Study of Thorium Fuel Cycles for Light Water Reactor VBER-150
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The main objective of this paper is to examine the use of thorium-based fuel cycle for the transportable reactors or transportable nuclear power plants (TNPP) VBER-150 concept, in particular the neutronic behavior. The thorium-based fuel cycles included Th\textsuperscript{232} + Pu\textsuperscript{239}, Th\textsuperscript{232} + U\textsuperscript{233}, and Th\textsuperscript{232} + U and the standard design fuel UOX. Parameters related to the neutronic behavior such as burnup, nuclear fuel breeding, MA stockpile, and Pu isotopes production (among others) were used to compare the fuel cycles. The Pu transmutation rate and accumulation of Pu with MA in the spent fuel were compared mutually and with an UOX open cycle. The Th\textsuperscript{232} + U\textsuperscript{233} fuel cycle proved to be the best cycle for minimizing the production of Pu and MA.

The neutronic calculations have been performed with the well-known MCNPX computational code, which was verified for this type of fuel performing calculation of the IAEA benchmark announced by IAEA-TECDOC-1349.

1. Introduction

There is a growing interest in small reactors, and specifically TNPP. These reactors could represent a solution for developing countries with energy needs on islands, with remotely located areas without interconnected electricity grids or without the infrastructure required for land-based stationary NPP. Various designs have been developed, but most of the TNPP are based on long-term experience acquired with ship propulsion reactors and with larger land-based stationary reactors.

Depending on the host state requirements, the plant can be operated by the supplier state or by an entity from the receiving country. The host state can acquire a TNPP to own with all the consequences associated with the use of nuclear energy. In other scenario, the supplier state has additional responsibilities that may include delivery and return of the TNPP and its operation if requested by the host state. All operations with the nuclear fuel are done at the zone of responsibility of the supplier state. Anyway, to implement this, it is necessary to design a reactor core with long operation cycles without on-site reloading and shuffling of fuel, which ensures difficult access to the fuel during the entire period of the reactor installation operation including transportation. Some TNPP being considered today for export, but they are innovative concepts and need effort for the demonstration of their viability [1]. The use of thorium based fuel cycle and the exclusion of on-site refueling provides the solution to the nonproliferation problem; therefore, this category of reactors is very attractive for energy supplies in developing countries.

Thorium based fuel cycles are very attractive for producing long-term nuclear energy with low radiotoxicity waste [2, 3]. Additionally, thorium fuel cycles could be done through the incineration of weapon grade plutonium (WPu) or civilian plutonium [4, 5]. Compared to the U\textsuperscript{233} - Pu\textsuperscript{239} fuel cycle, Th\textsuperscript{232} - U\textsuperscript{233} cycle produces less quantity of plutonium and minor actinides (MA: Np, Am, Cm), minimizing...
The main objective of this paper is to examine the use of thorium based fuel cycle for the TNPP VBER-150 concept, in particular the neutronic behavior. The thorium based fuel cycles included Th\(^{232}\) + Pu\(^{239}\), Th\(^{232}\) + U\(^{233}\), Th\(^{232}\) + U, and the standard design fuel UOX. Parameters related to the neutronic behavior such as burnup, nuclear fuel breeding, MA stockpile, and Pu isotopes production (among others) were used to compare the fuel cycles. The thorium based fuel cycle was examined through computer simulations.

The neutronic calculations have been performed with the MCNPX version 2.6e code [7]. Unfortunately there is insufficient data of VVER reactors with fuel containing thorium to validate the MCNPX code version 2.6e code. To reach this goal and considering the scope of this paper we performed calculation of the IAEA benchmark announced by IAEA-TECDOC-1349 for a simplified model of a PWR assembly with a plutonium-thorium fuel composition [8]. Similar approach was proposed in [9]. The results obtained from MCNPX version 2.6e code are in good agreement with the results of other participants of this benchmark and the discrepancies can be caused by the use of various methods and nuclear database. The results of benchmark calculations ratified that MCNPX code version 2.6e with available library in XSDIR, ENDF/B V1.2, is adequate for studies of thorium based fuel cycles.

Three different models of VVER-1000 assemblies were designed for the MCNPX calculations with the purpose of selecting the model more suitable for the present study. To reach this goal the neutronic behavior of the reactor during the burnup and cycle duration for the three models was studied.

