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Generalization of Szász-Mirakyan operators has been considered by Jain, 1972. Using these generalized operators, we introduce new sequences of positive linear operators which are the integral modification of the Jain operators having weight functions of some Beta basis function. Approximation properties, the rate of convergence, weighted approximation theorem, and better approximation are investigated for these new operators. At the end, we generalize Jain-Beta operator with three parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ and discuss Voronovskaja asymptotic formula.

1. Introduction

For $0 < \vartheta < \infty$, $|\kappa| < 1$, let

$$w_{k}(i, \vartheta) = \vartheta(\vartheta + i\kappa)^{i-1} e^{-\vartheta(1+i\kappa)} \frac{1}{i!} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots;$$

(1)

then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{k}(i, \vartheta) = 1.$$  

(2)

Equation (1) is a Poisson-type distribution which has been considered by Consul and Jain [1].

In 1970, Jain [2] introduced and studied the following class of positive linear operators:

$$P_{\vartheta}^{k}(f, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{k}(i, nx) f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right),$$

(3)

where $0 \leq \kappa < 1$ and $w_{k}(i, nx)$ has been defined in (1).

The parameter $\kappa$ may depend on the natural number $n$.

It is easy to see that $\kappa = 0$; (3) reduces to the well-known Szász-Mirakyan operators [3]. Different generalization of Szász-Mirakyan operator and its approximation properties is studied in [4, 5]. Kantorovich-type extension of $P_{\vartheta}^{k}$ was given in [6]. Integral version of Jain operators using Beta basis function is introduced by Tarabie [7], which is as follows:

$$P_{n, \gamma}^{k}(f, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B(n+1,i)} w_{k}(i, nx)$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{i-1}}{(1+t)^{n+i+1}} f(t) dt + e^{-nx} f(0).$$

(4)

In Gupta et al. [8] they considered integral modification of the Szász-Mirakyan operators by considering the weight function of Beta basis functions. Recently, Dubey and Jain [9] considered a parameter $\gamma$ in the definition of [8]. Motivated by such types of operators we introduce new sequence of linear operators as follows:

For $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$P_{n, \gamma}^{k}(f, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_{k}(i, nx) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, \gamma}(t) f(t) dt + e^{-nx} f(0),$$

(5)
where \( w_k(i, nx) \) is defined in (1) and

\[
\begin{align*}
 b_{n,i,y}(t) &= \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}},
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

The operators defined by (5) are the integral modification of the Jain operators having weight function of some Beta basis function. As special case, \( y = 1 \) the operators (5) reduced to the operators recently studied in [7]. Also, if \( \kappa = 0 \) and \( y = 1 \), then the operators (5) turn into the operators studied in [8].

In the present paper, we introduce the operators (5) and estimate moments for these operators. Also, we study local approximation theorem, rate of convergence, weighted approximation theorem, and better approximation for the operators \( P_{n,y}^\kappa \). At the end, we propose Stancu-type generalization of (5) and discuss some local approximation properties and asymptotic formula for Stancu-type generalization of Jain-Beta operators.

2. Basic Results

**Lemma 1** (see [2]). For \( P_n^\kappa(r^m, x), m = 0, 1, 2, \) one has

\[
\begin{align*}
P_n^\kappa(1, x) &= 1, \\
P_n^\kappa(t, x) &= \frac{x}{1 - \kappa}, \\
P_n^\kappa(t^2, x) &= \frac{nx^2}{1 - \kappa} + \frac{x(1 + (1 - \kappa)^2)}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - y)}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

**Lemma 2.** The operators \( P_{n,y}^\kappa, n > y \) defined by (5) satisfy the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{n,y}^\kappa(1, x) &= 1, \\
P_{n,y}^\kappa(t, x) &= \frac{x}{1 - \kappa}, \\
P_{n,y}^\kappa(t^2, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) e^{-\kappa x} = P_n^\kappa(1, x) = 1, \\
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

