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Abstract: In this paper, we show that a vertex $v$ does not belong to the $m$-convex hull of a set $X$ if and only if $v$ is separated from $X$ by a clique, and known results on $ap$-convexity [3, 9] imply that “a vertex $v$ does not belong to the $ap$-convex hull of a set $X$ if and only if $v$ is separated from $X$ by a cut-vertex.” This similarity also applies to the algorithms to compute $m$-convex hulls [7, 9–11] and $ap$-convex hulls [9].

In this paper, we show that $m$-convexity and $ap$-convexity belong to a wide class of convexity spaces on hypergraphs, which we call “decomposable” and define by means of three axioms. To this end, we need to introduce the notion of a “cluster.”

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a convexity space on a connected hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with vertex set $V$. A cluster of $\mathcal{H}$ is a nonempty subset of $V$ in which every two vertices are separated by no convex set. A convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ on $V$ is decomposable if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is the convexity space induced by maximal clusters of $\mathcal{H}$.

1. Introduction

A (finite) convexity space [1] over a finite nonempty set $V$ is a subset $\mathcal{C}$ of the powerset of $V$ that contains $\emptyset$, $V$, and the singletons; furthermore, $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under intersection and every set in $\mathcal{C}$ is connected in $\mathcal{H}$. The members of $\mathcal{C}$ are called convex sets. The convex hull of a subset $X$ of $V$ is the smallest convex set containing $X$. A convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under intersection and every set in $\mathcal{C}$ is connected.

A convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is the convexity space induced by maximal clusters of $\mathcal{H}$.
The cluster hypergraph of $ℋ$ is the set of maximal clusters of $ℋ$. A convexity space on $ℋ$ is decomposable if it satisfies the following three axioms.

**Axiom 1.** The cluster hypergraph of $ℋ$ is acyclic.

**Axiom 2.** Every edge of the cluster hypergraph of $ℋ$ is a convex set.

**Axiom 3.** For every nonempty vertex set $X$, a vertex does not belong to the convex hull of $X$ if and only if it is separated from $X$ by a convex cluster.

Our main result is that if $ℋ$ is a decomposable convexity space on $ℋ$, then $ℋ$ enjoys the following two properties.

(D1) there is a closed formula which expresses the convex hull of a set in terms of certain convex clusters of $ℋ$.

(D2) $ℋ$ is a convex geometry if and only if the subspaces of $ℋ$ induced by maximal clusters of $ℋ$ are all convex geometries.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall more-or-less standard definitions and some preliminary results on acyclic hypergraphs, which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3 we state general properties of the cluster hypergraph. In Section 4 we prove some properties of convexity spaces that satisfy Axioms 1 and 2. In Section 5 we prove that decomposable convexity spaces enjoy properties (D1) and (D2). In Section 6, we give three examples of decomposable convexity spaces taken from the literature. Finally, Section 7 contains a closing note.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $V$ be a finite set. A hypergraph [12] on $V$ is a nonempty set of subsets of $V$ whose union recovers $V$. The elements of $V$ are the vertices of $ℋ$ and the sets in $ℋ$ are the (hyper) edges of $ℋ$. If $V = ∅$, then we call $ℋ = \{∅\}$ the empty hypergraph. A nonempty hypergraph is trivial if it has exactly one edge. A hypergraph is simple if no edge is a subset of another edge. A partial hypergraph of hypergraph $ℋ$ is a nonempty subset of $ℋ$. A cover of $ℋ$ is a simple hypergraph $ℋ$ on $V$ such that each edge of $ℋ$ is contained in some edge of $ℋ$.

A vertex is a leaf if it belongs to exactly one edge. Two vertices are adjacent if they belong together to some edge. A vertex $v$ is adjacent to a vertex set $X$ if $X$ contains a vertex $u$ such that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent.

A clique is a nonempty vertex set in which every two vertices are adjacent. A partial edge is an nonempty vertex set included in some edge. A hypergraph is conformal if every clique is a partial edge. The clique hypergraph of a hypergraph $ℋ$ is the hypergraph whose edges are precisely the maximal cliques of $ℋ$.

A (vertex) path is a sequence of distinct vertices $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)$, $k \geq 1$, such that if $k > 1$ then $u_h$ and $u_{h+1}$ are adjacent for $h = 1, \ldots, k - 1$; the path is said to join $u_1$ and $u_k$ from $u_1$ to $u_k$ and to pass through any set $X$ such that $X \cap \{u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}\} = ∅$. A hypergraph is connected if every two vertices are joined by a path. The connected components of a hypergraph are its maximal partial hypergraphs that are connected.

Let $X$ be a nonempty subset of $V$; the subhypergraph of $ℋ$ induced by $X$ is the hypergraph on $X$, denoted by $ℋ(X)$, whose edges are the nonempty intersections of $X$ with edges of $ℋ$. A subset $X$ of $V$ is connected if $ℋ(X)$ is connected.

Let $X$ be a nonempty proper subset of $V$; by $ℋ - X$ we denote the subhypergraph of $ℋ$ induced by $V \setminus X$. If $ℋ$ is a connected component of $ℋ - X$, the neighborhood of $ℋ$, denoted by $N(ℋ')$, is the set of vertices in $X$ that are adjacent to the vertex set of $ℋ'$.

