

## Research Article

# Effect of Chemical Treatment on Mechanical and Water-Sorption Properties Coconut Fiber-Unsaturated Polyester from Recycled PET

**Nurul Munirah Abdullah and Ishak Ahmad**

*School of Chemical Science and Food Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43000 Bangi, Malaysia*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ishak Ahmad, gading@ukm.my

Received 4 January 2012; Accepted 16 February 2012

Academic Editors: E. Collar, A. V. Dos Santos, and H. Yoshihara

Copyright © 2012 N. Munirah Abdullah and I. Ahmad. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Coconut fibers were used as reinforcement for unsaturated polyester resin from recycled PET that has been prepared using glycolysis and polyesterification reaction. Various concentrations of alkali, silane, and silane on alkalinized fiber were applied and the optimum concentration of treatments was determined. Morphological and mechanical properties of the composite have also been investigated to study the effect of fiber surface treatment. The influence of water uptake on the sorption characteristics of composites was studied via immersion in distilled water at room temperature. Surface treatment of coconut fiber caused a significant increase in the tensile properties with the optimum treatment of 0.5% silane on the 5% alkalinized coconut fiber/polyester composites. For water absorption study, it was observed that the treated fiber composites showed lower water absorption properties in comparison to those of untreated fiber composites. SEM investigation showed that the surface modification of fiber has better fiber-matrix interaction. Thus, chemical treatments on the fiber improve fiber/matrix adhesion especially for silane on alkalinized fiber treatment.

## 1. Introduction

The increases in the amount of plastics and agricultural wastes have contributed to various environmental problems. This has attracted the attention of researchers to find new ideas to solve the problem. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is one of the versatile engineering plastics that are used to manufacture films and bottles for packaging. PET does not create any direct hazard to the environment, but due to the increasing in the plastics waste and its high resistance to the atmosphere and biological agents, it could be considered as a pollutant material. Therefore, recycling of PET will not only serve as a partial solution to the solid waste problem but also contribute to the conservation of raw petrochemical products and energy. In other words, product made from recycled plastics can result in half energy saving [1]. The use of UPR from recycled PET as a binder is important for the construction industry and also helps in the long-term utilization of PET waste in an effective and economical manner [2].

Source from agricultural waste such as natural fibers have recently become an excellent reinforcement in composite materials. Furthermore, these fibers can also be used as replacement to man-made fiber such as carbon, fiber glass, Kevlar, nylon, and asbestos to make a good ecological and economic composite material. Because of their biodegradable nature, natural fibers have been increasingly adopted to replace synthetic polymers in the industrial applications [3]. Natural fibers are very attractive for composite materials because of their low cost, eco-friendly, available in high quantities, renewable, biodegradable, excellent mechanical properties, and low density [4]. However, the use of natural fibers as reinforcement agent, particularly in hydrophobic polymeric matrices, has some drawbacks such as poor adhesion in the fiber/matrix interfacial region. This is because the natural fibers are highly polar due to the high polysaccharide content (hemicelluloses and cellulose), making the lignocellulosic fibers to also be highly hydrophilic [5]. Moreover,

the large moisture sorption of natural fibers adversely affects adhesion with hydrophobic matrices that may cause material degradation and loss of strength [6].

The aim of this research is to use coconut fiber (CF) as fibrous reinforcement in unsaturated polyester resin (UPR) synthesized from glycolyzed product of waste PET. CF is obtained from the extraction external layer of the exocarp and from the endocarp of the fruit [7]. CF is one of the many agricultural wastes due to the use of and demand for coconut pulp. So, waste CF could be used as a useful advantage for the production of composites in the material science area. However, as other natural fibers, coconut fiber is also found to be a poor reinforcement due to the large and variable diameter, high microfibril angle, and high lignin and hemicellulose content [8]. Despite the fact that the natural fibers have some disadvantages, it can be solved via various fiber-matrix interface modifications that can improve performance of the resulting composite [9]. The objective of this study is to analyze the three most used treatments on natural fibers: alkali, silane, and silane on alkalinized CF treatment as a function of the variation concentration of the treatment. Despite the fact that a lot previous work was done studying the same treatments, most of the work did not take into account the variation of concentration of the treatment. Therefore, this investigation seeks to find the optimum concentration of fiber surface treatment of CF and to study the effect of the treatment on the mechanical, water sorption, and morphology UPR/CF composites based on recycled PET.

