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We develop the local inequalities with new weights for the potential operator applied to differential forms. We also prove the global weighted norm inequalities for the potential operator in averaging domains and explore applications of our new results.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the weighted estimates for the potential operator applied to differential forms. Throughout this paper, \( \Omega \) will denote an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2 \), and \( \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^1 \). Let \( e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n = (0, 0, \ldots, 1) \) be the standard unit basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n = (0, 0, \ldots, 1) \) be the standard unit basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

The Grassmann algebra

\[ \wedge = \wedge (\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{n} \wedge^l (\mathbb{R}^n) \]  

is a graded algebra with respect to the exterior products. For \( \alpha = \sum \alpha^l e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_l \in \wedge \) and \( \beta = \sum \beta^l e_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge e_l \), the inner product in \( \wedge \) is given by

\[ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha^l \beta^l \]  

with summation over all \( l \)-tuples \( I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l) \) and all integers \( l = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). We should also notice that \( dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i, i \neq j, \) and \( dx_i \wedge dx_i = 0 \).

Assume that \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a ball and \( \sigma B \) is the ball with the same center as \( B \) and with \( \text{diam}(\sigma B) = \sigma \text{diam}(B) \). Differential forms are extensions of functions defined in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). A function \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is called a 0-form. A differential \( l \)-form is of the form

\[ \omega(x) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}} \omega_I(x) dx_I \]  

in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Differential forms have become invaluable tools for many fields of sciences and engineering, including theoretical physics, general relativity, potential theory, and electromagnetism. They can be used to describe various systems of PDEs and to express different geometrical structures on manifolds. Many interesting and useful results about the differential forms have been obtained during recent years; particularly, for the differential forms satisfying some version of A-harmonic equation, see [1–8]. The \( n \)-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a set \( E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) is denoted by \( |E| \). We call \( \omega \) a weight if \( \omega \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \omega > 0 \) a.e. For \( 0 < p < \infty \), we denote the weighted \( L^p \) norm of a measurable function \( f \) over \( E \) by

\[ \|f\|_{p, E, \omega} = \left( \int_E |f(x)|^p \omega(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]  

if the above integral exists. Here \( \alpha \) is a real number. It should be noticed that the Hodge star operator can be defined equivalently as follows.
Definition 1. If \( \omega = \alpha_1 dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} \), then \( \ast \omega = \ast \alpha_1 dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} = (-1)^{\sum(I)} \alpha_1 dx_1, \) \( I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k), J = [1, 2, \ldots, n] - I, \) and
\[
\sum (I) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} i_j. \tag{6}
\]

The following \( A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \)-weights were introduced in [8].

Definition 2. One says that a measurable function \( g(x) \) defined on a subset \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfies the condition\( A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \)-condition if for some positive constants \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \), \( g(x) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \) if \( g(x) > 0 \) a.e., and writes
\[
A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \]

where \( \sup_{B} (\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B g^\alpha dx) (\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B g^{-\beta} dx) \) \([\gamma] < \infty, \) (7)

where the supremum is over all balls \( B \subset E \). One says that \( g(x) \) satisfies the \( A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \)-condition if (7) holds for \( \gamma = 1 \) and write \( g(x) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) = A(\alpha, \beta, 1; E) \).

Notice that there are three parameters in the definition of the \( A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; E) \)-class. We obtain some existing weighted inequalities for the potential operator.

Recently, Bi extended the definition of the potential operator to the case of differential forms; see [2]. For any differential \( k \)-form \( \omega(x) \), the potential operator \( P \) is defined by
\[
P \omega (x) = \sum_T \int_E K(x, y) w_T(y) dy dx_T, \tag{8}
\]
where the kernel \( K(x, y) \) is a nonnegative measurable function defined for \( x \neq y \) and the summation is over all ordered \( k \)-tuples \( T \). The \( k = 0 \) case reduces to the usual potential operator,
\[
P f(x) = \int_E K(x, y) f(y) dy, \tag{9}
\]
where \( f(x) \) is a function defined on \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). A kernel \( K \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \) is said to satisfy the standard estimates if there are \( 0 < \delta \leq 1 \), and constant \( C \) such that, for all distinct points \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and all \( z \) with \( |x - z| < (1/2)|x - y| \), the kernel \( K \) satisfies the condition (i) \( K(x, y) \leq C |x - y|^{-n-\delta} \), (ii) \( |K(x, y) - K(y, z)| \leq C|x - z|^\delta |x - y|^{-n-\delta} \), and (iii) \( |K(y, x) - K(y, z)| \leq C|x - z|^\delta |x - y|^{-n-\delta} \). In this paper, we always assume that \( P \) is the potential operator with the kernel \( K(x, y) \) satisfying the above condition (i) in the standard estimates. In [2], Bi proved the following inequality for the potential operator:
\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{s, B, w} \leq C |B| \text{diam}(B) \|u\|_{s, B, w} \tag{13}
\]
for all balls \( B \subset \Omega \), where \( s > 1 \) is a constant.