A vertex $v$ is separated from a set $Y$ by $X$ if $v \notin X \cup Y$ and $Y$ is disjoint from the vertex set of the connected component of $ℋ - X$ containing $v$.

In the next two subsections we deal with “separators” and “acyclic hypergraphs.”

### 2.1. Separators

Let $ℋ$ be a connected hypergraph with vertex set $V$. A subset $X$ of $V$ is a separator of $ℋ$ if $ℋ - X$ is not connected. If $X$ is a separator of $ℋ$, then $X$ is said to separate every two vertices that are in distinct connected components of $ℋ - X$. Note that $X$ separates two vertices $u$ and $v$ if and only if $X \cap \{u, v\} = ∅$ and every path joining $u$ and $v$ passes through $X$. A separator $X$ separates a subset $Y$ of $V$ if $X$ separates two distinct vertices in $Y$. A set $X$ is a minimal separator (MVS) [13] of $ℋ$ if there exists a vertex pair for which $X$ is a minimal separator.

### 2.2. Acyclic Hypergraphs

A hypergraph is acyclic if there exists a running-intersection ordering $(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$ of its edges, that is, if $n > 1$ then, for each $i > 1$ there exists $j_i < i$ such that

$$ (A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{j_i}) \cap A_i = A_j \cap A_i. $$

Several equivalent definitions of acyclicity exist [14]. A test for acyclicity is given by the following algorithm which reduces a hypergraph $ℋ$ to the empty hypergraph $\{∅\}$ if and only if $ℋ$ is acyclic [14].

**Graham Reduction.** Repeatedly apply the following two operations until neither can be longer applied:

- **(vertex deletion):** remove a vertex if it is a leaf.
- **(edge deletion):** remove an edge if it is contained in another edge.

A more efficient algorithm to test acyclicity was given in [15]. The following two facts state well known properties of MVSs of an acyclic hypergraph [14, 16] and they will be used in the sequel.

**Fact 1.** Let $ℋ$ be an acyclic, simple, and connected hypergraph. The MVSs of $ℋ$ are exactly the partial edges of $ℋ$ that are removed during the Graham reduction of $ℋ$.

**Fact 2.** Let $ℋ$ be an acyclic, simple, and connected hypergraph. If $Y$ is an MVS of $ℋ$, then there exist two edges $A$ and
B of ℋ such that \( Y = A \cap B \) and, for every \( u \in A \setminus B \) and \( v \in B \setminus A \), \( Y \) is the only minimal separator for \( \{u, v\} \).

For our purposes, we need a modification of Graham reduction when there is a set \( X \) of sacred vertices that may not be deleted by vertex deletion. Let \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) denote the result of applying Graham reduction to a hypergraph \( ℋ \) with the vertex deletion rule modified to disallow the removal of any vertex in \( X \), the application of rules proceeding until such time as no more applications are possible [16]. We call \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) the Graham reduction of \( ℋ \) with sacred set \( X \). It is well known [16] that \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) is defined uniquely, that is, if a deletion rule is applicable to a vertex or edge, applying a rule elsewhere does not make the first inapplicable; so, the reduction procedure proceeds to do everything that is ever possible, independent of the order of reductions chosen. In other words, the Graham reduction process enjoys the so-called Church-Rosser property [16], which makes it a pruning process according to the terminology used in [17]. It is also well known [16] that if \( ℋ \) is an acyclic, simple and connected hypergraph, then

(i) \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) is an acyclic, simple, and connected hypergraph;
(ii) the edges of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) are the maximal edges of an induced subhypergraph of \( ℋ \) whose vertex set contains \( X \);
(iii) \( X \) is a subset of the vertex set of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \);
(iv) the MVSs of \( ℋ \) are the MVSs of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) plus the partial edges \( ℋ \) removed during the Graham reduction process.

The following lemma contains one more property of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) which will be used later on.

**Lemma 1** (see [18]). Let \( ℋ \) be an acyclic hypergraph on \( V \), \( X \) a nonempty proper subset of \( V \), and \( u \) a vertex in \( V \setminus X \). The following holds:

(i) a vertex \( u \) of \( ℋ \) belongs to the vertex set of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) if and only if \( u \) is separated from \( X \) by no partial edge of \( ℋ \);
(ii) if \( u \) is not a vertex of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \), then there exists an edge of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \) that separates \( u \) from \( X \) in \( ℋ \);
(iii) if \( A \) is an edge of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \), then \( A \) is the union of \( A \cap X \) with the neighborhoods of the connected components of \( ℋ - A \) whose vertex sets are not disjoint from \( X \).

Figure 1 illustrates part (iii) of Lemma 1 for the two cases in which \( A \cap X = \emptyset \) and \( A \cap X \neq \emptyset \).

**Corollary 2.** Let \( ℋ \) be an acyclic hypergraph on \( V \), \( X \) a nonempty proper subset of \( V \), and \( u \) a vertex in \( V \setminus X \). If \( A \) is an edge of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \), \( u \) is not a vertex of \( \text{GR}(ℋ, X) \), and \( A \cup \{u\} \) is a partial edge of \( ℋ \), then \( A \) is contained in every partial edge of \( ℋ \) that separates \( u \) from \( X \) in \( ℋ \).

