots)\):

\[
\mathcal{L}(t) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t} Q(s) X_s^2 \right\}
\]

We state our main result.

**Theorem 1:** For any \(t \geq 0\), the following equality holds:

\[
\mathcal{L}(t) = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \left[ 1 + Q(s) \gamma(s, s) \right]^{-1/2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s) x_s^2}{1 + Q(s) \gamma(s, s)} \right\}
\]

where \((\gamma(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t)\) is the unique solution of the equation

\[
\gamma(t, s) = K(t, s) - \sum_{r=0}^{t-s} \frac{Q(r) \gamma(s, r)}{1 + Q(r) \gamma(r, r)} \gamma(t, r), 1 \leq s \leq t; \quad \gamma(t, 0) = K(t, 0),
\]

and \((z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)\) is the unique solution of the equation

\[
z_s = m_s - \sum_{r=0}^{t-s} \frac{Q(r) \gamma(s, r)}{1 + Q(r) \gamma(r, r)} z_r, 1 \leq s \leq t; \quad z_0 = m_0.
\]

**Remark 1:** Observe that if \(m_s = 0, s = 0, 1, \ldots\), then \(z_s = 0\) for \(s = 0, 1, \ldots\) and hence formula (3) reduces to

\[
\mathcal{L}(t) = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \left[ 1 + Q(s) \gamma(s, s) \right]^{-1/2}.
\]

Since formula (2) says nothing other than

\[
\mathcal{L}(t) = \{ \det[I_{t+1} + Q_t \mathcal{K}_t] \}^{-1/2},
\]

we get the identity

\[
\det[I_{t+1} + Q_t \mathcal{K}_t] = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \left[ 1 + Q(s) \gamma(s, s) \right].
\]

Consequently, continuing the comparison of formulas (2) and (3) for a noncentered sequence, we also have the identity

\[
\mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left[ I_{t+1} + Q_t \mathcal{K}_t \right]^{-1} Q_t \right] = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s) x_s^2}{1 + Q(s) \gamma(s, s)}.
\]

It is worth mentioning that identities (6) and (7) say that one may compute the determinant and quadratic form appearing in the left-hand sides (and hence also the Laplace transform) by applying procedures (4) and (5). These comments will be complemented in the subsection 3.3 for the particular case of Markov sequences and also in Remark 5 at the end of Appendix A.

The key point in the proof of Theorem 1 is the link between the computation of the Laplace transform and the resolution of an appropriate filtering type problem. Recall that if
\((U,t, Y) = ([U_i, Y_i], t = 0, 1, \ldots)\) is a pair of processes, with only \(Y\) being observed and with the objective to know \(U_i\), the classical problem of filtering (resp., one-step prediction of) the signal \(U\) at time \(t\) from the observation of \(Y\) up to time \(t\) (resp., \(t - 1\)) takes place. The solution to this problem is the conditional distribution of \(U_i\) given with \(\sigma\)-field \(\mathcal{Y}_t = \sigma(\{Y_s, 0 \leq s \leq t\})\) (resp., \(\mathcal{Y}_{t-1}\)), which is called the optimal filter (resp., the optimal one-step predictor). Of course, if the joint distribution of \((U,Y)\) is Gaussian, then the optimal filter and predictor are Gaussian distributions. Hence, the resolution of the filtering and prediction problems can be reduced to the derivation of equations for the first and second order conditional moments. In the sequel, for any random sequence \(U = (U_t; t \geq 0)\), such that \(\mathbb{E}|U_t| < +\infty\), for all \(t \geq 0\) and \(0 \leq s \leq t\), the notation \(\pi_s(U_t)\) will be used for the conditional expectation of \(U_t\) given \(\mathcal{Y}_s\):

\[\pi_s(U_t) = \mathbb{E}(U_t/\mathcal{Y}_s).\]

Moreover, we make the convention that \(\pi_{-1}(U_t) = \mathbb{E}U_t\).

Now we introduce the problems appropriate for computing the Ltvf. Let \((\xi_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots)\) be a sequence of i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables, which is independent of the given process \((X_i, t = 0, 1, \ldots)\). Let us define the auxiliary sequences \((Y_i, t = 0, 1, \ldots)\) and \((\xi_i, t = 0, 1, \ldots)\) by

\[Y_i = Q(t)X_i = \sqrt{Q(t)}\xi_t,\]

\[\xi_i = \sum_{s=0}^{t} X_s Y_s.\]

We shall be concerned with one-step prediction for \(X\) from \(Y\) and with filtering \(\xi\) from \(Y\). Here, clearly the pair \((X,Y)\) is jointly Gaussian, and hence the optimal one-step predictor is the Gaussian distribution defined by the conditional mean \(\pi_{t-1}(X_t)\) and the conditional variance \(\gamma_{XX}(t) = \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))^2/\mathcal{Y}_{t-1}]\), which is actually deterministic, i.e.,

\[\gamma_{XX}(t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t)]^2, t \geq 1; \gamma_{XX}(0) = K(0, 0).\]  

Of course, the joint distribution of \((X,\xi, Y)\) is not Gaussian, but we observe that the conditional distribution of \((X_t, \xi_{t-1})\) given \(\mathcal{Y}_{t-1}\) is Gaussian. Hence, in particular, the optimal filter for \(\xi\) is the Gaussian distribution defined by the conditional mean \(\pi_t(\xi_t)\) and the corresponding conditional covariance (which is random). Actually, the other main characteristic, which will be involved in the sequel, is the following conditional covariance:

\[\gamma_{XY}(t) = \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))(\xi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-1}(\xi_{t-1}))/\mathcal{Y}_{t-1}], t \geq 1; \gamma_{XY}(0) = 0.\]  

Equations governing the first and second order conditional moments involved in definitions (9)-(10) will be derived in Appendix A.