Proof. Let \( t = s \alpha/(\alpha - 1) \), then \( t > s \). Using Lemma 4 with \( 1/s = (1/t) + ((t - s)/st) \) yields
\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{s, B, w} = \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^{\alpha} w dx \right)^1/\alpha \]
\[
= \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^{1/\alpha} w dx \right)^1/\alpha \leq \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^{1/\alpha} w dx \right)^1/\alpha \]
\[
= \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^{1/\alpha} w dx \right)^1/\alpha \]
\[
\leq C_1 \|B| \text{diam}(B) \|u\|_{s, B} \left( \int_B w^{\alpha/s} (x) dx \right)^1/\alpha \].

Set \( k = \beta s/(1 + \beta) \), then \( 0 < k < s \). Since \( u \) is in the \( \text{WRH}(\Omega) \) class,
\[
\|u\|_{s, B} \leq C_2 |B|^{(k-1)/k} \|u\|_{k, s, B}, \tag{15}
\]
where \( \sigma_1 > 1 \) in a constraint. Since \( u \) is in the \( \text{WRH}(\Omega) \)-class again (note that \( 1/k = (1/s) + (s - k)/sk \)),

\[
\|u\|_{k, \sigma, B} = \left( \int_{\sigma,B} \left| |u| \cdot w^{1/s - 1/k} \right|^{1/k} \, dx \right)^{1/k}
\]

\[
\leq \left( \int_{\sigma,B} \left| |u| \cdot w^{1/s} \right|^{1/k} \, dx \right)^{1/k}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} \left( w^{-k/(s-k)} \right) \, dx \right)^{(s-k)/ks}
= \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-k/(s-k)} \, dx \right)^{(s-k)/ks}
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s} \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-\beta} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta s},
\]  

where we have used the following calculation:

\[
\frac{k}{s - k} = \frac{\beta s}{s - (\beta s)(1+\beta)} = \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)} - \frac{\beta s}{(1+\beta)}
\]

Combining (14), (15) and, (16) gives

\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{k,B,w} \leq C_6 \|B\| \text{diam}(B) \|B\|^{(1-k)/ks} \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-\beta} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta s}.
\]

\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{k,B,w} \leq C_6 \|B\| \text{diam}(B) \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-\beta} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta s}.
\]

Plugging (19) into (18), we have

\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{k,B,w} \leq C_5 \|B\| \text{diam}(B) \|B\|^{(1-k)/ks} \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-\beta} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta s}.
\]

Combining (20) and (21) gives

\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_B\|_{k,B,w} \leq C \|B\| \text{diam}(B) \left( \int_{\sigma,B} |u| \cdot w \, dx \right)^{1/s}
\times \left( \int_{\sigma,B} w^{-\beta} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta s}.
\]

The proof of Theorem 5 has been completed. \( \square \)

A continuously increasing function \( \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) is called an Orlicz function. A convex Orlicz function \( \varphi \) is often called a Young function. The Orlicz space \( L^\varphi(\Omega, \mu) \) consists of all measurable functions \( f \) on \( \Omega \) such that

\[
\int_\Omega \varphi(|f|/\lambda) \, d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda = \lambda(f) > 0.
\]

If \( \varphi \) is a Young function, then

\[
\|f\|_{\varphi(\Omega, \mu)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \varphi \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \, d\mu \leq 1 \right\}
\]

defines a norm in \( L^\varphi(\Omega, \mu) \), which is called the Orlicz norm or the Luxemburg norm.