## 2. Experimental

**2.1. Material.** Drinking bottles of PET were collected and then grounded into fine pieces. Glycolysis of PET was done together with ethylene glycol and zinc acetate. Both chemicals were supplied by MERCH and Riedel-de Haën. Maleik anhydride, hydroquinone, and styrene monomer from MERCK were used for the synthesis of unsaturated polyester resin. Curing process of UPR was done by using Methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKPO) as accelerator and Cobalt octoate as an initiator, respectively, supplied by Revertex Sdn. Bhd. and Aldrich Co. The coconut fibers (CF) that act as a reinforcing agent were obtained from Fibromat (M) Sdn. Bhd. To modify the surface of CF by chemical treatment, Sodium hydroxide (SYSTEM) and silane (supplied by Dow Corning) were used.

**2.2. Glycolysis of Waste PET.** Fine pieces of PET were put into a four-necked flat bottom flask with the capacity of 1 L. Ethylene glycol was added into the flask at the ratio of PET : EG = 1 : 4 (w/w) together with 0.5% zinc acetate based on weight of PET as a catalyst. Then, magnetic stirrer was put into the flask to ensure the mixture occurs uniformly. This was carried out under reflux in nitrogen atmosphere with temperature at 190°C for 8 h by using heated silicon oil.

**2.3. Preparation of Unsaturated Polyester Resin.** Unsaturated polyester resin (UPR) was prepared by using polyesterification reaction. Glycolysed product from glycolysis reaction



(a)



(b)

FIGURE 1: Aspect of the coconut fibers: (a) tangled fibers and (b) pressed mat.

was reacted with maleic anhydride at a fixed ratio of 1 : 1 for the hydroxyl to carboxyl groups. The polyesterification was carried out in a 1 L four-necked round bottom flask connected to a reflux condenser under nitrogen gas at 200°C. The experiment was done by heating the reactant in an oil bath. The acid value was monitored during the reaction and determined by the titration of 0.1 N NaOH into the weighed resin in 100 mL acetone according to ASTM D 1639-90 method. The reaction was stopped when the acid value reached 29–34 mg of KOH/g. The liquid resin was then cooled to 120°C and 0.45% hydroquinone based on weight PET was added to prevent the precuring of the resin. Finally, the liquid resin was dissolved in styrene monomer to achieve a 40% w/w styrene in the resin that equals to commercial UPR [10].

**2.4. Fiber Treatments.** Modification on the CF has been done to get a uniformed thickness of fiber mat. CF in the tangled form (Figure 1(a)) was vacuumed and compressed with a thickness around ~1-2 mm. CF in the form of unwoven mat was cut according to the size wanted. Before specific treatments were carried out, the fiber was first rinsed with distilled water to remove the dirt on the fiber surface. Washed CF was left to dry at room temperature and finally dried in oven for 2 hours at 60°C. These fiber mats were used for the preparation of untreated and treated fiber composites as shown in Figure 1(b).

**2.5. Alkali Treatments.** CF has been treated with various concentrations of alkali between 2.5% to 10% (w/w). The fiber

TABLE 1: Percent concentration of the chemical treatment of each sample.

Sample	Concentrations (%)		
	Alkali	Silane	Silane on Alkalized CF
A	2.50	0.25	2.50 + 0.25
B	5.00	0.50	5.00 + 0.50
C	7.50	0.75	7.50 + 0.75
D	10.00	1.00	10.00 + 1.00

was immersed in alkaline solution for 2 hours then neutralized with acetic acid and washed with distilled water repeatedly until all sodium hydroxide was eliminated. Finally, the fiber was washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature for 48 h [11].

