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Clinical Features and Prognosis of CD20 Negative Aggressive B-Cell Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma
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Cluster designation (CD) 20 antigen is expressed on most B-cell lymphomas and serves as a therapeutic target for rituximab. A small minority of aggressive B-cell lymphomas, predominantly plasmablastic variants, do not express CD 20. We systematically reviewed all cases of aggressive B-cell lymphomas diagnosed at our institution over a period of 13 years. Of the 232 cases, 7 did not express CD 20. Five of these were plasmablastic lymphomas while two were unclassifiable B-cell lymphomas. While most of the plasmablastic lymphomas responded to chemotherapy, patients with unclassifiable lymphomas were primarily refractory or relapsed soon after chemotherapy.

1. Introduction

Diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common histological subtype of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in adults [1]. The addition of rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody, to standard chemotherapy represents the most significant advance in the therapy of DLBCL over the last decade [2, 3]. Rituximab is directed against CD (cluster designation) 20, a cell surface glycoprotein, expressed on the surface of most B cells [4]. Identification of CD 20 expression thus aids in identifying the lymphoma as being of B-cell origin and in being potentially susceptible to rituximab.

A small minority of DLBCL do not express CD 20. Most of these are reported to be plasmablastic variants of DLBCL (primary effusion lymphomas, Anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive large B-cell lymphoma, and human immunodeficiency-virus associated plasmablastic lymphoma) and have been reported to have worse outcomes compared to other DLBCL [5–7].

We systematically reviewed the clinical and pathological features of all CD 20 negative DLBCL lymphoma patients diagnosed at our institution over the last 13 years.

2. Methods

The study received a waiver from the institutional review board for review of archival material and patient charts. All patients diagnosed with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma from January 1, 1998 to June 31, 2011 were identified through the tumor registry. Pathological and immunophenotypic findings were reviewed to identify patients with CD 20 negative DLBCL. All microscopic slides from these cases, including hematoxylin & eosin and immunohistochemical stains were reviewed by the authors. Results of cytogenetic, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) probes and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis were reviewed when available. After confirming a diagnosis of CD 20 negative large B-cell lymphoma, we extracted the following clinical characteristics from the patient’s medical records: age, sex, race, HIV status, other immunodeficiency states, Ann Arbor stage, bone marrow involvement, radiological findings, primary site of involvement (nodal versus extranodal), LDH level, choice of initial therapy, salvage therapy, date of diagnosis, and date of relapse. International prognostic index (IPI) was calculated for all patients. For HIV positive cases, CD 4 count and viral
Figure 1: Hematoxylin-Eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemical features of Patient 1. (a) H&E shows medium to large-sized lymphoma cells with eccentric nuclei, heterochromatin at the edges of the nuclear membrane, and basophilic cytoplasm. These changes impart a plasmacytoid appearance. (b) Lymphoma does not stain for CD 20. (c) CD 138 stain demonstrates variable positive staining in significant number of neoplastic cells. (d) Ki-67 staining shows high proliferative index.

load was recorded. Survival data was obtained from the tumor registry.

3. Results

A total of 232 patients were diagnosed with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma at our institution over the last 13 years. Of these, seven had a CD 20 negative lymphoma (3%). Clinical characteristic of these patients is shown in Table 1.

Five patients had plasmablastic DLBCL Figure 1. All five were males with HIV infection. Median age was 42 years (33–49). Median CD 4 count was 84/μL (45–250). The immunophenotype of these tumors is shown in Table 2. Three presented with a gastrointestinal primary (oropharynx, ileum, and anus). Four had stage IV disease, while one had stage IIE. LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) was elevated in 4. Of the three samples tested for Epstein Barr virus (EBV), two were positive. FISH probes showed translocation involving MYC and immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (8:14) in both of these patients with EBV, two were positive. FISH probes showed translocation involving MYC and immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (8:14) in both of these patients with EBV. Two patients were treated with R-CHOP (Rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone). Of these, 1 achieved a complete response which has lasted 3 years. The other died due to sepsis after the first cycle. Patient 3 was treated with six cycles of CHOP and remains in remission at 2 years. Patient 4 was treated with 8 cycles of hyper CVAD (hyper-fractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone) alternating with high dose methotrexate and cytarabine. He achieved a complete response, which has lasted 2 years. All four patients who received chemotherapy also received antiretroviral treatment for HIV. Patient 5 died within a week of diagnosis due to suspected central nervous system involvement by lymphoma before receiving any specific treatment.

We also identified two patients with CD 20 negative high grade lymphoma, who did not have plasmablastic features. Patient 6 was a 44-year-old male who presented with a rectal and gastric mass, lymphomatous ascites, and bone-marrow involvement. He had stage IVB disease. IPI score was 3. He did not have HIV infection. In addition polymerase chain reaction (PCR) ruled out infection with human herpes virus 8. Biopsy of the rectal mass Figure 2 showed an aggressive B-cell lymphoma. Tumor cells stained positive for CD 79. They did not stain for CD 10 and CD 20. Karyotyping showed the following complex karyotype: 81-78,XY,+Y,+1,+add(1)(q42),+2,+3,+4,+6,+6,
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(a) H&E compared to patient 1, the neoplastic cells are more homogeneous with respect to nuclear cytomorphology and sizes. They do not have the same nuclear eccentricity or nuclear heterochromatin pattern. (b) CD 20 stain is negative. (c) CD 138 stain is negative. (d) Ki-67 shows a high proliferative index (95–100%).

