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1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| < 1\}.$$  \hfill (1.2)

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ the usual subclasses of $A$ consisting of functions which are, respectively, starlike of order $\alpha$ and convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Clearly, we know that

$$f \in K(\alpha) \iff zf' \in S^*(\alpha).$$ \hfill (1.3)
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

(1.4)

for some $\beta \ (\beta > 1)$. Also, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathcal{M}(\beta)$. The classes $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ were introduced and investigated recently by Owa and Srivastava [1] (see also Nishiwaki and Owa [2], Owa and Nishiwaki [3], and Srivastava and Attiya [4]).

Sălăgean [5] introduced the operator

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z),$$
$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}).$$

(1.5)

We note that

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^n a_j z^j \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

(1.6)

Given two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where $f$ is given by (1.1) and $g$ is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

(1.7)

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f \ast g$ is defined by

$$(f \ast g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n =: (g \ast f)(z).$$

(1.8)

For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

(1.9)

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

(1.10)

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

(1.11)
Indeed, it is known that

\[ f(z) < g(z), \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies f(0) = g(0), \ f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.12)

Furthermore, if the function \(g\) is univalent in \(\mathbb{U}\), then we have the following equivalence:

\[ f(z) < g(z), \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0), \ f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.13)

In recent years, Deng [6] (see also Kamali [7], Altintas et al. [8], Srivastava et al. [9], and Xu et al. [10]) introduced and investigated the following subclass of \(A\) involving the Sălăgean operator and obtained the coefficient bounds for this function class.

**Definition 1.1.** A function \(f \in A\) is said to be in the class \(S_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)\) if it satisfies the inequality

\[ \Re \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z)}{(1 - \lambda)D^{n}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.14)

where

\[ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.15)

It is easy to see that the class \(S_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)\) includes the classes \(S^*(\alpha)\) and \(K(\alpha)\) as its special cases.

Now, motivated essentially by the above-mentioned function classes, we introduce the following subclass of \(A\) of analytic functions.

**Definition 1.2.** A function \(f \in A\) is said to be in the class \(M_n(\lambda, \beta, b)\) if it satisfies the inequality:

\[ \Re \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z)}{(1 - \lambda)D^{n}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.16)

where

\[ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \beta > 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.17)

It is also easy to see that the classes \(M(\beta)\) and \(A(\beta)\) are special cases of the class \(M_n(\lambda, \beta, b)\).

In this paper, we aim at proving some coefficient inequalities and subordination properties for the classes \(S_n(\lambda, \beta, b)\) and \(M_n(\lambda, \beta, b)\). The results presented here would provide extensions of those given in earlier works. Several other new results are also obtained.
2. Coefficient Inequalities

In this section, we derive some coefficient inequalities for the classes $S_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)$ and $\mathcal{M}_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let

$$n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

If $f \in A$ satisfies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[ (1 - \lambda) j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right] \left| j - 1 + |b|(1 - \alpha) \right| |a_j| \leq |b|(1 - \alpha), \quad (2.2)$$

then $f \in S_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)$.

**Proof.** To prove $f \in S_n(\lambda, \alpha, b)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\left| (1 - \lambda) D^{n+1} f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2} f(z) \right|}{\left| (1 - \lambda) D^nf(z) + \lambda D^{n+1} f(z) \right| - 1} < |b|(1 - \alpha) \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.3)$$

By noting that

$$\frac{\left| (1 - \lambda) D^{n+1} f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2} f(z) \right|}{\left| (1 - \lambda) D^nf(z) + \lambda D^{n+1} f(z) \right| - 1} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[ (1 - \lambda) (j^{n+1} - j^n) + \lambda (j^{n+2} - j^{n+1}) \right] a_j z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda) j^n + \lambda j^{n+1}] a_j z^{j-1}} \quad (2.4)$$

it follows from (2.2) that the above last expression is bounded by $|b|(1 - \alpha)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 2.2.** Let

$$n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \beta > 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

If $f \in A$ satisfies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[ (1 - \lambda) j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right] \left( |b - 1| + j + |j - 1 - (2\beta - 1)b| \right) |a_j| \leq 2|b|(\beta - 1), \quad (2.6)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_n(\lambda, \beta)$. 

