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Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma is a term that encompasses a spectrum of non-Hodgkin’s T-cell lymphomas with primary manifestations in the skin. It describes a heterogeneous group of neoplasms that are characterised by an accumulation of malignant T cells of the CD4 phenotype that have the propensity to home and accumulate in the skin, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood. The two most common variants of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma include mycosis fungoides and the leukemic variant, the Sézary syndrome. While numerous treatments are available for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and have shown to have success in those with patch and plaque lesions, for those patients with tumour stage or lymph node involvement there is a significant decline in response. The relatively new therapeutic option with the use of histone deacetylase inhibitors is being advanced in the hope of decreasing morbidity and mortality associated with the disease. Histone deacetylase inhibitors have been shown to induce changes in gene expression, affecting cell cycle regulation, differentiation, and apoptosis. The aim of this paper is to discuss CTCL in the context of advances in CTCL treatment, specifically with HDAC inhibitors.

1. Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCLs) are a heterogeneous group of extranodal non-Hodgkin’s T-cell lymphomas derived from T lymphocytes that infiltrate the skin [1, 2]. CTCL is characterised by an accumulation of malignant T cells of the CD4 phenotype that target and persist in the skin, yet have the propensity to home and accumulate in the lymph nodes and peripheral blood as the disease progresses [2–6]. The term CTCL was coined in 1974 by Edelson [4, 7] to describe the major classifications of CTCL, including mycosis fungoides (MF) and the leukemic variant the Sézary syndrome (SS). Although a rare condition, in its advanced or transformed stages it is a debilitating and devastating disease, differing dramatically in its clinical and histopathologic presentations and subsequent therapeutic considerations [8]. While a number of therapies are available for the treatment of this disease, generally patients develop progressive disease after becoming treatment intolerant or refractory to multiple therapies.

The annual incidence of CTCL is approximately 0.5 per 100,000, having a higher prevalence among men than women (male-to-female ratio of 1.6 : 1–2.0 : 1) and typically seen in adults with a median age of 55–60 years at diagnosis [9, 10]. The exact number of cases is difficult to determine due to the lack of a definitive diagnoses at early stages. This is in part due to the presence of multiple clinical presentations. Indeed, at the onset of the disease, both symptoms and skin biopsy samples mimic benign skin conditions such as eczema, psoriasis, chronic contact dermatitis, and atopic dermatitis [11]. For these reasons it takes on average 6 years from the onset of disease until the diagnosis of CTCL is confirmed [12]. In recent years, however, the number of diagnoses has been on the rise, where the annual incidence in the United States has risen from 2.8 per million, 1973–1977, to 9.6 per million 1998–2002 [13]. This is most likely a result
of advances in detection methods of the disease at early stages [9, 14]. The introduction of more sensitive biological tools such as immunotyping and gene rearrangement studies has contributed towards higher accuracy of diagnosis [14, 15].

CTCL has a diverse pathology and clinical course; MF presents generally as an indolent disease with slow progression where it will evolve from patches to infiltrated plaques, later developing into tumours. In a small population of cases the erythrodermic stage will arise, at which point the disease is known as SS. Accurate staging of the disease is essential, as the choice of therapy is highly dependent upon the clinical stage of the disease [18–20]. In 1975 the disease was first categorised into stages based on a tumour-node-metastasis (TMN) system by the North American Mycosis Fungoides Cooperative Study Group (Table 1). Consequently, the classification has been updated and modified by the cutaneous T-cell lymphoma workshop [1, 21] to the system used today (Table 2) [12, 15–17]. The extent of pathogenesis and expected outcome are dependent on various prognostic factors such as the extent and type of skin involvement, presence of extracutaneous disease, and blood involvement. There is a positive correlation between survival rate and disease progression. As an example, at the onset of disease, life expectancy matches that of equivalent age healthy controls, whilst at the final stages the life expectancy reduces to between 3.2 and 9.9 years.