**2.6. Silane Treatments.** Various concentrations of silane solution were used in fiber treatment, ranging between 0.25% to 1% w/w. For each concentration, silane was dilute with distilled water and stirred using a glass rod for 15 minutes to form an aqueous solution. The silane solution was then added with acetic acid until pH of solution becomes 4 in order to optimize the performance of reinforcing material. CF was immersed in the solution for an hour. Finally, the fiber was washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature for 2 days.

**2.7. Silane Treatment on the Alkalized Fiber.** CF that has been pretreated with alkali solution was immersed in dilute silane solution using the same method as for silane treatment of CF. Percentage of different concentrations used for each sample is shown in Table 1.

**2.8. Preparation of the Composites.** In order to prepare CF mat/polyester composites, hand lay-up method was applied. A stainless steel mould with the dimensions of (235 × 235 × 235) mm<sup>3</sup> and 3 mm of thickness was used. The curing of the polyester resin was done by the incorporation of 0.75 wt.% cobalt octoate and 1.5 wt.% methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKPO) as a initiator and accelerator, respectively. The mixture was then poured on the mat form of CF. The volume fraction of CF in the composite,  $V_f$ , was approximately 0.30 with the thickness of specimens was 3 mm. The composite was cured at room temperature for 24 h before removing it from the mold. Finally, the composite was post-cured in the oven at 60°C for 6 h.

**2.9. Analysis and Testing.** Tensile test on composites was determined according to ASTM D-638-91 standard. The measurements were done using Instron Universal Tensile Machine (Model 5567) at a speed of 5 mm/min at room temperature.

The impact test was carried out using Universal Fractoscope (CEAST) 6546/000 impact tester according to ASTM D256-88 at room temperature. It was used to test the strength of composite samples when the load was suddenly applied.




FIGURE 2: Tensile strength graph for the various treated CF/UPR composites.




FIGURE 3: Possible reaction mechanism during the silane treatment on the alkalyzed CF.

At least 7 samples were tested for each mechanical measurement.

Rectangular specimens with dimensions of (25.4 × 76.2) mm<sup>2</sup> were cut from each sample. 7 replicate specimens were tested and the results were presented as an average of the tested specimens. The samples were dried in an oven at 60°C for 24 h, cooled in desiccators, and immediately weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. In order to measure the water absorption of the composites, all samples were immersed in water for about 24 h at room temperature as described in ASTM D 570-99 procedure. Excess water on the surface of the samples was removed before weighing. Weight change was determined by means of a microbalance calculated at 10 mg [12].

The scanning electron micrograph of fracture surfaces following the tensile test of composite materials was taken using scanning electron microscope (SEM), Philips XL30. The samples were first dried in oven to remove air moisture and then coated with a thin layer of gold by using Sputter Coater machine. Examination of microscopy has been done at 500x magnification with the highest voltage of 20 V.

### 3. Results and Discussion

**3.1. Tensile Test.** Mechanical properties of composites were strongly influenced by the adhesion between the matrix, the fibers, and interphase properties. Due to the presence of hydroxyl groups in natural fibers, this makes the fiber to be of




FIGURE 4: Tensile strain graph for the various treated CF/UPR composites.

high moisture absorption and leads to the poor wettability and weak interfacial bonding between fibers and hydrophobic matrices. Therefore, in order to produce a composite with better mechanical strength, a modification on the fiber surface by using chemical treatments is needed to make the fibers more hydrophobic [13]. Figure 2 shows the analysis results of tensile strength for untreated CF and treated CF composites. It can be seen that the tensile strength increased with the treatment. This increase in tensile strength indicates that modifying the surface of CF improved the interfacial adhesion between CF and polyester matrix. On the other hand, untreated fiber composite has the lowest tensile strength which is due to the weak compatibility between fiber and matrix. Figure 2 also shows that optimum concentration is obtained for sample B for all treatments. In sample B, the tensile strength increased 34% for alkali treatment in comparison to the untreated CF composites. This is an indication that alkali treatment improves the fiber-matrix adhesion because of the removal of natural and artificial impurities. Moreover, during the alkali treatment, NaOH reacts with hydroxyl groups of the cementing material hemicelluloses and it leads to the destruction of the cellular structure and, therefore, the fibers split into filaments. This phenomenon is called fibrillation, which breaks the untreated fiber bundle down into smaller fibrils by the dissolution of the hemicelluloses. This fibrillation then increases the effective surface area available for contact with the matrix. In addition, alkali treatment reduces fiber diameter and thereby increases the aspect ratio, improving mechanical characteristics of the resulting composites [14].

