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This paper considers the estimation problem for the Fréchet distribution under progressive Type II censoring with random removals, where the number of units removed at each failure time has a binomial distribution. We use the maximum likelihood method to obtain the estimators of parameters and derive the sampling distributions of the estimators, and we also construct the confidence intervals for the parameters and percentile of the failure time distribution.

1. Introduction

Recently, the extreme value distribution is becoming increasingly important in engineering statistics as a suitable model to represent phenomena with usually large maximum observations. In engineering circles, this distribution is often called the Fréchet model. It is one of the pioneers of extreme value statistics. The Fréchet (extreme value type II) distribution is one of the probability distributions used to model extreme events. The generalization of the standard Fréchet distribution has been introduced by Nadarajah and Kotz [1] and Abd-Elfattah and omina [2]. There are over fifty applications ranging from accelerated life testing through to earthquakes, floods, rain fall, queues in supermarkets, sea currents, wind speeds, and track race records, see Kotz and Nadarajah [3]. Censoring arises in a life test when exact lifetimes are known for only a portion of test units and the remainder of the lifetimes are known only to exceed certain values under an experiment. There are several types of censored test. One of the most common censoring schemes is Type II censoring. In a Type II censoring, a total of $n$ units is placed on test, but instead of continuing until all $n$ units have failed, the test is terminated at the time of the $m$th ($1 \leq m \leq n$) unit failure. Type II censoring with different failure time distribution has been studied by many authors including Mann et al. [4], Lawless [5], and Meeker and Escobar [6]. If an experiment desires to remove live units at points other than the final termination point of the life test, the above described scheme will not be of use to experimenter. Type II censoring does not allow for units to be lost or removed from the test at points other than the final termination point see Balakrishnan and Aggarwala [7, Chapter 1].

A generalization of Type II censoring is progressive Type II censoring. Under this scheme, $n$ units are placed on test at time zero, and $m$ failure are going to be observed. When the first failure is observed, $r_1$ of surviving units are randomly selected, removed, and so on. This experiment terminates at the time when the $m$th failures is observed and remaining $r_m = n - r_1 - r_2 - \cdots - r_{m-1} - m$ surviving units are all removed. The statistical inference on the parameters of failure time distribution under progressive Type II censoring has been studied by several authors [7–10]. Note that, in this scheme, $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ are all prefixed. However, in some practical situations, these numbers may occur at random [11]. In some reliability experiments, an experimenter may decide that it is inappropriate or too dangerous to carry on the testing on some of the tested units even though
these units have not failed. In these cases, the pattern of removal at each failure is random. We assume that, any test unit being dropped out from the life test is independent of the others but with the same probability \( p \). Then Tea et al. [12] indicated that the number of test units removed at each failure time has a binomial distribution.

In this paper, we will make inference on the parameters of three-parameter Fréchet distribution under progressive type II censoring with binomial removals. The maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) for the parameters in an explicit and implicit form are obtained in Section 3. Section 4 discusses the sampling distribution of the MLEs and constructs the confidence intervals for the parameters. The estimator and confidence interval for the percentile of failure time distribution are also presented in Section 4.

2. Description of the Model

Let random variable \( X \) has a three-parameter Fréchet distribution. The probability density function and cumulative distribution function are

\[
f(x) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \left( \frac{\beta}{x - \theta} \right)^{\alpha+1} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\beta}{x - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \right], \quad (x > \theta, \alpha, \beta > 0),
\]

\[
F(x) = \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\beta}{x - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \right], \quad (x > \theta, \alpha, \beta > 0),
\]

respectively, where \( \alpha \) is continuous shape parameter, \( \beta \) is continuous scale parameter, and \( \theta \) is continuous location parameter (\( \theta = 0 \) yields the two-parameter Fréchet distribution).

3. Estimation of Parameters

Suppose \( n \) independent units are placed on a test with the corresponding life times being identically distributed with probability density function \( f_X(x) \) and cumulative distribution function \( F_X(x) \). For simplicity of notation, let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m \) denote a progressively Type II censored sample. Then, the joint probability density function of all \( m \) progressively Type II-censored order statistics is

\[
f_{X_1, \ldots, X_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = k \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_X(x_i) [1 - F_X(x_i)]^{r_i}, \quad x_1 < \ldots < x_m,
\]

where \( k = n(n - r_1 - 1) \cdots (n - r_1 - \ldots - r_m - m - 1) \).