**Proof.** First of all, observe that the connected component of \( ℋ - A \) that contains \( u \) has an empty intersection with \( X \). Let \( B \) be a partial edge of \( ℋ \) that separates \( u \) from \( X \) in \( ℋ \), and let \( Z \) be the vertex set of a connected component \( ℋ \) of \( ℋ - A \) such that \( Z \cap X \neq \emptyset \). Then \( B \) must contain the neighborhood of \( Y \) for, otherwise, \( u \) and each vertex in \( Z \cap X \) would be in the same connected component of \( ℋ - B \). Moreover, \( A \cap X \subseteq B \) for, otherwise, \( u \) and some vertex in \( A \cap X \) would be in the same connected component of \( ℋ - B \). From part (iii) of Lemma 1, it follows that \( A \subseteq B \).

### 3. The Cluster Hypergraph

Let \( ℂ \) be a convexity space on a connected hypergraph \( ℋ \). Recall from the Introduction that a cluster of \( ℋ \) is a nonempty vertex set that is separated by no convex set of \( ℋ \), and the cluster hypergraph of \( ℋ \) is the hypergraph, henceforth denoted by \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \), whose edges are precisely the maximal clusters of \( ℋ \). In this section, we shall state some general properties of the cluster hypergraph.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( ℋ \) be a connected hypergraph and \( ℂ \) a convexity space on \( ℋ \). The cluster hypergraph \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) of \( ℋ \) is a cover of the clique hypergraph of \( ℋ \) and is a conformal hypergraph.

**Proof.** Since every clique of \( ℋ \) is a cluster, every maximal clique of \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) is a partial edge of \( ℋ \), that is, \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) is a cover of the clique hypergraph of \( ℋ \). Moreover, since two vertices \( u \) and \( v \) of \( ℋ \) are adjacent (in \( ℋ \)) if and only if \( \{u, v\} \) is a cluster of \( ℋ \), one has that every clique of \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) is a cluster of \( ℋ \) and, hence, a partial edge of \( ℋ \).

By Theorem 3, \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) is a cover of \( ℋ \) so that every separator of \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) is also a separator of \( ℋ \). We now prove that the converse also holds for convex separators of \( ℋ \).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( ℋ \) be a connected hypergraph, \( ℂ \) a convexity space on \( ℋ \), \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) the cluster hypergraph of \( ℋ \), and \( X \) a convex set of \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \). Two vertices that are separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ \) are also separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \).

**Proof.** Let \( u \) and \( v \) be two vertices that are separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ \). Since \( X \) is a convex set, the vertex pair \( \{u, v\} \) is not a cluster of \( ℋ \) and, hence, \( u \) and \( v \) are not adjacent in \( ℋ \). Suppose, by contradiction, that \( u \) and \( v \) are not separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ \). Then, there exists in \( ℋ \) a path \( (u_1 = u, u_2, \ldots, u_k = v) \), \( k \geq 3 \), such that \( u_h \notin X \) for all \( h, 1 \leq h \leq k \). Since each vertex pair \( \{u_h, u_{h+1}\} \) is a partial edge of \( ℋ \), the set \( \{u_h, u_{h+1}\} \) is a cluster of \( ℋ \) and, since \( X \) is a convex set, \( u_h \) and \( u_{h+1} \) cannot be separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ \) so that in \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) there exists a path \( p_h \) from \( u_h \) to \( u_{h+1} \) such that no vertex on \( p_h \) belongs to \( X \). Combining the paths \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{k-1} \), we obtain a path \( p \) in \( ℋ \) from \( u \) to \( v \) and no vertex on \( p \) belongs to \( X \), which contradicts the hypothesis that \( u \) and \( v \) are separated by \( X \) in \( ℋ \).

The next lemma is useful for the sequel.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( ℋ \) be a connected hypergraph, \( ℂ \) a convexity space on \( ℋ \), \( ℋ_\mathcal{C} \) the cluster hypergraph of \( ℋ \), and \( X \) a convex set. If the vertex sets of a connected component of \( ℋ - X \) and
of a connected component of \( \mathcal{K} - X \) are not disjoint, then they coincide.

**Proof.** Let \( Y \) be the vertex set of a connected component of \( \mathcal{K} - X \) and \( Z \) the vertex set of a connected component of \( \mathcal{K} - X \) such that \( Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset \). By Theorem 3, \( \mathcal{H} \) is a cover of \( \mathcal{K} \) and, since \( Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset \), one has \( Y \subseteq Z \). Suppose, by contradiction, that \( Y \neq Z \), and let \( u \in Y \) and \( v \in Z \setminus Y \). Since neither \( u \) nor \( v \) are in \( X \), \( u \) and \( v \) are separated by \( X \) in \( \mathcal{H} \). By Lemma 4, \( u \) and \( v \) are separated by \( X \) in \( \mathcal{K} \), which contradicts the fact that \( u \) and \( v \) are both in \( Z \). \( \Box \)

The next result relates the MVSs of the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) with “minimal vertex convex separators” of \( \mathcal{H} \), which we define as follows. A convex set \( X \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) is a minimal convex separator of \( \mathcal{H} \) for a vertex pair \( \{u, v\} \) if \( u \) and \( v \) are separated by \( X \) and are separated by no convex proper subset of \( X \). A set \( X \) is a minimal vertex convex separator (MVCS) of \( \mathcal{H} \) if there exists a vertex pair for which \( X \) is a minimal convex separator.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph, \( C \) a convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( \mathcal{K} \) the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \). Every MVCS of \( \mathcal{K} \) is the convex hull of an MVS of \( \mathcal{H} \).

