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This edition presents a number of papers derived from the 3rd Symposium “Acute Cardiology” of the Division of Emergency Medicine at the Charité Berlin, which was held in Berlin, Germany, in October 2012. The symposium highlighted new developments in the field of biomarker research in acute cardiology. This included biomarker strategies for process optimization in acute coronary syndrome, acute heart failure, arterial hypertension, and biomarker monitoring in antithrombotic therapy and renal denervation therapy.

Acute and emergency medicine is developing into an independent clinical and scientific speciality. This is especially important, as increasing subspecialization in other medical specialist areas causes gaps in the care of acutely ill patients.

Cardiovascular emergency care is one of the areas where new pathways for process orientation and innovative strategies are most important to improve patient management. This will most likely include new pathophysiology-oriented biomarker strategies.

The use and interpretation of hs troponins in clinical practice is one of the big challenges in acute cardiology these days. The paper by K. Thygesen and J. Searle summarizes the recently updated definition of acute myocardial infarction in a comprehensive way. The paper by H. A. Katus and E. Giannitsis gives clear instructions on how to use hs troponin in clinical practise. Hs troponin has evolved as a “normal” marker with 100% cardiospecificity, improved early sensitivity, but lack of specificity for coronary origin of elevated levels in acute heart disease. As a consequence, not every troponin elevation, even if a type 2 MI is diagnosed, requires immediate coronary angiography. Nevertheless, every troponin elevation should trigger a thorough diagnostic workup [1]. In the light of potential renal complications as highlighted by the contribution of P. B. Persson, the evaluation of small troponin elevations has to be handled with care and perhaps some patients profit more from early imaging than cardiac catheterization. The use of biomarkers, therefore, requires as a basis a thorough clinical examination and an expertise judgement by physicians trained in acute cardiology. In addition, to provide high-quality treatment, diagnostic and subsequent invasive testing needs a clear process orientation with a high level of standardization as outlined in recent publications [2, 3].

In the acute setting, procedural aspects play a major role which is described in papers by V. Lindenau-Stockfisch et al. and M. Hansen-Nord. It becomes clear that emergency cardiovascular care needs to be process and patient oriented, to achieve optimal outcomes. Whether this is facilitated by new markers like copeptin, mr-proADM, and mr-proANP and by point of care devices remains a matter of debate. Specifically, it seems to be an open question whether POCT
Figure 1: Event-process-chain of acute emergency care. Details of the notation are published elsewhere (Vollert et al. [2]). From M. Möckel and J. O. Vollert. Processes in acute and emergency medicine, unpublished data.
has benefits over central-laboratory-based troponin measurements, which may have a much longer turn-around time and thus counteract in part the potential benefit of higher early sensitivity of hs troponin. Additionally, the concept of delta changes for serial troponin measurements is challenged by data presented by O. Hammarsten. At the moment, POCT can be safely used when an appropriate assay is utilized and the observation period is at least 6 hours. New data which will be available in the very near future (BIC-8 study on early rule-out with copeptin and troponin; NCT01498731) will provide new insights into the optimal early decision strategies.

As a summary, the processes of acute care are described in Figure 1 (event process chain). Critical processes are circled (circles 1–3). In particular the step "physician (specialist) consultation" (circle 1) requires a lot of time and opens potential for new biomarker testing.
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