The annual prevalence of MF is 0.41 per 100,000; however the rate of incidence is increasing [10]. MF, first described by Alibert in 1806 [22], is characterised by the presence of malignant T lymphocytes in the skin. While the course of MF is unpredictable, patients generally present with pink or erythematous scaly patches and plaques that most commonly are seen on sun-protected regions of the skin, including the proximal extremities, trunk, and buttocks—with varying degrees of scaling and pruritus [5, 11]. MF is a low-grade lymphoma where the median survival for patients with limited patch lesions is the same as an age-matched population, and prognosis is good. During patch stage, a biopsy will generally indicate an atypical lymphocytic infiltrate. Alternatively during late patch or plaque stage, the lymphocytic infiltrate is observed to have migrated to the superficial dermis, where individual lymphocytes are present among epidermal keratinocytes. The neoplastic cells, when encountering the ligand E-selectin on endothelial cells, eventually arrive at the skin via expression of the cutaneous lymphocyte-associated (CLA) marker [23]. While CLA is a known marker for skin-homing T cells, recent reports have stated that CLA’s ability to mediate leukocyte homing to skin depends on specific chemokine receptor-ligand interactions [24]. Specifically the chemokine receptor CCR4 has been implicated in the process due to the increased presence of CLA+ CCR4+ T cells in the circulation of CTCL patients with peripheral blood involvement [23, 25].

Conversely, in less than 5% of all cases of CTCL, the disease can progress to tumour stage lesions, where malignant T cells form intradermal and ulcerating tumours that spread to the lymph nodes and internal organs [26]. Known as the leukemic variant of CTCL, the condition SS can result as a progression of MF to a more advanced form or may arise de novo [3, 11]. First classified in 1938 by the Sézary, SS is typically identified as having the triad of measurable blood involvement by malignant lymphocytes with hyperconvoluted, cerebriform nuclei known as the Sézary cells, the presence of diffuse erythema (>80% body surface area involved with patches/plaques), and finally generalised lymphadenopathy [27]. In these advanced stages, prognosis is poor and the median survival rate is two to four years [28, 29].

**2. Current Therapy Options for CTCL**

A myriad of treatments are available for CTCL, yet therapy is predominantly palliative and highly dependent upon the stage at diagnosis. Treatment is broadly divided into two categories—skin-directed and systemic therapies. Skin-directed treatments are the first-line agents during the early stages of the disease (IA to IIA) when less than 20% of the body surface area is affected [11, 30]. While this has shown to be effective, as the disease progresses and patients develop extensive or refractory disease, systemic therapy becomes essential. During these advanced stages (≥IIB), a wide scope of treatments exists. One approach includes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage IA</th>
<th>T1 N0 M0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>T2 N0 M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIA</td>
<td>T1/2 N1 M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>T3 N0/1 M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>T4 N0/1 M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVA</td>
<td>T1–4 N2/3 M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVB</td>
<td>T1–4 N0-3 M1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
topical chemotherapeutic agents such as mechlorethamine—commonly known as nitrogen mustard—and carmustine. Chemotherapy has proved to be successful in patients with early-stage disease; however in those with more advanced stages and greater skin involvement, responses are not maintained and complete remission is infrequent [31].

An additional treatment often introduced at more advanced stages is corticosteroids. Studies have shown that topical corticosteroids, especially Class I, are useful for patch-stage MF [32]. However, while clinical responses may be achieved at later stages, they are generally not maintained and disease relapses [33]. In the case of resistance or intolerance to topical therapies mentioned above, the novel topical retinoid, bexarotene, also known as Targretin, is employed. Recently being approved by the FDA for treatment of stage I MF patients [34], bexarotene is a retinoid that binds the retinoid X receptor, causing activation and in turn promoting apoptosis and inhibition of cell proliferation [30].

