Optical Waveguide BTX Gas Sensor Based on Yttrium-Doped Lithium Iron Phosphate Thin Film
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1. Introduction

Benzene, toluene, and xylene (BTX) are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of great social and environmental significance, are widely used in industry, and can present serious medical, environmental, and explosion dangers [1]. BTX is also classified as a human carcinogen and is a risk factor for leukemia and lymphomas. The regulated standard concentration of benzene is 1.0 ppb (3 μg/m³) in Japan. The guidelines for the upper indoor concentration limits of toluene and xylene are 70 ppb (260 μg/m³) and 200 ppb (870 μg/m³), respectively [2]. Because of BTX’s acute toxicities, there has been an increasing need for highly sensitive, rapidly responding, portable devices for monitoring trace levels of them in various environmental and industrial applications.

Many works have been done on sensitivity to BTX such as electric noses [3, 4], chromatography [5], and electrochemical sensor [6], and these detectors are accurate, yet bulky and expensive, and require higher operating temperature. In comparison, the optical waveguide (OWG) sensors [7–9] are small in size, of high sensitivity, of fast response time, monitored at room temperature, and of intrinsically safe detection. Furthermore, they suffer little or no interference in the waveguide element of the sensor and can be made at a very low cost.

A simple planar OWG consists of a substrate, a thin top layer (waveguide layer) with a refractive index greater than that of the substrate and the covering material (usually air) [8]. Single-mode Tin-diffused glass waveguide has a high mechanical strength and a low loss (−0.3 dB/cm) [10]. A quite smooth surface of Tin-diffused glass waveguides suppresses the surface roughness of the middle and top layers and thereby reduces the scattering of the resulting device. Tin-diffused glass waveguides proved to be stable up to 550°C.

Lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) with the olivine structure has attracted great interest as the cathode material in rechargeable lithium-ion batteries because of its high energy density, low cost, low toxicity, excellent thermal stability, and safety [11–13]. So far, lots of reports about experimental study of LiFePO₄ to improve its electrochemical properties through doping other elements [14, 15] and...
preparing LiFePO_4 thin film electrodes [16], and its sensing applications such as lithium ion sensor, have appeared [17]. According to our survey, no gas sensor that has based on LiFePO_4 and LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 thin film has been reported.

In this paper, we describe the fabrication of the LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 film/Tin-diffused glass optical waveguide sensor, and we use this OWG sensor system which successfully detected 0.1 ppm (100 ppb) of xylene gas as an example of BTX.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Preparation of LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 Powder. LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 was synthesized by hydrothermal methods [18]. FeSO_4·7H_2O (analytically pure), 85 wt% of H_3PO_4 (analytically pure), and LiOH·H_2O (analytically pure) in the molar ratio 1:1:3 were mixed. Then were added ascorbic acid and Y(NO_3)_3·6H_2O [x(Y):1-x (LiFePO_4)], respectively [15]. After that, this mixed solution was put into hydrothermal reactor (inner volume: 100 cm^3). The hydrothermal process was performed at 150 °C for 15 h. The mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, was filtered, and the product was collected and dried under vacuum at 120°C for 1 h. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and the energy dispersion spectra (EDS) were used to characterize the LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 powder.

2.2. Fabrication of LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 Thin Film. After the enormous experimental process, the best way of fabrication of sensing film was chosen. The LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 film was prepared by sol-gel deposition methods as described in the following way: (1) 0.05 g of LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 powder was dissolved in 10 cm^3 of mixed acid (1.3 wt% of phosphate acid and 5 wt% of ascorbic acid), and then 1 cm^3 (1 wt%) of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) solution and a drop of surface activator (sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate) were added. (2) LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 solution was coated onto the surface of Tin-diffused glass OWG (n = 1.52, with the depth of 1-2 μm of guided layer) by spin coater at 1000 rpm for 25 s. (3) The coated film was calcinated under vacuum at 150°C for 30 min.

