Resonance-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy on Explosives Vapor at Standoff Distances
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Resonance-enhanced Raman spectroscopy has been used to perform standoff measurements on nitromethane (NM), 2,4-DNT, and 2,4,6-TNT in vapor phase. The Raman cross sections for NM, DNT, and TNT in vapor phase have been measured in the wavelength range 210–300 nm under laboratory conditions, in order to estimate how large resonance enhancement factors can be achieved for these explosives. The results show that the signal is enhanced up to 250,000 times for 2,4-DNT and up to 60,000 times for 2,4,6-TNT compared to the nonresonant signal at 532 nm. Realistic outdoor measurements on NM in vapor phase at 13 m distance were also performed, which indicate a potential for resonance Raman spectroscopy as a standoff technique for detection of vapor phase explosives. In addition, the Raman spectra of acetone, ethanol, and methanol were measured at the same wavelengths, and their influence on the spectrum from NM was investigated.

1. Introduction

Improvised explosive devices (IEDs) are a common and a growing threat to the civilian society as well as to peace-keeping operations. Today, detection and identification of explosive charges and IEDs in real environments (indoors or outdoors) is a very challenging task and can in many cases also be a very hazardous operation. IEDs are in a way unique compared to regular ordnances, since the IED manufacturer has to improvise the design and use whatever materials are available. For this reason, the threat varies over time and in different parts of the world; it also evolves as countermeasures are taken. The diverse and varying nature of IEDs poses great challenges to the methods for their detection since they can contain any explosive which is available; this means commercial, military, or homemade explosives (HMEs). An overview of HME properties is given by Menning and Ostmark [1]. From the perspective of the user of the detection equipment, much would be gained if detection and identification could be reliably performed from a safe distance. This drives the research of possible standoff detection methods, where the developed methods will need to be both sensitive and selective in order to detect an explosive material in vapor phase and at low concentrations, or as trace amounts of particles on a surface, among an unknown number and composition of possible interfering substances. In addition, the method should be applicable at a distance of some tenths to a few hundreds of meters.

Techniques that are anticipated to fulfill these requirements are laser-based spectroscopy methods. A number of different laser-based methods for stand-off detection and identification of explosives have been proposed and tested, for example, Raman, LIBS, and PF/LIF. For reviews see Wallin et al. [2] and Gottfried et al. [3]. Raman scattering is an instantaneous inelastic scattering of photons where some energy is lost to (or gained from) the target molecule, returning scattered light with a different wavelength. The difference in energy corresponds to a vibrational mode of the target molecules, and these vibrational modes can be regarded as a fingerprint that uniquely identifies the substance or substances in a sample. Also complex mixtures can often be analyzed using algorithms for pattern recognition [4].

Standoff Raman explosives detection systems have been developed and tested by a number of groups; Sharma et al. demonstrated explosives detection (TATB and HMX) at 10 m distance using a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser
Detection of diluted explosives in a silicon matrix at longer distances, 27 m and 50 m, was demonstrated by Carter et al. in a laboratory environment using a frequency doubled Nd:YAG and gated detection [6]. The usefulness of spontaneous Raman spectroscopy for stand-off detection in more realistic scenarios has also been demonstrated [7, 8]. The instrumentation used was based on a frequency doubled pulsed Nd:YAG laser, a Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope, a spectrometer for wavelength dispersion, and an ICCD for gated detection. These measurements showed the applicability of 532 nm radiation for examination through different container materials (transparent or semitransparent) and during varying weather conditions at distances of up to 55 m. Recent results using this equipment have shown that the detection time of 5 s is approximately 500 µg [4, 9]. This indicates the difficulties in using conventional Raman for stand-off trace and vapor detection.