**Proof.** By simple computation, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{n,y}^\kappa(1, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) + e^{-\kappa x} = P_n^\kappa(1, x) = 1, \\
P_{n,y}^\kappa(t, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}} \\
&= \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}} \\
&= \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) e^{-\kappa x} = P_n^\kappa(t, x) = \frac{x}{1 - \kappa};
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{n,y}^\kappa(t^2, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}} \\
&= \frac{\gamma \Gamma(n/y + i + 1)}{\Gamma(i) \Gamma(n/y + 1)} \frac{(yt)^{i-1}}{(1 + yt)^{(n/y)+1+1}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_k(i, nx) e^{-\kappa x} = P_n^\kappa(t^2, x) = \frac{x(1 + (1 - \kappa)^2)}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - y)}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

**Lemma 3.** For \( x \in [0, \infty), n > y, \) and with \( \varphi_x = x - t \), one has

(i) \( P_{n,y}^\kappa(\varphi_x, x) = kx/(1 - \kappa), \)

(ii) \( P_{n,y}^\kappa(\varphi_x^2, x) = ((k^2(n - y) + \gamma)/((1 - \kappa)^2(n - y))x^2 + ((1 + (1 - \kappa)^2)/(1 - \kappa)^3(n - y)))x. \)

**Lemma 4.** For \( x \in [0, \infty), n > y, \) one has

\[
P_{n,y}^\kappa(\varphi_x^2, x) \leq \frac{n + 2}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - y)} (x^2 + x) = \delta_{x,n,y}(x) \text{ (say)}. \\
\]

(12)

**Proof.** Since \( \max\{|x, x^2| \leq x + x^2, (1 - \kappa)^2 \leq 1, \text{ and } (1 - \kappa)^{-2} \leq (1 - \kappa)^{-3}, \) we have

\[
P_{n,y}^\kappa(\varphi_x^2, x) \leq \frac{k^2(n - y) + \gamma + 2}{(1 - \kappa)^2(n - y)} (x + x^2) \leq \frac{n + 2}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - y)} (x + x^2) \quad \text{(as} |\kappa| < 1), \\
\]

(13)

which is required.

\]
3. Some Local Approximation

Let \( B_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) = \{ f : \text{for every } x \in [0, \infty), |f(x)| \leq M_f(1 + x^2), M_f \text{ being a constant depending on } f \} \). By \( C_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) \), we denote the subspace of all continuous functions belonging to \( B_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) \). Also, \( C_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) \) is subspace of all the function \( f \in C_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) \) for which \( \lim_{x \to \infty} (f(x)/(1 + x^2)) \) is finite. The norm on \( C_{\mathcal{C}_2}(0, \infty) \) is \( \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_2} = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} (|f(x)/(1 + x^2)|) \).

If we look at Lemma 2 and based on the famous Korovkin theorem [10], it is clear that \( \{P_{n,\gamma}^\nu\} \) does not form an approximation process. To do this approximation process, we replace constant \( \kappa \) by a number \( \kappa_n \in [0, 1) \). If

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \kappa_n = 0, \tag{14}
\]

then Lemma 2 gives

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) = f(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in K. \tag{16}
\]

Now, we establish a direct local approximation theorem for the modified operators \( P_{n,\gamma}^\nu \) in ordinary approximation. Let the space \( C_B(0, \infty) \) of all continuous and bounded functions be endowed with the norm \( \|f\| = \sup \{|f(x) : x \in [0, \infty)\} \). Further let us consider the following \( K \)-functional:

\[
K_2(f, \delta) = \inf_{g \in W^2} \left\{ \|f - g\| + \delta \|g''\| \right\}, \tag{17}
\]

where \( \delta > 0 \) and \( W^2 = \{ g \in C_B(0, \infty) : g', g'' \in C_B(0, \infty) \} \). By the methods given in [11], there exists an absolute constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
K_2(f, \delta) \leq C \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}), \tag{18}
\]

where

\[
\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \sqrt{\delta}} \inf_{x \in [0, \infty)} \|f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)\|, \tag{19}
\]

is the second order modulus of smoothness of \( f \in C_B(0, \infty) \).

