Research Article

Hemodynamic Changes during Hepatic Vascular Exclusion: Use of Intraoperative Transesophageal Echocardiography a Case Series
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The aim of this clinical observation was to compare intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and pulmonary artery catheterization (PAC) during hepatic vascular exclusion (HEV). Five non-cirrhotic patients to undergo HVE for major liver resection have been observed. Hemodynamic parameters: pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PCWP), cardiac index (CI), cardiac output (CO), and systemic vascular resistance (SVR) have been monitored by PAC. Left ventricular end-diastolic area (LVEDA), left ventricular end-systolic area (LVESA), left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), cardiac index (CI), cardiac output (CO), and fractional area changes (FAC) have been monitored by TEE. Hemodynamic variables were assessed before clamping (T0), at 5 and 30 minutes after clamping (T1, T2) and 15 minutes after unclamping (T3). No significant difference between PCWP and LVEDP was found. LVEDP significantly decreased at T1 and T2 compared to T0 (P<0.001); PCWP showed the same trend. A correlation was found between SV and LVEDP ($R^2 = 0.755, P < 0.001$) as well as CI ($R^2 = 0.630, P < 0.001$). Data confirm that intraoperative TEE may be a reliable method for hemodynamic monitoring during major liver resections.

1. Introduction

Major liver resection requires Hepatic Vascular Exclusion (HVE), a condition which is obtained by clamping portal triad and occluding inferior vena cava below and above the liver. This procedure completely isolates the liver and retro-hepatic vena cava from the circulation, thus reducing the risk of massive hemorrhage and air embolism. During HVE, Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) is maintained by a marked increase in SVR, which is a consequence of an increased secretion of vasopressin, norepinephrine, and epinephrine [1].

Invasive hemodynamic monitoring is a fundamental part of surgical procedure, as marked hemodynamic changes occur during major liver resection. Nowadays, PAC represents the most reliable technique for hemodynamic monitoring; nevertheless, TEE is becoming increasingly common during major noncardiac surgery. TEE allows to rapidly visualize left ventricular dimensions and function. The main purpose of this study was to assess intraoperative TEE as a reliable system for hemodynamic monitoring compared to the pulmonary artery catheterization during HVE. Hemodynamic parameters have been measured by PAC and TEE, and the results, with particular regard to preload indices, have been compared.

2. Methods

Five consecutive patients undergoing major liver resection were studied according to a protocol approved by the committee on human research of the San Salvatore Hospital in L’Aquila, Italy. Written, informed consent was obtained by patients before surgery. Patients suffering from cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis, or other causes of portal hypertension, impaired renal function, defined as serum creatinine concentration >120 mol/L, history of cardiac dysfunction, including cardiac arrhythmias, clinical history of congestive heart failure, preexisting valvular disease, preexisting severe left ventricular diastolic and/or systolic dysfunction, aortic
aneurysm, and oesophageal diseases precluding TEE examination, were excluded from the study. Patients were premedicated with hydroxyzine 2 mg/kg orally, at 12 h and 1 h before surgery. In the operating room standard monitoring was set up and general anaesthesia was induced with propofol 1.5–2.5 mg/Kg and sufentanil administered via Target Controlled Infusion (TCI) to achieve 0.3–0.4 ng/mL concentration. Muscular relaxation was induced with atracurium 0.3–0.5 mg/Kg, iv, followed by a continuous infusion of 11–13 mcg/kg/min. Anaesthesia was maintained with sufentanil infused via TCA to achieve a concentration of 0.15–0.6 ng/mL. All patients were artificially ventilated with a mixture of O₂ : air (1 : 1) using low gas flow in a circle absorber system added with isoflurane 1.15–2% to maintain bispectral index (BIS) between 40 and 60. Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to maintain End Tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂) between 30 and 35 mmHg. Normothermia was achieved by forced-air warming, to maintain nasopharyngeal and pulmonary artery temperature around 36.5°C. Thromboembolism prophylaxis was obtained by an intermittent pneumatic compression device. An arterial line was placed in the left radial artery and an 8.5 French percutaneous introducer was inserted in the right internal jugular vein to ensure pulmonary artery catheterization. Transoesophageal doppler probe was introduced after the pulmonary artery catheter had been inserted. The probe was positioned in order to obtain a transgastric mid-papillary short axis view of the left ventricular area. The probe was manipulated and rotated on its long axis until an adequate signal was obtained. The following hemodynamic parameters were monitored: Heart Rate (HR), Systolic, Diastolic and Mean Arterial Pressure (SAP, DAP, MAP), Stroke Volume (SV) (calculated as CO/HR), Diastolic and Mean Arterial Pressure (SAP, DAP, MAP), Capillary Wedge Pressure (PCWP), Cardiac Output (CO), and Systemic Vascular Resistance (SVR) (PAC). The following parameters were measured by TEE: Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Area (LVEDA), Left Ventricular End-Systolic Area (LVESA), by tracing endocardial border including papillary muscles), Central Venous Pressure (CVP), Cardiac Index (CI), Cardiac Output (CO), and Fractional Area Contraction (FAC). An estimate of ejection fraction, calculated as LVEDA-LVESA/LVEDA expressed in percentage. Hemodynamic variables were assessed before clamping (T₀), at times 5 and 30 minutes after clamping (T₁ and T₂ resp.) and finally at 15 minutes after unclamping (T₃). Hypovolemia was defined as LVEDA < 20 cm², LVEDP < 8 mmHg, and PCWP < 10 mmHg and was treated with fluids infusion until the values were normalized. Homologous packed red blood cells were transfused in order to maintain hematocrit >30% and haemoglobin >8 gr/dL. Hypotension, defined as MAP <60 mmHg was treated with infusion of noradrenaline 0.01–0.1 mcg/kg/min.