**Proof.** Let \( X \) be an MVCS of \( \mathcal{K} \), and let \( \{u, v\} \) be a vertex pair for which \( X \) is a minimal convex separator of \( \mathcal{H} \). Since \( X \) is a convex set, \( X \) separates \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) by Lemma 4; therefore, \( X \) must contain a minimal separator of \( \mathcal{H} \) for \( \{u, v\} \). Let \( Y \) be such an MVS of \( \mathcal{H} \). Since \( Y \subseteq X \), one has \( \langle Y \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle = X \) and, hence, neither \( u \) nor \( v \) belongs to \( \langle Y \rangle \). Therefore, since \( Y \) separates \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) and since \( Y \subseteq \langle Y \rangle \), also the set \( \langle Y \rangle \) separates \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) and, since \( \mathcal{H} \) is a cover of \( \mathcal{K} \), the set \( \langle Y \rangle \) separates \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \mathcal{H} \). Finally, since \( \langle Y \rangle \subseteq X \) and \( X \) is a minimal convex separator of \( \mathcal{K} \) for \( \{u, v\} \), one has \( \langle Y \rangle = X \). \( \Box \)

**Example 7.** Consider the (hyper)graph \( \mathcal{H} \) with vertex set \( V = \{a, b, c, d, e\} \) that is shown in Figure 2, and the convexity space \( C \) that only contains \( \emptyset, V \), the five singletons, and the set \( \{a, d, e\} \). The MVCSs of \( \mathcal{H} \) are the set \( \{d\} \) (which is a minimal convex separator for the vertex pairs \( \{a, e\}, \{b, e\}, \) and \( \{c, e\} \)) and the set \( \{a, d, e\} \) (which is a minimal convex separator for the vertex pair \( \{b, c\} \)). The MVCS \( \{a, d, e\} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) is the convex hull of the MVS \( \{a, d\} \) of \( \mathcal{K} \), and the MVCS \( \{d\} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) is the convex hull of the MVS \( \{d\} \) of \( \mathcal{K} \).

**4. Axioms 1 and 2**

In this section we state some properties of convexity spaces that satisfy Axioms 1 and 2. Recall that a convexity space on \( \mathcal{K} \) satisfies Axiom 1 if the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{K} \) is acyclic, and satisfies Axiom 2 if every maximal cluster of \( \mathcal{K} \) is a convex set. First of all, observe that the following condition is equivalent to Axiom 2.

**Fact 3.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph. A convexity space \( C \) on \( \mathcal{H} \) satisfies Axiom 2 if and only if the convex hull of every cluster is a cluster.

**Proof of (“only if”).** Let \( Y \) be any cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( X \) a maximal cluster containing \( Y \). By Axiom 2, \( X \) is a convex set. Since \( Y \subseteq X \), one has \( \langle Y \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle = X \) and, hence, \( \langle Y \rangle \) is a cluster. \( \Box \)

**Proof of (“if”).** Let \( X \) be any maximal cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \). We need to prove that \( X = \langle X \rangle \). Of course, \( X \subseteq \langle X \rangle \). By hypothesis, \( \langle X \rangle \) is a cluster. Let \( Y \) be a maximal cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \) that contains \( \langle X \rangle \). Then, one has \( X \subseteq \langle X \rangle \subseteq Y \). Since \( X \) is a maximal cluster, one has \( X = Y \) so that \( X = \langle X \rangle \). \( \Box \)

The following are two properties of convexity spaces that satisfy both Axioms 1 and 2.

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph, \( C \) a convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \). If \( C \) satisfies Axioms 1 and 2, then every MVS of \( \mathcal{K} \) is a convex set.

**Proof.** Since \( C \) satisfies Axiom 1, \( \mathcal{K} \) is an acyclic hypergraph. Let \( Y \) be any MVS of \( \mathcal{K} \). By Fact 2, \( Y = A \cap B \) for some two edges \( A \) and \( B \) of \( \mathcal{K} \). Since \( C \) satisfies Axiom 2, \( A \) and \( B \) are convex sets of \( \mathcal{H} \) so that \( Y \) is a convex set of \( \mathcal{H} \). \( \Box \)

**Corollary 9.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph, \( C \) a convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \). If \( C \) satisfies Axioms 1 and 2, then the MVCSs of \( \mathcal{H} \) are exactly the MVCSs of \( \mathcal{K} \).
Proof. We first prove that (i) every MVCS of $\mathcal{H}$ is an MVS of $\mathcal{K}$ and, then, that (ii) every MVS of $\mathcal{K}$ is an MVCS of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof of (i). Let $X$ be an MVCS of $\mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 6, there exists an MVS $Y$ of $\mathcal{K}$ such that $X = \langle Y \rangle$. By Theorem 8, $\langle Y \rangle = Y$ so that $X = Y$.

Proof of (ii). Let $Y$ be an MVS of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is acyclic, by Fact 2 there exists a vertex pair $\{u, v\}$ for which $Y$ is the only minimal separator in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent in $\mathcal{H}$ so that $\{u, v\}$ is not a cluster of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $X$ be a minimal convex separator of $\mathcal{H}$ for $\{u, v\}$. By Lemma 4, $X$ separates $u$ and $v$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $Y$ is the only minimal vertex separator for $\{u, v\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, one has that $Y \subset X$. On the other hand, since $Y$ is convex by Theorem 8 and since $\mathcal{H}$ is a cover of $Y$, $Y$ is also a convex separator of $\mathcal{H}$ for $\{u, v\}$. Finally, since $X$ is a minimal convex separator of $\mathcal{H}$ for $\{u, v\}$, one has $Y = X$.