Silane treatment on the surface of CF also increases the tensile strength of the composite with the improvement in the tensile strength is 28%. Silane is used as coupling agents to allow natural fiber to adhere to a polymer matrix, thus stabilizing the composite material. Silane coupling agents may reduce the number of cellulose hydroxyl groups in the fiber-matrix interface. Silane can be hydrolyzed into silanol when it reacts with moisture in the fiber. This silanol groups reacts with the hydroxyl groups on the surface of fiber, forming a stable covalent bond on the cell wall. Meanwhile, the




FIGURE 5: Impact strength graph for the various treated CF/UPR composites.




FIGURE 6: Water uptake graph for the various treated CF/UPR composites.

remaining silanol groups are adequate of hydrogen bonding or condensing with adjacent silanol groups (Si-O-Si). Therefore, the hydrocarbon chain of polymerized silane can adhere to the matrix mainly because of the van der Waals type of attractive forces. As a result, silane-coupling agent forms a bridge at the interface and improves the adhesion between fiber and matrix [15].

However, chemical modification of fiber surface with only alkali treatment was not enough to increase the strength of composite materials. Further treatment with a silane coupling agent solution to provide improvement adhesion between fiber and matrix by chemical interaction was necessary. The improvement of tensile strength for silane treated on alkalized CF composites is higher than that untreated CF composites with a 41% increase. The increase in tensile strength of the silane treatment on alkalized CF composites is due to the improvement of adhesion between the CF and thermoset matrix. Moreover, due to the intra- and interfibrillar swelling of alkali treatment during first step of pretreatment and the present of covalent bonds between the fiber and matrix after the silane treatment, composites treated with silane on alkalized CF have the highest improvement




FIGURE 7: SEM micrographs of fiber surface for the (a) untreated CF, (b) alkali treated CF, (c) silane treated CF, and (d) silane treated on alkalinized CF.

in the mechanical performance [16]. The proposed chemical reaction during alkali treated fiber followed by silane treatment is as shown in Figure 3.

The tensile strain of CF/UPR composite as a function of variation fiber surface treatment is shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that untreated CF composite has the lowest tensile strain in comparison to all treated CF composites. Based on Figure 4, silane treated on alkalinized CF composite for sample B gave the highest value of tensile strain with the optimum concentration. It can be noted that composites reinforced by silane on alkalinized CF were characterized by a little improvement in their resistance (higher tensile strength). During the reaction of silane treatment on alkalinized CF, silanol forming stable covalent bond grafted between the microfibrils and leading to a high increase in the tensile strain. It is suggested that by providing additional sites of mechanical interlocking, silane treatment on alkalinized CF leads to the improvement of the CF/UPR composites [17].

**3.2. Impact Test.** The impact strength of a composite is influenced by many factors, including the toughness properties of the reinforcement, the nature of the interfacial region, and frictional work involved in pulling out the fiber from the matrix. The nature of the interface region is the most important and is directly related to the toughness of the composite. The impact test is to determine the amount of energy absorbed by a material during fracture [18]. Figure 5 shows the impact strength of CF/UPR composites with variation of fib-

er surface treatment. Relative to the untreated CF composite, the values of treated CF composites are higher. These results suggest that the treatment had improved adhesion between the CF and UPR matrix and can act to prevent the propagation of cracks generated during the impact test. The fiber/matrix adhesion mainly determines the strength of composites. Improved adhesion after treatment can lead to perfect bonding and thus the failure of composites can occur at relatively high impact strength. Composite having better interfacial bonding need more energy absorbing capacity when the crack propagation occurs along the fiber/matrix interface and this leads to a significant increase of impact strength [19]. The highest value of impact strength is for silane treated on alkalinized CF composites with sample B is the optimal treatment and these are similar to the tensile analysis results. Further treatment with silane coupling agent on alkalinized CF has induced chemical interaction between the fiber and the matrix. Hydroxyl group on the cellulose fibers could interact better with the silane due to the availability of possible reaction sites in large numbers. Hence, chemical treatment with silane on alkalinized CF has increased the mechanical properties of composite from the aspects of tensile and impact strength.