Several groups have pursued Raman spectroscopy at UV wavelengths. The reasons for doing so are multiple; the obvious one is that the Raman signal intensity scales as $1/\lambda^4$, thus leading to an improvement of the inherently weak Raman response upon examination. Another advantage is the much reduced interference of fluorescence [10]. At wavelengths shorter than 250 nm, the full Raman range, up to 4,000 cm$^{-1}$, is normally free from luminescence. This can be used for identifying species in a fluorescent matrix [11]. If a laser wavelength outside the visible spectral region is used, the problem of eye hazards is significantly decreased since the maximum permissible exposure is markedly higher for wavelengths that are not focused by the lens of the human eye onto the retina. Of all the advantages of turning to UV wavelengths, perhaps the most intriguing, is the possible resonance enhancement that can occur when the probe laser wavelength is nearing an electronic transition within the molecule. This can give rise to enhancements of certain Raman lines (those coupled to the electronic transition) for which the Raman cross section can be significantly enhanced by a factor of $10^2$–$10^6$ [12]. A number of Raman publications have had a focus on resonance-enhanced UV Raman spectroscopy of explosives [11, 13, 14]. Absolute Raman cross sections for UN, TATP, RDX, TNT, and PETN at 248 nm excitation have been published by Nagli et al. [13], and for TNT, PETN, RDX, and HMX at 229 nm excitation by Tuschel et al. [14].

The ability to detect explosives in vapor phase depends on the vapor pressure of the explosive. Some explosives, such as triacetone triperoxide (TATP), have a relatively high vapor pressure (4.65 × 10$^{-2}$ Torr at 25°C [15]) and can be expected to be found in concentrations detectable also with methods with high detection limits (4.65 × 10$^{-2}$ Torr corresponds to 60 ppm at atmospheric pressure) whereas other explosives, such as HMX, have very low vapor pressure [16] and can probably not be expected to be detectable with any gas phase detection methods. In this paper we have measured the Raman signal and calculated the apparent Raman cross section in the UV region, which is the cross section based on the measured signal without taking into account the effects of absorption and self-absorption. The focus is on investigating if resonance-enhanced Raman spectroscopy could be used as a stand-off explosives detection method, and absorption and self-absorption do not have a large influence on that application. We have focused on nitromethane (NM), 2,4-DNT and 2,4,6-TNT. We have also studied the Raman spectra of a few solvents: acetone, ethanol, and methanol, to investigate their influence on the Raman spectrum of nitromethane.

### 2. Experiment

Measurements were performed both in laboratory settings with controlled ambient conditions and outdoors; the measurement setups are schematically described in Figure 1. The investigated substances were nitromethane (NM) (>98%), 2,4-DNT (>97%), and 2,4,6-TNT. Pure 2,4,6-TNT was obtained by dissolving military grade TNT in ethylacetate, washing three times with a 5% aqueous solution of NaHSO$_3$, drying the remaining organic phase over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and recrystallizing the solid residue once from 99.5% ethanol. The white crystals were dried at 40°C overnight. In addition, the solvents acetone (>99%), ethanol (99.7%), and methanol (99.8%) were studied.

The explosives vapor was generated from a liquid or solid sample placed on a Petri dish. For the measurements using the vapor chamber, the Petri dish was placed inside the chamber, nitrogen (AGA, OTC-50) was added to reach atmospheric pressure, and equilibrium was allowed to be established. Equilibrium was decided as being when the signal from the sample did not increase with time; this process required different amount of time for different samples. When measuring on a combination of substances (NM and solvent), they were placed on two separate Petri dishes. The concentration $c(T)$ of the vaporized explosive in the chamber was determined by the ratio of the vapor pressure of the sample, $P_{V,HE}(T)$, and the total pressure in the chamber, $P_{total}$ as

