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We introduce a new class of multivalent harmonic functions defined by Wright generalized hypergeometric function. Coefficient estimates, extreme points, distortion bounds, and convex combination for functions belonging to this class are obtained.

1. Introduction

A continuous complex-valued function \( f = u + iv \) defined in a simply connected complex domain \( D \) is said to be harmonic in \( D \) if both \( u \) and \( v \) are real harmonic in \( D \). In any simply connected domain the function \( f(z) \) can be written in the form

\[
    f = h + \overline{g},
\]

where \( h \) and \( g \) are analytic in \( D \), and \( g \) the coanalytic part of \( f \). A necessary and sufficient condition for \( f \) to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in \( D \) is that \( |h'(z)| > |g'(z)| \) in \( D \) (see [1]).

Denote by \( S_H \) the class of functions \( f \) of the form (1) that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disc \( U = \{ z : |z| < 1 \} \) for which \( f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 \).

Recently, Ahuja and Jahangiri [2] defined the class \( H_p (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\} \) consisting of all \( p \)-valent harmonic functions \( f = h + \overline{g} \) that are sense-preserving in \( U \) and \( h, g \) are of the form

\[
    h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad |b_p| < 1.
\]


The Wright generalized hypergeometric function [3] (see also [4])

\[
    q \Psi_s \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, A_1) \dots, (\alpha_q, A_q) ; (\beta_1, B_1) \dots, (\beta_s, B_s) \end{array} ; z \right]
    = q \Psi_s \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, A_1)_1 \dots, (\beta_1, B_1)_1 \end{array} ; z \right]
\]

is defined by

\[
    q \Psi_s \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, A_1)_1 \dots, (\beta_1, B_1)_1 \end{array} ; z \right]
    = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma (\alpha_i + n A_i) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma (\beta_i + n B_i)}{n!} z^n \quad (z \in U).
\]

If \( A_i = 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, q) \) and \( B_i = 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, s) \), we have the relationship

\[
    \Omega q \Psi_s \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, 1)_1 \dots, (\beta_1, 1)_1 \end{array} ; z \right]
    = q F_s \left( \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s) \end{array} ; z \right),
\]

where \( q F_s \left( \begin{array}{c} (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s) \end{array} ; z \right) \) is the generalized hypergeometric function (see [4]) and

\[
    \Omega = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma (\alpha_i) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma (\beta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma (\alpha_i + n A_i) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma (\beta_i + n B_i)}.
\]

Aouf et al. [8] defined the linear operator $\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s]$ by the Hadamard product as

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s] f(z) = q \Phi^p_s([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s] \ast \varphi(z),$$

where $q \Phi^p_s([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s]$ is given by

$$q \Phi^p_s([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s] = \Omega z^q \Psi_s([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s].$$

We observe that, for a function $\varphi(z)$ of the form (2), we have

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], q); (\beta_i, B_i), s] \varphi(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) a_n z^n,$$

where $\Omega$ is given by (7) and $\sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(n-p)) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_q + A_q(n-p))}{\Gamma(\beta_1 + B_1(n-p)) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_s + B_s(n-p))(n-p)!}.$$  

(11)

For convenience, we write

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) f(z) = \theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) \ast \varphi(z).$$

(12)

Now we can define the modified Wright operator as follows:

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) f(z) = \theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) g(z),$$

(13)

where

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) a_n z^n,$$

$$\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) b_n z^n, \quad |b_n| < 1.$$  

(14)

For $p = 1$, $\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) f(z) = W_q^s[\alpha_1] f(z)$, where $W_q^s[\alpha_1] f(z)$ is the modified Wright generalized hypergeometric functions (see [9]).

We note that, for $A_i = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$) and $B_i = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$), we obtain $\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_i, 1, 1] f(z) = H_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1] f(z)$, where $H_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1]$ is the modified Dziki-Srivastava operator (see [10]).