**Definition 6** (see [9]). One says that a Young function \( \varphi \) lies in the class \( G(p, q, C) \), \( 1 \leq p < q < \infty, C \geq 1 \), if (i) \( 1/C \leq \varphi(t^{1/p})/j(t) \leq C \) and (ii) \( 1/C \leq \varphi(t^{1/q})/h(t) \leq C \) for all \( t > 0 \), where \( j \) is a convex increasing function and \( h \) is a concave increasing function on \( [0, \infty) \).

From [9], each of \( \varphi, j, \) and \( h \) in the above definition is doubling in the sense that its values at \( t \) and \( 2t \) are uniformly comparable for all \( t > 0 \) and the consequent fact that

\[
C_1 t^d \leq h^{-1}(\varphi(t)) \leq C_2 t^d, \quad C_1 t^p \leq j^{-1}(\varphi(t)) \leq C_2 t^p,
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants. Also, for all \( 1 \leq p_1 < p < p_2 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), the function \( \varphi(t) = t^p \log^\alpha t \) belongs to \( G(p_1, p_2, C) \) for some constant \( C = C(p, \alpha, p_1, p_2) \). Here \( \log_1(t) \) is defined by \( \log_1(t) = 1 \) for \( t \leq e \) and \( \log_1(t) = \log(t) \) for \( t > e \). Particularly, if \( \alpha = 0 \), we see that \( \varphi(t) = t^p \) lies in \( G(p_1, p_2, C) \), \( 1 \leq p_1 < p < p_2 \).
**Theorem 7.** Let $P$ be the potential operator applied to a differential form $u \in \text{WRH}(\Lambda, \Omega)$ and $\varphi$ a Young function in the class $G(p, q, C)$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty, C \leq 1$, and where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain. Assume that $\varphi(|u|) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mu)$. Then, there exists a constant $M > 0$, independent of $u$, such that

$$
\int_B \varphi \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right) dx \leq M \int_{\sigma B} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) dx \tag{25}
$$

for all balls $B$ with $B \subset \Omega$, where $\sigma > 1$ is a constant.

**Proof.** Using Jensen’s inequality for $h^{-1}$ and (24), we have

$$
\int_B \varphi \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right) dx
$$

$$= h^{-1} \left( \int_B \varphi \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right) dx \right)
$$

$$\leq h \left( \int_B h^{-1} \left( \varphi \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right) \right) dx \right)
$$

$$\leq h \left( C_1 \int_B \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right)^q dx \right)
$$

$$\leq C_2 \varphi \left( \left( C_1 \int_B \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right)
$$

$$\leq C_2 \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} \int_B \left( |P(u) - (P(u))_B| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right)
$$

$$\leq C_3 \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} \int_B \left( |P(u) - (P(u))_B| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right).
$$

Since $u \in \text{WRH}(\Omega)$, by (11) we obtain

$$
\left( \int_B |u|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C_4 |B|^{q-p/q} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \tag{27}
$$

where $\sigma > 1$ is a constant. Using (13), (24), and Jensen's inequality,

$$
\varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^{q-1/p} dx \right)^{1/q} \right)
$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_B |u|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right)
$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} |B|^{q-1/p} C_4 |B|^{1/q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right)
$$

$$= \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) \right)
$$

$$\leq C_5 \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) \right)
$$

$$\leq C_5 \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) \right)
$$

$$\leq C_5 \varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) \right).
$$

Note that $p \geq 1$, then $1 + (1/n) + (1/q) - (1/p) > 0$. Thus,

$$|B|^{1+(1/n)+(1/q)-(1/p)} \leq |\Omega|^{1+(1/n)+(1/q)-(1/p)} \leq C_6. \tag{29}
$$

Using the above inequality and (i) in Definition 6, we find that $j(t) \leq C_7 \varphi(t^{1/p})$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{\sigma B} j \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) dx
$$

$$\leq C_7 \int_{\sigma B} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} C_4 |B|^{q-1/p} \left( \int_{\sigma B} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right) dx
$$

$$\leq C_7 \int_{\sigma B} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) dx.
$$

Combining (28) and (30) yields

$$
\varphi \left( \left( \frac{1}{k} \left( \int_B |P(u) - (P(u))_B|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right) \right) \leq C_{10} \int_{\sigma B} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) dx. \tag{31}
$$

Finally, substituting (31) into (26), we obtain

$$
\int_B \varphi \left( \frac{|P(u) - (P(u))_B|}{k} \right) dx \leq M \int_{\sigma B} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) dx. \tag{32}
$$