Proof. To prove \( f \in M_n(\lambda, \beta, b) \), it suffices to show that

\[
1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z)}{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) < 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{(1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z)}{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z)} - 1 \right) - 2\beta.
\]

(2.7)

We consider \( M \in \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
M := \left| (b - 1) \left[ (1 - \lambda)D^nf(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z) \right] + (1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z) \right| \\
- \left| (1 - \lambda)D^{n+1}f(z) + \lambda D^{n+2}f(z) - [(2\beta - 1)b + 1]\left[ (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1}f(z) \right] \right| \\
= \left| b z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( (b - 1) \left[ (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right] + \left[ (1 - \lambda)j^{n+1} + \lambda j^{n+2} \right] \right) a_j z^j \right| \\
- \left| z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( (1 - \lambda)j^{n+1} + \lambda j^{n+2} \right) a_j z^j - [(2\beta - 1)b + 1] \left( z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right) a_j z^j \right) \right|.
\]

(2.8)

Thus, for \(|z| = r < 1\), we have

\[
M \leq |b| r + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left| \left[ (b - 1) \left( (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right) + (1 - \lambda)j^{n+1} + \lambda j^{n+2} \right] a_j |r^j \right. \\
- \left. \left[ (2\beta - 1) |b| r - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[ (1 - \lambda)j^{n+1} + \lambda j^{n+2} - [(2\beta - 1) b + 1] \left( (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right) \right] |a_j| r^j \right| \right| \\
< \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left| \left[ (b - 1) \left( (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right) + (1 - \lambda)j^{n+1} + \lambda j^{n+2} \right] - \left[ (2\beta - 1) b + 1 \right] \left( (1 - \lambda)j^n + \lambda j^{n+1} \right) \right| a_j - 2(\beta - 1)|b| \right) r.
\]

(2.9)

It follows from (2.6) that \( M < 0 \), which implies that (2.7) holds, that is, \( f \in M_n(\lambda, \beta, b) \). The proof of Theorem 2.2 is evidently completed. \( \square \)
To prove our next result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $\beta > 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose also that the sequence $(B_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is defined by

\[
B_1 = 1 \quad (j = 1),
\]

\[
B_j = \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)^{j-1}}{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} B_k \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}),
\]  

(2.10)

then

\[
B_j = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{j-2} [2|b|(\beta - 1) + k] \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).
\]  

(2.11)

**Proof.** We make use of the principle of mathematical induction to prove the assertion (2.11) of Lemma 2.3. Indeed, from (2.10), we know that

\[
B_2 = 2|b|(\beta - 1) = \frac{1}{1!} \prod_{k=0}^{0} [2|b|(\beta - 1) + k],
\]  

(2.12)

which implies that (2.11) holds for $j = 2$.

We now suppose that (2.11) holds for $j = m \ (m \geq 2)$, then

\[
B_m = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-2} [2|b|(\beta - 1) + k].
\]  

(2.13)

Combining (2.10) and (2.13), we find that

\[
B_{m+1} = \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} B_k
\]

\[
= \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B_k + \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{m} B_m
\]

\[
= \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{m} \cdot \frac{m-1}{2|b|(\beta - 1)} B_m + \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{m} B_m
\]

\[
= \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1) + m-1}{m} B_m
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} [2|b|(\beta - 1) + k],
\]  

(2.14)

which shows that (2.11) holds for $j = m + 1$. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is evidently completed. □
Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_n(\lambda, \beta, b)$, then

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{1}{(j-1)!(1-\lambda + \lambda j)} \prod_{k=0}^{j-2} [2|b| (\beta - 1) + k] \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}) \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. We first suppose that

$$F(z) := (1-\lambda)D^n f(z) + \lambda D^{n+1} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} B_j z^j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{A}), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$B_j = j^n (1-\lambda + \lambda j) a_j. \quad (2.17)$$