Now we can state the announced key property.

**Lemma 1:** For any \(t = 0, 1, \ldots\), the following equality holds:

\[L(t) = \prod_{s=0}^{t}[1 + Q(s)\gamma_{XX}(s)]^{-1/2}\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s)\gamma_{XX}(s)}{1 + Q(s)\gamma_{XX}(s)}\}.\]  

Before turning to the proof of this lemma, it is worth mentioning that equality (11) tells us in particular, that the quantity \([\pi_{s-1}(X_s) - \gamma_{XX}(s)]^2\) is deterministic. It will actually turn out
that the difference \( \pi_{s-1}(X_s) - \gamma_{\chi}(s) \) is itself deterministic. Comparing equations (3) and (11), it is clear that, starting from Lemma 1, to prove Theorem 1, it will be sufficient to check that the quantities \( \gamma_{XX}(s) \) and \( \pi_{s-1}(X_s) - \gamma_{\chi}(s) \) are nothing but \( \gamma(s, s) \) and \( z_s \), where \( \gamma(t, s) \) is the unique solution of equation (4) and \( z_s \) is the unique solution of equation (5). This will be done in Appendix A, and now we prove Lemma 1.

**Proof of Lemma 1:** Setting

\[
I_{t-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} Q(s) X_s^2,
\]

we can write

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}(t)}{\mathcal{L}(t-1)} = \frac{E(\exp[-I_{t-1} - \frac{1}{2} Q(t) X_t^2])}{E(\exp[-I_{t-1}])}, \tag{12}
\]

Let us define a new probability measure \( \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \) by

\[
d\widehat{\mathbb{P}} = \exp\{-\zeta_{t-1}\} d\mathbb{P}; \quad \zeta_{t-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \sqrt{Q(s)} X_s \epsilon_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} Q(s) X_s^2, \tag{13}
\]

Under \( \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \), the distribution of \( X \) is the same as under \( \mathbb{P} \), and \( X \) is independent of \( \mathcal{Y}_s, 0 \leq s \leq t-1 \). Hence we can rewrite equality (12) as

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}(t)}{\mathcal{L}(t-1)} = \frac{\widehat{E}(\exp[-I_{t-1} - \frac{1}{2} Q(t) X_t^2] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})}{\widehat{E}(\exp[-I_{t-1}] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})},
\]

where \( \widehat{E} \) (\( \cdot / \mathcal{Y}_{t-1} \)) denotes a version of the conditional expectation with respect to \( \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \). Then, using the classical Bayes formula, again we can rewrite (12) as

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}(t)}{\mathcal{L}(t-1)} = \frac{E(\exp[-I_{t-1} - \frac{1}{2} Q(t) X_t^2] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})}{E(\exp[-I_{t-1}] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})}.
\]

Since from the definitions (8) and (13) we have \( \zeta_{t-1} = I_{t-1} + \zeta_{t-1} \), this means that

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}(t)}{\mathcal{L}(t-1)} = \frac{E(\exp[-I_{t-1} - \frac{1}{2} Q(t) X_t^2] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})}{E(\exp[-I_{t-1}] | \mathcal{Y}_{t-1})}.
\]

Now, we observe that under \( \mathbb{P} \), the conditional distribution of the pair \( (x_t, \xi_{t-1}) \), given \( \mathcal{Y}_{t-1} \), is Gaussian. But for a Gaussian pair \((U, V)\) of random variables, we have

\[
\frac{E(U - E(U))^2}{E(U)} = [1 + E(U - E(U))^2]^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{[E(U - E(U))^2(V - E(V))^2]}{1 + E(U - E(U))^2} \}.
\]

Therefore, we get

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}(t)}{\mathcal{L}(t-1)} = [1 + Q(t) \gamma_{XX}(t)]^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q(t) \pi_{t-1}(X_t) - \gamma_{\chi}(t)^2}{1 + Q(t) \gamma_{XX}(t)} \}.
\]

Finally, this gives immediately equation (11), which completes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

Before turning to more particular examples (see Section 4), we now analyze the case of a general Gauss-Markov process.

### 3. Ltqf of Gauss-Markov Sequences - Two Approaches
In this part we concentrate on the case of a Gaussian AR(1) process, i.e., a Gauss-Markov process driven by

$$X_t = A_t X_{t-1} + D_{1/2} \sigma \epsilon_t, \ t \geq 1; \ X_0 = \eta,$$  \quad (14)

where $\{\epsilon_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables, which is independent of the initial condition $\eta$. Moreover, $\eta$ is assumed to be a Gaussian variable with mean $m_0$ and variance $k(0)$, and $(A_t, t \geq 1)$ and $(D_t, t \geq 1)$ are deterministic sequences of real numbers such that $D_t \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. In this setting, it is easy to check that the mean and covariance functions of $X$ are given by

$$m_t = \prod_{u=1}^{t} A_u m_0; \quad K(t,s) = \prod_{u=s+1}^{t} A_u k(s), \ 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

where

$$k(t) = \prod_{u=1}^{t} A_u^2 k(0) + \sum_{s=1}^{t} \left( \sum_{u=s+1}^{t} A_u^2 \right) D_s, \ t \geq 0,$$

and the conventions $\sum_{u=1}^{0} = 0$ and $\prod_{u=t+1}^{t} = 1$ are made. Inserting this onto formula (2), one obtains a first expression of the LTQf. Now we investigate alternative forms.