$$c(T) = \frac{N_{HE}(T)}{N_{total}} = \frac{P_{V,HE}(T)}{P_{total}},$$

where $N_{HE}(T)$ is the number of molecules of the sample in gas phase and $N_{total}$ the total number of gas molecules in the chamber. The vapor pressure of NM has been taken from McCullough et al. [17], the vapor pressure of 2,4-DNT from Pella [18] and of 2,4,6-TNT from Edwards [19]. The vapor chamber (Al, 340 mm long, ∅ 200 mm) has a high UV-transmitting window on each side (10 mm uncoated UV-FS). In the measurements on DNT and TNT, the temperature of the chamber was elevated to above the melting point of the samples in order to reach a high enough concentration while the temperature and pressure were continuously monitored. To avoid condensation of explosives on the windows, they were held at a higher temperature than the rest of the chamber. When changing the sample, the chamber was cleaned and heated to 80°C overnight, while evacuating the system. In the measurements without the vapor chamber the sample was put outside the laboratory on a Petri dish and...
heated to about 328 K while the surrounding air temperature was around 274–278 K.

A tunable Optical Parametric Oscillator (OPO), pumped by the 3rd harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser (pulse length 6 ns, repetition rate 10 Hz, pulse energy 2–5 mJ), was used in the measurements, and the beam was directed towards the chamber via a right-angle prism (UV-FS). The signal was measured in a back scatter configuration, where the scattered light was collected by a 5′′ UV telescope at a stand-off distance of 1.65 meters. The telescope was focused at the centre of the chamber where it collected light from a path with a width of 8 mm. The diameter of the laser beam was approximately 5–8 mm, depending on the wavelength. No expansion of the laser beam was used. The laser beam and the collection path of the telescope overlapped only inside the chamber, and thus the Raman signal was only collected from a volume (approximately 4 cm³) where the explosive vapor concentration in relation to the nitrogen concentration was known. Nitrogen has a known Raman cross section [20] and is known not to undergo resonance enhancement in the spectral region of interest in these measurements. It was therefore used as an internal reference. Measurements performed without nitrogen in the chamber showed that the possible contribution to the nitrogen peak from air outside the chamber was negligible.

For the measurements outdoors on NM without the vapor chamber, the same OPO laser and equipment was used, but the laser beam was expanded 4-5 times and the stand-off distance was 13 meters. The beam was directed out from the laboratory by a mirror to coincide with the collection path of the telescope about 5 cm above the sample. Hence, the setup had an oblique configuration where the path of the laser beam and the collection path of the telescope were crossed only for a limited spatial section. The diameter of

**Figure 1**: Schematic picture of the resonant Raman spectroscopy setup (a) using the vapor chamber and (b) outdoors in open air.
the collection path of the telescope was approximately 55 mm at the sample, which was slightly larger than the laser beam diameter.

The light was introduced into a spectrometer via an optical round-to-slit fiber bundle (450 μm diameter at the round end). The spectrometer was a USB-connected, computer-controlled 500 mm Czerny-Turner spectrometer with a 2400 groves/mm grating, resulting in a covered spectral range of around 1000–3000 cm⁻¹, which is sufficient to see first order resonant peaks of the investigated substances and the nitrogen peaks for UV wavelengths. The Raman spectra were registered by an ICCD camera with nanosecond gating time. The camera was held at −20 °C. All measurements shown in this paper are accumulated during 1000 laser pulses, that is, 100 seconds.

Laser line long pass filters were used in the 2,4,6-TNT measurements and in the 2,4-DNT measurements in order to reduce the background from stray laser light. The Raman signal from TNT was measured for incident wavelengths between 243 nm and 268 nm. Since a Raman shift of 1350 cm⁻¹ (around the lowest Raman shift observed for TNT in these measurements) corresponds to a shift of around 8–9 nm for these wavelengths, the filters were used also for incident laser wavelengths below the filter cutoff, and three different laser line filters were used to cover the whole range of Raman scattered signal. A 248 nm filter was used for incident wavelengths in the range 243–250 nm, a 257 nm filter in the range 251–258 nm, and a 266 nm filter in the range 260–268 nm. The transmission of the filters drops somewhat at the lower wavelengths in the range, and corrections were performed to account for this using the filter data from the manufacturer.