The proof of Theorem 7 has been completed. \qed

### 3. Global Inequalities

In 1989, Staples introduced the following $L^s$-averaging domains in [10]. A proper subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an $L^s$-averaging domain, $s \geq 1$, if there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left( \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_B|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \leq C \sup_{B \subset \Omega} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u - u_B|^s dx \right)^{1/s}
$$

for all $u \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Here the supremum is over all balls $B \subset \Omega$. The $L^s$-averaging domains were extended into the $L^s(\mu)$-averaging domains recently in [11]. We call a proper
subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an $L^s(\mu)$-averaging domain, $s \geq 1$, if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and there exists a constant $C$ such that
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_{B_0}|^s \, d\mu \right)^{1/s} \leq C \sup_{4B \subset \Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} |u - u_B|^s \, d\mu \right)^{1/s}
\] (34)
for some ball $B_0 \subset \Omega$ and all $u \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mu)$. The $L^s(\mu)$-averaging domain was generalized into the following $L^p(\mu)$-averaging domain in [12].

**Definition 8.** Let $\varphi$ be a continuous increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$. One calls a proper subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an $L^p(\mu)$-averaging domain, if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and there exists a constant $C$ such that
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{r}{\mu(B)} \right) \, d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq C \sup_{4B \subset \Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} \varphi \left( \frac{\sigma}{\mu(B)} \right) \, d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha}
\] (35)
for some ball $B_0 \subset \Omega$ and all $u$ such that $\varphi(|u|) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mu)$, where the measure $\mu$ is defined by $d\mu = \omega(x) \, dx$, $\omega(x)$ is a weight, and $r, \sigma$ are constants with $0 < r < 1, 0 < \sigma < 1$, and the supremum is over all balls $B \subset \Omega$ with $4B \subset \Omega$.

From the above definition, we see that $L^s(\mu)$-averaging domains are special $L^p(\mu)$-averaging domains when $\varphi(t) = t^s$ in Definition 8.

**Theorem 9.** Let $\varphi$ be a Young function in the class $G(p, q, C)$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, $C \geq 1$; and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ any bounded $L^s(\mu)$-averaging domain, and $P$ the potential operator applied to a differential form $u \in \text{WHR} (\Lambda', \Omega)$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Assume that $\varphi(|u|) \in L^1(\Omega; \mu)$. Then, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $u$, such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} \|P(u) - (P(u))_{B_0}\| \right) \, d\mu \leq C \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) \, d\mu,
\] (36)
where $B_0 \subset \Omega$ is some fixed ball.

**Proof.** From Definition 8, (25), and noticing that $\varphi$ is doubling, we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} \|P(u) - (P(u))_{B_0}\| \right) \, d\mu \leq C_1 \sup_{B \subset \Omega} \int_{B} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} \|P(u) - (P(u))_{B_0}\| \right) \, d\mu \\
\leq C_1 \sup_{B \subset \Omega} \left( C_2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) \, d\mu \right) \\
\leq C_1 \sup_{B \subset \Omega} \left( C_2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{k} \|u\| \right) \, d\mu \right) \\
\leq C_3 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) \, d\mu.
\] (37)
We have completed the proof of Theorem 9. \qed

Choosing $\varphi(t) = t^{\log_2 t}$ in Theorem 9, we obtain the following Poincaré inequalities with the $L^l(\log^k L)$-norms.

**Corollary 10.** Let $\varphi(t) = t^{\log_2 t}$, $s \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $P$ the potential operator applied to a differential form $u \in \text{WHR} (\Lambda', \Omega)$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Assume that $\varphi(|u|) \in L^1(\Omega, \mu)$. Then, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $u$, such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} \|P(u) - (P(u))_{B_0}\|^{\alpha} \, d\mu \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha \, d\mu
\] (38)
for any bounded $L^p(\mu)$-averaging domain $\Omega$ and $B_0 \subset \Omega$ is some fixed ball.

Note that (38) can be written as the following version with the Luxembourg norm:
\[
\|P(u) - (P(u))_{B_0}\|_{L^{\alpha}(\log^k L)(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\alpha}(\log^k L)(\Omega)}
\] (39)
provided that the conditions in Corollary 10 are satisfied.