For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $z \in U$, let $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ denote the family of harmonic $p$-valent functions $f(z) = h(z) + g(z)$, where $h$ and $g$ are given by (2) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z}{\theta_{p,q,s}([\alpha_i, A_i], B_i) h(z)} \right\} \geq p\gamma.$$

(15)

Let $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ be the subclass of $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ consisting of functions $f = h + g$ such that $h$ and $g$ are of the form

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad |b_n| < 1.$$  

(16)

We note that for suitable choices of $q$ and $s$, we obtain the following subclasses:

1. $H_p([1, 1, 1], 2, 1; \gamma) = S_{\gamma}^p(p, \gamma)$ (see [2]);
2. $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) = T_{\gamma}^p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ (see [10]);
3. $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) = W_{\gamma}^p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ (see [9]);
4. $H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) = V_{\gamma}^p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ (see [11, 12]);
5. $H_p([1, 1, 1], 2, 1; \gamma) = S_{\gamma}^p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$ (see [13]).

2. Coefficient Estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we will assume in the reminder of this paper that the parameters $\alpha_i, A_1, \ldots, q, A_q$ and $\beta_i, B_1, \ldots, B_s$ are positive real numbers, $0 \leq \gamma < 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in U$, $\Omega$ is defined by (7), and $\sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)$ is defined by (11).

Theorem 1. Let $f = h + g$ be such that $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are given by (2). Furthermore, let

$$\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n - p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) |a_n|$$

(17)

$$+ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n + p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) |b_n| \leq p(1 - \gamma).$$

Then $f(z)$ is orientation preserving in $U$ and $f(z) \in H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma)$. 


Proof. The inequality \(|h'(z)| \geq |g'(z)|\) is enough to show that \(f(z)\) is orientation preserving. Note that

\[
|h'(z)| \geq p|z|^{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n |a_n| |z|^{n-1}
\]

\[
> p - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n |a_n| \geq p - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(n-p\gamma)}{p(1-\gamma)} |a_n|
\]

\[
\geq \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} \frac{(n+p\gamma)}{p(1-\gamma)} |a_n| b_n \geq \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} n |b_n|
\]

\[
> \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} n |b_n| |z|^{n-1} \geq |g'(z)|.
\]

(18)

Now we will show that \(f(z) \in H_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma)\). We only need to show that if (17) holds, then condition (15) is satisfied. Using the fact that \(\text{Re}(w) \geq \frac{p}{1-\gamma}\) if and only if \(|p(1-\gamma) + w| \geq |p(1+\gamma) - w|\), it suffices to show that

\[
|A(z) + p(1-\gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - p(1+\gamma)B(z)| \geq 0,
\]

(19)

where

\[
A(z) = z(\theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z))^t - z(\theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z))^t,
\]

\[
B(z) = \theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z).
\]

(20)

Substituting for \(A(z)\) and \(B(z)\) in (17) yields

\[
|A(z) + p(1-\gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - p(1+\gamma)B(z)|
\]

\[
= (2p - p\gamma)z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} [n + p(1-\gamma)] \Omega \sigma_{n,p} (\alpha_1) a_n z^n
\]

\[
- \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} [n - p(1+\gamma)] \Omega \sigma_{n,p} (\alpha_1) b_n z^n
\]

\[
= 2p(1-\gamma) |z|^p - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(n-p\gamma)}{p(1-\gamma)} |a_n| |z|^{n-p}
\]

\[
- \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} \frac{(n+p)}{p(1-\gamma)} |b_n| |z|^{n-p}
\]

The last expression is nonnegative by (17). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. The harmonic \(p\)-valent function

\[
f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{n+p\gamma} \Omega \sigma_{n,p} (\alpha_1) X_n z^n
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{n+p\gamma} \Omega \sigma_{n,p} (\alpha_1) Y_n z^n,
\]

(22)

where \(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |X_n| + \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} |Y_n| = 1\), shows that the coefficient bound given by (17) is sharp. It is worthy to note that the function of the form (22) belongs to the class \(H_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma)\) for all \(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |X_n| + \sum_{n=p}^{+\infty} |Y_n| \leq 1\) because coefficient inequality (17) holds. \(\square\)

Theorem 2. A function \(f(z)\) is in the class \(H_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma)\) if and only if

\[
\theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z)
\]

\[
* \left[ \frac{2p(1-\gamma) z^p + (\zeta - 2p + 2p\kappa + 1) z^{p+1}}{(1-z)^2} \right]
\]

\[
- \theta_{p,q,s} [\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z)
\]

\[
* \left[ \frac{2p(\zeta + \gamma) \bar{z}^p + (\zeta - 2p^2 \kappa - 2p + 1) \bar{z}^{p+1}}{(1-\bar{z})^2} \right] \neq 0,
\]