Next, by setting

$$h(z) := \frac{\beta - 1 - (1/b)(zF'(z)/F(z) - 1)}{\beta - 1} = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{M}_n(\lambda, \beta, b)), \quad (2.18)$$

we easily find that $h \in \mathcal{P}$. It follows from (2.18) that

$$zF'(z) = [1 + b(\beta - 1)] F(z) - b(\beta - 1) h(z) F(z). \quad (2.19)$$

We now find from (2.16), (2.18), and (2.19) that

$$\begin{align*}
z &+ 2B_2 z^2 + \cdots + jB_j z^j + \cdots \\
&= [1 + b(\beta - 1)] \left( z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots + B_j z^j + \cdots \right) \\
&\quad - b(\beta - 1) \left( 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \cdots + h_j z^j + \cdots \right) \left( z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots + B_j z^j + \cdots \right). \quad (2.20)
\end{align*}$$

By evaluating the coefficients of $z^j$ in both the sides of (2.20), we get

$$jB_j = [1 + b(\beta - 1)] B_j - b(\beta - 1) (h_{j-1} + h_{j-2} B_2 + \cdots + h_1 B_{j-1} + B_j). \quad (2.21)$$

On the other hand, it is well known that

$$|h_k| \leq 2 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.22)$$

Combining (2.21) and (2.22), we easily get

$$|B_j| \leq \frac{2|b| (\beta - 1)}{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |B_k| \quad (B_1 = 1; j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \quad (2.23)$$
Suppose that $\beta > 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We define the sequence $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
B_1 &= 1 \quad (j = 1), \\
B_j &= \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{j - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} B_k \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).
\end{align*}
\tag{2.24}
$$

In order to prove that

$$
|B_j| \leq B_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}),
\tag{2.25}
$$

we use the principle of mathematical induction. By noting that

$$
|B_2| \leq 2|b|(\beta - 1),
\tag{2.26}
$$

thus, assuming that

$$
|B_m| \leq B_m \quad (m \in \{2, 3, \ldots, j\}),
\tag{2.27}
$$

we find from (2.23) and (2.24) that

$$
|B_{j+1}| \leq \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} |B_k| \leq \frac{2|b|(\beta - 1)}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} B_k = B_{j+1} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).
\tag{2.28}
$$

Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, we have

$$
|B_j| \leq B_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})
\tag{2.29}
$$

as desired.

By virtue of Lemma 2.3 and (2.24), we know that

$$
B_j = \frac{1}{(j - 1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{j-2} \left(2|b|(\beta - 1) + k\right) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).
\tag{2.30}
$$

Combining (2.17), (2.29), and (2.30), we readily arrive at the coefficient estimates (2.15) asserted by Theorem 2.4.

Remark 2.5. Setting $\lambda = 0$, $b = 1$, and $n = 0$ or $1$ in Theorem 2.4, we get the corresponding results obtained by Owa and Nishiwaki [3].

Remark 2.6. We cannot show that the result of Theorem 2.4 is sharp. Indeed, if one can prove the sharpness of Theorem 2.4, the sharpness of the corresponding result obtained by Deng [6] follows easily.
3. Subordination Properties

In view of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we now introduce the following subclasses:

\[ \tilde{S}_m(\lambda, \alpha, b) \subset S_m(\lambda, \alpha, b), \quad \tilde{A}_m(\lambda, \beta, b) \subset A_m(\lambda, \beta, b), \]

(3.1)

which consist of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) whose Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients satisfy the inequalities (2.2) and (2.6), respectively.

A sequence \( \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \) of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever \( f \) of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent, and convex in \( U \), we have the subordination

\[ \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j b_j z^j < f(z) \quad (a_1 = 1; z \in U). \]

(3.2)

To derive the subordination properties for the classes \( \tilde{S}_m(\lambda, \alpha, b) \) and \( \tilde{A}_m(\lambda, \alpha, b) \), we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** (see [11]). The sequence \( \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \) is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

\[ \Re \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j z^j \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \]

(3.3)

**Theorem 3.2.** If \( f \in \tilde{S}_m(\lambda, \alpha, b) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{A}(0) \), then

\[ \Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot (f \ast g)(z) < g(z), \]

\[ \Re(f) > -\frac{|b|(1 - a) + 2^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]}{2^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]}, \]