Thus, for a progressively Type II with predetermined number of removals \( R = r \), the conditional likelihood and Log-likelihood functions, respectively, can be written as

\[
L(\alpha, \beta, \theta | R = r)
\]

\[
= \frac{k^m \prod_{i=1}^{m} \beta^{\beta x_i} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\beta}{x_i - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{r_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} r_i!} \left( \frac{\beta}{x_i - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\beta}{x_i - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \right],
\]

\[
L^*(\alpha, \beta, \theta | R = r)
\]

\[
= \ln k + m \ln \alpha + m \alpha \ln \beta - (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln(x_i - \theta)
\]

\[
- \beta^m \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \theta)^{-\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i \ln \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \left( \frac{\beta}{x_i - \theta} \right)^{\alpha} \right) \right],
\]

where \( k \) is defined in (3). Now, suppose that an individual unit being removed from the life test is independent of the others but with the same probability \( p \). Then, the number of units removed at each failure time follows a binomial distribution such that

\[
P(R_1 = r_1) = \binom{n - m}{r_1} p^r (1 - p)^{n - m - r},
\]

where \( 0 \leq r_1 \leq n \)

\[
P(R_i = r_i | R_{i-1} = r_{i-1}, \ldots, R_1 = r_1)
\]

\[
= \binom{n - m - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k}{r_i} p^r (1 - p)^{n - m - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_k},
\]

where \( 0 \leq r_i \leq n - m - \sum_{k=1}^{i} r_k, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m - 1 \).

Suppose further that \( R_i \) is independent of \( X_i \). Then, the Log-likelihood function can be expressed as

\[
L^*(\alpha, \beta, \theta) = L^*(\alpha, \beta, \theta | R = r) P(R = r),
\]

where

\[
P(R = r)
\]

\[
= P(R_{m-1} = r_{m-1} | R_{m-2} = r_{m-2}, \ldots, R_1 = r_1) \cdots P(R_2 = r_2 | R_1 = r_1) P(R_1 = r_1),
\]

\[
P(R = r)
\]

\[
= \frac{(n - m)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i! (n - m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i)!} \times p^{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i} (1 - p)^{(m-1)(n-m) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i}.\]
Independently, the MLE of parameter \( p \) can be obtained by maximizing (10). Thus, we find immediately

\[
\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i}{(m-1)(n-m) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (m-i-1)r_i}.
\]

(11)

Note that \( P(R = r) \) does not depend on the parameters \( \alpha, \beta \), and \( \theta \), and hence the MLE’s of the parameters can be derived from (5) by differentiating with respect to \( \alpha, \beta \), and \( \theta \) and equating to zero, in this case we have

\[
m - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ \frac{\beta}{\theta - x_i} \right]^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{r_i[\beta/(\theta - x_i)]^\alpha \exp\left(-[\beta/(\theta - x_i)]^\alpha \right)}{1 - \exp\left(-[\beta/(\theta - x_i)]^\alpha \right)} = 0,
\]

(12)

\[
m \alpha + m \ln \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(-\frac{\beta}{\theta - x_i}\right) \ln \left[\frac{\beta}{\theta - x_i}\right] + \frac{r_i \ln[\beta/(\theta - x_i)] \exp\left(-[\beta/(\theta - x_i)]^\alpha \right)}{1 - \exp\left(-[\beta/(\theta - x_i)]^\alpha \right)} = 0,
\]

(13)

since (12) cannot be solved analytically for estimators \( \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \) and \( \hat{\theta} \). Hence, in this paper, the numerical solution is used to solve the problem.

4. Some Further Results

In this section, we are going to derive the sampling distributions of the MLE’s and obtain the confidence intervals for the parameters [13–15]. In addition, we will obtain the MLE and confidence interval for the percentile of failure time distribution.

4.1. Distributions and Confidence Intervals for the Parameters.

Let \( X_1 < X_2 < \cdots < X_{m-1} < X_m \) denote a progressively Type II censored sample from a three-parameter Fréchet distribution with censoring scheme \( R = (r_1, \ldots, r_m) \). Let \( Y_i = [(x_i - \theta)/\beta]^{-\alpha}, i = 1, \ldots, m \). It can be seen that, \( Z_1 < Z_2 < \cdots < Z_m \) is a progressively Type II censored sample from an exponential distribution with mean 1. Let us consider the following transformation

\[
Z_1 = nY_1
\]

(14)

\[
Z_2 = (n - r_1 - 1)(Y_2 - Y_1)
\]

(15)

\[
Z_m = (n - r_1 - \cdots - r_{m-1} - m + 1)(Y_m - Y_{m-1}).
\]

(16)

Thomas and Wilson [16] showed that the generalized spacings \( Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m \) as defined in the previous equation (13) are all independent and identically distributed as standard exponential. \( V = 2Z_1 = 2n[(x_1 - \theta)/\beta]^\alpha \) has a Chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom. We can also write the numerator of \( \hat{\beta} \) as the sum of \( m - 1 \) independent generalized spacings, that is, \( 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_i+1)Y_i - nY_1 = 2 \sum_{i=2}^{m} Z_i. \) Therefore, we can find that, conditionally on a fixed set of \( R = (r_1, \ldots, r_m), U = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_i+1)Y_i - nY_1 \) has a Chi-square distribution with \( 2m-2 \) degrees of freedom. It is also easily seen that \( V \) and \( U \) are independent. Let

\[
T_1 = \frac{U}{(m-1)V} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_i+1)Y_i - nY_1}{n(m-1)Y_1},
\]

(17)

\[
T_2 = U + V = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_i+1)Y_i,
\]

(18)

It is easy to show that, \( T_1 \) has an \( F \) distribution with \( 2m-2 \) and 2 degrees of freedom, and \( T_2 \) has a Chi-square distribution with \( 2m \) degrees of freedom. Furthermore, \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are independent.