2.3. BTX Gases Testing. The BTX gases testing apparatus (Figure 1) was contained in compressed air sources, a flow meter, reflector, laser sources, gas mixing manifold that contained BTX gases, LiFe_0.99Y_0.01PO_4 film/Tin-diffused glass OWG gas sensing element, photomultiplier detector, and recorder (PC). A gas mixing manifold was used to mix the air stream that contained BTX gases with a stream of pure air and to introduce the mixture into the flow cell, which enclosed the waveguide sensor. The flow cell (2 cm × 1 cm × 1 cm) was mounted on a rotational stage equipped with X-Y-Z translation. The semiconductor laser beam (650 nm) was introduced into the OWG using a prism coupler (glass prism, n = 1.78; a matching liquid, diiodomethane, n = 1.74), and it emerged from another prism coupler. The distance between the two prism couplers was 15 mm. The intensity of the output light was monitored by using a photomultiplier detector, and the output light intensity was recorded by a computer. In every measurement, a new syringe was applied to inject 20 cm^3 of the xylene gas sample into the flow chamber and then out from the vent (Figure 1). Pure air functioned as a carrier, and dilution gas flowed through the cell at a constant rate of 32 cm^3/min in order to transfer the xylene gas to the sensor. All measurements were made at room temperature.

Standard xylene gas was obtained by vaporizing a given amount of 99.5% xylene liquid inside a 600 cm^3 standard
vessel. The concentration of the xylene gas was confirmed by a commercial xylene gas detection tube (Gastec). Different amounts of standard xylene gas were diluted to obtain the desired concentrations with pure air in a second standard vessel (600 cm$^3$). Using this standard vessel dilution method, very low concentrations of xylene (in the ppm range) were obtained.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ Powder. Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction profiles of the Y-doped LiFePO$_4$. LiFePO$_4$ with an olivine structure was obtained by hydrothermal method, and the diffraction peaks of the Y were not observed because of the low Y content of 1 wt%. All diffraction peaks follow its standard crystal structure patterns (JCPDS nos. 40–1,499 LiFePO$_4$) [11]. Their diffraction peaks suggest that side products or impurities were not significantly present in the samples. The EDS result of Figure 3 unambiguously confirms that the particles in the Y-doped LiFePO$_4$ included Fe, P, and O components with a trace of Y.

3.2. The Sensing Layer. In OWG sensor, the sensing film’s refractive index, thickness, and attenuation are major factors that affect its sensitivity. We have found that the LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film refractive index and thickness for the OWG BTX gas sensor were 1.899 and 104 nm, respectively. The cutoff thickness for the TE$_0$ mode in a thin film waveguide on glass substrate and sensitivity of thin film OWG were calculated with Runge-Kutta method [9], and the results are as shown in Figure 4. The theoretical calculation indicated that when a LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film of a 100–120 nm thickness was coated onto the surface of the Tin-diffused glass, the resulting OWG was capable of supporting a TE$_0$ mode-guided wave, and the relative sensitivity reached its maximum limit of 12809–13988 times/cm ($n = 1.899$). In this experiment, the relative sensitivity of the LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film OWG of a 104 nm thickness was 13294 times/cm. The LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ thin film attenuation was measured using cut-back method [19]; it was found that LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film has lower attenuation with 0.49 dB/mm. These results are providing evidence for the preparation of the LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film/Tin-diffused glass OWG device.

The LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ film/Tin-diffused glass OWG was fixed in the gas testing system as shown in Figure 1 and detected various BTXs. The response of the thin film OWG sensor to various BTXs was shown in Figure 5. The testing result indicates that when the sample gas was injected into the flow chamber, there is physical adsorption between sample gas and sensing film. In the presence of benzene, toluene, chlorobenzene, or xylene, the sensor exhibited a higher response to xylene, and the response to acetone, formaldehyde, or alcohol vapor was small. This is because xylene has greater molar refractive index, and it exhibited higher absorption performance [20].

3.3. Sensing Principle. In the optical waveguide sensor, the transmitted light intensity (output light intensity) was

![Figure 2: X-ray diffraction patterns of LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ powder prepared by hydrothermal method (A) and JCPDS nos. 40–1,499 LiFePO$_4$ (B).](image)

![Figure 3: EDS of LiFe$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$PO$_4$ powder.](image)

