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Soil existing at a particular site may not be appropriate for construction of engineering structures. The present study made an attempt to enhance the geotechnical properties of a soil replaced with industrial wastes having pozzolanic value like rice husk ash (RHA) and fly ash (FA). Soil is replaced with RHA in 2%, 4%, and 6% to dry weight of soil. It is observed that soil replaced with 4% RHA is the optimum for the soil used in this study from geotechnical point of view. To know the influence of fly ash, soil is further replaced with 4% FA along with 4% RHA. It is found that results of soil replacement by both RHA and FA proved to be soil modification and not the improvement. Hence, a cost-effective accelerator like lime is used for further replacing the above soil-4%, RHA-4% FA mix. The optimum lime content is found to be 4%.

1. Introduction

Soil is the basic construction material. It supports the substructure of any structure and it is the subgrade which supports the subbase/base in the pavement. The existing soil at a particular location may not be suitable for the construction due to poor bearing capacity and higher compressibility or even sometimes excessive swelling in case of expansive soils. The improvement of soil at a site is indispensable due to rising cost of the land, and there is huge demand for high rise buildings. There is a need to concentrate on improving properties of soils using cost-effective practices like treating with industrial wastes those having cementitious value. In this study, industrial wastes like rice husk ash (RHA), fly ash (FA) are used to improve geotechnical properties of a soil.

Rice is the primary source of food for billions of people around the world. Rice husk is the shell produced during dehusking of paddy. India is the second largest producer of rice, next to China. The amount of annual rice husk produced in India is approximately 12 million tons [1]. RHA is obtained from the burning of rice husk which is the byproduct of rice milling. It was estimated that 1000 kg of rice grain produced 200 kg of rice husk and on burning the rice husk about 20% or 40 kg would become RHA. RHA has the potential to be used as a substitute for silica fumes or microsilica at a much lower cost. Adding RHA to the concrete mix even in low replacement will dramatically enhance the workability, strength, and impermeability of concrete mixes, while making the concrete durable to chemical attacks, abrasion, and reinforcement corrosion, increasing the compressive strength. Keeping in view of environmental consideration, energy conservation, and economy, reactive ash production can lead to excellent technological benefits useful to the community at large [2]. Well-burnt RHA passed through 425 \( \mu \) was used in this investigation for convenient mixing with clay and compaction. Several investigators studied the influence of RHA in soil stabilisation [3–5]. Some of researchers studied the effect of RHA-FA on soil properties [6] and also effect of RHA-lime on characteristics of soil [7–11].

FA is the finely divided mineral residue resulting from the combustion of ground or powdered coal in electric generating plant. ASTM C 618 provides the classification requirements for fly ash. There are two types of fly ashes namely Class C and Class F [12]. From pozzolanic point of view the Indian coal ashes fall in the category of Class F. In view of their good physical properties, they can be used beneficially in most of the geotechnical applications [13]. In recent years, the engineering community feels that bulk utilization of ash is possible through geotechnical...
applications [14]. Use of coal ashes for stabilization of soil resolve the clash between development and environment as it involves reuse and safe riddance of hazardous coal ashes. At present, nearly 75 percent of India’s electricity generation is met by coal-based thermal power stations.

Utilisation of industrial wastes such as RHA and FA for soil improvement is a sustainable and cost-effective technique. Geotechnical properties studied in this investigation includes Index properties like liquid limit, plastic limit, and differential free swell index, and engineering properties like compaction and strength characteristics of soil with and without replacement of various proportions of RHA. Soil is replaced with RHA in 2%, 4%, and 6% to dry weight of soil. It is observed that soil replaced with 4% RHA is the optimum RHA (ORHA) for the soil used in this study from viewpoint of plasticity, swelling, and strength. In order to understand the effect of fly ash, soil is replaced with 4% FA together with 4% RHA. It is found that geotechnical properties of soil replacement by both RHA and FA proved to be modifying properties of soil not for the enhancement. Hence a low cost accelerator like lime (L) is used for further replacing properties of soil not for the enhancement. Hence a low replacement by both RHA and FA proved to be modifying with 4% RHA. It is found that geotechnical properties of soil properties for soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix. The OLC is proved to be 4% from geotechnical properties for soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix.