**3.3. Water Absorption.** The water absorption behaviors of untreated and surface-modified CF polyester composites are shown in Figure 6. The extent of water absorption decreases considerably on surface modification of CF. Treated CF




FIGURE 8: SEM micrographs of tensile fracture surface for the (a) untreated CF composite, (b) alkali treated CF composite, (c) silane treated CF composite, and (d) silane treated on alkalinized CF composite.

shows considerable reduction in water absorption, which again confirms a better adhesion between treated CF with polyester resin. In case of untreated CF/UPR composites the poor wettability and adhesion between untreated CF towards polyester resin are due to hydrophilic nature of CF. This hydrophilicity is responsible for the higher percentage water uptake in untreated CF composites. Meanwhile, in case of surface modified CF composites, the fibers get masked with the polyester resin in the laminate with a stronger adhesion, resulting in greater hydrophobicity and lesser water absorption [20]. As it can be observed in Figure 6, silane treated alkalinized CF composite shows lesser water absorption in all sample. The order of decreasing value of water absorption composites is as follows: untreated < silane < alkali < silane on alkalinized CF. After treatment, the first layer of fiber sorption has been modified by creation of a water resistant resin. It can be observed that the silane treatment on alkalinized CF, which is the most efficient in terms of moisture resistance, is characterized by the lowest polarity parameter. Therefore, silane treatment on alkalinized fiber had enhanced the fiber-matrix adhesion and led to a most hydrophobic surface [21].

From the Figure 6 for sample B, the water uptake of alkali treated CF composite (1.87%) showed close values to silane treated on alkalinized CF composite (1.90%). Other than the removal of impurities such as waxes, pectins, and mineral salts as well as treatment with NaOH, pretreatment with alkali promote the activation of hydroxyl groups of cellulose

unit by breaking the hydrogen bond [22]. Thus, after going through the silane treatment, a stable covalent bond has been accepted between fiber and matrix made the surface topology of fiber vary and the fiber become more hydrophobic in nature leading to good fiber/matrix interaction.

**3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy.** Scanning electron microscopic analysis examined the surface morphology of treated and untreated CF. The removal of surface impurities on plant fibers is advantageous for fiber-matrix adhesion as it facilitates both mechanical interlocking and the bonding reaction [23]. Figure 7 shows the SEM images of CF surfaces before and after modification with alkali, silane, and silane on alkalinized CF. After the alkali treatment (Figure 7(b)), the surface of the fiber becomes rougher and micropores were more visible compared to untreated fiber. Alkali-treated CF surface showed rough surface topography due to the removal of hemicelluloses, lignin, and amorphous waxy layer. Figure 7(d) shows the SEM micrograph of silane treatment on alkalinized CF surface structure. The surface of the fiber becomes rougher in comparison to untreated fiber and micropores were not so apparent in comparison to alkali treatment and silane treatment. Cellulose is generally unreactive to many chemicals and the OH groups of the microfibrils have very low accessibility. Based on this fact, an optional step is to activate the alkoxy silane by hydrolyzing the alkoxy groups, thereby forming a more reactive silanol

groups. As a result, the silanol may react with the hydroxyl groups of fibers and facilitate the enhancement of interfacial adhesion of treated fibers and polymer matrices [24].

