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Synthesis of BiNbO₄ by the Flux Method
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BiNbO₄ has been successfully synthesized using Bi₂O₃–B₂O₃ eutectic flux. In particular, we succeeded in synthesizing a low-temperature-phase BiNbO₄ crystal (α-BiNbO₄) at 1073 K as well as high-temperature-phase BiNbO₄ crystal (β-BiNbO₄). The morphology of α-BiNbO₄ and β-BiNbO₄ particles prepared by the flux method is a euhedral crystal. In contrast, the morphology of particles prepared by solid state reaction differs: α-BiNbO₄ is aggregated and β-BiNbO₄ is necked. Ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectra indicate that the absorption edge is at a longer wavelength for β-BiNbO₄ than for α-BiNbO₄ with β-BiNbO₄ absorbing light of wavelengths up to nearly 400 nm.

1. Introduction

Since the photocatalytic reaction of TiO₂ was reported in the 1970s, many oxide photocatalysts have been studied [1]. The electrons of oxide photocatalysts are excited from the valence band to the conduction band by the absorption of light with energy equal to or greater than the band gap, resulting in the generation of electrons and holes that then undergo redox reaction [2]. This behavior has been linked to the decomposition of organic substances [3, 4].

In light of our planet’s current serious energy problems, oxide photocatalysts that possess band gaps near that required to split water are of particular interest [5]. Among candidate photocatalysts, BiNbO₄ seems especially promising for the following reasons: (1) it exhibits higher photocatalytic activity for H₂ evolution than TiO₂ [6], (2) it undergoes a phase transition from low-temperature orthorhombic to high-temperature triclinic at 1293 K [7–9], and (3) its low-temperature phase exhibits higher photocatalytic activity than its high-temperature phase [10–14].

To date, the principal method for synthesizing BiNbO₄’s low-temperature phase has been solid state reaction. Among the few reported alternative methods, a method using low-temperature liquid-phase reaction has been shown to produce a product that is more crystalline than that produced by solid state reaction [15, 16]. In addition, a method using BiOF flux has been shown to produce low-temperature-phase BiNbO₄ [17]. However, in the latter case, mechanical separation of a product from flux has been difficult.

In this study, we solved the problem of separating a product from flux in the second method cited above by using a new flux: Bi₂O₃–B₂O₃ eutectic flux. BiNbO₄ so prepared exhibits higher crystallinity than that obtained by solid state reaction.

2. Experimental

α-BiNbO₄ and β-BiNbO₄ were prepared by two ways—the flux method and solid state reaction—from the following starting materials: Bi₂O₃ (Kojundo Chemical Laboratory Co., Ltd., Japan), Nb₂O₅ (Kojundo Chemical Laboratory Co., Ltd., Japan), and B₂O₃ (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Japan).

For preparation by the flux method, the starting reactants were mixed at a molar ratio of Bi₂O₃ : Nb₂O₅ : B₂O₃ = 11 : 11 : 9. The mixture was heated in an alumina boat at 10 K/min from room temperature to 1073 K or 1473 K in an electric tube furnace, held at 1073 K or 1473 K for 10 h, and allowed to cool naturally to room temperature. The product was separated from flux by washing with 2 M HNO₃ in an ultrasonic bath for 2 h and then dried at 353 K.
For preparation by solid state reaction, starting reactants Bi$_2$O$_3$ and Nb$_2$O$_5$ were mixed in an appropriate stoichiometric ratio for 30 min by in an agate mortar. The mixture was heated in an alumina boat at 10 K/min from room temperature to 973 K for 3 h in an electric tube furnace, cooled to room temperature, removed from the furnace, remixied for 30 min in the agate mortar, and then heated in the alumina boat at 10 K/min from room temperature to 1173 K or 1473 K for 24 h in the furnace.

The crystal structures of the products were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku RINT-2200 diffractometer using CuKα radiation and operating at 40 kV and 40 mA). Product morphology was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-5200). Moreover, UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra were obtained by UV-Vis spectrophotometry (JASCO-550).