Reports have shown response rates of up to 63%, and in the case of stage IA-IIA disease, complete response rates in 21% of individuals [34]. Finally, CTCL is radiosensitive rendering both low-dose superficial orthovoltage radiotherapy and whole-body total skin electron beam (TSEB) therapy viable options of treatment for advanced stages of the disease. TSEB delivers uniform, limited-depth electrons to the entire skin surface area [12, 35]. While studies have shown this technique to have high complete response rates, up to 96% in stages IB, IIA, and IIB, once again considerably high relapse rates are concerning. Further, due to the high doses required, the treatment is accompanied by a wide scope of adverse effects such as temporary alopecia and skin malignancies [30]. In numerous cases lesions occur in nonexposed areas, and so the use of ultraviolet (UV) radiation is necessary. Both UVB irradiation and a photosensitising psoralen in combination with PUVA (PUVA) have been implicated for the treatment of CTCL.

While numerous treatment modalities are already in use for CTCL, most remain palliative, and for those with advanced stages of disease, prolonged remissions or cures are rare. In this regard, novel treatments are necessary in order to combat CTCL and render lower levels of morbidity and mortality and further achieve longer durations of response. Over the past few years, there has been an explosion into novel therapeutics for the treatment of CTCL, one new approach being HDAC inhibitors (HDACIs). HDACIs have recently evoked significant amounts of attention emerging as a promising class of antineoplastic agents that promote gene expression, including those that regulate cell differentiation and apoptosis [36] as well as changes to the structural integrity of chromatin [37–39]. This provides the rationale for the clinical potential of HDACIs in cancer therapy, whereby inhibition of HDACs may prevent reexpression of proteins that promote apoptosis and cell differentiation, while simultaneously inhibiting cell cycle and cell division [40]. Hence a number of these compounds are currently being developed as anti-tumour agents that both suppress growth and induce apoptosis of hyperproliferating cancer cells [41]. Class I, II, and IV HDACs are evolutionarily related zinc-dependent deacetylases that can be inhibited by broad-spectrum HDACIs, such as suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat). Various classes of HDACIs have been used either clinically or experimentally for the management of CTCL. As of 2010, over 80 clinical trials were underway, encompassing more than 15 different HDACIs for the treatment of both solid and haematological malignancies, either alone or in combination with other therapeutic modalities [42].

4. Histone Acetylation

In eukaryotes chromatin is organised by packaging it into higher-order structures known as nucleosomes. These are repeating units of 146 base pairs (bp) of DNA wrapped around the core histone octamer, composed of two copies of each of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 [37, 43]. Chromatin undergoes dynamic remodelling to facilitate DNA metabolic processes including transcription, replication, and repair [44]. Remodelling of chromatin via posttranslational modifications of the N-amino tails of the histones has numerous effects on DNA metabolism including the alteration of gene transcription. The posttranslational modifications of these proteins are characterised by acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and sumoylation and are collectively termed the “histone code” [45]. These posttranslational modifications cause changes in transcription and other DNA metabolic processes, while the DNA sequence remains the same—referred to as epigenetic changes.

Histones have an amino-terminal tail rich in lysine residues that are the sites for the majority of posttranslational modifications. A relatively well-characterized epigenetic modification of these histone tails is acetylation [46]. Histones can be acetylated and deacetylated at the ε-amino groups of lysine residues, which are located in the amino-terminal tails [47]. Their acetylation status is dependent on the opposing actions of two classes of enzymes, histone acetyl-transferases (HATs), and histone deacetylases (HDACs) [48]. Acetylation is catalysed by HATs, where acetyl groups are transferred from acetyl-CoA to certain lysines at the amino terminal tail, causing a more open, transcriptionally active chromatin conformation [49, 50]. Conversely HDACs remodel chromatin by changing nucleosomal packaging of DNA. They are primarily involved in the repression of gene transcription by compacting the chromatin structure through the removal of the charge-neutralising acetyl groups (deacetylation) from the lysine tails of histones H3 and H4, subsequently inhibiting transcription [51]. Overall, it is proposed that acetylation levels regulate gene transcription by controlling the accessibility of transcription factors to

3. Epigenetic Mechanisms

Aberrant HDAC activity and mutations of HDAC enzymes have been observed in a number of malignancies. Aside from gene regulation, HDACs are either directly or indirectly involved in modulating numerous cellular pathways including proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and differentiation...
Histone acetylation is regulated by the addition of acetyl-CoA via the opposing actions of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) in lysine residues on core histones. This addition of the acetyl group results in a more open, transcriptionally permissive chromatin conformation. Removal of acetyl groups by HDACs leads to a condensed, transcriptionally repressive chromatin conformation. HDACIs inhibit HDAC activity, subsequently altering gene transcription and remodelling chromatin by targeting a number of nonhistone proteins.