Example 10. Consider again the (hyper)graph $\mathcal{H}$ of Figure 2 and the convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ on $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, the singleton and the four sets $\{a, b, d\}$, $\{a, c, d\}$, $\{a, d\}$ and $\{d, c\}$. The MVCSs of $\mathcal{H}$ are $\{d\}$ and $\{a, d\}$ and the cluster hypergraph is $\mathcal{H} = \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, d\} \text{ and } \{d, e\}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is an acyclic hypergraph and its edges are all convex sets, $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies Axioms 1 and 2. Note that the MVCSs of $\mathcal{H}$ are exactly the MVSs of $\mathcal{H}$.

5. Decomposable Convexity Spaces

Recall that a convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is decomposable if $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies Axioms 1 and 2 and, for every subset $X$ of $V$, the convex hull of $X$ equals the set of vertices that are separated from $X$ by no convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$.

Example 10 (continued). The convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ is not decomposable since the convex hull of the set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is the whole vertex set $V$ but the vertex $e$ is separated from $\{a, b, c, d\}$ by the convex cluster $\{d\}$.

In the next two subsections, we shall prove the properties (D1 and D2) mentioned in the introduction.

5.1. Property (D1). Given any convexity space $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfies Axioms 1 and 2, we first provide a closed formula for the set of vertices that are separated from a set $X$ by no convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$. It will follow that if $\mathcal{C}$ is decomposable, then the same formula is an expression of the convex hull of $X$.

Lemma 11. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hypergraph on $V$, $\mathcal{C}$ a convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfies Axioms 1 and 2, $\mathcal{K}$ the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$, and $X$ a nonempty subset of $V$. The set of vertices that are separated from $X$ by no convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$ is given by the union of the convex hulls of edges of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$.

Proof. Let $X^* = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \langle A \rangle$. We now prove that $u \notin X^*$ if and only if $u$ is separated from $X$ by some convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof of ("if"). Let $u$ be a vertex of $\mathcal{H}$ that is separated from $X$ by a convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $Y$ such a convex cluster. Since $Y$ is a convex set, $Y$ separates $u$ from $X$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by Lemma 5. Moreover, since $Y$ is a cluster of $\mathcal{H}$, $Y$ is a partial edge of $\mathcal{H}$. In order to prove that $u \notin X^*$, we first show that $u$ is not a vertex of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ and, then, $u \notin \langle A \rangle$ for every edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$.

Since in $\mathcal{H}$ the vertex $u$ is separated from $X$ by the partial edge $Y$ of $\mathcal{H}$, $u$ is not a vertex of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ by part (i) of Lemma 1. Consider now any edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. If $\langle A \rangle = A$ then, since $A$ is a subset of the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, one has that $u \notin \langle A \rangle$. Assume that $\langle A \rangle \neq A$ and suppose, by contradiction, that $u \in \langle A \rangle$. By Corollary 2, one has that $A \subseteq Y$ and, hence, $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle Y \rangle = Y$. To sum up, $u \in \langle A \rangle$ by assumption, and $u \notin Y$ since $Y$ separates $u$ from $X$, which is in contradiction with the inclusion $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle Y \rangle$.

Proof of ("only if"). Assume that $u \notin X^*$. We now prove that there exists a convex cluster that separates $u$ from $X$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a subset of $X^*$ and $\langle A \rangle$ is a subset of $X^*$ for every edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. Therefore, since $u \notin X^*$, $u$ is not a vertex of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ and $u \notin \langle A \rangle$ for every edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. Since $u$ is not a vertex of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, by part (ii) of Lemma 1 there exists an edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ that separates $u$ from $X$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and, since $u \notin X^*$ and $\langle A \rangle \subseteq X^*$, one has that $u \notin \langle A \rangle$ and, hence, $\langle A \rangle$ separates $u$ from $X$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a cover of $\mathcal{H}$, $u$ is separated from $X$ by the convex cluster $\langle A \rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

At this point, we are in a position to prove property (D1) of decomposable convexity spaces.

Theorem 12. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hypergraph on $V$, $\mathcal{C}$ a decomposable convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{K}$ the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$, and $X$ a subset of $V$. The convex hull of $X$ is given by the union of the convex hulls of the edges of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, that is,

$$\langle X \rangle = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \langle A \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Proof. By Lemma 11 and Axiom 3.

Theorem 12 also leads to the following characterization of convex sets.

Theorem 13. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected hypergraph on $V$, $\mathcal{C}$ a decomposable convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. A nonempty subset $X$ of $V$ is convex if and only if

(a) $X$ equals the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, and

(b) every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a convex set.
Proof of ("if"). By condition (a), $X$ equals the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ and, by condition (b), $\langle A \rangle = A$ for every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. By (2), one has

$$\langle X \rangle = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \langle A \rangle = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} A = X,$$

and, hence, $X$ is a convex set.