3. Results and Discussion

The transition of BiNbO$_4$ from the low-temperature orthorhombic phase to the high-temperature triclinic phase is well known to occur at 1293 K. An eutectic point is at 923 K at a molar ratio of Bi$_2$O$_3$:B$_2$O$_3$ = 11:9 [18]. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns for the products prepared at a low temperature by the flux method using Bi$_2$O$_3$–B$_2$O$_3$ eutectic flux at 1073 K and by solid state reaction at 1173 K. These
patterns agree with the pattern for BiNbO$_4$ reported in ICDD data 01-082-0348 [17]. Similarly, Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns for the product prepared at a high temperature by the same two ways: flux method using Bi$_2$O$_3$–B$_2$O$_3$ eutectic flux at 1473 K and solid state reaction at 1473 K. These patterns agree with the pattern for BiNbO$_4$ reported in ICDD data 01-071-1518 [19]. Moreover, diffraction intensity is stronger for the product prepared by the flux method than for the product prepared by solid state reaction, suggesting that the flux method gives a highly crystalline product.

Figure 3 shows the SEM images of the products prepared by the flux method and solid state reaction. With solid state reaction, $\alpha$-BiNbO$_4$ particles aggregate and $\beta$-BiNbO$_4$ particles neck because of long-duration heating at high temperature. In contrast, with the flux method, both $\alpha$-BiNbO$_4$ and $\beta$-BiNbO$_4$ particles form euhedral crystals. The difference in behavior and thus product morphology presumably arises as follows: in the solid state, reaction occurs via interparticle contact and proceeds unevenly, whereas in the liquid phase, reaction occurs at the atomic level in solution and proceeds evenly. Moreover, synthesis by the flux method using Bi$_2$O$_3$–B$_2$O$_3$ eutectic flux presumably promotes crystal growth by dissolving Nb$_2$O$_5$ from the solute.
Figure 4 shows UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of the products prepared by the flux method and solid state reaction. The absorption edge is at a longer wavelength for the high-temperature triclinic phase than for the low-temperature orthorhombic phase, suggesting that the former is available for longer wavelength light than the latter. The difference between the absorption edge for the two phases is due to differences in their crystal structures. The valence band of BiNbO₄ is composed of a Bi 6s² and O 2p hybrid orbital. The conduction band of BiNbO₄ is composed of an Nb 4d orbital [11]. Wiegel and coworkers have reported that the Bi 6s² valence band potential for the high-temperature phase lies just above the O 2p valence band potential and that the Bi 6s² valence band potential for the low-temperature phase lies just beneath the O 2p valence band potential [20]. These findings readily explain the observed difference between the absorption edge for the low-temperature and high-temperature phases.

Figure 5 shows the crystal structures of BiNbO₄’s low-temperature orthorhombic and high-temperature triclinic
systems. In both systems, Bi atom layers and NbO6 octahedron layers alternate. In the orthorhombic system, they do so in a flat manner; in the triclinic system, they do so in a zigzag manner. The Nb–O bond distances are almost equal in the two systems. However, the cell volumes differ and are larger (about 330 Å) for the flat-layered orthorhombic system and smaller (324 Å) for the zigzag-layered triclinic system [19]. The difference of Bi 6s2 valence band potential is considered to differ in cell volume. Moreover, the separation of excited electrons and holes is easier in the flat-layered orthorhombic system than in the zigzag-layered triclinic system. Thus, photocatalytic activity seems to be greater for the orthorhombic system compared with the triclinic system.

4. Conclusions

We succeeded in the synthesis of low-temperature-phase BiNbO4 using Bi2O3–B2O3 eutectic flux. The synthesis temperature, 1073 K, is 100 K lower than that for the synthesis by conventional solid state reaction. Separation of products and flux is accomplished easily by washing with 2 M HNO3 in an ultrasonic bath. Particles so prepared differ from those prepared by solid state reaction in two significant ways: (1) they are highly crystalline and euhedral as opposed to agglutinate and (2) their diffraction intensity is stronger. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra show that the absorption edge is longer for the high-temperature phase than for the low-temperature phase of BiNbO4, suggesting that of the two phases, the high-temperature phase is available for longer-wavelength light.
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