**Theorem 6.** For \( f \in C_B(0, \infty) \) and \( n > \gamma \), one has

\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega \left( f, \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right) + C \omega_2 \left( f, \frac{\delta_{n,\gamma}}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right), \tag{20}
\]

where \( C \) is a positive constant.

**Proof.** We introduce the auxiliary operators as follows:

\[
\tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) = P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) - f \left( x + \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right) + f(x). \tag{21}
\]

Let \( g \in W^2 \) and \( x, t \in [0, \infty) \). By Taylor’s expansion we have

\[
g(t) = g(x) + (t - x) g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) \, du. \tag{22}
\]

Applying \( \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu \), we get

\[
\tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu(g, x) - g(x) = g'(x) \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu((t - x), x)
+ \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu \left( \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) \, du, x \right). \tag{23}
\]

Applying Lemma 2, we get

\[
\left| \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu(g, x) - g(x) \right| \leq \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu \left( \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) \, du, x \right)
\leq \tilde{P}_{n,\gamma}^\nu \left( (t - u)^2, x \right) \|g''\| \tag{24}
\]

+ \left[ \int_x^{x \pm x/(1 - \kappa)} \left( x + \frac{KX}{1 - K} - u \right) g''(u) \, du \right]
\leq \left[ \delta_{n,\gamma}(x) + \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right] \|g''\|.
\]

Since

\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_k(i, nx) \tag{25}
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty b_{i,\nu,\gamma}(t) \|f(t)\| \, dt + e^{-nx} \|f(0)\| \leq \|f\|,
\]

\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(g, x) - (f - g)(x) \right|
+ \left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(g, x) - g(x) \right|
+ \left[ \left( x + \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right) - f(x) \right]
\leq 2 \|f - g\| + \frac{KX}{1 - K} \|g''\| \tag{26}
\]

+ \omega \left( f, \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right).
\]

Taking infimum overall \( g \in W^2 \), we get

\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\nu(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq K \left( f, \delta_{n,\gamma}(x) + \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right) \tag{27}
\]

+ \omega \left( f, \frac{KX}{1 - K} \right).
In view of (18)
\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, x) - f (x) \right| 
\leq C\omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\delta_{n,\gamma}(x)} + \frac{\kappa x}{1 - \kappa} \right) + \omega \left( f, \frac{\kappa x}{1 - \kappa} \right),
\tag{28}
\]
which proves the theorem.

4. Rate of Convergence and Weighted Approximation

For any positive \( a \), by
\[
\omega_a (f, \delta) = \sup_{x \in [0,a]} \left. \left( f (t) - f (x) \right) \right|, \tag{29}
\]
we denote the usual modulus of continuity of \( f \) on the closed interval \([0, a]\). We know that, for a function \( f \in C_{\gamma} [0, \infty) \), the modulus of the continuity \( \omega_a (f, \delta) \) tends to zero.

Now we give a rate of convergence theorem for the operator \( P_{n,\gamma}^\delta \).

Theorem 7. Let \( f \in C_{\gamma} [0, \infty) \) and \( \omega_{n+1} (f, \delta) \) be its modulus of continuity on the finite interval \([0, a + 1]\) \( a > 0 \), then, for \( n > \gamma \),
\[
\left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, \cdot) - f \right\|_{[0,a]} \leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) \delta_{n,\gamma}(x)
+ \omega_{n+1} \left( f, \delta \right). \tag{30}
\]

Proof. For \( x \in [0, a] \) and \( t > a + 1 \), since \( t - x > 1 \), we have
\[
\left| f (t) - f (x) \right| \leq M_f \left( 2 + x^2 + t^2 \right)
\leq M_f \left( 2 + 3x^2 + 2(t - x)^2 \right)
\leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) (t - x)^2. \tag{31}
\]
For \( x \in [0, a] \) and \( t \leq a + 1 \), we have
\[
\left| f (x) - f (t) \right| \leq \omega_{n+1} \left( f, |t - x| \right)
\leq \left( 1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta} \right) \omega_{n+1} (f, \delta), \tag{32}
\]
with \( \delta > 0 \).