Proof of ("only if"). First of all, recall that for any subset $X$ of $V$, one has that $X$ is a subset of the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. Moreover, $A \subseteq \langle A \rangle$ for every edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. Therefore, one has

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} A = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle.$$  \hfill (4)

Assume that $X$ is a convex set. Then $X = \langle X \rangle$ so that, by (2), one has that $X = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} A$ and $A = \langle A \rangle$ for every edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, which are exactly conditions (a) and (b). \hfill \Box

5.2. Property (D2). We begin with a few basic definitions and preliminary results. A vertex $v$ in a convex set $X$ is an extreme point of $X$ if $X \setminus \{v\}$ is a convex set. A convexity space is a convex geometry if it enjoys the Minkowsky-Krein-Milman property: every convex set is the convex hull of the set of its extreme points.

In order to prove property (D2), we make use of the following two characterizations of convex geometries.

Lemma 14 (e.g., see [17]). A convexity space $\mathcal{G}$ is a convex geometry if and only if, for every set $X$ in $\mathcal{G}$, $X \neq V$ there exists $v \notin X$ such that $X \cup \{v\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$.

The next characterization of convex geometries is based on the notion of a "descending path" which is defined as follows. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two convex sets with $Y \subset X$. A descending path [17] from $X$ to $Y$ in the lattice of $\mathcal{G}$ is an ordering $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of the elements of $X \setminus Y$ such that, for each $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the set $X \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i\}$ is convex.

Lemma 15 (see [4]). A convexity space $\mathcal{G}$ is a convex geometry if and only if, for every set $X$ in $\mathcal{G}$, $X \neq V$, there exists a descending path from $X$ to $Y$ in the lattice of $\mathcal{G}$.

Corollary 16. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a convex geometry and let $X$ and $Y$ be two convex sets. If $Y$ is a subset of $X$, $X \neq V$, then there exists a descending path from $X$ to $Y$ in the lattice of the induced subspace $\mathcal{G}(X)$.

Proof. Since $Y$ is a convex set, by Lemma 15, there exists a descending path $p = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$, $n = |V \setminus Y|$. Thus, each set $V_i = V \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i\}$ is convex, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Of course, each vertex in $X \setminus Y$ must appear in $p$. Let $i(1) < \cdots < i(k)$, $k = |X \setminus Y|$, be the positions in $p$ of the vertices in $X \setminus Y$. Since both $X$ and $V_{i(k)}$ are convex sets, the set $X \cap V_{i(k)}$ is convex, $1 \leq h \leq k$; moreover, $X \cap V_{i(h)} = X \setminus \{v_{i(1)}, \ldots, v_{i(h)}\}$, $1 \leq h \leq k$, so that $X \cap V_{i(h)} = Y$. Therefore, $(v_{i(1)}, \ldots, v_{i(h)})$ is a descending path from $X$ to $Y$ in the lattice of $\mathcal{G}(X)$.

At this point, we are in a position to prove property (D2) of decomposable convexity spaces.

Theorem 17. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected hypergraph, $\mathcal{G}$ a decomposable convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. The convexity space $\mathcal{G}$ is a convex geometry if and only if the subspaces of $\mathcal{G}$ induced by edges of $\mathcal{H}$ are all convex geometries.

Proof. Proof of ("only if"). Let $X$ be any edge of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is decomposable, $X$ is a convex set by Axiom 2. Let $\mathcal{G}(X)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{G}$ induced by $X$. Consider any convex set $Y$ in $\mathcal{G}(X)$, $Y \neq X$. By Corollary 16, there exists a descending path from $X$ to $Y$ in the lattice of $\mathcal{G}(X)$, which by Lemma 15 proves that $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is a convex geometry.

Proof of ("if"). Let $X$ be any convex set with $X \neq V$. By Lemma 14, we need to prove that there exists a vertex $v \notin X$ such that $X \cup \{v\}$ is convex. By Theorem 13, $X$ equals the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ and every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a convex set. Distinguish two cases depending on whether or not $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a partial hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e., every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$).

Case 1. $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a partial hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $X \neq V$, all the edges of $\mathcal{H}$ that are not edges of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ have been deleted during the Graham reduction of $\mathcal{H}$ with sacred set $X$. Let $Y$ be the edge of $\mathcal{H}$ that has been deleted; then, its residual part, say $A$, was found to be contained in an edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$. Then, $A$ is an MVS of $\mathcal{H}$ (see the properties of the Graham reduction). Since $\mathcal{G}$ is decomposable, $Y$ is a convex set by Axiom 2, and $A$ is a convex set by Theorem 8.

Case 2. $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is not a partial hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. Then there exists an edge $A$ of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ that is strictly contained in an edge of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $Y$ be an edge of $\mathcal{H}$ that contains $A$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is decomposable, $Y$ is a convex set by Axiom 2, and $A$ is a convex set by condition (b) of Theorem 13.

