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Effect of Scaling and Root Planing on Detection of Tannerella forsythia in Chronic Periodontitis
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Aim. This study was aimed to determine the prevalence of Tannerella forsythia in subgingival plaque samples of chronic periodontitis patients having different level of periodontal destruction and to assess the effect of scaling and root planing (SRP) on prevalence of T. forsythia. Materials and Methods. Study included 3 groups: group 1 were healthy individuals, group 2 had periodontitis with probing depth ≤ 5 mm, and group 3 had periodontitis with probing depth > 5 mm. Subjects in groups 2 and 3 exhibited both healthy and diseased periodontal sites. Prevalence of T. forsythia was determined using polymerase chain reaction. Subjects in groups 2 and 3 received SRP and were reevaluated three months after SRP. Results. T. forsythia was not detected in group 1. It was found in diseased sites in 40% and 73.33% of patients from groups 2 and 3, respectively. It was also found in healthy sites in 6.67% and 13.33% of patients from groups 2 and 3, respectively. The detection frequency of T. forsythia after SRP was 6.67% and 13.33% in groups 2 and 3, respectively. Conclusion. The results indicate a possible association between periodontal disease and presence of T. forsythia. Also, the detection frequency of T. forsythia was reduced after SRP.

1. Introduction

The term periodontitis refers to an inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth caused by specific microorganisms or groups of specific microorganisms resulting in progressive destruction of the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone with pocket formation, recession, or both [1]. Accumulated data indicate that a small group of bacteria are important in periodontal disease exhibiting loss of connective tissue attachment and alveolar bone. Prominent among these are Gram-negative species such as Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Tannerella forsythia (formerly Bacteroides forsythus or Tannerella forsythenisis), Campylobacter rectus, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Prevotella intermedia/nigrescens, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Peptostreptococcus micros, and Streptococcus intermedius [2]. Extensive and convincing data exist for some of these bacteria, so they are considered to be the etiologic agents in periodontitis. These include A. actinomycetemcomitans, T. forsythia, and P. gingivalis.

T. forsythia is one of the members of red complex, along with P. gingivalis and T. denticola [3]. T. forsythia is a Gram-negative, strictly anaerobic, and fusiform microorganism. It is known to be present in increased frequency, levels, and proportion in subjects with chronic periodontitis and studies have shown a strong relationship of this bacterium with the clinical parameters considered most meaningful in periodontal diagnosis, namely, pocket depth and bleeding on
The challenges of working with *T. forsythia* include its fastidious and anaerobic growth requirements for cultural detection [6].

The present study aimed at detecting the frequency of *T. forsythia* in subjects with chronic periodontitis exhibiting different levels of periodontal destruction using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based assay. We attempted to correlate the presence of this bacterium with probing depth and gingival status of patients. We further evaluated the effect of scaling and root planing (SRP) on detection frequency of *T. forsythia*.

### 2. Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out at Sharad Pawar Dental College, Sawangi, Wardha, and Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Agricultural University, Akola. The subjects included in the study were selected from Outpatient Departments of Periodontics and Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, SPDC, Wardha. All the subjects included in the study signed an informed consent. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences, Wardha.

**2.1. Subjects.** The study was performed on subjects, which were divided into three groups according to their periodontal diagnosis. Group 1: periodontally healthy subjects (*n* = 10), which included 4 men and 6 women with an age range of 25 to 34 years and a mean age of 30.7 ± 3.02 years. Group 2: patients having chronic periodontitis with probing depth ≤ 5 mm (*n* = 15), which included 7 men and 8 women with an age range of 33 to 56 years and a mean age of 42.06 ± 7.25 years. Group 3: patients having chronic periodontitis with probing depth > 5 mm (*n* = 15), which included 7 men and 8 women with an age range of 38 to 52 years and a mean age of 45.46 ± 4.01 years.

Subjects in groups 2 and 3 exhibited both healthy and diseased periodontal sites and a minimum of three periodontal pockets related to their diagnosis [4]. The criteria for periodontal health (healthy sites) were probing depth ≤ 3 mm, gingival index (GI) score ≤ 1 (Loe and Silness) [7], and absence of bleeding after probing. The criteria for diseased sites were probing depth > 3 mm, high gingival index score (GI ≥ 2), and presence of bleeding after probing.

Exclusion criteria consisted of patients who smoked; patients with dental prostheses; presence of any poorly controlled systemic condition that could have affected the progression of periodontitis; previous periodontal therapy; use of antibiotics in previous three months; subjects with aggressive periodontitis.