### 3.1 Forward Approach

Here, an immediate consequence of the filtering approach developed in Section 2, we get a second formula for the LTQf.

**Corollary 1:** For all $t \geq 0$ the following equality holds:

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \left[ 1 + Q(s) \gamma_s \right]^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s) Z_s^2 m_0^2}{1 + Q(s) \gamma_s} \right\},$$  \quad (15)

where $(\gamma_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$\gamma_s = A_s^2 \gamma_{s-1} + D_s, \ 1 \leq s \leq t; \ \gamma_0 = k(0),$$  \quad (16)

and $(Z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ is defined by

$$Z_s = \sum_{r=1}^{s} \frac{A_r}{1 + Q(r-1) \gamma_{r-1}}, \ 0 \leq s \leq t.$$  \quad (17)

**Proof:** At first, we notice that the corresponding one-step prediction problem for $X$ in view of $Y$ is quite standard (see, e.g. [8]) and it is well-known that the variance $\gamma_{XX}(s)$ is nothing else but the solution $\gamma_s$ of the Riccati type equation (16). Then one can check that the solution of equation (4) is given by

$$\gamma(t,s) = \prod_{r=s+1}^{t} \frac{A_r}{1 + Q(r-1) \gamma_{r-1}} \gamma_s.$$  \quad (18)

Moreover, inserting this into (5), it is readily found that $z_s$ is also given by $z_s = Z_s m_0$ with $Z_s$ given by (17). Then, from (3), we get (15) immediately. \qed
Remark 2: (a) Observe that \((Z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)\) defined by (17) is nothing but the solution of the recursive equation

\[ Z_s = \frac{A_s}{1 + Q(s-1)\gamma_{s-1}} Z_{s-1}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq t; \quad Z_0 = 1. \]  

Then Remark 1 can be revisited in terms of the procedures (16) and (18) to compute the left-hand sides of (6) and (7).

(b) Clearly, the above filtering approach to derive the \(\text{Ltqf}\), which here leads to expression (15) in terms of the solutions of the ordinary forward recursions (16) and (18), is really a forward approach in the sense that it is based on a recursion giving \(\mathcal{L}(t)\) in terms of \(\mathcal{L}(t-1)\) (see the proof of Lemma 1).

3.2 Backward Approach

Now we turn to a backward approach, which leads to an expression of the \(\text{Ltqf}\) in terms of the solution of a backward recursion. Precisely, we have the following alternative expression for the \(\text{Ltqf}\).

**Theorem 2:** For all \(t \geq 0\), the following equality holds:

\[
\mathcal{L}(t) = \prod_{r=0}^{t-1} \left[ 1 + D_{r+1} \Gamma(t, r + 1) \right]^{-1/2} \left[ 1 + k(0) \Gamma(t, 0) \right]^{-1/2} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(t,0) m_0^2}{\Gamma(t,E)} \right\},
\]

where \((\Gamma(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t + 1)\) is the solution of the equation

\[ \Gamma(t, s) = \frac{A^2_{s+1} \Gamma(t, s)}{1 + D_{s+1} \Gamma(t, s+1)} + Q(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t; \quad \Gamma(t, t + 1) = 0. \]  

**Proof:** We introduce the quantity \(\mathcal{L}(t; s, x)\) as the analog of the \(\text{Ltqf}\) \(\mathcal{L}(t)\) for an \(\text{AR}(1)\) process \(X^{s,x}_r\), which is driven by the same equation as \(X\) but starts at time \(s \leq t\) from a fixed point \(x\), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{L}(t; s, x) = \mathbb{E}\exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=s}^t Q(r)(X^a_{s,x} r)^2 \}. \]  

Clearly, due to the Markov property, we have

\[
\mathcal{L}(t; s, x) = \exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} Q(s)x^2 \} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(t; s + 1, X^{s,x}_{s+1}),
\]

where the distribution of \(X^{s,x}_{s+1}\) is Gaussian with mean \(A_{s+1}x\) and variance \(D_{s+1}\). Recall that the fundamental formula (1) says, in particular, that if \(U\) is a real Gaussian random variable with mean \(\mu\) and variance \(\sigma^2\) then

\[
\mathbb{E}\exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} U^2 \} = [1 + \lambda \sigma^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} [1 + \lambda \sigma^2]^{-1} \lambda \mu^2 \}; \quad \lambda \geq 0.
\]

Then, looking for \(\mathcal{L}(t; s, x)\) in the form

\[
\mathcal{L}(t; s, x) = \exp\{ -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(t, s)x^2 \} \delta_s,
\]

it is readily seen that \(\Gamma(t, s)\) and \(\delta_s\) must satisfy respectively, (20) and...
\[ \delta_s = \left[ 1 + D_{s+1} \Gamma(t, s + 1) \right]^{-1/2} \delta_{s+1}, 0 \leq s \leq t; \; \delta_{t+1} = 1. \]

So, we obtain

\[ \mathcal{L}(t; s, x) = \prod_{r=s}^{t-1} \left[ 1 + D_{r+1} \Gamma(t, r + 1) \right]^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma(t, s)x^2 \right\}. \] (22)

Finally, since

\[ \mathcal{L}(t) = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(t; 0, \eta), \]

again using the one-dimensional version of (1), we can easily conclude that (19) holds. \( \square \)

**Remark 3:** (a) Again, Remark 1 can be revisited in terms of procedure (20) to compute the left-hand sides of (6) and (7).