From (31) and (32) we can write
\[
\left| f (t) - f (x) \right| \leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) (t - x)^2
+ \left( 1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta} \right) \omega_{n+1} (f, \delta), \tag{33}
\]
for \( x \in [0, a] \) and \( t \geq 0 \). Thus,
\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, x) - f (x) \right|
\leq P_{n,\gamma}^\delta \left( f (t) - f (x) \right), x
\leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t - x)^2, x
+ \omega_{n+1} (f, \delta) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \omega_{n+1} (t - x)^2, x \right)^{1/2}. \tag{34}
\]
Hence, by Schwarz’s inequality and Lemma 3, for \( x \in [0, a] \),
\[
\left| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, x) - f (x) \right|
\leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) \delta_{n,\gamma}(x)
+ \omega_{n+1} \left( f, \delta \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \delta_{n,\gamma}(x). \tag{35}
\]
By taking \( \delta = \sqrt{\delta_{n,\gamma}(x)} \), we get
\[
\left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, \cdot) - f \right\|_{[0,a]} \leq 6M_f \left( 1 + a^2 \right) \delta_{n,\gamma}(x)
+ \omega_{n+1} \left( f, \sqrt{\delta_{n,\gamma}(x)} \right), \tag{36}
\]
which proves the theorem.

Now we will discuss the weighted approximation theorem, where the approximation formula holds true on the interval \([0, \infty)\).

Theorem 8. If \( f \in C_{\gamma}^*[0, \infty) \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} K_n = 0 \), and \( n > \gamma \), then,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (f, \cdot) - f \right\|_{x^r} = 0. \tag{37}
\]

Proof. Using the theorem in [12] we see that it is sufficient to verify the following three conditions:
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t', x) - x' \right\|_{x^r} = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, 2. \tag{38}
\]
Since \( P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (1, x) = 1 \), the first condition of (38) is fulfilled for \( r = 0 \). By Lemma 2 we have
\[
\left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t, x) - x \right\|_{x^r} = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t, x) - x}{1 + x^2}
\leq \frac{x}{1 - K_n} - x \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \leq \frac{K_n x}{1 - K_n}. \tag{39}
\]
and the second condition of (38) holds for \( r = 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) with \( K_n \to 0 \).

Similarly, we can write, for \( n > \gamma \),
\[
\left\| P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t^2, x) - x^2 \right\|_{x^r} = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{P_{n,\gamma}^\delta (t^2, x) - x^2}{1 + x^2}
\leq \frac{n}{(1 - K_n)^2 (n - \gamma)} - 1 \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2}
+ \frac{(1 + (1 - K_n)^2)}{(1 - K_n)^3 (n - \gamma)} \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{x}{1 + x^2}
\leq \frac{K_n^2 (n - \gamma) + \gamma}{(1 - K_n)^3 (n - \gamma)} + \frac{(1 + (1 - K_n)^2)}{(1 - K_n)^3 (n - \gamma)}, \tag{40}
\]

which implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| P_n^\kappa \left( t^2, x \right) - x^2 \|_{L_2} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_n \to 0. \quad (41)
\]
Thus, the proof is completed. \qed

5. Better Error Approximation

In this section, we modified operator (5), in such way that the linear functions are preserved. The technique, which replaced \( x \) by appropriate function, was studied for many operators, for example, Bernstein, Szász, Szász-Beta operators, and so on [13–20].

We start by defining
\[
r_\kappa(x) = (1 - \kappa) x. \quad (42)
\]
We note that \( r_\kappa(x) \in [0, \infty) \), for any \( 0 \leq \kappa < 1 \). By replacing \( x \) by \( r_\kappa(x) \) we give the following modification of the operators \( P_n^\kappa \):
\[
P_n^\kappa(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_\kappa(i, n r_\kappa(x))
\times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,i} \left( t, f(t) - f(0) \right) dt + e^{-n r_\kappa(x)} f(0), \quad (43)
\]
where
\[
w_\kappa(i, n r_\kappa(x)) = n r_\kappa(x) (n r_\kappa(x) + ik)^{i-1} e^{-nr_\kappa(x) + ik} \quad (44)
\]
and \( x \in [0, \infty) \), \( n > \gamma \); the term \( b_{n,i}(t) \) is given in (5).