Detailed clinical history was recorded and clinical assessments were carried out for the subjects. Subgingival plaque samples were collected and subjected to DNA extraction and subsequent PCR for detection of *T. forsythia*. After baseline clinical and microbiological assessments, subjects in groups 2 and 3 received full mouth scaling and root planing (SRP). SRP was performed by a periodontist using ultrasonic scaler in single session. Subjects in groups 2 and 3 were reevaluated for clinical parameters and microbiologically for the presence of *T. forsythia* three months after completion of SRP.

The following clinical parameters were recorded:

1. gingival index (GI) (Loe and Silness) [7],
2. bleeding index (Ainamo and Bay) [8],
3. probing pocket depth (PPD),
4. clinical attachment level (CAL).

### 2.2. Sample Collection

**2.2.1. Group 1.** Subgingival plaque sample was collected from at least three periodontal healthy sites (sites having minimum GI score) from healthy individuals. The sampling site was isolated using cotton rolls and supragingival plaque was removed with the help of sterile cotton. The subgingival plaque sample was then collected using sterile Gracey curettes and suspended in microcentrifuge tube containing 500 µL of autoclaved TE buffer.

**2.2.2. Groups 2 and 3.** Subgingival plaque samples were obtained using sterile Gracey curettes from at least three diseased sites (deepest periodontal pockets) and two or more healthy sites of the same subject at baseline. Plaque samples from diseased sites from same subject were pooled, as were those from healthy sites, and placed in two separate microcentrifuge tubes containing 500 µL of autoclaved TE buffer. Three months after SRP, plaque samples were collected from the same sites that were labeled as diseased sites at baseline and placed in microcentrifuge tubes containing 500 µL of autoclaved TE buffer.

The samples were stored at −20°C. The samples were transported under ice packs to the laboratory where extraction of DNA from the plaque samples and subsequent PCR was carried out.

**2.3. DNA Extraction.** Extraction of DNA from plaque samples was carried out using the method given by Zheng et al. with some modifications [9]. In brief, the samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C and the supernatant was discarded. 200 µL of extraction buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), and 300 mM NaCl, 1% SDS] was added and the sample was crushed with the help of microtip and the supernatant discarded. 400 µL of chloroform was then added to the tube and mixed properly for 1-2 minutes and centrifuged to separate it in two components; the upper aqueous phase containing dissolved DNA was transferred to a fresh microcentrifuge tube. To this 400 µL of cold absolute ethanol (−20°C) was added for the precipitation of DNA. The precipitated DNA was centrifuged to form a pellet at the bottom of the tube, which was then washed twice with 70% cold ethanol. The pellet of DNA was then suspended in 30 µL of autoclaved TE buffer and kept overnight at 4°C and subsequently stored at −20°C until PCR was carried out.

### 2.4. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

The primers (Operon, Germany) used for the detection of *T. forsythia* consisted of
the sequence that encodes *T. forsythia* 16S ribosomal DNA as the target sequence for amplification of the species-specific sequence [10]. The upper (forward) primer, 5’ GCGATG-TAACCTGCCC CGCA 3’, is complementary to the sequence 120–139 and the lower (reverse) primer, 5’ TGTTCA GTGTAGTT ACCCT 3’, is complementary to the sequence 739–760 for *T. forsythia*. The size of expected PCR product was 641 bp.

During PCR, bacterial DNA from the plaque samples was amplified in 25 μL of reaction mixture. The reaction mixture consisted of 2X PCR Master Mix (number K0171, MBI Fermentas, USA): 1.25 μL; upper (forward) primer: 2.5 μL; lower (reverse) primer: 2.5 μL; template DNA: 3 μL; nuclease free water: 4.5 μL. In addition to the test samples, negative control was used in each set of PCR analysis. The reaction mixture used as a negative control consisted of distilled water instead of the template DNA. The PCR was carried out in a thermal cycler (Gene Amp PCR system 2007, Applied Biosystems) under the following conditions. Initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 minutes, 36 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing at 60 °C for one minute, extension at 72 °C for one minute, final elongation step at 72 °C for 8 minutes, and 4 °C hold for 30 minutes.