5. Histone Deacetylases

HDAC enzymes have been divided into 4 classes based on their sequence, biochemical, and structural similarities to yeast proteins [53, 54] (Figure 2). Class I (HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8) HDACs are expressed ubiquitously in tissue and consist mainly of a deacetylase domain, related to yeast reduced potassium dependency-3 (Rpd-3). They are found primarily in the nucleus [55] and have important roles in regulating gene transcription, cell survival, and proliferation [56]. Class II HDACs are highly expressed in muscle, brain, and T cells and have an extended N-terminus that serves as a target for protein-protein interactions and for posttranslational modifications. This class is divided into Class IIa, which encompasses HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9, and is related to yeast histone deacetylase-1 (Hda1), and Class IIb, which includes HDAC6 and 10, both of which have two catalytic sites. Class III (SIRT1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7) is related to the yeast HDAC silent information regulator 2 and uses nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide as a cosubstrate. Finally, Class IV (HDAC11) is considered to be structurally diverse enough from the other Zn⁺-dependent HDACs to be classified as a separate class but has conserved residues which are common in both Class I and Class II.

6. HDAC Inhibitors

HDACIs have been separated into certain classes depending on their functional group, which confers their specificity for interaction with HDACs [57]. Groups include short-chain fatty acids, hydroxamates, benzamides, cyclic tetrapeptides, and electrophilic ketones [58, 59]. A number of HDACIs have shown to inhibit tumour growth both in vitro and in vivo [60]. As such, in recent years HDACIs have undergone rapid clinical development for the treatment of CTCL [61] (Table 3).

7. Vorinostat

A prominent HDACI is the oral pan-spectrum inhibitor, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, vorinostat, Zolinza). In 2006, vorinostat became the first drug to be approved for clinical use by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of progressive, persistent, or recurrent CTCL after which two systemic therapies have failed [42, 62]. The study which led to this approval was a single-arm open-label Phase II trial. This study enrolled 74 patients with stage IB or higher, including 82% with ≥IIB. The overall response rate was 29.7%. Oral vorinostat was administered at 400 mg daily. The median time to response was 2 months, and median duration of response was not reached but was estimated to be greater than 6.1 months [63]. A further Phase II trial involving 33 patients with advanced or refractory CTCL had a 24% overall response rate with a median response time of 3 months and a median duration of response for 3.7 months [64]. Side effects of this drug include fatigue, gastrointestinal effects, thrombocytopenia, and dehydration [65].

8. Depsipeptide

The cyclic tetrapeptide depsipeptide (Romidepsin, FK228) has also been approved for the treatment of relapsed/refractory CTCL after patients have received at least one
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDAC class specificity</th>
<th>Potency</th>
<th>Route of administration</th>
<th>Clinical Status</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vorinostat</strong></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>FDA approved (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydroxamate</strong></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Oral/intravenous</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PXD101)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panobinostat</strong></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Phase II, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LBH589)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzamide</strong></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MS-275)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cyclic tetrapeptide</strong></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>FDA approved (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FK228, romidepsin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prior systemic therapy [59, 66, 67]. Isolated as a secondary metabolite from the naturally occurring soil bacterium *Chromobacterium violaceum*, depsipeptide achieved FDA approval in 2009 following two Phase II trials were undertaken including 167 patients with relapsed, refractory, or advanced CTCL [68]. Depsipeptide was administered intravenously at 14 mg/m² on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle. In both trials, the overall response rate was 34%, while the complete response rate was 6%. The median time to response was 2 months, and the median duration of response was greater than 12 months [64, 69]. Depsipeptide, being a bicyclic peptide, is a Class I-specific HDAC inhibitor [70] and has shown to induce cell differentiation, cell cycle arrest in the G₁ and G₂/M phases, and apoptosis in malignant cell lines. This HDACI is generally well tolerated, the most common adverse effects including fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and transient thrombocytopenia and neutropenia [71]. Depsipeptide, together with vorinostat has demonstrated to be clinically efficacious and has so prompted the investigation into other HDACIs as potential anticancer compounds in the treatment of CTCL.

