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Traditional antacids and alginate-based reflux suppressants are OTC products commonly used to treat reflux symptoms. There has been a lack of innovation of new formulations in this therapy area despite consumers finding established products unpalatable. Here we evaluate a novel product formulation which takes the form of quick-dissolving alginate granules in single-dose sachets (Gaviscon Direct Powder (GDP)). Market research and taste evaluation confirmed that reflux sufferers considered GDP to have good flavour and taste, no chalky aftertaste and dissolved rapidly in the mouth with 68% noting so within 10 seconds. GDP was considered convenient and easy to use. The consumer-driven product development was also shown to form a strong alginate raft in standardised in vitro conditions that met the specifications of the BP monograph (raft strength > 7.5 g). Gastric retention of GDP and a test meal was investigated in healthy volunteers using gamma scintigraphy in comparison to Liquid Gaviscon. Both products formed an alginate raft in the stomach above the test meal and emptied after the meal. The gastric retention of the GDP product was found to be noninferior to Liquid Gaviscon. In conclusion, the innovative GDP product formed an effective raft and was well liked by consumers.

1. Introduction

There are a wide variety of over-the-counter (OTC) treatments that allow heartburn and indigestion sufferers to self-medicate. These take the form of simple antacids (e.g., Rennie), alginate-based reflux suppressants (e.g., Gaviscon), but also H2-receptor antagonists (e.g., Zantac) and proton pump inhibitors (e.g., Losec) that prevent acid secretion and are also available OTC. Here we focus on antacids and alginates only. Antacids, which primarily raise oesophageal pH and neutralise some stomach acid [1], are predominantly chewable tablets but are also available in liquids. Alginate products (which form a raft and suppress reflux) are usually suspensions of single- or double-strength alginate, but chewable tablet formats are also widely available. Antacids are often formulated for taste, convenience, and price, but alginate products have a more complex formulation that is necessary for the established efficacy of these products [2–5]. The presence of reflux symptoms (e.g., heartburn) is the biggest driver for using antacids, and there is no difference between use by men or women [6].

Data from the few formal studies that have been completed suggest that consumers do not report antacid/alginate formulations as being pleasurable or palatable [7–12]. These studies used wine-tasting-type methodology to evaluate palatability in terms of scores for smell, flavour, texture/mouth feel, and after taste. The studies have compared as few as 4 products [9, 11] and as many as 22 [8]. Palatability for antacids/alginites assessed in these taste evaluations was poor with even the most palatable antacid scoring only 72% [8] or 67% [12]. It can be summarised that only a minority of patients like antacids, they are tolerated by three-quarters, and the remaining 20–30% consider them unpleasant [9]. Repeatedly, the Gaviscon suspension formulations (including the US formulation, GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare, Pennsylvania, USA) do very poorly in these studies often giving the lowest palatability scores [8, 9]. There have been no studies that compare tablet formulations with
liquid formulations as it infrequently liquids only that have been investigated.

There are clearly limitations for all of these formulations with regard palatability and resulting consumer dissatisfaction. This has important consequences for compliance with optimal treatment regimens [7, 8] for these remedies leading to suboptimal dosing.

In a therapy area that has seen minimal innovation regarding drug formulation there is an opportunity for a new concept that consumers will appreciate in terms of taste, palatability, and portability and improve treatment compliance while remaining effective. The antacid taste evaluation studies described above interestingly showed that the most palatable products were the ones with the unusual flavours including Cherry Crème [8, 12], Lemon Twist [8], and Orange [11]. Thus flavour has been shown to be an important design factor for a new consumer-driven product development.

Here we describe a novel product formulation that is in the form of a sachet of alginate granules that dissolve rapidly in the mouth and are enjoyable, convenient, and portable. We aimed to establish that the new formulation was as effective as a current well-accepted and validated alginate raft-forming reflux suppressant using in vivo raft formation studies by scintigraphy and in vitro raft strength testing. A detailed consumer research/taste evaluation was also carried out to appraise the new formulation in heartburn sufferers.

2. Methods

2.1. Formulations. Two formulations were used, both of which were manufactured by Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK) Ltd., Dansom Lane, Hull, UK.

Gaviscon Direct Powder (GDP) was a new product development in the form of a powder/granule which dispersed in the mouth without the need for water. Each sachet contained 1.45 g of product of which active ingredients were 500 mg sodium alginate, 267 mg sodium bicarbonate, and 160 mg calcium carbonate. GDP was cool mint flavour (gamma scintigraphy study) or Tropical flavour (raft strength & taste evaluation). GDP was formulated to work in the same manner as Liquid Gaviscon to form an alginate raft on contact with the gastric contents and with the same levels of active ingredients.