2. Materials Used

2.1. Soil. The soil used in this study was obtained from Enkipadu, Vijayawada (16° 31’ North Latitude 80° 39’ East Longitude), Andhra Pradesh, India. It was collected by open excavation, from a depth of 0.5 m below natural ground level. The properties of the soil are evaluated and presented in Table 1. The soil chosen in this investigation is silty clay (M) [16] and having high degree expansivity.

2.2. Rice Husk Ash. Rice husk is an agricultural residue abundantly available in rice producing countries. RHA is obtained from the burning of rice husk which is the byproduct of rice milling. The chemical properties of RHA are mentioned in Table 1 as per IPSIT [1]. From the Table 2 it is clear that silica is the major constituent of the rice husk ash. The RHA used in this investigation is obtained from nearby industry. The pH value of the RHA used in this work is 10.96.

2.3. Fly Ash. Fly ash has been obtained from the electrostatic precipitator hoppers of Vijayawada thermal power station (VTPS), Ibrahim patnam about 18 km away from the Vijayawada, India. VTPS consumes about 20,000 tonnes of coal per day, out of which 5,500 tonnes of fly ash is produced. Chemical composition of this fly ash consists of 64.08% silica, 20.21% of alumina, 4.17% of ferric oxide, and 6.20% of calcium oxide. The fly ash used in this investigation comes under category of Class-F [13]. The specific gravity of fly ash is 2.10, fraction finer than 75 µm is 79.93%, rest being coarser than 75 µm but finer than 425 µm, maximum dry unit weight is 13.63 kN/m³, and optimum moisture content is 21.4%. The pH value of the FA is 9.64.

2.4. Lime. Lime is a classical soil stabiliser. Use of lime in the construction industry is an ancient practice from Romans and Egyptians. The most common form of lime used in soil stabilization is hydrated lime (or calcium hydroxide or slaked lime). Commercially available hydrated lime is used in this investigation. The pH value of lime used in this study is 12.80. Table 3 shows the pH test results of soil-4%RHA-4%FA with 2%, 4%, 6%, and 8% lime. The OLC for the soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix is obtained from Eades and Grim pH method [15]. At 4% L, pH value is nearly and above 12.4, therefore 4% L is the OLC for soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix.

3. Effect of RHA, RHA-FA, and RHA-FA-L on Index Properties

Liquid limit (LL), plastic limit (PL), and differential free swell index (DFSI) tests are conducted on soil with and without
replacements as per codal provisions [17]. Plasticity index (PI) is calculated from the deduction of PL from LL. Index properties like LL, PL, and DFSI for soil replaced with 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, 10%, and 12% RHA to dry weight of soil are presented in Table 4. The LL decreased from 76.89% to 66.80% with increase in RHA content from 0% to 4%, respectively. This can be considered as a consequence of the substitute of the soil fines by RHA. The later which has less affinity for water caused the drop in LL. As the RHA was further increased from 4% to 12%, the LL increased from 66.80% to 79.14%, respectively. At this stage the RHA quantity increased to an extent that more water will be required to turn the soil-RHA mix to fluid.

The variation of PL is similar to that of the LL with increase in %RHA. The reasons for the variation of LL with RHA content are also similar to that of the variation of PL with RHA content. PI decreased from 36.45% to 22.43% with increase in RHA content from 0% to 4%. This scenario may be attributed to the replacement of the finer soil particles by the RHA with consequent reduction in the clay content and plasticity index. LL, PI, and DFSI are decreased to 40.29%, 9.92%, and 40% for soil replaced with 4% RHA-4% FA. Soil has DFSI of 70%, and it is decreased to 50%, 40% with 4% RHA, and 4% RHA-4% FA replacement of soil, respectively. The decrease may be due to increase in coarser particles in soil-RHA-FA mix. Lime in proportions of 2%, 4%, and 6% also replaced the soil-4% RHA-4% FA mix for the purpose of higher cementation and decontamination. DFSI values for various proportions of soil replacements are presented in Table 4. It is observed that 4% RHA is optimum with respect to DFSI. Finally, 4% RHA replacement is the ORHA in view of index properties. Soil replaced with 4% RHA + 4% FA + 4% L has the DFSI of 30%. Hence, 4% L is the OLC for soil-4% RHA + 4% FA mix in view of index properties.