(23)

|\zeta| = 1.
Proof. From (15), we have the necessary and sufficient condition for \( f(z) \in H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \) that is,

\[
\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{p(1-\gamma)} \left[ (z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z))^t - z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z))^t \right] \times (\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z)^{-1} - py) \right\} \geq 0.
\]

(24)

Hence we have the equivalent condition

\[
\frac{1}{p(1-\gamma)} \left[ (z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z))^t - z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z))^t \right] \times (\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z)^{-1} - py) \neq \frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta + 1},
\]

(25)

\(|\zeta| = 1, \zeta \neq -1, 0 < |z| < 1\). Simple algebraic manipulation of (25) yields

\[
0 \neq \left[ (z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z))^t - z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z))^t \right] \times (\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z)^{-1} - py) \]

\[= \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z) \]

\[\times \left[ 2p(1-\gamma) z^p + (\zeta - 2p\gamma + 1) z^{p+1} (1-z)^2 \right] - \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z) \]

\[\times \left[ 2p (\zeta + y) z^p + (\zeta - 2p \gamma + 1) z^{p+1} (1-z)^2 \right].
\]

(26)

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. A function \( f(z) = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h(z) \) and \( g(z) \) are given by (16), is in the class \( H_p^c([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \), if and only if

\[
\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) |b_n| \leq p(1-\gamma).
\]

(27)

Proof. Since \( H_p^c([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \subset H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \), we only need to prove the "only if" part of this theorem. To this end, for functions \( f(z) = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h(z) \) and \( g(z) \) given by (16), we notice that condition

\[
\Re \left\{ \left[ (z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z))^t - z(\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z))^t \right] \times (\theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] h(z) + \theta_{p,q,s}[\alpha_1, A_1, B_1] g(z)^{-1} - py) \right\} \geq py
\]

is equivalent to

\[
\Re \left\{ \left[ p(1-\gamma) z^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) a_n z^n \right. \right.
\]

\[- \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) b_n \overline{z^n} \]

\[\left. \left. - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) b_n \overline{z^n} \right\} \right\} \geq 0.
\]

(28)

The above condition must hold for all \( z, |z| = r < 1 \). Choosing the values of \( z \) on the positive real axis where \( 0 \leq r < 1 \), we must have

\[
\left[ p(1-\gamma) z^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) a_n r^{n-1} \right.
\]

\[- \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) b_n r^{n-1} \]

\[\left. \times \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) a_n r^{n-1} \right] \times \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) b_n r^{n-1} \right) \right\} \geq 0.
\]

(29)

(30)

If condition (27) does not hold, then the numerator in (30) is negative for \( r \) sufficiently close to 1. Hence there
exist \( z_0 = r_0 \) in \((0,1)\) for which the quotient in (30) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for \( f(z) \in \overline{H}_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma) \). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

### 3. Distortion Theorem

**Theorem 4.** Let the function \( f(z) = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h(z) \) and \( g(z) \) are given by (16), belong to the class \( \overline{H}_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma) \). Then for \( |z| = r < 1 \), we have

\[
|f(z)| \leq 1 + |b_p| r^p + \frac{1}{\Omega_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) r^{1+p},
\]

(31)

\[
|f(z)| \geq 1 - |b_p| r^p - \frac{1}{\Omega_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) r^{1+p},
\]

(32)

for \( |b_p| \leq (1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma) \). The results are sharp with equality for the functions \( f(z) \) defined by

\[
f(z) = \left( 1 + |b_p| \right) \overline{z}^p - \frac{1}{\Omega_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) z^{1+p},
\]

\[
f(z) = \left( 1 - |b_p| \right) \overline{z}^p - \frac{1}{\Omega_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) z^{1+p}.
\]

(33)

**Proof.** We only prove the first inequality. The proof for the second inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let \( f(z) \in \overline{H}_p(\{\alpha_1, A_1, B_1\}, q, s; \gamma) \). Taking the absolute value of \( f \), we have

\[
|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_p|) r^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^n
\]

\[
\leq (1 + |b_p|) r^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^{1+p}
\]

(34)

Putting \( A_j = 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, q) \) and \( B_j = 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, s) \) in Theorem 4, we obtain the following corollary which modifies the result obtained by Omar and Halim [10, Theorem 2.6].