### Table 1: Clinical characteristics of seven patients with CD 20 negative aggressive B-cell lymphoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>WHO lymphoma subtype</th>
<th>HIV status</th>
<th>CD4 count (/µl)</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>LDH</th>
<th>IPI score</th>
<th>Primary therapy</th>
<th>Salvage therapy</th>
<th>Survival (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plasmablastic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>IIE</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-CHOP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plasmablastic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R-CHOP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plasmablastic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plasmablastic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hyper-CVAD</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Plasmablastic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BCL-U</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>Hyper-CVAD/ICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BCL-U</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>DHAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 2: Immunophenotypic features of patients with plasmablastic DLBCL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CD20</th>
<th>CD79</th>
<th>Ki-67</th>
<th>CD10</th>
<th>Bcl-6</th>
<th>Bcl-2</th>
<th>CD138</th>
<th>EBV</th>
<th>FISH for MYC/IgH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/a: not available; −: negative; +: positive.
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4. Discussion

Our study suggests that the largest proportion (71.4%) of CD 20 negative diffuse large B-cell lymphomas encountered in clinical practice are likely to be plasmablastic variants. These tumors are characterized by acquisition of the transcription profile of plasma cells, with extinction of B-cell differentiation program. Hence, they do not express common B-cell markers like CD 20 and CD79a. By cytometry and immunohistochemistry, tumor cells were positive for CD 20, CD79a, and CD10. Ki 67 was close to 100.

The clinical features (male predominance, median age in early-to-mid 40s, predilection for extra nodal involvement, higher stage at diagnosis, high IPI, bone marrow involvement and CD 4 count <200/μL) of our patients with HIV associated plasmablastic DLBCL are consistent with other reported studies [9–11]. Of the 4 patients who received treatment, 3 responded and are in remission at median followup of 2 years. This is in contrast to other more recent reported studies of HIV-associated plasmablastic lymphoma, where the median survival is in order of 11–15 months [12, 13]. Although our patients had a low CD 4 count at presentation, their IPI score was low to low intermediate and they were treated with concurrent antiretroviral therapy. This may account for the better survival, however, given the small number of patients in our study (n = 5) we suggest caution in drawing any specific conclusions. Use of antiretroviral therapy, CD 4 count at presentation, IPI score, performance status, and achievement of a complete response to chemotherapy have been reported to affect prognosis [12–14].

Two additional subtypes of DLBCL, ALK (anaplastic lymphoma kinase) positive DLBCL and primary effusion lymphoma, also have plasmablastic features and do not express CD 20 [15, 16]. These are extremely rare subtypes and we did not find any patients with these variants in our series.

We identified 2 patients with unclassifiable B-cell lymphoma which was CD 20 negative. Patient 6 had an aggressive, B-cell lymphoma with high proliferation index. An extremely complex karyotype was identified which included a gain of myc oncogene. These findings are consistent with a BCLU with features intermediate between DLBCL and Burkitt’s lymphoma [17, 18].

Patient 7 also had an aggressive B-cell lymphoma with Ki 67 of 100%. By flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry, the tumor did not express CD 20. It had a germinal center immune-phenotype (CD 10-positive and bcl-6 positive) which argues against it being a plasmablastic DLBCl which usually have an activated B-cell phenotype [13].

BCLU is a heterogeneous disease category. It was introduced in the 2008 WHO classification to capture aggressive lymphomas which have overlapping features of DLBCL and Burkitt’s lymphoma, but do not meet the criteria of either of these more specifically defined entities [17]. Many studies have suggested that a large proportion of BCLU have both myc and bcl-2 translocations. We reviewed the immunophenotypic features of published case series on these “dual hit” lymphomas [19–24]. None of the reported cases had a CD 20 negative phenotype. Our patient had an extremely complex karyotype and had an extra copy of c-myc oncogene, without myc or bcl-2 translocation. This genetic complexity may account for the discrepancy noted in the immunophenotype and may suggest a different subtype of BCLU.

Consistent with other reported series, both of the above patients responded poorly to standard (CHOP) and aggressive (Hyper-CVAD, DHAP) chemotherapy [18, 19]. The immunomodulatory agent, lenalidomide and the proteasome inhibitor, and bortezomib have recently been reported to be active in a specific molecular subtype of DLBCL, the activated-B cell like DLBCL [25, 26]. There is an urgent need to study these and other novel biologic agents in BCLU-U.

5. Conclusions

Most cases of CD 20 negative DLBCL encountered in clinical practice are likely to be plasmablastic variants of large B-cell lymphoma. However, a small minority of BCLU may also lack CD 20 expression. These unclassifiable lymphomas may not have the commonly described rearrangements in myc and bcl-2 oncogenes however share the same dismal prognosis. Further studies with larger number of patients are required to confirm this finding.
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