After amplification, PCR products were analysed by horizontal gel electrophoresis carried out using 1.5% agarose gel. The gel was visualized in a gel documentation system (Bio-Rad) under UV light. The presence or absence of the DNA fragment and its relative size was estimated based on comparison with the DNA marker, which served as a standard.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The mean values for GI score, percentage of sites with bleeding, PPD, and CAL were calculated for all subjects in a group and then averaged across the group to obtain the mean values for three groups. The differences in clinical parameters at baseline for group 1 and groups 2 and 3 were evaluated by Dunnett’s D test. Fisher’s exact test was applied to test the difference between the groups for presence of *T. forsythia*. Z test was used to check whether any difference was found between healthy and diseased sites for the presence of the bacterium in patients from groups 2 and 3. The differences in clinical parameters at baseline and after SRP were tested by applying Student’s paired t test. The change in frequency of detecting *T. forsythia* at baseline and after SRP was checked by Fisher’s exact test.

### 3. Results

3.1. Clinical Parameters at Baseline (Table 1). The mean values were calculated for GI score, percentage of sites that exhibited bleeding, PPD, and CAL for each individual in a group and then averaged across the group to obtain the mean values for three groups. There was significant difference in clinical parameters between group 1 and groups 2 and 3 as revealed by Dunnett’s test.

3.2. Detection Frequency of *T. forsythia* at Baseline (Table 2). *T. forsythia* was detected more frequently from patients with probing depth more than 5 mm (73.33%) as compared to the patients having probing depth up to 5 mm (40%). Fisher’s exact test showed a significant difference between groups 2 and 3 for the presence of bacterium. Comparisons within a group by Z test revealed a significant difference between healthy and diseased sites in the same group for the presence of *T. forsythia*.

3.3. Change in Clinical Parameters in Groups 2 and 3 Three Months after SRP. In group 2, the mean GI score reduced from 1.83 at baseline to 0.82, after SRP; the mean percentage of sites with bleeding reduced from 67.21% at baseline to 20.66%, after SRP; the mean PPD reduced from 4.5 mm at baseline to 2.97 mm, after SRP; the mean CAL reduced from 4.71 at baseline to 3.56 mm, after SRP. In group 3, the mean GI score reduced from 1.91 at baseline to 0.68, after SRP; the mean percentage of sites with bleeding reduced from 74.61% at baseline to 21.96%, after SRP; the mean PPD reduced from 5.35 mm at baseline to 3.36 mm, after SRP. Student’s paired t-test showed a significant difference in each of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameter</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>F-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GI Score</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.88</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.12</td>
<td>362.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunnett D test</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of sites with bleeding</td>
<td>14.28 ± 2.91</td>
<td>67.21 ± 7.26</td>
<td>74.61 ± 3.47</td>
<td>483.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunnett D test</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPD (mm)</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.38</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.45</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.40</td>
<td>142.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunnett D test</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL (mm)</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.39</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.46</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.46</td>
<td>176.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunnett D test</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of mean baseline clinical parameters in three groups.
clinical parameter after SRP as compared to baseline for both the

groups.

3.4. Detection of T. forsythia in Groups 2 and 3 Three Months
after SRP (Table 3). The detection frequency of the bacterium
reduced in group 2 from 40% at baseline to 6.67% after SRP.
The difference was not statistically significant according to
Fisher’s exact test. In group 3 the value reduced from 73.33%
at baseline to 13.33% after SRP. The difference was statistically
significant.

4. Discussion

Amongst the three members of “red” complex, T. forsythia
is the least understood microorganism. The seemingly low
prevalence of T. forsythia in previous studies reflects the
extremely fastidious culture requirements and the low sen-
sitivity of culture test system for this microorganism.

In the current study, subgingival plaque samples were
obtained from deepest periodontal pockets and the sites that
exhibited higher GI score based on the observations that
sampling from such sites is likely to enhance the chance of
detecting pathogenic bacteria [4]. The plaque samples
collected from diseased sites of same individuals were pooled
as were the samples obtained from healthy sites. A sample of
plaque from a single diseased site may not be representative
of the flora of the entire dentition or even of other diseased
sites. In general, the greater number of sites that are sampled,
the more representative the analysis will be of the overall

In the present study, none of the samples from healthy
controls tested positive for T. forsythia. This is in agreement
with results of Klein and Gonçalves [12]. However, few studies
report that T. forsythia is present in low frequency and levels
in healthy subjects. van Winkelhoff et al. detected T. forsythia
in 47.9% sites from control subjects. In their study the gingival
condition of sampling sites from control subjects varied
between gingival health and various degrees of gingivitis
[13], whereas in present study the sites chosen from control
subjects were those with no or little inflammation.