(b) Actually, equation (20), involved in the expression of the Ltqf, which has just been derived through the backward approach, arises in the theory of optimal control. Namely, for any fixed \( s \) between 0 and \( t \), let us consider the stochastic optimal control problem for a signal \( S_t \) governed on \( [s, t] \) by

\[ S_r = A_r S_{r-1} + B_r U_{r-1} + V_r, \; s + 1 \leq r \leq t, \; S_s = x, \]

where \( V \) is a Gaussian noise with \( \mathbb{E}V_r V'_r = \delta_{ur} D_r \) and \( U \) is an (adapted) control policy to be chosen in order to minimize the payoff

\[ J(t; s, x) = \mathbb{E}\sum_r^{t-1} \left[ Q(r) S_r^2 + R(r) U_r^2 \right]. \]

Then (see, e.g., [8]), if \( B^2/R \equiv D \), the minimal cost is given by

\[ J^*(t; s, x) = \Gamma(t, s)x^2 + \sum_r^{t-1} D_{r+1} \Gamma(t, r + 1), \] (23)

and moreover, the optimal policy is given by a linear feedback which can also be expressed in terms of \( \Gamma(t, \cdot) \). Hence, exploiting representations (22) and (23), we observe that for the Laplace transform \( \mathcal{L}(t; s, x) \) defined in (21) and the minimal cost \( J^*(t; s, x) \) we have:

\[ \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s, x) = \exp \left\{ \Gamma(t, s)x^2 \right\} \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s, 0); J^*(t; s, x) = \Gamma(t, s)x^2 + J^*(t; s, 0), \]

where relative increments of \( \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; \cdot, 0) \) and absolute increments of \( J^*(t; \cdot, 0) \) are linked through

\[ \frac{\mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s, 0) - \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s-1, 0)}{\mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s, 0)} = J^*(t; s, 0) - J^*(t; s - 1, 0) = -D_s \Gamma(t, s). \]

The last equalities can be seen as backward recursions for computing \( \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; s, 0) \) and \( J^*(t; s, 0) \), with final conditions \( \mathcal{L}^{-2}(t; t, 0) = 1 \) and \( J^*(t; t, 0) = 0 \), respectively.

### 3.3 Matched Riccati Recursive Equations

It was mentioned in Remarks 2 and 3 that the Riccati equations (16) and (20) arise in the theories of optimal filtering and optimal control, respectively. Usually, links between matched forward and backward Riccati equations come naturally within the scope of the mathematical duality between these two aspects of the linear-quadratic Gaussian theory of...
New Formulas Concerning Laplace Transforms

It is the case here, since it is readily seen, from formulas (15) and (19) for the L_tqf, that the following statement holds:

**Corollary 2:** The relations below hold:

\[ \prod_{s=0}^{t} [1 + Q(s)\gamma_s] = \prod_{s=0}^{t-1} [1 + D_{s+1}\Gamma(t, s + 1)][1 + k(0)\Gamma(t, 0)], \tag{24} \]

\[ \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s)Z_s^2}{1 + Q(s)\gamma_s} = \frac{\Gamma(t, 0)}{1 + k(0)\Gamma(t, 0)}. \tag{25} \]

Actually, we can give direct proofs of identities (24)-(25), which have just been derived probabilistically. This is done in Appendix B.

4. Particular Cases

In this section, we investigate some examples of processes \( X \), for which we can provide completely explicit formulas for the Laplace transform

\[ \mathcal{L}(t; \mu) = \mathbb{E}\exp\{-\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{t} X_s^2\}, \mu > 0. \]

In the further analysis of these examples, a common key point is the resolution of a Riccati equation of the form (16) using the so-called linearization method. We shall be concerned only with the case when coefficients \( A_s \) are all nonzero and of course here \( Q(s) = \mu \) for all \( s \).

Then, if the pair \( \left( \Psi_s^1, \Psi_s^2 \right), s = 0, 1, \ldots \) is governed by the linear recursions

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{s+1} &= A_{s+1}^{-1}\Psi_s + \mu A_{s+1}^{-1}\Psi_s^2, \\
\Psi_{s+1}^2 &= D_{s+1}\Psi_s^1 + A_{s+1}\Psi_s^2, \\
\Psi_{0}^1 &= 1, \\
\Psi_{0}^2 &= k(0),
\end{align*}
\tag{26}
\]

the corresponding solution \( (\gamma_t, t = 0, 1, \ldots) \) of (16) is given by \( \gamma_t = (\Psi_t^1)^{-1}\Psi_t^2 \). Moreover, the following equality holds:

\[ \prod_{s=0}^{t} (1 + \mu\gamma_s) = \prod_{s=1}^{t+1} A_s \Psi_{t+1}^1. \tag{27} \]

Now we turn to the examples, beginning with Markovian cases.