![Figure 4: Relationship between film thickness and relative sensitivity ($n = 1.899$, $\lambda = 650$ nm).](image)
related to adsorption coefficient, refractive index, and sensing film thickness [21]

\[ I = I_0 (1 - a N d_e), \]  

where \( I \) is the transmitted light intensity (output light), \( I_0 \) is the input light intensity, \( a \) is absorption coefficient, \( N \) is the reflectance number of guided wave on the surface of optical waveguide in the distance \( L \) (\( N = L/2d \tan \theta \), \( d \) is the depth of waveguide), and \( d_e \) is the actual path length of light in the sensing film (\( d_e = 2d_f / \cos \theta \), \( d_f \) is the thickness of sensing film). In this case, when sensing film's absorption coefficient and refractive index were decreased, the transmitted light was increased. The LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film coated on the glass slide was exposed to xylene gas, and the transmittance and refractive index of this film were monitored. Transmittance was performed using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV-2450 Japan). When the xylene steam was not injected into the experimental environment, the LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film's transmittance (Figure 6) was over 99.3% (400–800 nm). In the presence of xylene steam, the transmittance increased (0.03–0.08%). The refractive index was tested by ellipsometer (Tianjin SGC-10). When the xylene steam was not injected into the experimental environment, the LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film's refractive index was 1.899. While xylene steam was into the experimental environment, the LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film coated on the glass slide was exposed to xylene gas, and the transmittance and refractive index of this film were monitored. Transmittance was performed using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV-2450 Japan). When the xylene steam was not injected into the experimental environment, the LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film's transmittance (Figure 6) was over 99.3% (400–800 nm). In the presence of xylene steam, the transmittance increased (0.03–0.08%). The refractive index was tested by ellipsometer (Tianjin SGC-10). When the xylene steam was not injected into the experimental environment, the LiFe_{0.99}Y_{0.01}PO_4 film's refractive index was 1.899. While xylene steam was present, the refractive index decreased to 1.895. Thus, an increase of output light intensity was anticipated.

3.4. Testing Results. The typical responses of the thin film OWG sensor to various concentrations of xylene gas were shown in Figure 7. As can be seen from the figure, when the xylene gas was injected into the flow chamber, the transmittance of the sensing film was increased (Figure 6), and in the meantime, the refractive index of sensing film was decreased. Thus, the testing baseline (output light intensity) steadily increased. When the xylene gas was exited, the sensor response exhibited total recovery with a return to its original baseline. The response and recovery times of the planar OWG xylene sensor were not faster than 5 s and 65 s, respectively. The recovery time of the sensor was longer than its response time because of the low velocity of the dry air inflow, and thus, a longer period was required for a sufficient amount of air to reach the film in the flow chamber.

The increases in the signal (output light intensity), annotated as \( \alpha = 10 \log(i_{\text{xylene}}/i_{\text{air}}) \), were 1.8, 1.16, 0.9, 0.56, and 0.22 dB, corresponding to 1000, 100, 10, 1, and 0.1 parts of xylene gas per 10^6 (ppm) of standard atmosphere. The values of the relative standard deviation (RSD) were in the range of \( \pm 1.1 \sim \pm 7.3\% \). When the sensor was exposed to 0.1 ppm of xylene gas, the increase in the output light intensity (signal) was 1.5 times greater than the noise level. This explained that if this OWG sensor was to be exposed to xylene vapor below 0.1 ppm, it would still demonstrate a relative response.

3.5. Selectivity. The selectivity of this OWG sensor to BTX at 1000 ppm, 100 ppm, and 10 ppm was measured (Figure 8). At 10 ppm, the sensor’s response to xylene vapor was nine times greater than to acetone, ethanol, and methanol vapor, six times greater than to formaldehyde vapor, four times greater than to benzene and chlorobenzene vapors, and about two times greater than to toluene gas. The responses to ethanol, methanol, acetone, formaldehyde, benzene, and chlorobenzene are all negligible. When the concentration of BTX gas was 10 ppm, the increase in output light
4. Conclusion

A low-cost planar OWG sensor for measuring xylene gas has been developed. The detection limits of this device were measured under the operating conditions as described above. The xylene minimum detection limit is as low as 0.1 ppm reversibly, and with a short response time (less than 5 s). This sensor can detect lower than 10 ppm of BTX with good selectivity, when the concentration of BTX gases was lower than 1 ppm; other substances caused no interference with the detection of xylene vapor. After Y doped, the LiFePO₄ OWG sensor exhibited higher sensitivity to BTXs.
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