(3.4)

for

\[ 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \]

(3.6)

where, for convenience,

\[ \Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) := \frac{2^{n-1}(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]}{|b|(1 - a) + 2^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]}. \]

(3.7)

The constant factor

\[ \frac{2^{n-1}(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]}{|b|(1 - a) + 2^n(1 + \lambda)[1 + |b|(1 - a)]} \]

(3.8)

in the subordination result (3.4) cannot be replaced by a larger one.
Proof. Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}_n(\lambda, \alpha, b) \) and suppose that

\[
g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} c_j z^j \in \mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(0),
\]

then

\[
\Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot (f * g)(z) = \Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot \left( z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j c_j z^j \right),
\]

where \( \Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \) is defined by (3.7).

If

\[
\{ \Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot a_j \}_{j=1}^{\infty}
\]

is a subordinating factor sequence with \( a_1 = 1 \), then the subordination result (3.4) holds. By Lemma 3.1, we know that this is equivalent to the inequality

\[
\Re \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} a_j z^j \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).
\]

Since

\[
j^n (1-\lambda + \lambda j) [j - 1 + |b|(1-\alpha)] \quad (j \geq 2; \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \ 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0)
\]

is an increasing function of \( j \), and using Theorem 2.1, we have

\[
\Re \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} a_j z^j \right)
\]

\[
= \Re \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} a_1 z \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)] a_j z^j
\]

\[
\geq 1 - \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} r
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)] a_j |z|^j
\]

\[
> 1 - \frac{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} r - \frac{|b|(1-\alpha)}{|b|(1-\alpha)+2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} r
\]

\[
= 1 - r > 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1).
\]
This evidently proves the inequality (3.12), and hence also the subordination result (3.4), asserted by Theorem 3.2. The inequality (3.5) asserted by Theorem 3.2 follows from (3.4) by setting

\[
g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j \in \mathcal{K}.
\] (3.15)

Finally, we consider the function \( f_0 \) defined by

\[
f_0(z) := z - \frac{|b|(1-\alpha)}{2^n(1+\lambda)[1+|b|(1-\alpha)]} z^2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \ 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}),
\] (3.16)

which belongs to the class \( \widetilde{S}_n(\lambda, \alpha, b) \). Thus, by (3.4), we know that

\[
\Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot f_0(z) < \frac{z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\] (3.17)

Furthermore, it can be easily verified for the function \( f_0 \) given by (3.16) that

\[
\min_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{ \Re(\Phi(n, \lambda, \alpha, b) \cdot f_0(z)) \} = \frac{1}{2}.
\] (3.18)

We thus complete the proof of Theorem 3.2. \( \square \)

The proof of the following subordination result is much akin to that of Theorem 3.2. We, therefore, choose to omit the analogous details involved.

**Corollary 3.3.** If \( f \in \mathcal{K}_n(\lambda, \alpha, b) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{K}(0) \), then

\[
\Psi(n, \lambda, \beta, b) \cdot (f \ast g)(z) < g(z),
\] (3.19)

\[
\Re(f) > \frac{|b|(\beta-1) + 2^{n-1} (1+\lambda)(|b|-1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)}{2^{n-1}(1+\lambda)(|b|-1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)},
\] (3.20)

for

\[
0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad \beta > 1, \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\] (3.21)

where, for convenience,

\[
\Psi(n, \lambda, \beta, b) := \frac{2^{n-2}(1+\lambda)(|b|-1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)}{|b|(\beta-1) + 2^{n-1}(1+\lambda)(|b|-1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)}.
\] (3.22)
The constant factor
\[
\frac{2^{n-2}(1 + \lambda)(|b - 1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)}{|b|\left|\frac{b}{(\beta - 1)} + 2^{n-1}(1 + \lambda)(|b - 1| + 2 + |1 - (2\beta - 1)b|)\right|}
\]
(3.23)

in the subordination result (3.19) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Remark 3.4. Putting \(\lambda = 0, b = 1, \) and \(n = 0 \) or \(1\) in Corollary 3.3, we get the corresponding results obtained by Srivastava and Attiya [4].
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