Theorem 1. Suppose that \( w_1 < w_2 < \cdots < w_m \). Let

\[
T_1(w) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_i+1)(w_i - \theta)^{-\alpha} - n(w_1 - \theta)^{-\alpha}}{n(m-1)(w_1 - \theta)^{-\alpha}},
\]

(19)

Then, \( T_1(w) \) is strictly increasing in \( w \) for any \( w > 0 \), furthermore, if \( t > 0 \), the equation \( T_1(w) = t \) has unique solution for any \( w > 0 \).

Suppose that, \( X_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \) are order statistics of a progressively Type II censored sample of size \( n \) from the Fréchet distribution, with censoring scheme \( (r_1, \ldots, r_m) \) the a 100(1 - \( \phi \)) confidence interval for \( \alpha \) is

\[
\Psi(X_1, \ldots, X_m, F_{\phi/2}(2m-2, 2)) < \alpha < \Psi(X_1, \ldots, X_m, F_{1-\phi/2}(2m-2, 2)).
\]

(20)
Such that,
\[
1 - \phi = P\left[ F_{\phi/2}(2m - 2, 2) < T_1 < F_{1-\phi/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right]
\]
\[
= P\left[ F_{\phi/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right.
\]
\[
< \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i + 1)(x_i - \theta)^{-a} - n(x_i - \theta)^{-a}}{n(m - 1)(x_i - \theta)^{-a}}
\]
\[
< F_{1-\phi/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right].
\]
Furthermore, the 100(1 - \phi) joint confidence region for \(\theta\) and \(\beta\) is determined by the following inequalities:
\[
\Psi \left( X_1, \ldots, X_m, F_{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right),
\]
\[
< \alpha < \Psi \left( X_1, \ldots, X_m, F_{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right),
\]
\[
\frac{X^2_{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m)}{[2 \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i + 1)(x_i - \theta)^{-a}]} < \beta < \frac{X^2_{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m)}{[2 \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i + 1)(x_i - \theta)^{-a}]}^{1/\alpha}.
\]
(19)

Such that,
\[
1 - \phi = \sqrt{1 - \phi}\sqrt{1 - \phi} = P\left[ F_{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m - 2, 2) < T_1 \right.
\]
\[
< F_{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m - 2, 2) \right] \right]
\]
\[
\times P\left[ X^2_{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m) < T_2 < X^2_{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \phi})/2}(2m) \right].
\]
(20)

4.2. Confidence Interval of \(x_F\). In reliability analysis [17, 18], we are not only interested in making inference about parameter but also interested in deriving inference about percentiles of the failure time distribution. Let \(x_F\) be the 100\(1 - \phi\)th percentile of the failure time distribution. One can obtain \(x_F\) by solving \(F_X(x_F) = p\) where \(F_X(\cdot)\) is as given in (2). Then it easy to see that \(x_F = \hat{\theta} - \hat{\beta}(\log p)^{-1/\alpha}\). Consequently, the MLE of \(x_F\) is given by \(x_F = \hat{\theta} - \hat{\beta}(\log p)^{-1/\alpha}\). Confidence interval for \(x_F\) can be derived by using the pivotal quantity \(U_F = [(x_F - \hat{\theta})/\hat{\beta}]^{-a}\) if \((u_{f,1-\phi})^{-a}\) is the (1 - \(\phi\))-th percentile of \(U_F\), then
\[
1 - \phi = P\left[ U_F \leq u_{f,1-\phi} \right] = P\left[ x_F \geq \hat{\theta} - \hat{\beta}u_{f,1-\phi} \right].
\]
(21)

Hence, \(\hat{\theta} - \hat{\beta}u_{f,1-\phi}\) is a lower confidence limit for \(x_F\) with confidence coefficient 1 - \(\phi\). We can rewrite (21) as
\[
1 - \phi = P\left[ \frac{V}{2\alpha} + \lambda U \leq - \ln p \right],
\]
(22)
such that, \(V \sim \chi^2(2), U \sim \chi^2(2m - 2),\) and \(\lambda = -u_{f,1-\phi}/2m\). In addition, \(V\) and \(U\) are independent, which is discussed in the previous subsection. Hence, we need to find the value of \(\lambda\) by using solving (22). There are several methods for computing \(\lambda\), see Engelhardt and Bain [19].

5. Conclusions

We develop some results on a three-parameter Fréchet distribution when progressive Type II censoring with binomial removals is performed. We derive the MLEs and confidence for the parameters. The MLE and confidence interval for the percentiles of failure time distribution are obtained. In practice, it is often useful to have an idea of the duration of a life test. Therefore, it is important to compute the expected time required to complete a life test. In the case of progressively type II-censored sampling plan with binomial removals, one can obtain this information by calculating the expectation of the \(m\)th order statistic. In fact, we believe that the value of removal probability \(p\) is very important when we compare the expected test times of progressive Type II censoring with binomial removals and complete sampling plan. In addition, the removal probability \(p\) may not be fixed for each stage. Such belief is not discussed in this paper and we will be investigated in the future.
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