The response to UV light of the setup was investigated by measuring the spectrum from a calibrated UV-light source. The response of the system decreases rapidly at smaller wavelengths. However, measuring the resonant Raman signal for pure N₂ for an excitation wavelength of 210 nm results in a clearly detectable Raman shifted signal at 215 nm. Thus, despite the low response to wavelengths below 230 nm, the sensitivity of the system still enables detection in this range.

3. Data Analysis

The areas of the Raman peaks from the NM, DNT, and TNT, Iᵦₑ(λ), and from N₂, Iᵦₑ(λ), were extracted from the measured spectra (examples of spectra are shown in Figure 2) after subtracting the background noise level. From this measured signal and the pressure and temperature of the vapor chamber, the enhancement factors and measured cross sections were calculated. Nitrogen is used as an internal reference, and the Raman cross section of N₂, σᵦₑ(λ), is used for normalization. The Raman cross section for nitrogen behaves nonresonant in the wavelength range 210–300 nm and can thus be extrapolated from the value of $3.5 \times 10^{-30}$ cm² str⁻¹ at 337 nm [20]. The Raman cross sections of the studied substance, σᵦₑ(λ), can then be expressed as

$$\sigmaᵦₑ(λ) = \sigmaᵦₑ(λ) \frac{Iᵦₑ(λ) \cdot N₂}{Iᵦₑ(λ) \cdot Nᵦₑ} \cdot Aᵦₑ(λ, Nᵦₑ),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $Nᵦₑ$ and $Nᵦₑ$ are extracted from (1), and $Aᵦₑ(λ, Nᵦₑ)$ takes into account the change in signal due to absorption and self-absorption. The effect of this will be discussed later however, in this paper we have not been able to assess these parameters, but focus has been on demonstrating the resonance enhancement. Therefore, (2) has been simplified to calculate the apparent cross section, $σᵦₑ,app$, which is the Raman cross section where the effect of absorption and self-absorption is not taken into account:

$$σᵦₑ,app(λ) = \sigmaᵦₑ(λ) \frac{Iᵦₑ(λ) \cdot N₂}{Iᵦₑ(λ) \cdot Nᵦₑ}.$$

(3)

The apparent Raman enhancement factor compared to the Raman signal at 532 nm, $F_{532}(λ)$, can then be calculated as

$$F_{532}(λ) = \frac{σᵦₑ,app(λ)}{σᵦₑ(532)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The cross section at 532 nm for NM could not be found in the literature. Therefore, the Raman activities of NM and N₂ were calculated using Gaussian [21] (HF 6-31G(d,p)) and used to scale the Raman cross section for N₂ to give the cross section for NM. The Raman cross section for NM at 532 nm was found to be $3.65 \times 10^{-31}$ cm² str⁻¹ and $3.79 \times 10^{-31}$ cm² str⁻¹ for the 1378 cm⁻¹ and 1401 cm⁻¹ lines,
respectively. The Raman cross section for the 1358 cm\(^{-1}\) line in TNT is \(4.9 \times 10^{-31}\) cm\(^2\) str\(^{-1}\) [22]. The Raman cross section for DNT has not to our knowledge been published, and we estimate the cross section of the 1347 cm\(^{-1}\) line in DNT to be the same as the cross section for the 1358 cm\(^{-1}\) line in TNT at 532 nm.

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Resonance-Enhanced Raman Cross Sections. Spectra from vapor phase resonance Raman measurements on NM, 2,4-DNT and 2,4,6-TNT in the vapor chamber were recorded at several wavelengths in the range 210–290 nm. Examples of the spectra are shown in Figure 2, showing measurements performed at an incident wavelength of 232 nm, 263 nm, and 248 nm for NM, DNT, and TNT, respectively, which is close to the observed maximum in the resonant Raman enhancement for each substance. However, as will be discussed below, the enhancement might be higher at lower wavelengths. In the displayed spectra, the background level has not been subtracted. The NM and DNT spectra in Figure 2 were recorded without the use of laser line filters, whereas for TNT a 248 nm long-pass filter was used.