Comparative studies were carried out against Liquid Gaviscon (LG) suspension (Peppermint flavour) with the equivalent dose (10 mL dose containing 500 mg sodium alginate, 267 mg sodium bicarbonate, and 160 mg calcium carbonate).

2.2. Market Research. Consumer market research was carried out by Ipsos Marketing on a sample of adults who had experienced heartburn or indigestion in the past 12 months and were users of heartburn and indigestion remedies in the last 6 months. Subjects were interviewed in detail and introduced to a product concept and performed a taste evaluation of GDP. Subjects were not currently to be experiencing heartburn or indigestion symptoms or to have taken medication within 2 hours of the taste evaluation. Unless otherwise stated, the percentage agreement relates to those respondents that strongly agree or slightly agree with the statement.

Data was compared between regular Gaviscon brand users (Reckitt Benckiser) and regular Rennie traditional antacid users (Bayer Consumer Care Division, Newbury, UK) and also between mild, infrequent heartburn sufferers and severe, frequent heartburn sufferers.

2.3. Raft Strength. Strength of alginate rafts was tested as previously described by Hampson et al. 2005 [13], which has been adopted as a monographed method by the British Pharmacopoeia [14]. Rafts were formed by adding a single dose of product to 150 mL of 0.1 M HCl in a 250 mL glass beaker. The raft was formed around an L-shaped stainless steel wire probe held upright throughout the 30 minute raft development phase. The wire probe was pulled vertically up through the raft at a rate of 5 mm/s using a Texture Analyser (TA-XT2, Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, Surrey, UK). The force in grams to pull the wire probe through the alginate raft was recorded.

Raft strength of LG was evaluated by direct dosing of 10 mL of liquid product (minimum dose). Raft strength of GDP was evaluated after grinding the granules to a powder that could pass through a 1000 µm sieve then agitating in 10 mL of deionised water (37°C) until it was a homogenous mixture. Raft strength testing was then carried out on the 10 mL homogenised solution of GDP.

GDP was deemed to have noninferior raft strength to LG if the mean raft strength of six replicates was greater than 7.5 g as stipulated in the monograph for Alginate Raft Forming Oral Suspensions (ARFOSs) [14].

2.4. Scintigraphy Study. The gastric retention of alginate rafts formed by GDP and LG and a test meal was compared in healthy volunteers using gamma scintigraphy. This was a noninferiority study that took the form of a single-centre, randomised, open-label, two-period crossover in 24 healthy male volunteers. The study was conducted in accordance with ICH GCP guidelines under the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided informed written consent. The study was conducted at Simbec Scintigraphics Ltd (Merthyr Tydfil Industrial Park, Mid Glamorgan, UK), and ethical approval was obtained from South East Wales Local Research Ethics Committee. Methodology was previously described in detail in Hampson et al. 2010 [15]. In brief, the test products GDP and LG were radiolabelled with Indium-111 (111In), and a single dose (1.45 g GDP or 10 mL LG) was administered orally 35 minutes after the start of a standard test meal of scrambled eggs on toast with orange juice radiolabelled with 99m m Technetium (99mTc). The subjects were imaged by a gamma camera (Axis Dual head gamma camera, Philips Medical Systems Ltd, Reigate, Surrey, UK) fitted with medium energy, parallel hole collimators. Anterior and posterior images of 60 seconds duration were acquired simultaneously by the gamma camera immediately after the meal is finished, 20 minutes after the start of the meal, immediately after swallowing the test product dose.
(35 minutes after the start of the meal), and thereafter at 15 minute intervals for up to 4 hours after administration of the test product. Images were taken simultaneously in the $^{111}$In and $^{99m}$Tc channels and stored separately on the computer for subsequent analysis.

Analysis of the scintigraphic images was performed using nuclear medicine software (Odyssey V9.4B, Philips Medical Systems Ltd.). Each image in each of the $^{111}$In and $^{99m}$Tc channels was analysed by creating three regions of interest ((1) the whole stomach, (2) upper part of the stomach and extent of product and test meal, and (3) background activity). The detected counts were corrected for background radiation and decay of the isotopes. The $^{99m}$Tc counts were corrected for $^{111}$In overlap into the $^{99m}$Tc channel, and the geometric mean of the anterior and posterior images was used. These counts provided representation of the gastric retention of the meal and raft in the stomach.

Percent retention of the meal and the test product (raft) at each time point both in the whole stomach and upper stomach were calculated for each subject and displayed graphically. The area under the curve (AUC) (percent retention versus time 0–240 min) generated AUC (test product) and AUC (meal) for the whole stomach and upper stomach. Time to half empty the test product and meal (50% retention of activity) was calculated for the whole stomach.