Interface study of untreated and surface-modified CF/UPR composites was also carried out to investigate the fiber-matrix interaction by scanning electron microscopy. Figures 8(a)–8(d) display the SEM micrographs of the untreated, alkali-treated fiber, silane-treated fiber, and silane treated on alkalinized CF composites fracture surface respectively. In other words, it appears that the fibers are extracted from the matrix. These clearly indicate that the interfacial adhesion between the fiber and the matrix is poor for untreated composites.

However, treated fiber composites (Figure 8(b) to 8(d)) have a different fracture surface in which the matrix debonding cannot be properly seen. It also can be seen that polyester resin adhered to the fiber surfaces of treated fiber composite. This may support good adhesion between treated fiber and polyester matrix. In the case of silane treated on alkalinized CF composite, a large amount of polyester resin was adhered more to the fiber surfaces clearly and this indicates silane treatment on alkalinized CF leads to better adhesion between the fiber and the polyester resin. From SEM observations, it can be concluded that modification of alkalinized CF with silane coupling agent improved the adhesion between the fiber and the matrix. Therefore, the silane treated on alkalinized CF composites has the highest tensile and impact strength in comparison to untreated and other treated fiber composites.

#### 4. Conclusion

Coconut fiber has been treated with different chemicals; such as alkali, silane, and silane on alkalinized coconut fiber at different concentrations. It may be pointed out that silane treatment on alkalinized coconut fiber results in an increase in tensile strength as well as tensile strain. Moreover, from impact results, silane treatment on alkalinized coconut fiber leads to the increase in coconut fiber/unsaturated polyester resin composites, which can be related to the effect of improved interfacial adhesion between coconut fiber and polyester matrix. The water absorption studies showed that treatment decreases the water uptake of the composites, which supports a better fiber/matrix interaction. The SEM of the treated fibers revealed that the fiber became porous and fibrillated by alkali, silane, and silane on alkalinized CF. The microscopic investigation of the fracture surfaces of composites also revealed enhanced bonding between the matrix and the fiber.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to extend their utmost gratitude to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Malaysia, for funding the project under FRGS UKM-ST-07-SF0153-2010 and for awarding a National Science Fellowship Scholarship to N. Munirah. The authors would also like to acknowledge the Polymer Research Centre (PORCE) of Universiti Keban-

gsaan Malaysia (UKM) for their support in providing the infrastructure for the project.

#### References

- [1] D. J. Suh, O. O. Park, and K. H. Yoon, "The properties of unsaturated polyester based on the glycolized poly(ethylene terephthalate) with various glycol compositions," *Polymer*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 461–466, 2000.
- [2] F. Mahdi, A. A. Khan, and H. Abbas, "Physiochemical properties of polymer mortar composites using resins derived from post-consumer PET bottles," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 241–248, 2007.
- [3] W. Wang and G. Huang, "Characterisation and utilization of natural coconut fibres composites," *Materials and Design*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2741–2744, 2009.
- [4] H. D. Rozman, K. W. Tan, R. N. Kumar, A. Abubakar, Z. A. Mohd. Ishak Z.A., and H. Ismail, "Effect of lignin as a compatibilizer on the physical properties of coconut fiber-polypropylene composites," *European Polymer Journal*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 1483–1494, 2000.
- [5] J. D. Megiatto Jr., C. G. Silva, E. C. Ramires, and E. Frollini, "Thermoset matrix reinforced with sisal fibers: effect of the cure cycle on the properties of the biobased composite," *Polymer Testing*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 793–800, 2009.
- [6] A. Bessadok, D. Langevin, F. Gouanvé, C. Chappey, S. Roude-sli, and S. Marais, "Study of water sorption on modified Agave fibres," *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 74–85, 2009.
- [7] S. N. Monteiro, L. A. H. Terrones, and J. R. M. D'Almeida, "Mechanical performance of coir fiber/polyester composites," *Polymer Testing*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 591–595, 2008.
- [8] V. G. Geethamma, G. Kalaprasad, G. Groeninckx, and S. Thomas, "Dynamic mechanical behavior of short coir fiber reinforced natural rubber composites," *Composites Part A*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 1499–1506, 2005.
- [9] S. Harish, D. P. Michael, A. Bensely, D. M. Lal, and A. Rajadurai, "Mechanical property evaluation of natural fiber coir composite," *Materials Characterization*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 44–49, 2009.
- [10] I. Ahmad, D. R. A. Bakar, S. N. Mokhilas, and A. Raml, "Direct usage of products of polyethylene terephthalate glycolysis for manufacturing of rice husk/unsaturated polyester composite," *Iranian Polymer Journal*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 233–239, 2007.
- [11] Y. Seki, "Innovative multifunctional siloxane treatment of jute fiber surface and its effect on the mechanical properties of jute/thermoset composites," *Materials Science and Engineering A*, vol. 508, no. 1–2, pp. 247–252, 2009.
- [12] H. V. Ramakrishna and S. K. Rai, "Effect on the mechanical properties and water absorption of granite powder composites on toughening epoxy with unsaturated polyester and unsaturated polyester with epoxy resin," *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 17–32, 2006.
- [13] V. Vilay, M. Mariatti, R. Mat Taib, and M. Todo, "Effect of fiber surface treatment and fiber loading on the properties of bagasse fiber-reinforced unsaturated polyester composites," *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 68, no. 3–4, pp. 631–638, 2008.
- [14] M. F. Rosa, B. S. Chiou, E. S. Medeiros et al., "Effect of fiber treatments on tensile and thermal properties of starch/ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymers/coir biocomposites," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 100, no. 21, pp. 5196–5202, 2009.