4.1 Homogeneous First Order Autoregressive Processes

Here, for some fixed real number \( \theta \neq 0 \), in the AR(1) model (14), we take \( A_t \equiv \theta \) and \( D_t \equiv 1 \), i.e., \( X_t = \theta X_{t-1} + \zeta_t \). If the initial condition \( \eta \) has mean \( m_0 \) and variance \( k(0) \), then the mean and covariance functions of \( X \) are given by

\[ m_t = \theta^t m_0; \quad K(t, s) = \theta^{t-s}k(s); \quad k(s) = \theta^2k(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} \theta^{2(s-l)}k(l). \]

Solving (26) for \( k(0) = 0 \), we obtain
\[
\Psi^1_t = \theta^{-t} \frac{(1-\lambda_-)\lambda^t + (\lambda_1-1)\lambda^{t+1}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-},
\]

where
\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\mu + 1 + \theta \pm \sqrt{\mu (\theta + 1)^2 - 4}}{2}.
\]

**Homogeneous AR(1) process starting from zero:** If we take \( \eta = 0 \), i.e., \( m_0 = 0 \) and \( k(0) = 0 \), then from Corollary 1 and (27) we get immediately the corresponding Laplace transform, \( \mathcal{L}_0(t; \mu) \) say, as
\[
\mathcal{L}_0(t; \mu) = \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda_-)\lambda^{t+1} + (\lambda_1-1)\lambda^{t+1}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \right\}^{-1/2}.
\]

This is nothing but the result obtained in [7] through another approach. It is interesting to note that for \( \theta = 1 \), i.e., when \( X \) is simply a random walk, we have the limiting behavior
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{L}_0([Nt]; \frac{\mu}{\sigma}) = (\cosh(\mu t))^{-1/2}.
\]

Actually, since the sequence \( \{N^{-1/2}X_{[Nt]}, t \geq 0\} \) converges in distribution to a standard Brownian motion \( B \), not surprisingly this limit gives the well-known Cameron-Martin formula for the Laplace transform of \( \int_0^t B_s^2 ds \) (see, e.g. [1] and [6] for other approaches to this result).

**Homogeneous AR(1) process starting from \( x \):** Now, for some real number \( x \neq 0 \), we take \( \eta = x \), i.e., \( m_0 = x \) and \( k(0) = 0 \). In order to apply Corollary 1, we need to calculate the quadratic form involving \( Z_0 \) which satisfies (17). From (17) and (27), we get that \( Z_0 = 1/\Psi^1_{x_0} \). Then it can be verified that
\[
\sum_{x=0}^t \frac{Z_0^2}{1 + \rho \gamma_x} = 1 + \frac{\theta^2}{\mu} - \frac{\theta}{\mu} \Psi^1_{x_0}.
\]

Hence, applying (15), we obtain the Laplace transform \( \mathcal{L}_x(t; \mu) \) say, as
\[
\mathcal{L}_x(t, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_0(t, \mu) \exp \left\{ - \frac{\theta}{\mu} \left\{ \mu + \theta \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-\lambda_-)\lambda^{t} + (\lambda_1-1)\lambda^{t+1}}{1-\lambda_-\lambda^{t}} \right] \right\} \right\}, \tag{28}
\]

**Stationary AR(1) process:** Finally, we deal with the case where \(-1 < \theta < 1\) and the process \( X \) is stationary. It means that for \( \eta \), we choose the mean \( m_0 = 0 \) and variance \( k(0) = 1/(1 - \theta^2) \). Here the Laplace transform can be computed as
\[
\mathcal{L}(t; \mu) = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_0(t; \mu),
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}_x(t, \mu) \) is given by (28). Then, integrating the right-hand side of (28) with respect to the distribution of \( \eta \), it is readily seen that
\[
\mathcal{L}(t; \mu) = \{d_+ \lambda_+^{t+1} + d_- \lambda_-^{t+1}\}^{-1/2},
\]
where
\[
d_+ = \left( \frac{\mu + 1 - \theta}{1 - \rho \gamma_x} - \lambda_- \right) / (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-); \quad d_- = (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) / (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-).
Note that this formula can also be derived directly from (15) and (27) by the resolution of (26) with the initial condition \(1/(1 - \theta^2)\) for \(\Psi^2\).

### 4.2 Gaussian Bridge between 0 and \(N\)

Here, given a sequence \((W_i, t = 1, \ldots, N)\) of i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables, we consider the process \(X\) defined by:

\[
X_t = \sum_{s=1}^{t} W_s - \frac{t}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N} W_s; \quad 0 \leq t \leq N.
\]

Clearly, by the definition, we have \(X_0 = 0\) and \(X_n = 0\) and the process \(X\) can be seen as a discrete time analog of the standard Brownian bridge, which we may call the Gaussian bridge between 0 and \(N\). Actually, \(X\) is a centered Markovian process with the covariance function

\[
K(t, s) = s(1 - \frac{t}{N}); \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq N.
\]

It is easy to check that it is a nonhomogeneous AR(1) process driven by (14), with \(A_t = D_t = (N - t)/(N + 1 - t)\). The resolution of the corresponding equation (26) leads to

\[
\Psi_1(t, \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(\mu + 4)}} \left\{(\delta_{\tau + 1} - \delta_{\tau}) - (\mu + A_{\tau + 1}) (\delta_{\tau} - \delta_{\tau - 1})\right\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq N - 1,
\]

where

\[
\delta_{\pm} = \frac{\mu + 2 \pm \sqrt{\mu(\mu + 4)}}{2}.
\]