**Corollary 5.** Let the function \( f(z) = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h(z) \) and \( g(z) \) are given by (16), belong to the class \( \overline{H}_p(\{\alpha_1\}, q, s; \gamma) \). Then, for \( |z| = r < 1 \), we have

\[
|f(z)| \leq 1 + |b_p| r^p + \frac{1}{\Psi_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) r^{1+p},
\]

\[
|f(z)| \geq 1 - |b_p| r^p - \frac{1}{\Psi_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left( \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} |b_p| \right) r^{1+p}
\]

(35)
for \( |b_p| \leq (1 - \gamma)/(1 + \gamma) \). The results are sharp with equality for the functions \( f(z) \) defined by

\[
f(z) = \left(1 + |b_p|\right)^z - \frac{1}{\Psi_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1)} \times \left(\frac{p(1-\gamma)}{1+p(1-\gamma)} - \frac{p(1+\gamma)}{1+p(1+\gamma)} \right) b_p, \quad (z = 1 + |b_p|) \]  \tag{36}
\]

where \( \Psi_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1) = \Omega \sigma_{1+p,p}(\alpha_1) \) with \( A_i = 1 \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, q)\) and \( B_i = 1 \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, s)\).

### 4. Extreme Points

**Theorem 6.** Let \( f(z) = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h(z) \) and \( g(z) \) are given by (16). Then \( f(z) \in \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \), if and only if

\[
f(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left( \mu_n h_n(z) + \eta_n g_n(z) \right), \tag{37}
\]

where \( h_p(z) = z^p \),

\[
h_n(z) = z^p - \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} z^n \quad (n = 1 + p, 2 + p, \ldots), \tag{38}
\]

\[
g_n(z) = z^p + \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \overline{z^n} \quad (n = p, 1 + p, \ldots), \tag{39}
\]

\( \mu_n \geq 0, \eta_n \geq 0, \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n + \eta_n) = 1. \) In particular, the extreme points of the class \( \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \) are \( \{h_n\} \) and \( \{g_n\} \).

**Proof.** Suppose that

\[
f(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left( \mu_n h_n(z) + \eta_n g_n(z) \right)
\]

\[
= z^p - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \mu_n z^n + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \eta_n \overline{z^n}. \tag{40}
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \mu_n z^n
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \eta_n \overline{z^n}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \eta_n \leq 1 \tag{41}
\]

and so \( f(z) \in \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \). Conversely, if \( f(z) \in \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \), then

\[
|a_n| \leq \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \quad (n \geq 1 + p), \tag{42}
\]

\[
|b_n| \leq \frac{p(1-\gamma)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} \quad (n \geq p). \tag{43}
\]

Set

\[
\mu_n = \frac{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{p(1-\gamma)} |a_n| \quad (n = 1 + p, 2 + p, \ldots),
\]

\[
\eta_n = \frac{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{p(1-\gamma)} |b_n| \quad (n = p, 1 + p, \ldots). \tag{44}
\]

Since \( 0 \leq \mu_n \leq 1 + (n = 1 + p, 2 + p, \ldots) \) and \( 0 \leq \eta_n \leq 1 \) \((n = p, 1 + p, \ldots)\), \( \mu_p = 1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \mu_n + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \eta_n \geq 0 \), then we can see that \( f(z) \) can be expressed in the form (37). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Now we show that the class \( \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \) is closed under convex combinations of its members.

**Theorem 7.** The class \( \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \) is closed under convex combination.

**Proof.** For \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), let \( f_i \in \overline{H}_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1], q, s; \gamma) \), where \( f_i \) is given by

\[
f_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| \overline{z^n}. \tag{44}
\]

Then by using Theorem 3, we have

\[
\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \frac{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{(n-p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} |a_n| z^n
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)}{(n+p\gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1)} |b_n| \overline{z^n} \leq 1. \tag{45}
\]
For \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n \leq 1 \), the convex combination of \( f_i \) may be written as

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) = z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_n| \right) z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_n| \right) z^n .
\]

Then by (45), we have

\[
\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n - p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_n| \right) + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n + p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_n| \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left( \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n - p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_n| \right) + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n + p \gamma) \Omega \sigma_{n,p}(\alpha_1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_n| \right) \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1 .
\]

This is the required condition and so \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) \in H_p([\alpha_1, A_1, B_1, q, s \gamma]) \). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

\[\Box\]
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