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The design of a two-element antenna array using the substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) technique and operating at 10 GHz is presented. The proposed antenna array consists of two SIW phase shifter sections with two SIW slot antennas. The phase shifting is achieved by changing the position of two inductive posts inserted inside each element of the array. Numerical simulations and experimental measurements have been carried out for three differential phases between the two antenna array elements, namely, $0^\circ$, $22.5^\circ$, and $67.5^\circ$. A prototype for each differential phase has been fabricated and measured. Results have shown a fairly good agreement between theory and experiments. In fact, a reflection coefficient of better than 20 dB has been achieved around 10 GHz. The $E$-plane radiation pattern has shown a beam scan between $5^\circ$ and $18^\circ$ and demonstrated the feasibility of designing an SIW antenna phased array.

1. Introduction

The radiation patterns of many antennas such as the dipole, loop, and microstrip patch have a fairly wide beam width (low gain), making them suitable candidates for applications requiring a broad coverage area. In many applications, however, there is a need for a more focused radiation patterns (high gain), such as in point-to-point terrestrial links, satellite communications, and air-traffic radar. A more focused radiation pattern will also extend the communication range [1]. To create a more directive radiation pattern, the size of the antenna must be increased. This can be done with simple resonant antennas like the dipole and the loop, but it is usually difficult to control the side lobe levels of these antennas. Traveling-wave antennas (helical antenna, etc.) can produce higher directivity by increasing the length and number of turns of the helix. Moderate gains (10–15 dB) can be achieved by long helical antennas, but they cannot achieve very high gains, due to the impractical length required. Another antenna which can produce relatively high gain is the waveguide horn, which is an extension of an open waveguide with flared walls at the open end. Waveguide horns are particularly useful at higher frequencies (>5 GHz) where their size and weight become manageable [1]. Some aspects of the radiation pattern can be controlled by designing horns with the proper flare angle and length or by adding corrugations to the inner walls. Another choice for achieving higher gain is to use a reflector (parabolic dish, etc.) to focus the energy of a low gain antenna. Reflector antennas offer very good electrical performance, but require careful mechanical design to ensure that the reflector surface is properly shaped and that the feed is properly located at the focal point. The feed antenna must also be properly designed to optimize the performance of the reflector [1].

An alternative to the above approaches is to use an array of simple antennas which are linked together to operate as a single antenna. The number of antenna elements, their special location, their relative amplitudes, and phases are all design parameters which can be used to shape the radiation pattern of the overall array. Arrays are therefore very versatile,
since the designer can control numerous aspects of the radiation pattern including the location of the beam peak, the maximum side lobe levels, and the location of the nulls. Furthermore, by integrating electronic phase shifters into the array, dynamic control of the radiation pattern is possible, allowing for steering of the main beam or of nulls [1].

In the last years, the concept of the substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) has been proposed [2], in which an “artificial” waveguide is synthesized and constructed with linear arrays of metalized via holes or posts embedded in the same substrate. The connection between the waveguide and the planar circuits is provided via transitions formed with a simple matching geometry between both structures [3, 4], thus providing a compact and low-cost platform.

This new SIW concept allowed for the design of microwave and millimeter-wave circuits such as antennas and antenna arrays. In fact, in 2004, Farrall and Young [5] have presented an SIW slot antenna operating at 10 GHz, where they have fabricated a one- and two-slot antennas. $S_{11}$ about $-28$ dB has been achieved in both cases, and a gain 3 dB higher for the two-slot antenna has been obtained. The same year, Yan et al. [6] have designed and fabricated an SIW antenna with an array of slots. $S_{11}$ of $-18$ dB has been obtained around 10.2 GHz. A measured gain of 13.7 dB was achieved. Then in 2005, a couple of SIW slot antennas have been presented in [7–10] by Young et al. In the first work [7], an SIW slot antenna using thick photo-imageable film technology on a reduced thickness substrate has been realized. The antenna operated at W-band where the resonance frequency was 96.4 GHz with a return loss around $-20$ dB. In [8], the authors have presented a slot antenna using a folded SIW, reducing the width of the original guide by half. Simulations have shown a $-18$ dB return loss and a 400 MHz bandwidth with a 6.5 dB gain. The same authors have presented two other slot antennas using three main components: a nonradiating SMA-waveguide transition, a power divider from the standard waveguide to the folded waveguide, and an array of slots on the folded one. In [9], the measurement data
Figure 3: Simulation results of the antenna array’s feed network.

indicated a $-24.4$ dB reflection coefficient, a bandwidth of 255 MHz, around a resonance frequency of 9.53 GHz, and an 8 dB gain for the two-slot design and a 6.5 dB for the one-slot design. While in [10], a reflection coefficient of $-19.7$ dB, a 525 MHz bandwidth, around 8.96 GHz, have been achieved. Yan et al. [11] have developed a monopulse antenna using $4 \times 8$ longitudinal slots and operating at 10 GHz. In 2006, Weng et al. [12], have studied a slot antenna in the Ku-Band and have obtained a reflection coefficient less than $-10$ dB on a 500 MHz frequency bandwidth. Hong et al., have presented their activity at State Key Lab, concerning various antennas as slot-array, leaky-wave, omnidirectional, monopulse, and dielectric resonator antennas, filtennas and rectennas [13].