Hemodynamic measurements have been averaged and expressed as mean ± SD. Analysis of variance for repeated-measures (ANOVA) Holm-Sidak method, Turkey test, and least significant difference test have been used for statistical analysis. Paired t-test was used to evaluate the statistical difference between paired groups. P values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Linear regression was used to examine the relationship between SV and LVEDP as well as CI and LVEDP.

3. Results

Clinical data of the five patients and intraoperative procedures in 5 patients undergoing elective liver resection by HVE are shown in Table 1. Hemodynamic findings recorded during HVE by standard monitoring, TEE and PAC, are summarized in Tables 2, 3, and 4, respectively. During HVE, MAP was maintained above 60 mmHg without vasoconstrictors; colloid infusion was performed in one patient. Blood was transfused in one patient during HVE. After unclamping, SAP, DAP, and MAP returned to values not significantly lower than T₀. During HVE, HR significantly increased at T₁ and T₂ compared to T₀ (P < 0.001); after unclamping (T₃) HR decreased to baseline values. SVR significantly increased from T₀ to T₁, reached a plateau during HVE, and decreased to a lower value than baseline at T₃ (P = 0.004). As for CI and CO, both parameters significantly decreased (P < 0.001) during HVE (T₁-T₂), but increased to higher values than baseline after unclamping. No significant differences were found between CI, CO, and CVP measurements performed either by TEE and PAC. LV end-diastolic area (LVEDA), LV end-systolic area (LVESA), and FAC significantly decreased after clamping as well as during HVE (Figure 1); all parameters returned to their initial levels after unclamping. Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure (PCWP) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP) followed the same trend, with a significant decrease from T₀ (before HVE) to T₁-T₂ (during HVE) and a return to levels not different from baseline after unclamping (Figure 2). No statistical difference was observed between mean Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure (PCWP) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP) as well as for the markers of preload, linear regression analysis showed a significant correlation between Stroke Volume (SV) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP) (r = 0.869, r² = 0.755, P < 0.001) and Cardiac Index (CI) (r = 0.794, r² = 0.630, P < 0.001) (Figures 3 and 4).

| Table 1: Clinical data and intraoperative procedures in 5 patients undergoing elective liver resection by HVE. |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable        | Data (n = 5)    |
| Age (years)     | 63 ± 7.9        |
| Sex             | M/F: 3/2        |
| Weight (kg)     | 65.6 ± 13.9     |
| ASA I-II-III    | 1-3-1           |
| Colloid infusion (mL) | 200 ± 273.8 |
| Blood Transfusions | 5 units/2 patients |
| Central heptectomy | 3 units |
| Right heptectomy  | 2 units         |
| Liver ischemia (min) | 57 ± 10.3       |

Data are presented as means ± SD.
Continuous collaboration between the anaesthesiologists and the surgeons is required for the success of a liver resection. The principle is even more important in achieving low Central Venous Pressure (CVP), which currently represents the gold standard in minimizing blood loss during parenchymal resection. The pressure within the liver from the hepatic veins to sinusoids is directly related to the pressure in the IVC, which is directly dependant on the CVP. The hypothesis that low CVP would be accompanied by a low pressure in the hepatic veins and thereby decreasing blood loss during resection has been tested in several studies after having been evoked in clinical practice for the first time in the early nineties [2]. It is probable that a reduction in blood loss and blood transfusion requirements can be achieved if the CVP is maintained below 5 cm H₂O during parenchymal resection. Therefore cardiovascular monitoring is an invaluable intraoperative aid to surgical decision-making and quality assurance of the resection. TEE is a valid alternative to invasive monitoring like pulmonary artery catheter. Previously this method has been successfully used during cardiac surgery, especially during cardiac valve repair [3].