Lemma 9. For \( x \in [0, \infty) \) and \( n > \gamma \), one has
(i) \( P_n^\kappa(1) = 1 \),
(ii) \( P_n^\kappa(t, x) = x \),
(iii) \( P_n^\kappa(t^2, x) = n x^2/(n-\gamma) + \left( (2-2\kappa+\kappa^2) x \right) / (1-\kappa^2) (n-\gamma) \).

Lemma 10. For \( x \in [0, \infty) \), \( n > \gamma \), and with \( q_\kappa(x) = t - x \), one has
(i) \( P_n^\kappa(q_\kappa(x), x) = 0 \),
(ii) \( P_n^\kappa(q_\kappa(x^2), x) = x^2 \gamma / (n-\gamma) + \left( (2-2\kappa+\kappa^2) x \right) / (1-\kappa^2) (n-\gamma) \).

Lemma 11. For \( x \in [0, \infty) \), \( n > \gamma \), one has
\[
P_n^\kappa(q_\kappa(x^2), x) \leq \frac{2 + \gamma}{(1-\kappa)^2 (n-\gamma)} \left( x + x^2 \right) = \tau_{\kappa,xy}(x) \quad \text{(say)}. \quad (45)
\]
Proof. Since \( \max[x, x^2] \leq x + x^2 \) and \( (1-\kappa)^2 \leq 1 \), we have
\[
P_n^\kappa(q_\kappa(x^2), x) \leq \frac{2 - 2 \kappa + \kappa^2 + \gamma (1-\kappa)^2}{(1-\kappa)^2 (n-\gamma)} \left( x + x^2 \right)
= \frac{((1-\kappa)^2 + 1) + \gamma (1-\kappa)^2}{(1-\kappa)^2 (n-\gamma)} \left( x + x^2 \right) \quad (46)
\]
which is required. \qed

Theorem 12. Let \( f \in C_0[0, \infty) \). Then for \( x \in [0, \infty) \) and \( n > \gamma \), one has
\[
\left| P_n^\kappa(f, x) - f(0) \right| \leq M \omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\tau_{\kappa,xy}}(x) \right). \quad (47)
\]
Proof. Let \( g \in W_\infty^2 \) and \( x \in [0, \infty) \). Using Taylor’s expansion
\[
g(t) = g(x) + g'(x) (t - x) + \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) du, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \quad (48)
\]
and Lemma 10, we have
\[
P_n^\kappa(g, x) - g(x) = P_n^\kappa \left( \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) du \right). \quad (49)
\]
Also, \( \left| \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) du \right| \leq (t - x)^2 \| g'' \| \). Thus,
\[
\left| P_n^\kappa(g, x) - g(x) \right| \leq P_n^\kappa \left( (t - x)^2, x \right) \| g'' \|= \frac{(2 - 2 \kappa + \kappa^2) x + x^2 \gamma (1-\kappa)^2}{(1-\kappa)^2 (n-\gamma)} \| g'' \|. \quad (50)
\]
Since \( \left| P_n^\kappa(f, x) \right| \leq \| f \| \),
\[
\left| P_n^\kappa(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left| P_n^\kappa(f - g, x) - (f - g)(x) \right| + \left| P_n^\kappa(g, x) - g(x) \right| \quad (51)
\]
Finally taking the infimum on right side over all \( g \in W_\infty^2 \), we get
\[
\left| P_n^\kappa(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq K_2 \left( f, \tau_{\kappa,xy} \right). \quad (52)
\]
In view of (18), we obtain
\[
\left| P_n^\kappa(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq C \omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\tau_{\kappa,xy}} \right), \quad (53)
\]
which proves the theorem. \qed
Remark 13. We claim that the error estimation in Theorem 12 is better than that of (20), provided \( f \in C[0, \infty) \) and \( x > 0 \). Indeed, in order to get this better estimation we must show that \( \tau_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) \leq \delta_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) + \kappa x / (1 - \kappa) \). One can obtain that