The primary efficacy parameter was gastric retention of the test product (AUC (test product)) in the whole stomach and was compared between the two test products (GDP and LG). Analysis of variance of the log-transformed data (with terms in the model for treatment sequence, subject within sequence, period and test product) was performed. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the mean difference in log-transformed AUC (test product) (GDP – LG) was computed using the adjusted (least squares) means and residual standard deviation (SD). GDP was deemed to have noninferior gastric retention to LG if the detransformed 95% CI fell entirely above 0.8.

3. Results

3.1. Consumer Market Research. The consumer market research and taste evaluation were carried out on 301 subjects (Figure 1) drawn from 8 regions of the UK (London, Southern & West, Yorkshire, Anglia, Midlands, Tyne Tees, Lancashire, Wales). The subjects most often used Gaviscon (Reckitt Benckiser) or Rennie (Bayer Consumer Care Division) as shown in Figure 2(a) and most often used liquids or chewable/swallowable tablets (Figure 2(b)).

Specifically related to the taste evaluation of GDP subjects were asked if GDP dissolved in the mouth. 85% of respondents agreed that the product just melted away in the mouth with 79% agreeing that it dissolved in seconds. The time taken for GDP to dissolve in the mouth was assessed using a stopwatch and is summarised in Figure 3.
Table 1: Agreement of convenience statements following the GDP taste evaluation. % agreement is those responding strongly agree or slightly agree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>All n = 301</th>
<th>Gaviscon users n = 141</th>
<th>Rennie users n = 112</th>
<th>Severe and frequent sufferers</th>
<th>Mild and infrequent sufferers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easier to carry and store when I am out of home</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makes it easy to control dosage</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygienic to consume from</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97*</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient to use when I am out of home</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient to use when I am at home</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>94†</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel reassured storing sachets in my bag/pocket</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick and easy to use</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88†</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is discreet to use in public</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>87†</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88§</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Significantly different to Rennie users at 95% CI.
*Significantly different to Gaviscon users at 95% CI.
§Significantly different to mild and infrequent sufferers at 95% CI.

Table 1 details the convenience statements and level of agreement of all the respondents as well as subanalysis of those who most often used traditional antacid (Rennie, Bayer Consumer Care Division) or Gaviscon (Reckitt Benckiser) and for the degree of suffering of heartburn and indigestion. Interestingly, consumers using traditional antacid tablets considered GDP to be hygienic to consume from. Significantly more consumers of Gaviscon described GDP as convenient, quick, and easy to use and discreet to use in public. Importantly, severe and frequent heartburn sufferers considered GDP to be discreet to use in public.

72% considered that GDP was relevant (extremely or very), 86% to be new and different (extremely or very), 92% said it was a completely new experience, and 68% of respondents said GDP was much or a little better than their usual remedy. 76% agreed that GDP was a very or quite pleasant taste (compared to 64% for their most often used remedy) with 66% agreeing that GDP had excellent or very good flavour (compared to 33% for most often used remedy). Table 2 breaks down the response to statements about the GDP product in terms of functional benefits for all respondents and the four subgroups. It appeared that severe
Figure 3: Time taken for GDP to dissolve in the mouth as assessed by a stopwatch. 68% claimed the product dissolved in the mouth within 10 seconds, 92% in 30 seconds, and 97% in 60 seconds.

Figure 4: Chart to detail the six most popular spontaneous likes after the GDP taste evaluation.

and frequent heartburn and indigestion sufferers had greater satisfaction with the innovative GDP product than mild and infrequent heartburn and indigestion sufferers.

The respondents were asked to provide spontaneous likes about the GDP product, and 92% provided at least one. Figure 4 describes the six most popular spontaneous responses according to number of responses.

3.2. Raft Strength. Raft strength of LG and GDP comprising a dose equivalent to 500 mg of alginate was determined for six replicates. Mean raft strength of LG was 11.71 g (SD ±1.4; 95% CI 10.23–13.19). Mean raft strength for GDP was 8.30 g (SD ±2.7; 95% CI 5.45–11.16). Raft strength of LG was significantly greater than GDP (P = 0.0216), but since mean raft strength of GDP was >7.5 g it was deemed noninferior to LG in terms of in vitro raft strength and in compliance with the British Pharmacopoeia ARFOS monograph [14].

3.3. Scintigraphy Study. Forty-four subjects were screened for the study, and 24 were consented and randomised (safety population and demographic data). A single subject was ineligible for dosing on the study day, and so the efficacy evaluable population consisted of 23. All subjects were Caucasian men and a summary of demography data is provided in Table 3.

The gastric retention of the test products (GDP or LG) are described in Table 4. There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.0070) in gastric retention between GDP and LG in the whole stomach. However, the geometric mean AUC ratio (GDP/LG) was 1.186 (95% CI 1.053–1.335), and since the 95% CI falls entirely over 0.8 GDP was deemed to have noninferior gastric retention to LG.