The NM spectrum, Figure 2(a), shows a clear enhancement of the 1378 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1401 cm\(^{-1}\) lines. The resolution of the system does not allow them to be completely resolved and they are seen as a double peak. The signal at 2331 cm\(^{-1}\) is the signal from N\(_2\). The measurement on NM was performed at room temperature, 291 K, and the vapor pressure was 24.35 Torr [17]. The atmospheric pressure varied between 744 Torr and 750 Torr during the measurements, resulting in a NM concentration between 32,500 and 32,700 ppm. The 2,4-DNT spectrum shown in Figure 2(b) exhibits an enhancement of the 1347 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1611 cm\(^{-1}\) lines, and for 2,4,6-TNT, correspondingly, the 1358 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1617 cm\(^{-1}\) lines were enhanced, as seen in Figure 2(c). DNT and TNT were heated in order to reach measurable concentrations; in the measurements presented in Figure 2, the temperature was 110\(^\circ\)C and 128\(^\circ\)C for DNT and TNT, respectively, resulting in concentrations of 1445 ppm DNT and 341 ppm TNT.

For each spectrum, the integrated signal of the 1378 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1401 cm\(^{-1}\) lines from NM, the 1347 cm\(^{-1}\) line from DNT and the 1358 cm\(^{-1}\) line from TNT was used to calculate the apparent Raman cross section, as described in (3). The apparent Raman cross sections as a function of incident wavelength are shown in Figures 3–5. The wavelength of maximum resonance enhancement should coincide approximately with an absorption line in the sample. In order to correlate the cross sections at different wavelengths with the absorption lines, the UV-absorption spectra of NM, DNT, and TNT were measured in vapor phase using a UV/visible spectrometer, and the results are shown in Figure 6. It should be noted that the absorption peaks could contain multiple absorption lines.

The arrows in Figure 6 indicate where the maxima in apparent Raman cross section are found in vapor phase: for NM at 232 nm, for DNT between 252 nm, and 258 nm and for TNT around 250 nm. Approaching an absorption peak, absorption and self-absorption are likely to occur in the measured Raman signal, resulting in a reduced signal as explained in Section 3. A large part of the photons from the laser will be absorbed in the vapor before reaching the center of the chamber where the Raman signal is detected. This is believed to be mainly what happens, for example below 230 nm in the NM measurements, where the Raman signal, also from N\(_2\), entirely disappears. Transmission measurements of the laser light through the vapor chamber also show a sharp drop in transmittance between 230 nm and 240 nm, which support this interpretation. Continuing the example of NM, at wavelengths around 230 nm and above, the NM molecules will be illuminated by laser light, but they will also have a high probability to self-absorb the scattered light. This probability increases with the sample concentration in the chamber. The apparent cross section therefore gives a minimum value, and the absolute cross section is in fact higher than this. A third aspect which might contribute to the drop in signal is the weaker system response at low wavelengths. This is, however, expected to be a smaller issue than absorption and self-absorption.

For 2,4-DNT, the Raman signal was measured at four different temperatures, that is, concentrations, in the wavelength range from 220 nm to 280 nm. The apparent cross sections for the Raman shifted signal at 1347 cm\(^{-1}\) are shown in Figure 4. In the measurements of the wavelength-dependent signal of DNT, no laser-line filters were used. As seen, the apparent cross sections measured at different concentrations differ in both magnitude and wavelength for maximum cross section; at lower concentrations it is higher and the maximum tends to be shifted to shorter wavelengths, that is, closer to the absorption peak. The absolute cross section is of course the same independent of the concentration, and the observed change in magnitude is due to absorption and self-absorption in DNT in the same way as for NM. Similarly, the fact that the maximum in the apparent Raman cross section is slightly shifted towards lower wavelengths and increased in magnitude at lower concentrations indicates lower absorption at lower concentrations. Transmission measurements of the laser light through the vapor chamber shows a clear drop in transmission at around 230–260 nm, indicating that the absorption is strong enough to be important.