\[
\delta_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) + \frac{\kappa x}{1 - \kappa} \leq \left( \frac{n + 2 + \kappa(1 - \kappa)^2}{(n - \gamma)(1 - \kappa)} \right) (x + x^2) \leq \left( \frac{2n + 2 - \gamma}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - \gamma)} \right) (x + x^2).
\]

Also,

\[
\tau_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) \leq \delta_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) + \frac{\kappa x}{1 - \kappa} \iff \frac{2 + \gamma}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - \gamma)} (x + x^2) \leq \frac{2n + 2 - \gamma}{(1 - \kappa)^3(n - \gamma)} (x + x^2)
\]

\[
\iff (2 + \gamma) \leq \frac{2n + 2 - \gamma}{(1 - \kappa)}
\]

\[
\iff 2 - 2\kappa + y - \kappa y \leq (2n + 2 - \gamma)
\]

\[
\iff 2(n - \gamma) + (2 + \gamma) \kappa \geq 0,
\]

which holds true, with \( n > \gamma > 0 \) and \( \kappa > 0 \). Thus, \( \tau_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) \leq \delta_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) + \kappa x / (1 - \kappa) \).

6. Stancu Approach

In 1968, Stancu introduced Bernstein-Stancu operator, which is a linear positive operator with two parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) satisfying the condition \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \). Inspired by the Stancu-type generalization of Bernstein operator and the recent important work on several other operators are discuss in \([21–27]\), we propose following modification of the operator \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^\kappa \) as

\[
\overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_{\kappa}(i, nx) \int_{0}^{b_{n, \gamma}} f\left(\frac{nt + \alpha}{n + \beta}\right) dt + e^{-nx} f\left(\frac{\alpha}{n + \beta}\right),
\]

where \( w_{\kappa}(i, nx) \) and \( b_{n, \gamma}(t) \) are defined in (5).

Lemma 14. For \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(t^i, x), i = 0, 1, 2, \) the following inequalities holds:

(i) \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(1, x) = 1 \),

(ii) \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(t, x) = (nx + \alpha(1 - \kappa)) / ((n + \beta)(1 - \kappa)) \),

(iii) \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(t^2, x) = n^2 x^2 / ((n + \beta)^2(n - \gamma)(1 - \kappa)^2) + nx(2n + 2(n + 2\alpha(n - \gamma))\kappa + (n - 4\alpha(n - \gamma))\kappa^2 + 2\alpha(n - \gamma)\kappa^3) / ((n + \beta)^2(n - \gamma)(1 - \kappa)^2) + \alpha^2 / (n + \beta)^2 \).

The proof of the above lemma can be obtained by using linearity of operators and Lemma 2.

Lemma 15. If one denotes central moments by \( \Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(x) = \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}((t - x)^m, x), m = 1, 2, \) then one has

\[
\Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(n, x) = \frac{x(n \kappa + \beta \kappa - \beta)}{(n + \beta)(1 - \kappa)} + \frac{\alpha}{(n + \beta)},
\]

\[
\Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(n, 1, \gamma) = \frac{(n^3 \kappa^2 + n^2(-2\beta \kappa + 2\beta \kappa^2 + \gamma(1 - \kappa^2)) + n \beta(1 - \kappa)^2 + 2\gamma \kappa(1 - \kappa)) - \beta^2 \gamma(1 - \kappa^2)}{(n + \beta)(1 - \kappa)^2}(1 - \kappa^2)^{-1} x^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{(n \beta^2(n - \gamma)(1 - \kappa)^2 - 1) x(6\beta - 10\gamma) \kappa^2 + (-2\beta + 4\gamma) \kappa^3 + 2\alpha \beta \gamma (1 - \kappa)^3 x}{(n + \beta)(1 - \kappa)^3(1 - \kappa^3)} + \alpha^2 / (n + \beta)^3.
\]

(57)

Theorem 16. Let \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta} \) with \( n > \gamma \) be defined in (56), where \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta} = 0 \). For any compact \( A \subset [0, \infty) \) and for each \( f \in C_{\beta}^{1}(0, \infty) \), one has

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) = f(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in A.
\]

(58)

The proof is based on Korovkin’s criterion and Lemma 14.