In both cases, by hypothesis, $\mathcal{G}(Y)$ is a convex geometry. Therefore, since $A$ is a proper subset of $Y$, by Lemma 14 there exists $v \in Y \setminus A$ such that the set $A \cup \{v\}$ is convex. We will show that $X \cup \{v\}$ is a convex set, which by Lemma 14 proves that $\mathcal{G}$ is a convex geometry. Since $v \in Y \setminus A$, by the Church-Rosser property of the Graham reduction procedure, we can assume that $v$ is the last vertex that is deleted during the Graham reduction of $\mathcal{H}$ with sacred set $X$. Therefore, in Case 1 the hypergraph $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X \cup \{v\})$ can be viewed as being obtained from $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ by adding the edge $A \cup \{v\}$, and in Case 2 the hypergraph $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X \cup \{v\})$ can be viewed as being obtained from $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ by deleting the edge $A$ and adding the edge $A \cup \{v\}$. Since $X$ is a convex set, by condition (a) of Theorem 13 the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ equals $X$, so that the vertex set of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X \cup \{v\})$ equals $X \cup \{v\}$, which proves that $X \cup \{v\}$ satisfies condition (a) of Theorem 13. Moreover, an edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X \cup \{v\})$ equals $A \cup \{v\}$ or an edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ and, since $A \cup \{v\}$ is a convex set and every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ is a convex set by condition (b) of Theorem 13, one has that every edge of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X \cup \{v\})$ is a convex set, which proves that $X \cup \{v\}$ satisfies condition (b) of Theorem 13. So, by Theorem 13, $X \cup \{v\}$ is convex. \hfill \Box

It is worth observing that, by Theorem 13, every descending path in a decomposable convex geometry $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is
a Graham elimination ordering of vertices of the cluster hypergraph \( \mathcal{H} \) (or, equivalently, is a perfect elimination ordering of the chordal graph on the vertex set of \( \mathcal{H} \) where two vertices are adjacent if and only if they form a cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \)).

6. Examples

In this section we prove that \( m \)-convexity spaces \([4, 5, 7]\) and \( c \)-convexity spaces \([7]\) in hypergraphs are decomposable, and that \( ap \)-convexity spaces \([3, 9]\) in graphs are decomposable.

6.1. \( m \)-Convexity in Hypergraphs. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph with vertex set \( V \). A path \( p \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is chordless if, for every two nonconsecutive vertices \( u \) and \( v \) on \( p \), \( u \) and \( v \) are not adjacent. A subset \( X \) of \( V \) is \( m \)-convex if either \( X = \emptyset \) or \( X \) contains all vertices on every chordless path joining two vertices in \( V \) \([4, 5]\). Equivalently, a subset \( X \) of \( V \) is \( m \)-convex if either \( X = V \) or \( X = \emptyset \) or the neighborhood of every connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - X \) is a clique of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([5, 7]\). Note that every clique of \( \mathcal{H} \) is an \( m \)-convex set. By \( \langle X \rangle_m \), we denote the \( m \)-convex hull of \( X \). Efficient algorithms for computing \( m \)-convex hulls in graphs were given in \([9–11]\). A similar algorithm for computing \( m \)-convex hulls in hypergraphs was given in \([7]\); it makes use of the acyclic hypergraph whose edges are the maximal sets that are not separable by cliques of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([19]\). This hypergraph is called the prime hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([7]\). Let \( \mathcal{H} \) denote the prime hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \). We can summarize the algorithm in \([7]\) into the following formula:

\[
\langle X \rangle_m = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \bar{\alpha}(A),
\]

where

\[
\bar{\alpha}(A) = \begin{cases} 
A, & \text{if } A \text{ is a clique of } \mathcal{H}, \\
\text{the edge of } \mathcal{H}, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Fact 4. If \( X \) is an edge of \( \mathcal{H} \), then \( \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X) = \{X\} \) and, hence, \( \langle X \rangle_m = X \). It follows that every edge of \( \mathcal{H} \) is an \( m \)-convex set.

Fact 5. If \( X \) is cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \) (i.e., \( X \) is a partial edge of \( \mathcal{H} \)), then \( \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X) = \{X\} \) and, hence, \( \langle X \rangle_m = \bar{\alpha}(X) \).

In order to prove the decomposability of \( m \)-convexity, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 18. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph, and let \( \mathcal{C} \) be the \( m \)-convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \). The cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) equals the prime hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that two vertices of \( \mathcal{H} \) are separated by an \( m \)-convex set of \( \mathcal{H} \) if and only if they are separated by a clique of \( \mathcal{H} \).

Proof of (if). The statement follows from the fact that every clique of \( \mathcal{H} \) is an \( m \)-convex set of \( \mathcal{H} \).

Proof of (only if). We need to prove that if two vertices \( u \) and \( v \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) are separated by an \( m \)-convex set of \( \mathcal{H} \), then they are separated by a clique of \( \mathcal{H} \). Let \( X \) be an \( m \)-convex set separating \( u \) and \( v \). Thus, \( u \) and \( v \) belong to two distinct connected components of \( \mathcal{H} - X \). Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be the connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - X \) containing \( u \), and let \( Y \) be the neighborhood of \( \mathcal{C} \). Then, \( \mathcal{C} \) is also a connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - Y \) and the vertex \( v \) belongs to another connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - Y \). Therefore, \( u \) and \( v \) are separated by \( Y \) and, since \( X \) is an \( m \)-convex set of \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( Y \) is the neighborhood of a connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - X \), \( Y \) is a clique of \( \mathcal{C} \), which proves the statement.

Theorem 19. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph. The \( m \)-convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \) is decomposable.

Proof. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be the \( m \)-convexity space on \( \mathcal{H} \). By Lemma 18, the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) is acyclic so that, by Fact 4, every edge of the cluster hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) is an \( m \)-convex set. Therefore, \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfies Axioms 1 and 2. Moreover, by Lemmas 11 and 18 and by Fact 5, the right-hand side of (5) equals the set of vertices that are separated from \( X \) by no \( m \)-convex cluster of \( \mathcal{H} \). Finally, by the equality in (5), \( \mathcal{C} \) also satisfies Axiom 3, which proves the statement.