The wavelength dependence of the Raman signal of 2,4,6-TNT was only investigated at a concentration of 341 ppm,
and the apparent cross section was not measured in the wavelength region below 243 nm.

4.2. Enhancement Compared to 532 nm and the Dependence on Concentration. The apparent Raman cross sections for NM, DNT, and TNT presented in Figures 3–5 have been used in (4) to estimate the resonance enhancement compared to the Raman cross section at 532 nm for each substance. The Raman cross section for DNT was assumed to be the same as the cross section for TNT at 532 nm, that is, $4.9 \times 10^{-31}$ cm$^2$ str$^{-1}$. For all three substances a strong enhancement is seen, and the apparent enhancement factors $F_{\text{max}}$ are shown in Table 1.

The DNT resonance enhancement increases with one order of magnitude as the concentration is reduced about the same factor, and although the signal at 354 K was very weak and embedded in the noise, which is why the temperature was raised to higher values, it is still clear that a stronger enhancement is measured at lower concentrations where the absorption is less.

The apparent Raman cross section of TNT at 248 nm excitation is one order of magnitude lower than the absolute
cross section published for TNT in solution at an incident wavelength of 229 nm by Tuschel et al. [14]. Since the apparent cross sections presented here are believed to be reduced by absorption and to in fact increase at lower wavelength, this could account for the discrepancy. However, it cannot be assumed that the Raman cross section should be identical for solution and vapor phase samples. Absolute Raman solid-state cross sections of TNT for an incident wavelength of 248 nm reported by Nagli et al. [13], however, are around two orders of magnitude lower than the present apparent cross section. This is a surprisingly large difference for which we have no clear explanation; possible reasons could be absorption issues also in the solid-state experiments or discrepancies between the solid-state and vapor Raman cross sections.

The dependence on the concentration for the resonance Raman signal was investigated for 2,4-DNT and 2,4,6-TNT in the concentration range 10–1445 ppm and 26–364 ppm, respectively. This measurement was performed to get an estimate of the influence of self-absorption and investigate how the resonant behavior could be explored in an application. Without the absorption, factor the resonance enhancement is independent of the sample concentration. The resonance Raman signal for incident light of 248 nm was systematically monitored using a 248 nm long-pass filter while heating the chamber, that is, increasing the concentration. The apparent enhancement of the resonance Raman signal compared to the Raman cross section at 532 nm was then calculated for different concentrations and is shown in Figure 7.

4.3. Measurements on Solvents at 232 nm. The results from measurements on acetone, ethanol and methanol are presented in Figures 8, 9, and 10. The upper spectra were recorded for the pure solvents and the lower spectra were recorded for the solvent together with NM. The spectra were taken using a laser wavelength of 232 nm, which is where the maximum signal from NM was observed at room temperature. All solvent measurements were performed at room temperature. During the pure acetone measurements, the temperature was 294 K, resulting in a vapor pressure of acetone of 192 Torr [23], and the atmospheric pressure was 761 Torr giving an acetone concentration of approximately 201,000 ppm. For ethanol the corresponding temperature and atmospheric pressure were 297 K and 747 Torr, resulting in a vapor pressure of ethanol of 55.3 Torr [24] and an ethanol concentration of 69,000 ppm. During the methanol measurements, the temperature was 297 K, and the atmospheric pressure 747 Torr, resulting in a methanol vapor pressure of 120 Torr [25] and a methanol concentration of 138,000 ppm. For the measurements on a combination of solvent and NM, the concentrations were similarly determined: acetone and NM to 218,000 ppm and 33,700 ppm, respectively, ethanol and NM to 66,200 ppm and 40,400 ppm, respectively, and methanol and NM to 133,000 ppm and 37,500 ppm, respectively.