- [15] H. M. Akil, M. F. Omar, A. A. M. Mazuki, S. Safiee, Z. A. M. Ishak, and A. Abu Bakar, "Kenaf fiber reinforced composites: a review," *Materials and Design*, vol. 32, no. 8-9, pp. 4107–4121, 2011.
- [16] M. Brahmakumar, C. Pavithran, and R. M. Pillai, "Coconut fibre reinforced polyethylene composites: effect of natural waxy surface layer of the fibre on fibre/matrix interfacial bonding and strength of composites," *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 65, no. 3-4, pp. 563–569, 2005.
- [17] A. Bessadok, S. Roudesli, S. Marais, N. Follain, and L. Lebrun, "Alfa fibres for unsaturated polyester composites reinforcement: effects of chemical treatments on mechanical and permeation properties," *Composites Part A*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 184–195, 2009.
- [18] A. K. Bledzki, A. A. Mamun, and J. Volk, "Barley husk and coconut shell reinforced polypropylene composites: the effect of fibre physical, chemical and surface properties," *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 840–846, 2010.
- [19] P. A. Sreekumar, S. P. Thomas, J. M. Saiter, K. Joseph, G. Unnikrishnan, and S. Thomas, "Effect of fiber surface modification on the mechanical and water absorption characteristics of sisal/polyester composites fabricated by resin transfer molding," *Composites Part A*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 1777–1784, 2009.
- [20] J. Rout, M. Misra, S. S. Tripathy, S. K. Nayak, and A. K. Mohanty, "The influence of fibre treatment of the performance of coir-polyester composites," *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 1303–1310, 2001.
- [21] A. Bessadok, S. Marais, F. Gouanvé et al., "Effect of chemical treatments of Alfa (*Stipa tenacissima*) fibres on water-sorption properties," *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 67, no. 3-4, pp. 685–697, 2007.
- [22] V. A. Alvarez and A. Vázquez, "Influence of fiber chemical modification procedure on the mechanical properties and water absorption of MaterBi-Y/sisal fiber composites," *Composites Part A*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 1672–1680, 2006.
- [23] S. K. Saw, G. Sarkhel, and A. Choudhury, "Surface modification of coir fibre involving oxidation of lignins followed by reaction with furfuryl alcohol: characterization and stability," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 257, no. 8, pp. 3763–3769, 2011.
- [24] Y. Xie, C. A. S. Hill, Z. Xiao, H. Militz, and C. Mai, "Silane coupling agents used for natural fiber/polymer composites: a review," *Composites Part A*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 806–819, 2010.



**Hindawi**

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