The gastric retention of the meal (GDP or LG) is described in Table 5. There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.0023) in gastric retention of meal between GDP and LG in the whole stomach. The geometric mean AUC ratio for the meal (GDP/LG) was 0.909 (95% CI 0.585–0.962) indicating that retention of the meal following GDP was significantly less than following LG.
alginate rafts that floated on the top of the stomach contents was noninferior to that of LG. Both test products formed in healthy volunteers concluded that gastric retention of GDP to use at the onset of symptoms. As they could safely and conveniently carry a dose with them more impressed with the new product formulation especially appeared that those with severe and frequent symptoms were well-documented efficacy of the alginate raft forming product; namely, that a raft is formed that is strong and floats above a meal and persists in the stomach for 4 hours; yet after a test meal and remained in the stomach for longer than the meal.

Gastric residence of the meal was found to be statistically significantly reduced following GDP compared to LG. However, the half emptying time of the meal was similar between GDP and LG (100 min and 104 min, resp.), and it should be noted that based on previous alginate formulation studies the AUC (meal) values were within the expected range [16–18]. Thus the statistical significance seen between the meal retention for the two test products is unlikely to be of clinical significance. Statistically AUC (test product) for GDP was greater than LG indicating longer gastric retention, but this may be artefactual due to the slow transit through the oesophagus of a nonhydrated fvolunteers in this study.

A single dose of GDP formed an alginate raft in standardised in vitro conditions and exhibited raft strength greater than the level specified by the British Pharmacopoeia ARFOS monograph [14] of 7.5 g, and thus this novel granule formulation acted as expected for a raft forming alginate suspension and was noninferior to LG.

These tests confirm that the innovative product development exhibits properties that are considered important markers in the clinical efficacy of a raft-forming alginate product; namely, that a raft is formed that is strong and floats above a meal and persists in the stomach for 4 hours; yet this new product development has a formulation design and flavour that is well liked by the consumer.

4. Discussion

GDP is a revolutionary new product formulation for the treatment of symptoms of reflux disease. The individual sachets of alginate granules give a novel dosage form within the Gaviscon line of products providing convenience and improved taste and flavour sensation while maintaining the well-documented efficacy of the alginate raft forming products. Consumer testing of the innovative granule formulation in heartburn sufferers demonstrated rapid dissolution in the mouth, a good flavour and taste profile without a chalky aftertaste. The convenience and ease of use of the new granule sachet were seen as the key advantages. The GDP product was equally well received by standard Gaviscon consumers as well as traditional antacid users and also irrespective of the extent of suffering of heartburn and indigestion, although it appeared that those with severe and frequent symptoms were more impressed with the new product formulation especially as they could safely and conveniently carry a dose with them to use at the onset of symptoms.

The in vivo alginate raft and meal gastric retention study in healthy volunteers concluded that gastric retention of GDP was noninferior to that of LG. Both test products formed alginate rafts that floated on the top of the stomach contents after a test meal and remained in the stomach for longer than the meal.

Gastric residence of the meal was found to be statistically significantly reduced following GDP compared to LG. However, the half emptying time of the meal was similar between GDP and LG (100 min and 104 min, resp.), and it should be noted that based on previous alginate formulation studies the AUC (meal) values were within the expected range [16–18]. Thus the statistical significance seen between the meal retention for the two test products is unlikely to be of clinical significance. Statistically AUC (test product) for GDP was greater than LG indicating longer gastric retention, but this may be artefactual due to the slow transit through the oesophagus of a nonhydrated volunteers in this study.

A single dose of GDP formed an alginate raft in standardised in vitro conditions and exhibited raft strength greater than the level specified by the British Pharmacopoeia ARFOS monograph [14] of 7.5 g, and thus this novel granule formulation acted as expected for a raft forming alginate suspension and was noninferior to LG.

These tests confirm that the innovative product development exhibits properties that are considered important markers in the clinical efficacy of a raft-forming alginate product; namely, that a raft is formed that is strong and floats above a meal and persists in the stomach for 4 hours; yet this new product development has a formulation design and flavour that is well liked by the consumer.

5. Conclusion

Gaviscon Direct Powder (GDP) is a novel formulation design in the form of individual doses of quick dissolving granules without the need for water. The product offers convenience and ease of use with rapid melting in the mouth which may provide important benefits regarding patient compliance in the treatment of symptoms of reflux. In vitro raft strength testing confirmed that despite the novel product formulation GDP was able to form an alginate raft which met the conditions stipulated by the British Pharmacopoeia. The in vivo gamma scintigraphy study in healthy volunteers further
confirmed raft formation above the meal in the stomach and that GDP emptied after the meal. The raft formation and gastric retention profile were noninferior to the established Liquid Gaviscon.
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