Then, applying (15) and (27), we can obtain the Laplace transform as

\[
\mathcal{L}(t; \mu) = \left\{(1 - \frac{t}{N}) \frac{\delta_{\tau + 1} - \delta_{\tau}}{\sqrt{\mu(\mu + 4)}} - (1 - \frac{t + 1}{N}) \frac{\delta_{\tau} - \delta_{\tau - 1}}{\sqrt{\mu(\mu + 4)}}\right\}^{-1/2}.
\]

Again, we have the limiting behavior

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{L}(\lceil Nt \rceil; \frac{\mu}{N^2}) = \left\{(1 - t) \cosh(\sqrt{\mu}t) + \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{\mu}t)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right\}^{-1/2}.
\]

Actually, since here the sequence \(\{N^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\lceil Nt \rceil}, t \geq 0\}\) converges in distribution to a standard Brownian bridge \(B^*\), this limit gives the Laplace transform for \(\int_0^t (B^*_s)^2 ds\) (see, e.g., [6] for another approach to this result).

### 4.3 Moving Average of Order 1

Here we consider the case of a MA(1) process, i.e., a non-Markovian process \(X\) defined by

\[
X_t = W_t + W_{t-1}; \quad t \geq 0,
\]

where \((W_{-1}, W_0, W_1, \ldots)\) is a sequence of i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables. Of course, \(X\) is centered and has the covariance function \(K(t, s) = 2\) if \(s = t\), 1 if \(s = t - 1\) and 0 if \(s < t - 1\). In order to solve equation (4), we can take

\[
\gamma(t, s) = 0, \quad s < t - 1; \quad \gamma(t, t - 1) = 1, \quad t \geq 1,
\]
and \( \gamma(t, t) = \gamma_t \) where \( \gamma_t \) is the solution of the equation:

\[
\gamma_t = 2 - \frac{\mu}{1 + \mu \gamma_{t-1}}, \quad t \geq 1; \quad t \geq 2.
\]

Actually, this equation can be rewritten as (16) with \( A_t = \mu \) and \( D_t = 2 - \mu \). The resolution of the corresponding equation (26) leads to

\[
\Psi_t^1 = \mu^{-t} \sqrt{4\mu + 1},
\]

where

\[
\rho_\pm = \frac{2\mu + 1 \pm \sqrt{4\mu + 1}}{2}.
\]

Then applying (3) and (27), we can obtain the Laplace transform as

\[
\mathcal{L}(t; \mu) = \left\{ \frac{\mu^{-t} \rho_1^{\pm 1}}{\sqrt{4\mu + 1}} \right\}^{-1/2}.
\]

**Appendix A: Solution of the Auxiliary Filtering-Type Problems**

Here, for an arbitrary Gaussian sequence \( X \), we deal with the one-step prediction and filtering problems of the signals \( X \) and \( \xi \) given by (8), respectively, from the observation of \( Y \) defined in (8). Recall that the solutions can be reduced to equations for the conditional moments. The following statement provides the equations for the characteristics, which give the solution of the prediction problem and the equation for the other quantity \( \pi_{t-1}(X_t) - \gamma_{X\xi}(t) \) appearing in expression (11) of the Ltqf:

**Theorem 3:** The conditional mean \( \pi_{t-1}(X_t) \) and the variance of the one-step prediction error \( \gamma_{X\xi}(t) \) are given by the equations

\[
\pi_{t-1}(X_t) = m_t + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(t, s)}{1 + Q(s)\gamma_{X\xi}(s)} [Y_s - Q(s)\pi_{s-1}(X_s)], \quad t \geq 0, \tag{29}
\]

\[
\gamma_{X\xi}(t) = \gamma(t, t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{30}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the unique solution of equation (4). Moreover, with \( \gamma_{X\xi}(t) \) defined by (10), the difference \( \pi_{t-1}(X_t) - \gamma_{X\xi}(t) \) is the solution \( \varsigma_t \) of equation (5).

**Proof:** Since for the general setting, the analysis is quite similar, for simplicity of notation we deal only with the case \( Q \equiv 1 \), i.e., \( Y_t = X_t + \epsilon_t \). Since the joint distribution of \( (X_r, Y_s) \) for any \( r, s \) is Gaussian, we can apply the Note following Theorem 12.1 in [8]. For any \( l \), we can write

\[
\begin{cases}
\pi_l(X_t) = \pi_{l-1}(X_t) + \frac{\gamma(t, l)}{\rho_1^{l} \nu_l}, \\
\pi_{l-1}(X_l) = m_t,
\end{cases} \tag{31}
\]

where \( \nu_l = Y_l - \mathbb{E}(Y_l|Y_{l-1}) = Y_l - \pi_{l-1}(X_l) \) is the innovation and \( \langle \nu \rangle_l \) is its variance

\[
\langle \nu \rangle_l = 1 + \gamma(l, l),
\]
with
\[ \gamma(t, l) = \mathbb{E}(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))(X_l - \pi_{l-1}(X_l)). \]  
(32)

By definition (32), we see for \( l = t \) that the variance \( \gamma_{XX}(t) \) is given by (30). Now, equality (31) implies
\[
\pi_t(X_t) = m_t + \sum_{r=0}^{l} \frac{\gamma(t, r)}{1 + \gamma_{XX}(r)} [Y_r - \pi_{r-1}(X_r)],
\]
and letting \( l = t - 1 \) we arrive at equation (29). Concerning the solution of the one-step prediction problem, it just remains to show that the covariance \( \gamma(t, s) \) satisfies equation (4).