4. Effect of RHA, RHA-FA, and RHA-FA-L on Compaction Characteristics

Standard Compaction tests [18] are conducted on soil with and without replacements. Compaction curves are plotted (Figure 1), and compaction characteristics namely maximum dry density (MDD) and optimum moisture content (OMC) are presented in Table 5. MDD is increased up to 4% RHA and beyond which it decreases while the OMC decreased up to 4% RHA and beyond which it increases. MDD is increased and OMC decreased with 4% RHA-4% FA. MDD and OMC of soil replaced with 4% RHA-4% FA-2% L are 1.710 Mg/m³ and 23.3%. MDD decreases for soil replaced with 4% RHA-4% FA-4% L and 4% RHA-4% FA-6% L. OMC increases for soil replaced with 4% RHA-4% FA-4% L and again decreases for soil replaced with 4% RHA-4% FA-6% L.

5. Effect of RHA, RHA-FA, and RHA-FA-L on Strength Characteristics

Unconfined compression tests [19] are conducted on soil specimens with and without replacements for 0- and 7-day curing. The specimens are compacted to their respective
The decrease in rate of strength increase after 4% RHA could be attributed to the excess RHA that could not be utilized for the cementation reaction.

UCS for 0-day and 7-day cured soil replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA increases to 260 kPa and 566 kPa, respectively. UCS for 7-day cured soil replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA-6%L increases to 1204 kPa; that is, it is about 1600 times as compared to untreated soil strength. The percentage increase in strength is higher for 7-day cured soil replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA-4%L mix. Hence, 4%L is the OLC for soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix in view of strength. Chemical reactions that occur when soil treated with RHA, FA, and L includes cation exchange, carbonation, and pozzolanic reactions leading to formation of Calcium-Silicate-Hydrate (C-S-H) gel. This new geometrical can be used as subbase course for pavements [20]. Transport Research Laboratory, UK recommended UCS value of 750–1500 kPa for subbase course of pavements.

### 6. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the present investigation.

From view point of Index properties, replacement of soil with 4% rice husk ash (RHA) is the optimum RHA content. With replacement of 4%RHA, liquid limit and plasticity Index decrease from 76.8% to 66.8% and from 36.45% to 22.43%, respectively. DFSI is reduced from 70% to 50%.

Unconfined compressive strength (UCS) is increased with RHA content. The UCS of original soil is 70 kPa. For the soil replaced with 4%RHA and 6%RHA, the UCS is increased to 88% and 141%, respectively, as compared to the original soil. The increase in strength is higher when 4%RHA is replaced as compared to 6%RHA. Therefore, 4%RHA is the optimum RHA content.

Curing further improved strength of RHA treated soil. With 7-day curing, UCS of soil replaced with 4%RHA is increased nearly 60% as compared to uncured one.

In replacement of soil with 4% fly ash (FA) together with 4%RHA, the UCS after 7-day curing is increased to 70%. UCS of 7-day cured soil sample replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA-6%L mix is increased to 1620%, that is, 1204 kPa,
whereas UCS of 28-day cured soil-4%L-12%RHA mix is 1120 kPa [10]. Hence, fly ash have a significant influence on improvement of strength.

The percentage increase in strength is higher for 7-day cured soil replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA-4%L mix. Hence, 4%L is the optimum lime content for soil-4%RHA-4%FA mix in view of strength.

Soil replaced with 4%RHA-4%FA-4%L mix improved the properties of soil. This new geomaterial can be used as subbase course for pavements. DFSI for this mix is 30%. Hence, this mix can also used as a cushioning material under the expansive soil bed. Sustainable development can be achieved through making waste materials to wealthy materials.
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