Case Report

Pancreatic Serous Cystadenoma with Compression of the Main Pancreatic Duct: An Unusual Entity
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Serous cystadenoma is a common benign neoplasm that can be managed without surgery in asymptomatic patients provided that the diagnosis is certain. We describe a patient, whose pancreatic cyst exhibited a radiological appearance distinct from that of typical serous cystadenoma, resulting in diagnostic difficulties. CT and MRI showed a 10-cm-polycystic tumor with upstream dilatation of the main pancreatic duct (MPD), suggestive of intraductal papillary mucinous tumor (IPMT). Ultrasonographic aspect and EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration gave arguments for serous cystadenoma. ERCP showed a communication between cysts and the dilated MPD, compatible with IPMT. The patient underwent left pancreatectomy with splenectomy. Pathological examination concluded in a serous cystadenoma, with only a ductal obstruction causing proximal dilatation.

1. Introduction

Typical macroscopic characteristics of serous cystadenoma of the pancreas consist of microcystic mass with a sponge-like honeycomb aspect or central scar or both. The risk of malignant transformation seems to be low even in the long-term course [1]. Therefore, most authors advise that patients who are asymptomatic can be closely followed provided that differential diagnosis can be definitively made with other potentially malignant cystic tumors, mainly mucinous cystadenoma or intraductal papillary mucinous tumor (IPMT) [2, 3]. But diagnostic difficulties may be encountered in the macrocystic oligocystic form of serous cystadenoma seen in 10% to 30% of cases [4, 5]. In this paper, we present the rare case of a serous cystadenoma of the pancreas causing obstruction and upstream dilatation of the main pancreatic duct, making difficult the differential diagnosis with IPMT.

2. Case Report

In January 2009, a 66-year-old woman with a medical history of thyroidectomy was admitted to Lille University Hospital for further evaluation of a large cystic mass in the distal pancreas. She had complained of transient epigastric pain one month before. Blood biochemical parameters showed no pancreatitis and the tumor markers, mainly CEA and CA 19-9, were all within the normal limits. On admission, the patient was asymptomatic and the pancreatic mass was not palpable in the upper abdomen. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a huge, well-defined, multiloculated, cystic mass, of 10 cm in greatest dimension, replacing the entire body and tail of the pancreas, and displaying multiple calcifications in its isthmic part (Figures 1 and 2). MRI also showed an upstream dilatation of the main pancreatic duct (MPD) to 16 mm, with no dilatation of its distal part and no
abnormality in the pancreatic head (Figure 2). Both MRI and CT concluded in IPMT. By contrast, endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) showed a component of microcystic pattern and concluded in a serous cystadenoma. EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) was performed through the gastric wall in order to analyze the cyst fluid at biochemical and cytological levels: concentration of CEA was 0.2 ng/mL, while cytological analysis was not contributory. Regarding the diagnostic uncertainty, we performed an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) that showed a cystic dilatation of the pancreatic branches communicating with the dilated MPD suggestive of an IPMT (Figure 3). These results prompted us to operate on the patient especially as she had complained of abdominal pain. On exploratory laparotomy, a huge multicystic tumour measuring 10 cm in maximum diameter was found, replacing the entire body and tail of the pancreas and surrounding the splenic vessels. A distal pancreatectomy combined with splenectomy was carried out. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on day 9. Macroscopic examination of the resected specimen showed a combination of large cysts with several small cysts and central calcifications (Figure 4). There was no communication between the ducts and the cysts, but a compression of the main pancreatic duct and secondary branches by the cysts with upstream dilatation. Microscopically, the cysts were lined by a single layer of cuboidal epithelial cells with clear cytoplasm (Figure 5). Histopathological examination was thus indicative of serous cystadenoma of the pancreas. Six months after surgery, the patient remains well and asymptomatic.

3. Discussion

Serous cystadenoma has 2 main morphologic patterns: typical one, seen in 70% of cases, displays microcystic pattern that is characterized by radiologically visible multiple cysts measuring 2 cm or smaller, without communication with the MPD [5–7]. The cystic spaces are separated by fibrous septa that can coalesce into a central scar that may calcify [8]. Delayed imaging may occasionally be helpful for depiction of the central scar [9]. In approximately 20% of patients, serous cystadenomas are characterized by a honeycomb pattern with numerous subcentimeter cysts which are not radiologically distinguishable.

However, there are various features of serous cystadenomas found on imaging studies which may lead to diagnostic difficulties. Main atypical manifestations include cystic tumor without microcystic pattern, cystadenoma with interval growth, cystadenoma communicating with the MPD, and giant tumors with ductal dilatation as seen in our patient [5, 7]. Serous macrocystic adenoma that is composed of only a few relatively large cysts (>2 cm) or even a unilocular cyst comprised 10% to 30% of the serous cystadenomas [10]. Several cases of serous oligocystic cystadenoma have been misdiagnosed as mucinous cystadenoma and inappropriately managed [11, 12]. Serous cystadenoma may display interval growth, with larger tumors (≥4 cm at presentation) more likely to grow faster than small ones, up to 2 cm per year.
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Figure 4: Macroscopic appearance of the pancreatic cystic mass. The lesion, which measures 10 cm in greatest dimension, is made of a combination of large cysts with several small cysts and replaces the entire body and tail of the pancreas.

Figure 5: Microscopic appearance of the pancreatic cystic tumor. Low-power microscopic view shows the simple cuboidal epithelial cells with clear cytoplasm.

[7]. Communication with the MPD is not a usually finding with serous cystadenomas and was found at ERCP in only 0.6% of cases in a series of 144 serous cystadenomas [13– 

15]. Giant serous cystadenomas are also rare; this term usually refers to a multicystic tumor larger than 10 cm in diameter in comparison with a mean tumor diameter of 4 

to 5 cm in most reports of serous cystadenoma [1, 16, 17]. In some rare cases, the giant lesions produce a symptomatology caused by the compression of the MPD, as seen in our patient, or of adjacent structures such as bile ducts or colon [1, 18]. Serous cystadenoma with ductal dilatation is a rare cause of obstructive acute or chronic pancreatitis [19]. When dilatation is observed, differential diagnosis with IPMT may be difficult. Interestingly, Kim et al. [2] have shown in 41 patients that diffuse or distal MPD dilatation was exclusively observed in IPMT, whereas proximal MPD dilatation tended to be observed in serous cystadenoma. Diffuse MPD dilatation in IPMT was mostly associated with mucin secreted from the tumor, whereas proximal MPD
distinction between low-risk pancreatic cysts such as serous cystadenoma from high-risk neoplastic mucinous cysts (mucinous cystadenoma and IPMT) cannot be made with absolute certainty, laparotomy is inevitable, even for serous cystadenomas incidentally discovered [16, 17]. Our algorithm for diagnostic and management of pancreatic cystic lesions is summarized in the Figure 6.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, our case highlights an extremely rare case of serous cystadenoma with compression of the main pancreatic duct. Despite the availability of high-quality imaging techniques, uncertain diagnosis led us to perform left pancreatectomy, although EUS with FNA were suggestive of serous cystadenoma. Algorithm is then proposed to manage cystic pancreatic lesions: (i) symptomatic lesions must be removed and (ii) EUS with FNA is the key investigation for asymptomatic lesions of uncertain imaging diagnosis.
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