Let us define
\[ \delta_X(t, l) = X_t - \pi_t(X_t). \]

According to (31), we can write
\[ \delta_X(t, l) = \delta_X(t, l - 1) - \frac{\gamma(t, 0)}{\gamma_{XX}(l)}, \]
and so,
\[
\mathbb{E}\delta_X(t^1, l)\delta_X(t^2, l) = \mathbb{E}\delta_X(t^1, l - 1)\delta_X(t^2, l - 1) - \frac{\gamma(t^1, l)\gamma(t^2, l)}{\gamma_{XX}(l)},
\]
or
\[
\mathbb{E}\delta_X(t^1, l)\delta_X(t^2, l) = \mathbb{E}\delta_X(t^1, 1)\delta_X(t^2, 1) - \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} \frac{\gamma(t^1, r)\gamma(t^2, r)}{\gamma_{XX}(r)}. \]

Taking \( t^1 = t, \ t^2 = s, \ l = s - 1 \) in (33), it is readily seen that equation (4) holds for \( \gamma(t, s) \).

Now we analyze the difference \( \pi_{t-1}(X_t) - \gamma_{XX}(t) \). Using the representation \( \xi_t = \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} Y_r X_r \) we can rewrite \( \gamma_{XX}(t) \) in the following form:
\[
\gamma_{XX}(t) = \pi_{t-1}(\xi_{t-1} - \pi_{t-1}(\xi_{t-1}))(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \pi_{t-1}(\pi_{t-1}(X_r))(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))Y_r
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}((X_r - \pi_{t-1}(X_r))(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t)))Y_r.
\]

So we have
\[
\gamma_{XX}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \tilde{\gamma}(t, r)Y_r,
\]
(34)

where
\[
\tilde{\gamma}(t, r) = \mathbb{E}((X_r - \pi_{t-1}(X_r))(X_t - \pi_{t-1}(X_t))) = \gamma(r, t).
\]

(35)
Using definitions (32) and (35) we can write
\[ \tilde{\gamma}(t, r) - \gamma(t, r) = -E_X(\pi_{t-1}(X_r) - \pi_{r-1}(X_r)). \]

Again, applying the Note following Theorem 13.1 in [8], we can also write
\[ \pi_t(X_r) = \pi_{t-1}(X_r) + \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \nu_l. \]

This means that
\[ \pi_{t-1}(X_r) - \pi_{r-1}(X_r) = \sum_{l=r}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \nu_l, \]
or equivalently,
\[ \pi_{t-1}(X_r) - \pi_{r-1}(X_r) = \sum_{l=r}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \gamma(t, l). \]

Then, multiplying by \( X_t \) and taking expectations in both sides, we get
\[ E_X(\pi_{t-1}(X_r) - \pi_{r-1}(X_r)) = \sum_{l=r}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \gamma(t, l). \]

Hence, we have proved the following relation:
\[ \tilde{\gamma}(t, r) - \gamma(t, r) = -\sum_{l=r}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \gamma(t, l). \quad (36) \]

Now we can show that the difference \( z_t = \pi_{t-1}(X_t) - \gamma_X(t) \) satisfies equation (5). Using (29), (34) and (36), we obtain:
\[ z_t = m_t + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} (Y_r - \pi_{r-1}(X_r)) - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \tilde{\gamma}(t, r)Y_r \]
\[ = m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \pi_{r-1}(X_r) + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} (\gamma(t, r) - \gamma(t, r) - \gamma(t, l))Y_r \]
\[ = m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \pi_{r-1}(X_r) + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} (\gamma(t, r) - \gamma(t, l))Y_r \]
\[ = m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} \pi_{r-1}(X_r) + \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r, l)}{\rho_{tr}} (\gamma(r, r)Y_r) + \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \gamma(l, r) \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \tilde{\gamma}(l, r)Y_r, \]
with the use of the equality \((\nu)' = 1 + \gamma(r, r)\) in the last step. Now, using (34) again and the property \(\gamma(r, r) = \widetilde{\gamma}(r, r)\), we can write

\[
z_t = m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r)}{r!} \pi_{t-1}(X_r) + \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(l)}{l!} Y_r
\]

\[
= m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r)}{r!} (\pi_{t-1}(X_r) - \gamma X_r(r))
\]

\[
= m_t - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(r)}{r!} z_t,
\]

which is exactly equation (5).

\[\square\]

**Remark 4:** It is worth mentioning that, adopting the proof of Theorem 3 in this case we can extend the result to the case when the observation equation for the signal \(X\) is

\[Y_t = C_t X_t + B_t^{1/2} \epsilon_t,\]

instead of the first equation in (8). Then the conditional mean \(\pi_{t-1}(X_t)\) and the variance of the one-step prediction error \(\gamma_{XX}(t)\) are given by the equations

\[
\pi_{t-1}(X_t) = m_t + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{C_t \gamma(s)}{s!} C_s \pi_{t-s-1}(X_s), \quad t \geq 0,
\]

\[
\gamma_{XX}(t) = \gamma(t, t), \quad t \geq 0,
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the unique solution of equation

\[
\gamma(t, s) = K(t, s) - \sum_{r=0}^{t-1} \frac{C_t \gamma(s)}{r!} \gamma(t, r), \quad 1 \leq s \leq t; \quad \gamma(t, 0) = K(t, 0).
\]