Acetone, ethanol, and methanol all show a clear Raman spectrum at 232 nm at the given vapor concentrations. The lines are not overlapping the lines of NM, and there is no strong fluorescence from the solvents.
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Figure 8: Raman signal from vapor phase measurements at a

temperature of 328 K, the atmospheric pressure was about 755 Torr. The spectrum was accumulated during 1000 laser pulses.

Figure 11: Resonance Raman spectrum from outdoor measurement on nitro methane in vapor phase at an irradiation wavelength of 220 nm. The sample temperature was approximately 328 K, the outdoor temperature was 274 K, and the atmospheric pressure was about 755 Torr. The spectrum was accumulated during 1000 laser pulses.

Figure 10: Raman signal from vapor phase measurements at a

wavelengths than was possible in the vapor chamber. The best signal was obtained at about 220 nm outdoors instead of at 232 nm as in the laboratory measurements. This is probably a result of a much lower NM concentration in the outdoor measurements, resulting in less absorption and self-absorption and making it possible to measure the signal closer to the absorption peak.

4.4. Outdoor Standoff Measurements on NM. Resonance Raman measurements were also made on NM in vapor phase outdoors, with the sample slightly heated and completely exposed to the wind. Figure 11 shows the NM spectrum measured outdoors at an irradiation wavelength of 220 nm. The concentration of NM was not determined and therefore the cross section could not be determined. At 2331 cm$^{-1}$, the N$_2$ peak is seen, and the peak at about 1550 cm$^{-1}$ originates from O$_2$. The first order NM double peak is seen at approximately 1380 cm$^{-1}$ and the second order Raman peak can be seen at about 2770 cm$^{-1}$. In the outdoor measurements, it was possible to see the signal from NM vapor at shorter

Figure 9: Raman signal from vapor phase measurements at a

laser wavelength of 232 nm on (a) ethanol at a concentration of 69,000 ppm and (b) ethanol and NM at concentrations of 66,200 ppm and 40,400 ppm, respectively.

Figure 11: Resonance Raman spectrum from outdoor measurement on nitro methane in vapor phase at an irradiation wavelength of 220 nm. The sample temperature was approximately 328 K, the outdoor temperature was 274 K, and the atmospheric pressure was about 755 Torr. The spectrum was accumulated during 1000 laser pulses.

Figure 10: Raman signal from vapor phase measurements at a

laser wavelength of 232 nm on (a) methanol at a concentration of 138,000 ppm and (b) methanol and NM at concentrations of 133,000 ppm and 37,500 ppm, respectively.

5. Conclusions

Resonance Raman spectroscopy measurements have been performed on NM, DNT, and TNT in vapor phase at standoff distances. In addition, the Raman spectra of acetone, ethanol, and methanol in vapor phase were measured at 232 nm. It was demonstrated that there is a clear enhancement of specific Raman lines in the explosive substances at UV wavelengths and that they can be used to identify vapor at a distance. The resonance enhancement for the explosive substances at different concentrations and wavelengths has
been estimated to be between 10,000 and 250,000 compared to the Raman cross section at 532 nm. The apparent cross sections and enhancements are reduced by absorption and self-absorption in the sample vapor, and the sensitivity is affected by the small size of the illuminated volume. It is believed that an increased volume and lower concentration in open air will have a positive effect on the sensitivity. Measurements performed on NM outdoors at 13 m distance under more realistic conditions showed good results, supporting this idea, and together with the laboratory results, they demonstrate the capability of resonance-enhanced Raman spectroscopy as a method to detect small amounts of explosives in vapor phase and its potential for detection of hidden explosives at stand-off distances.
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