As a contribution to SIW technology, this paper discusses the feasibility of an SIW antenna array. To do so, a two-element antenna array was fabricated and measured.

2. Structure of the Two-Element Antenna Array

In the light of the theory of antenna array design [1, 14], the proposed two-element antenna array combines the SIW phase shifter presented in [15] and an SIW slot antenna presented in [16]. The proposed structure is shown in Figure 1. In the SIW, the vertical walls of a rectangular waveguide (RWG) are replaced by a series of metal posts known as vias. Drilling holes in the substrate and then plating
them with metal forms these vias. The bottom and top of the RWG are formed with metal cladding on the substrate. The two vias located inside the synthesized waveguide will be used to alter the phase of the incident wave by changing their position in the substrate. The SIW slot antenna uses banana-shaped slots whose results are given in [16].

3. Design, Simulations, and Experimental Results

The SIW waveguide was designed applying the rules given in [2]. The antenna array was designed using the theory presented in [1, 14]. The distance between the two elements of the array has been chosen to be $\lambda/2$.

To design the antenna array operating at 10 GHz, we used ROGERS RT/Duroid 5880 substrate, with a relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 2.2$ and thickness $b = 0.512$ mm. This gives a waveguide width of 12.6 mm for X-band operation. The synthesized metallic side walls of the SIW waveguide are represented by an array of metallised vias of diameter 1 mm with a 2 mm pitch. The parameters of the microstrip to SIW transition were as follows: $l_i = 2$ mm, $W = 1.57$ mm, and $W_r = 3.57$ mm. The slots were placed 16.86 mm apart, and the distance from the end of the waveguide to the last slot was set to 8.43 mm. The width of the slots was 0.7 mm, the offset was 0.4 mm, and the length was 15 mm.
To measure the two-element antenna array, we had to design the power divider represented in Figure 2. We have calculated the different impedances as follows [5]:

\[ P_2 = P_3 = \frac{P_1}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We considered

\[ Z_{01} = Z_{02} = Z_{03} = 50 \, \Omega, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ Z_P = \frac{Z_{02}Z_{03}}{Z_{02} + Z_{03}} = 25 \, \Omega. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

To match the input microstrip to the microstrip of impedance \( Z_P \), we used a quarter wavelength line of impedance:

\[ Z_{\lambda/4} = \sqrt{Z_{01}Z_P} = 35.35 \, \Omega. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

LineCalc of Agilent allowed us to determine the widths and the lengths of the different microstrip lines. The simulation results regarding the feed network are represented in Figure 3. \( S_{11} \) is around \(-20.5\, \text{dB} \) at 10 GHz; \( S_{21} \) and \( S_{31} \) were \(-3.012\, \text{dB} \) and \(-3.049\, \text{dB} \), respectively, corresponding to insertion losses of 0.012 dB and 0.049 dB, for the two branches.
Figure 12: Simulated and measured $H$-plane radiation patterns reflection coefficients for 67.5° phase progression.

To validate our conception, we have fabricated prototypes for 0°, 22.5°, and 67.5° differential phases. Measurement results, for S11, are compared to simulation results and represented by Figures 4, 5, and 6. We can see that there is a good agreement between measured and simulated data.

$E$-plane radiation patterns are shown in Figures 7 to 9 and $H$-plane radiation patterns are shown in Figures 10 to 12, for the three differential phases. In the $E$-plane, a small difference in the maximum gain achieved for each differential phase between the array elements is noticed. This may be due to a little higher loss in the phase shifter portion, especially for 67.5° one. However, the curves are comparable and the objective of beam scanning was achieved. In fact, for the 22.5° differential phase, a scan angle of 5° was achieved experimentally, while by simulation the scan angle was 6°, a difference of 1°. For 67.5° differential phase, a scan angle of 18° was achieved experimentally and by simulation. In the $H$-plane, we observe a gain decrease from one differential phase to another, which is in agreement with the theory. The same difference in gain between simulation and experiments can also be observed and this may be due to the same reasons as above. A photograph of the three fabricated prototypes is given in Figure 13.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied an SIW antenna array at 10 GHz, using the phase shifter and the slot antenna designed in previous work. To do so, we designed, fabricated, and measured a two-element SIW antenna array. We proved, regarding the obtained results, that the developed SIW phase shifter and slot antenna can be combined to develop an SIW antenna array with good performances. With a 67.5° differential phase between the two antenna elements, a beam scan of 18° was achieved. Two of our future goals are to achieve higher scan angle and improve the controllability of beam scanning.
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