**Remark 5:** Here we visit again the identities (6) and (7) in terms of the characteristics of the process \(Y\). Without loss of generality, we concentrate on the case where \(Q(s) = 1\) for all \(s\). At first, let us observe that the matrix \(I_t + K_t\), which is involved in identities (6) and (7) is nothing else but the covariance matrix of the vector \(Y_t = (Y_0, \ldots, Y_t)'\). Moreover, we see that the sequence of innovations \(\nu_t = Y_t - \pi_{t-1}(Y_t)\) is generated by the recursion

\[
\nu_t = (Y_t - m_t) - \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{\gamma(t, s)}{s!} \nu_s; \quad 1 \leq s \leq t; \quad \nu_0 = Y_0 - m_0,
\]

(37)

where \(\gamma(t, s)\) is the solution of equation (4) (with \(Q(s) = 1\)), and the variance \(\langle \nu \rangle_s = \mathbb{E}(\nu_s)^2\) is given by \(\langle \nu \rangle_s = 1 + \gamma(s, s)\). It appears that recursion (5) generating the sequence \((z_s, s = 0, 1, \ldots)\) from \((m_s, s = 0, 1, \ldots)\) is exactly the same as recursion (37) generating \((\nu_s, s = 0, 1, \ldots)\) from \((Y_s - m_s, s = 0, 1, \ldots)\). Actually, (37) and (5) can be rewritten for

\[\nu_t = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \ldots)\]

and

\[z_t = (z_0, z_1, \ldots)\]

as:
\[ \nu_t = T_t(Y_t - \mathbf{m}_t); \quad z_t = T_t \mathbf{m}_t, \]

where \( T_t \) is a \((t + 1) \times (t + 1)\) lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries each equal to 1. Of course, \( T_t \) satisfies

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(\nu)_0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & (\nu)_t
\end{pmatrix} = T_t(I_{t+1} + \mathcal{K}_t)T_t',
\]

and also

\[
(I_{t+1} + \mathcal{K}_t)^{-1} = T_t' \begin{pmatrix}
(\nu)_0^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & (\nu)_t^{-1}
\end{pmatrix} T_t,
\]

which is the Choleski decomposition of the matrix \((I_{t+1} + \mathcal{K}_t)^{-1}\). Therefore, we get that

\[
\det[I_{t+1} + \mathcal{K}_t] = \prod_{s=0}^{t} (\nu)_s; \quad \mathbf{m}_t[I_{t+1} + \mathcal{K}_t]^{-1} \mathbf{m}_t = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{1}{(\nu)_s},
\]

which can be rewritten as (6) and (7).

**Appendix B: Direct Proof of Corollary 2**

We start with the following Hamiltonian system for the pair \(((x_s, p_s), s = 0, \ldots, t + 1)\):

\[
\begin{cases}
x_{s+1} = A_{s+1}x_s + D_{s+1}p_{s+1}, & x_0 = x \neq 0, \\
p_{s+1}p_{s+1} - Q(s)x_s, & p_{t+1} = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(38)

Using direct calculations one can prove the following representations:

\[
\begin{cases}
x_s = x(1 + k(0)\Gamma(t, 0))Z_s + \gamma_s p_s, \\
p_s = -\Gamma(t, s)x_s,
\end{cases}
\]

(39)

where \( \gamma_s, Z_s \) and \( \Gamma(t, s) \) are defined by (16), (17) and (20), respectively. It follows from (17), (38) and (39) that

\[
\frac{A_{s+1}}{i + Q(s)\gamma_s} = \frac{Z_{s+1}}{Z_s}; \quad \frac{A_{s+1}}{i + D_{s+1}^\Gamma(t, x_s+1)} = \frac{x_{s+1}}{x_s}.
\]
Hence we can write
\[
\prod_{s=0}^{t} \left( 1 + Q(s) \gamma_s \right) = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \frac{A_{s+1}}{Z_{s+1}} \prod_{s=0}^{t-1} (1 + \Gamma(t, s + 1) D_{s+1}) = \prod_{s=0}^{t} \frac{A_{s+1}}{x_{s+1}} x,
\]
which, due to the final condition \( x_{t+1} = x(1 + k(0) \Gamma(t, )) Z_{t+1} \), gives (24).

To prove (25), we notice that \( p_0 = -\Gamma(t, 0) x \). But it follows from (38), (39) and (17) that
\[
p_s = \frac{Z_{s+1} p_{s+1}}{Z_s} - \frac{Q(s) x}{1 + Q(s) \gamma_s} x(1 + k(0) \Gamma(t, )),
\]
\[
p_s Z_s - Z_{s+1} p_{s+1} = - \frac{Q(s) x}{1 + Q(s) \gamma_s} x(1 + k(0) \Gamma(t, ))
\]
\[
- \frac{p_s x}{1 + k(0) \Gamma(t, )} = \frac{\Gamma(t, 0)}{1 + k(0) \gamma(t, )} x = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \frac{Q(s) z^2_s}{1 + Q(s) \gamma_s} x.
\]
Therefore, identity (25) holds.
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