6.2. \( c \)-Convexity in Hypergraphs. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected hypergraph with vertex set \( V \). A subset \( X \) of \( V \) is \( c \)-convex if either \( X = V \) or \( X = \emptyset \) or the neighborhood of every connected component of \( \mathcal{H} - X \) is a partial edge of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([7]\). Note that every partial edge of \( \mathcal{H} \) is a \( c \)-convex set. By \( \langle X \rangle_c \), we denote the \( c \)-convex hull of \( X \).

An efficient algorithm for computing \( c \)-convex hulls in hypergraphs was given in \([12]\); it makes use of the acyclic hypergraph whose edges are the maximal sets that are not separable by partial edges of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([20]\). The hypergraph is called the compact hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \) \([7, 18, 20]\). Let \( \mathcal{H} \) denote the compact hypergraph of \( \mathcal{H} \). We can summarize the algorithm in \([7]\) into the following formula:

\[
\langle X \rangle_c = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \bar{\alpha}(A),
\]

where

\[
\bar{\alpha}(A) = \begin{cases} 
A, & \text{if } A \text{ is a partial edge of } \mathcal{H}, \\
\text{the edge of } \mathcal{H}, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Fact 6. If \( X \) is an edge of \( \mathcal{H} \), then \( \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X) = \{X\} \) and, hence, \( \langle X \rangle_c = X \). It follows that every edge of \( \mathcal{H} \) is a \( c \)-convex set.
Fact 7. If $X$ is cluster of $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e., $X$ is a partial edge of $\mathcal{H}$), then $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X) = \{X\}$ and, hence, $(X)_c = \alpha(X)$.

In order to prove the decomposability of $c$-convexity, we need the following lemma whose proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 18.

Lemma 20. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected hypergraph and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the $c$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$. The cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ equals the compact hypergraph of $\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 21. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected hypergraph. The $c$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$ is decomposable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the $c$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 20, every-edge of the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ is acyclic so that, by Fact 6, every-edge of the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ is a $c$-convex set. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Axioms 1 and 2. Moreover, by Lemmas 11 and 20 and by Fact 7, the right-hand side of (7) equals the set of vertices that are separated from $X$ by no $c$-convex cluster of $\mathcal{H}$. Finally, by the equality in (7), $\mathcal{G}$ also satisfies Axiom 3, which proves the statement. \hfill \Box

6.3. $ap$-Convexity in Graphs. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V$. A subset $X$ of $V$ is $ap$-convex if either $X = \emptyset$ or $X$ contains all vertices on every path joining two vertices in $X$. By $(X)_{ap}$, we denote the $ap$-convex hull of $X$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the block hypergraph of $\mathcal{G}$; that is, $\mathcal{H}$ is the acyclic hypergraph whose edges are the maximal sets that are not separable by cut vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. From results in [3, 9], it follows that the $ap$-convex hull of a subset $X$ of $V$ is given by the following formula:

$$
(X)_{ap} = \bigcup_{A \in \text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)} \alpha(A),
$$

where $\alpha(A)$ is the edge of $\mathcal{H}$ that contains $A$.

At this point, it is a mere exercise to prove that the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ equals the block hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ and that the $ap$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$ is decomposable.

7. Closing Note

Given a convexity space $\mathcal{G}$ on a connected hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$, we introduced the notion of a cluster of $\mathcal{H}$ and of the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. Using them, we define decomposability of $\mathcal{G}$ by means of three axioms and proved that decomposability implies the existence of a closed formula (see (2) above) which expresses the convex hull of a vertex set $X$ in terms of the convex hulls of edges of $\text{GR}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, we proved that a decomposable convexity space $\mathcal{G}$ is a convex geometry if and only if the subspaces of $\mathcal{G}$ induced by the edges of $\mathcal{H}$ are all convex geometries. Finally, we showed that both $m$-convexity and $c$-convexity in hypergraphs are decomposable, and that $ap$-convexity in graphs is decomposable. An open problem is the search for other decomposable known hypergraph convexities. We conjecture that not only $ap$-convexity is decomposable in hypergraphs too, but also every convexity that is coarser than $m$-convexity (such as “simple-path convexity” [4]) is decomposable. On the other hand, it is easy to see that geodetic convexity ($g$-convexity) is not decomposable in the general case as is proven by the following example. However, since $m$-convexity and $g$-convexity are equivalent in distance-hereditary graphs [4], one has that $g$-convexity is decomposable in distance-hereditary graphs.

Example 22. Consider the (hyper)graph $\mathcal{H}$ shown in Figure 3 and the $g$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$. Recall from the introduction that a vertex set $X$ is $g$-convex if $X$ contains all vertices on every shortest path joining two vertices in $X$.

It is easy to see that the sets $\{b, c, h\}$ and $\{d, e, f\}$ are the only minimal vertex $g$-convex separators of $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the cluster hypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{H} = \{a, b, d, e\}, \{b, c, e, f\}, \{d, e, g, h\}, \{e, f, h, i\}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is not an acyclic hypergraph, the $g$-convexity space on $\mathcal{H}$ is not decomposable.
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