The current study showed a higher prevalence of T. forsythia
in subjects with chronic periodontitis as compared to
healthy controls. This is in accordance with previous
studies [5, 13–15]. Findings from all such studies have indi-
cated strong association of T. forsythia with periodontitis
but the exact role of the bacterium in pathogenesis of the
disease is not known. However, several virulent factors have
been suggested as possible mediators for the disease such as
tryptsin-like protease [16], sialidase [17], N-benzoyl-val-
Gly-Arg-p-nitroanilide specific protease encoded by the prtH
gene [18], cell surface associated BspA protein [19], S-layer-
like proteins [20], and ability to induce apoptosis [21].

The current investigation found an increase in the
prevalence of T. forsythia with increasing probing pocket
depth. Several other investigators also have noted a positive
correlation of T. forsythia with increasing pocket depth [3–5,
12, 22]. Klein and Gonçalves found the bacterium in 100% of
patients with deeper sites (>5 mm) and 70% of patients with
shallower pockets (up to 5 mm), which is in agreement with
this study [12]. The exact reason for increase in frequency
of such pathogenic bacteria is not known. However, the
following reasons have been suggested for such finding:
the higher levels of anaerobiosis at deeper sites, difference
in subgingival temperature, and requirement for hemin or other
substances, thereby providing a more conducive environment
for growth of fastidious and anaerobic microorganisms [22].
This reaffirms the finding that T. forsythia, alone or in
combination with other microorganisms, may be involved in
the process of tissue destruction such as pocket deepening or
active attachment loss.

T. forsythia was also found in a limited number of samples
in healthy sites from subjects with periodontitis. The bacteria
found at healthy sites of diseased subjects might have origi-
nated from the infected periodontitis lesions [13]. Differences
might exist in virulence of strains within a pathogenic species
[23]. Healthy subgingival areas may be colonized by less
virulent clones of the pathogenic species [5].

The data from present investigation showed an improve-
ment in clinical parameters in subjects with periodontitis,
three months after SRP. These results are consistent with
studies in the literature [24, 25].

In the current study, SRP was not able to completely
remove T. forsythia from subgingival sites. Due to lack of
quantification by PCR it was not possible to comment on the
after SRP levels of the bacterium. According to previous
studies there is reduction in prevalence and levels of T. forsythia
after SRP [24, 25].

Large numbers of microorganisms are removed from the
subgingival area at the time of instrumentation during SRP. It
is equally clear that quite large numbers of microorganisms
are left behind. According to the literature, within 1 to 2
weeks, the total numbers of bacteria approach the numbers

---

**Table 2: Detection frequency of T. forsythia in three groups at baseline.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Presence of T. forsythia</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Z-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>01 (6.67%)</td>
<td>06 (40%)</td>
<td>2.33 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>02 (13.33%)</td>
<td>11 (73.33%)</td>
<td>4.17 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher’s exact test</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 versus group 3 (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Comparison of detection frequency of T. forsythia in groups 2 and 3 at baseline and post-SRP.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T. forsythia</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Post-SRP</th>
<th>Fisher’s exact test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>06 (40%)</td>
<td>01 (6.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>09 (60%)</td>
<td>14 (93.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>11 (73.33%)</td>
<td>02 (13.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>04 (26.67%)</td>
<td>13 (86.67%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that are present in the pocket prior to treatment and then slowly increase over time. By about 3 months most of the sites are recolonized by pretherapy levels of most species. However, studies have shown that the three species of the red complex significantly decreased and other species increased as a result of therapy. This data affirms the role of red complex species in periodontal diseases [25]. If we try to correlate the change in clinical parameters and detection frequency of *T. forsythia* at baseline and after SRP, it is not clear whether a decrease in pocket depth affected colonization by *T. forsythia* or whether a decrease in *T. forsythia* led to an improved clinical outcome.

The results showed a qualitative difference in the two patient groups regarding the presence of bacterium. No definitive conclusions could be made regarding the quantitative relationship between the study organism and periodontal disease severity. The study detected the prevalence of a single periodontal pathogen. Study of a group of microorganisms and their correlation with clinical parameters could have added more value.

5. Conclusions

Thus it is concluded that *T. forsythia* is strongly associated with chronic periodontitis and its detection frequency positively correlates with probing pocket depth. The bacterium is also present in healthy sites of some patients with chronic periodontitis. Also, the detection frequency of *T. forsythia* was reduced after SRP.
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