9. Entinostat

The synthetic benzamide derivative entinostat (SNDX-275, formerly MS-275), currently in Phase II trials [68], has shown to have selective Class I HDAC inhibitory activity and has further demonstrated antiproliferative properties in a number of tumour models [72]. Studies have highlighted several mechanisms of action for this HDACI including induction of apoptosis via activation of the intrinsic caspase pathway and downregulation of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein, XIAP, as well as regulation of certain cytokines and chemokines [73]. Patients suffering CTCL have an impaired Th1 response, where there is a skewed immune system towards a Th2 response. This results due to the increased production of certain cytokines, namely, IL-3, IL-4, and IL-10, by the malignant T cells that subsequently lead to inhibition of Th1 cytokines [74–77]. Specifically, pharmacodynamic analysis reveals that following treatment with entinostat, there is increased production of IL-12, a cytokine that is important for Th1 cell differentiation. Additionally, it also decreases expression of IL-13 causing inhibition of the Th2 arm of the immune response [73].

10. Belinostat

looseness=1An additional HDACI currently in late-stage clinical development for the treatment of CTCL is belinostat (PXD101). This novel hydroxamic acid HDACI has shown to be well tolerated and has demonstrated promising results in combination with a number of traditional chemotherapeutic compounds [78]. Preclinical experiments highlighted the ability of belinostat to inhibit cell proliferation and angiogenesis, while inducing differentiation and apoptosis in malignant cells [79]. Subsequently this compound has been tested in multiple Phase I [80, 81] and Phase II [82] clinical trials in haematological neoplasms and solid tumours, including CTCL. Belinostat is the only HDACI in clinical development that has multiple potential routes of administration—including oral and intravenous.
11. Panobinostat

Also belonging to the hydroxamic-type HDACi is panobinostat (LBH589). Panobinostat, like vorinostat and belinostat, is a pan-HDACi having demonstrated potent inhibitory activity at low nanomolar concentrations against Class I, II, and IV HDAC enzymes [83]. This HDACi, currently in Phase II clinical trials, remarkably has shown to be at least tenfold more potent in comparison to vorinostat [83]. This compound has further shown to inhibit proliferation and induce cytotoxicity in a number of malignant cell lines, while having minimal toxicity in normal cell lines [84]. The most common drug-related side effects were thrombocytopenia, anemia, and neutropenia [85]. Specifically studies demonstrated its antineoplastic activity in numerous haematological malignancies, particularly CTCL [61]. These positive clinical responses in CTCL can possibly be attributed to changes in gene expression leading to antiangiogenic, immune modulation, and alteration of apoptotic properties.

12. Conclusions and Future Directions

Numerous treatments are currently available for CTCL, and while partial or complete remission can be achieved, subsequent relapses are common and curative therapy remains elusive. As such there is an intense research effort aimed at developing new therapies. HDACis have demonstrated to be potent antiproliferative agents and further have shown in vitro and in vivo cytotoxicity against CTCL [86]. Two HDACis, namely, vorinostat and romidepsin, have already achieved FDA approval for the treatment of cutaneous manifestations in patients with CTCL who have progressive, persistent, or recurrent disease following the failure of two systemic therapies. The clinical success of these two compounds, which have demonstrated to induce disease regression in CTCL, has prompted the investigation into a multitude of additional HDACis for the treatment of this disease. A number of promising results have been obtained from studies involving these novel antineoplastic agents, and so the future of HDAC-inhibitor-based therapy, especially in the context of enhancing efficacy, is exciting. Further, it alludes to possible combination therapies with therapeutic agents already in practice, a treatment option that has previously shown to achieve additive and even synergistic activity.
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