Theorem 17. Let \( f \in C_{\beta}(0, \infty) \) and \( n > \gamma \), one has

\[
\left| \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega\left(f, \Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(x)\right)
\]

\[
+ B \omega\left(f, \sqrt{\Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(x) + \Phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(x)}\right),
\]

(59)

for every \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \) and \( x \in [0, \infty) \), where \( B \) is a positive constant.

The proof of Theorem 17 is just similar to Theorem 6.

Now, we establish the Voronovskaja-type asymptotic formula for the operators \( \overline{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) \).
Theorem 18. Let $f$ be bounded and integrable on $[0, \infty)$, first and second derivatives of $f$ exist at a fixed point $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $\kappa_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $\kappa_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$; then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left( \frac{\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) - f(x)}{\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x} \right) = (\alpha - \beta x) f'(x) + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x) f''(x).
$$

Proof. Let $f, f', f'' \in C_0^1[0, \infty)$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$ be fixed. By Taylor's expansion we can write

$$
f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x) (t - x)^2 + r(t, x)(t - x),
$$

where $r(t, x)$ is Peano form of the remainder, $r(\cdot, x) \in C_0^1[0, \infty)$, and $\lim_{t \to x} r(t, x) = 0$.

Applying $\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}$ to the previous, we obtain

$$
n \left( \frac{\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) - f(x)}{\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x} \right) = \frac{n f'(x)}{\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x} \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(t - x, x) + \frac{n^2}{2 \gamma} (\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x) \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(t - x)^2, x).
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$
\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(r(t, x) (t - x)^2, x) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(r(t, x)^2, x)} \sqrt{\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(t - x)^4, x}).
$$

Observe that $r^2(x, x) = 0$ and $r(t, x) \in C_0^1[0, \infty)$, then it follows that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(r(t, x)^2, x) = r^2(x, x) = 0,
$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in [0, A]$.

Now, from (63) and (64), we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} n \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(r(t, x) (t - x)^2, x) = 0$.

Using $\kappa_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left( \phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(x) \right) = \alpha - \beta x,
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left( \phi_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(x) \right) = \gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \beta) x.
$$

Using above limits, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x} \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, \alpha, \beta}(f, x) - f(x) = \left( \frac{f''(x)}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \gamma x^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) x \right) f''(x),
$$

which proves the theorem. □

Remark 19. In particular, if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, then the operators $\tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, 0, 0}(f, x)$, $\kappa_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $\kappa_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, reduce to the Jain-Beta operators recently introduced by Tarabie [7]. We obtain the following conclusion of the above asymptotic formula for the Jain-Beta operator in the ordinary approximation as follows:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left[ \tilde{P}_{n, \gamma}^{\kappa_n, 0, 0}(f, x) - f(x) \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma x^2 + 2x) f''(x).
$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the anonymous learned referees for their valuable suggestions and comments. The second author is thankful to the Department of Mathematics, St. Xavier College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, for carrying out his research work under the supervision of Dr. Vishnu Narayan Mishra at SVNIT, Surat, Gujarat, India. Special thanks are due to Professor Jacob C. Engwerda for kind cooperation and smooth behavior during communication and for the efforts to send the reports of the paper timely.

References


[3] G. Mirakyan, “Approximation des fonctions continues au moyen de polynômes de la forme $e^{-n x} \sum_{k=0}^{m} C_k^\beta x^k$,” Doklady Akademiia Nauk SSSR, vol. 31, pp. 201–205, 1941.


Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com