The results of this study confirm previous data on intraoperative TEE though caution should be used in interpreting the results because the sample population was very small. This method may provide a reliable assessment of hemodynamic parameters and improve the management of patients at high cardiovascular risk undergoing...
An accurate evaluation of LV filling pressures may increase the diagnostic value of TEE for routine assessment in anaesthetised, ventilated patients. The results of this study indicate that TEE may provide additional information compared to pulmonary artery catheterization in the assessment of preload in patients undergoing HVE. In previous studies, LVEDA from the transgastric mid-papillary short axis view, has been used as the only measure of preload in vascular and cardiac surgery [6, 7]. This parameter has been previously assessed also in critically ill patients [8, 9]. Numerous studies have shown that LVEDA correlates with SV changes [10–14]. This latter is a good predictor of the response to fluids administration [15]. The results of our observation are clinically relevant because undetected hypovolemia is a common event during major surgery. Hypovolemia, on the other hand, may impair tissue perfusion, decrease oxygen delivery, and increase postoperative complications [16].

Major hepatic surgery is associated to specific problems concerning volemia and preload: vascular exclusion, indeed, by decreasing venous return, causes a relative hypovolemia; this condition is generally favourable during surgery as it minimizes intraoperative bleeding and the need for blood transfusion [17, 18]. The indices of cardiac filling (LVEDP and LVEDA) evaluated by TEE in the present study have been correlated to PCWP, as assessed by PAC. The time course of both parameters was superimposable, as a likely consequence of the fact that our selected patients were free from major cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, in fact no patients required supplemental noninvasive stress testing (dobutamine stress echocardiography). PCWP is generally used as a marker of left atrial pressure; this parameter, however, is no more a reliable predictor of ventricular filling and of the efficacy of fluids replacement in patients artificially ventilated [19]. Transesophageal echocardiography, on the other hand, may provide a reliable measurement of left ventricular filling pressure also in patients artificially ventilated [20]. This method, by providing the measurement of several parameters with a good correlation with PCWP, is a valid alternative to cardiac catheterization, a procedure not devoid of major complications [21, 22]. The PiCCO system may also provide a reliable information about left ventricular preload and efficacy of volume replacement without the risks of pulmonary catheterization; unfortunately, this method has not been tested in the present study as an alternative to transesophageal doppler due to the specific conditions related to hepatic surgical resection [23, 24]. These conditions, potentially causing hemodynamic

Table 4: Parameters measured by PAC during hepatic vascular exclusion (n = 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$T_0$</th>
<th>$T_1$</th>
<th>$T_2$</th>
<th>$T_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVP (mmHg)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>3 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3*</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCWP (mmHg)</td>
<td>11.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5 ± 1*</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.3*</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L/min)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.63</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.52*</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (L min$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.29</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.63*</td>
<td>2 ± 0.34*</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR (dyne s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-5}$)</td>
<td>1209 ± 258</td>
<td>1556 ± 323.4*</td>
<td>1795 ± 462*</td>
<td>950 ± 152*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means ± SD; Stroke Volume (SV), Central Venous Pressure (CVP), Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure (PCWP), Cardiac Output (CO), Cardiac Index (CI), Systemic Vascular Resistance (SVR) (*p < 0.001 versus $T_0$; *p = 0.004 versus $T_0$).

Figure 3: Linear correlation analysis of the relationship between stroke volume (SV) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP$(_{TEE})$).

Figure 4: Linear correlation analysis of the relationship between cardiac index (CI$(_{TEE})$) and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP$(_{TEE})$).
instability, include right ventricular insufficiency, myocardial ischemia, pulmonary thromboembolism in the reperfusion phase, and after hepatic unclamping, paradoxical embolism in the presence of a patent oval foramen. Transesophageal echocardiography by rapidly identifying these complications may provide an immediate treatment [5].

In conclusion, the present study confirms published data on hemodynamic changes during hepatic vascular exclusion; our data support transesophageal echocardiography as a reliable approach for the intraoperative assessment of preload, via the measurement of LVEDP and LVEDA. The time course of LVEDP changes was superimposable to that of LVEDA and PCWP in patients with preserved cardiac function; the significant correlation between LVEDP and SV changes supports the view that transesophageal echocardiography should be more extensively applied for noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. However, TEE could be subjective and is affected by training, experience, and skills of operator. Therefore intraoperative TEE, during major liver resection, is valuable to diagnose cardiovascular complications, to guide surgical treatment, especially during HVE. Intraoperative TEE allowed our operative team to choose the best surgical approach, to evaluate the haemodynamic modifications produced by HVE, and to modify surgical techniques based on real-time data to achieve an optimal outcome.
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