### 4. Applications

We have established the local and global weighted estimates for the potential operator applied to the differential forms in the $\text{WHR}(\Lambda', \Omega)$-class. It is well known that any solution to $A$-harmonic equations belongs to the $\text{WHR}(\Lambda', \Omega)$-class. Hence, our inequalities can be used to estimate solutions of $A$-harmonic equations. Next, as applications of the main theorems, we develop some estimates for the Jacobian $J(x, f)$ of a mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, f = (f^1, \ldots, f^n)$. We know that the Jacobian $J(x, f)$ of a mapping $f$ is an $n$-form, specifically, $J(x, f) dx = df^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df^n$, where $dx = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$. For example, let $f = (f^1, f^2)$ be a differential mapping in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

\[
J(x, f) dx \wedge dy = \begin{vmatrix} f^1_x & f^1_y \\ f^2_x & f^2_y \end{vmatrix} dx \wedge dy
\]
\[
= \begin{vmatrix} f^1_x & f^1_y \\ f^2_x & f^2_y \end{vmatrix} dx \wedge dy
\]
\[
df^1 \wedge df^2 = \begin{vmatrix} f^1_x & f^1_y \\ f^2_x & f^2_y \end{vmatrix} dx \wedge dy
\] (40)
where we have used the property $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$ if $i \neq j$, and $dx_i \wedge dx_j = 0$ if $i = j$. Clearly, $J(x, f) dx \wedge dy = df^1 \wedge df^2$.

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, f = (f^1, \ldots, f^n)$ be a mapping, whose distributional differential $Df = [\partial f^i / \partial x_j] : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}(n)$ is
a locally integrable function on $M$ with values in the space $GL(n)$ of all $n \times n$-matrices. We use

$$ J(x, f) = \det Df(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_{x_1}^1 & f_{x_2}^1 & \cdots & f_{x_n}^1 \\ f_{x_1}^2 & f_{x_2}^2 & \cdots & f_{x_n}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{x_1}^n & f_{x_2}^n & \cdots & f_{x_n}^n \end{vmatrix} $$

(41)

to denote the Jacobian determinant of $f$. Assume that $u$ is the subdeterminant of Jacobian $J(x, f)$, which is obtained by deleting the $k$ rows and $k$ columns, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$; that is,

$$ u = J(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_{n-k}}; f^{j_1}, f^{j_2}, \ldots, f^{j_{n-k}}) $$

(42)

which is an $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ subdeterminant of $J(x, f)$, $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{n-k}\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{n-k}\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Note that $J(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_{n-k}}; f^{j_1}, f^{j_2}, \ldots, f^{j_{n-k}}) \in (n - k)$-form. Thus, all estimates for differential forms are applicable to the $(n - k)$-form $J(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_{n-k}}; f^{j_1}, f^{j_2}, \ldots, f^{j_{n-k}})dx_{j_1} \wedge dx_{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{j_{n-k}}$. For example, choosing $u = J(x, f) dx$ and applying Theorems 7 and 9 to $u$, respectively, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 11.** Let $\varphi$ be a Young function in the class $G(p, q, C)$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty, C \leq 1$. Let $f = (f^1, \ldots, f^n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping such that $J(x, f) dx \in \text{WRH}(\Lambda^n, \Omega)$, where $J(x, f)$ is the Jacobian of the mapping $f$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $\varphi|J(x, f)| \in L^1(\Omega, \mu)$. Then, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $J(x, f)$, such that

$$ \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|P(J(x, f)) - (P(J(x, f)))_{B_0}|}{k} \right) du \leq C \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|J(x, f)|}{k} \right) du $$

(43)

for all balls $B \subset \Omega$ and some constant $\sigma > 1$.

**Theorem 12.** Let $\varphi$ be a Young function in the class $G(p, q, C)$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty, C \leq 1$. Let $f = (f^1, \ldots, f^n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping such that $J(x, f) dx \in \text{WRH}(\Lambda^n, \Omega)$, where $J(x, f)$ is the Jacobian of the mapping $f$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded $L^p(\mu)$-averaging domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $\varphi(J(x, f)) \in L^1(\Omega, \mu)$. Then, there exists a constant $C$, independent of $J(x, f)$, such that

$$ \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|P(J(x, f)) - (P(J(x, f)))_{B_0}|}{k} \right) du \leq C \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left( \frac{|J(x, f)|}{k} \right) du $$

(44)

for all balls $B \subset \Omega$ and some constant $\sigma > 1$. 
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