2. The Reactor

The TNPP VBER-150 concept is a two-loop modification of the VBER-300 reactor installation [10]. This nuclear reactor...
is a small sized loop type pressurized water reactor without on-site refueling for a floating NPP with cogeneration option. The nuclear fuel developed for the VVER reactors will be used for the VBER-150. Refueling, radioactive waste management and repairs could then be provided offsite, in special maintenance centers. Absence of on-site refueling ensures difficult access to the fuel during the entire period of the reactor installation operation including transportation. This TNPP concept is based on a successful multidecade experience in the production and operation of marine propulsion in the Russian Federation. Along with the VVER-type power reactors, the modular shipboard pressurized water reactors represent the most developed reactor technology; it is well examined and proven by successful operation. The total operating life of VVER-type reactors exceeded 1500 reactor-years, and over this period there were no events with the radiation-significant consequences. The operating experience of shipboard reactors exceeds 6000 reactor-years [11, 12].

3. Computer Code Description

The neutronic calculations have been performed with the MCNPX version 2.6e code with the available library in XSDIR, ENDF/B VI.2, on a standard personal computer with Intel(R) Core(TM) i3-2328 M CPU of 2.20 GHz and 4 GB RAM. MCNPX code is based on the Monte Carlo method and incorporates new possibilities used in the present study.

Figure 3: (a) Comparison of relative concentrations of Th\(^{232}\). (b) Comparison of relative concentrations of Pu\(^{239}\). (c) Comparison of relative concentrations of Pu\(^{241}\).
Table 3: Main results for the TNPP VBER-150 concept assembly models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Model Figure 5(a)</th>
<th>Model Figure 5(b)</th>
<th>Model Figure 5(c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial $K_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>1.18813 ± 0.00022</td>
<td>1.21255 ± 0.00021</td>
<td>1.22083 ± 0.00022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full power days (FPD)</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge burnup (GWd/T)</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>18.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Results for the considered fuel cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>BC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharge burnup (GWd/T)</td>
<td>Th$^{232}$-Pu$^{239}$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th$^{232}$-U$^{233}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th$^{232}$-U</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UOX</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Reactor’s BC for the fuel cycles studied.

Figure 4: TNPP VBER-150 concept model in MCNPX.

such as depletion/burnup capability based on CINDER90, which works with a 63-energy-group structure. Cross-sections for these 63 groups are condensed using a generic spectrum. CINDER90 utilizes decay and energy integrated reaction-rate probabilities along with fission yield information to calculate the temporal nuclide buildup and depletion. The library of data in CINDER90, residing in the CINDER.dat library file, includes isotope decay and interaction probability data for 3400 isotopes, including $\sim$30 fission yield sets and yield data for 125 fission products.

The neutronics analysis performed in this work is the following:


2. Calculate parameters related to the neutronic behavior as burnup and cycle duration for the three different models of VVER-1000 assemblies designed for the MCNPX calculations with the purpose of selecting the model more suitable for the present study.

3. Calculate parameters related to the neutronic behavior such as burnup, nuclear fuel breeding, MA stockpile, and Pu isotopes production (among others), for the TNPP VBER-150 concept.

The control parameters in the MCNPX calculations are the following:

- Source size per cycle: 2000
- Initial guess for $K$-infinite: 1.0
- Number of settle cycles: 50
- Total cycles to run: 1000
- Total histories: 2,000,000.

4. Benchmark

The coordinated research project (CRP) “Potential of Thorium Based Fuel Cycles to Constrain Plutonium and to Reduce Long-term Waste Toxicity” examined different fuel cycle options in which plutonium can be recycled with thorium to incinerate the burner. The potential of the thorium-matrix was examined through computer simulations. Three benchmark tasks for different reactor concepts were performed in order to compare the effect of different methods and databases applied in the countries participating in the CRP. The agreement of the benchmark results generally was very satisfying [8].

The objective in this part of the present work was performing calculation of the IAEA benchmark announced by IAEA-TECDOC-1349 to verify that MCNPX code version 2.6e with available library in XSDIR, ENDF/B V1.2, is adequate for studies of thorium based fuel cycles. We performed calculation of the second benchmark. Five countries participated in this benchmark using its own methods.
Figure 5: (a) and (b) TNPP VBER-150 concept advance fuel assembly model in MCNPX. (c) TNPP VBER-150 concept fuel assembly model in MCNPX.

Figure 6: $K_{\text{eff}}$ evolution for the fuel cycles studied.

The benchmark was designed to compare assembly-level calculation methods, by defining a 2D lattice simulating a typical PWR fuel assembly. The benchmark consists of a simplified model of a PWR assembly with a Plutonium-Thorium fuel composition. The model includes a $17 \times 17$ array of fuel rods, with 25 water hole positions without assembly casing and guide tubes (Figure 1). Burnup calculations were carried out with a constant specific power of 37.7 MW/t (initial heavy metal). Dimensions of the assembly and the material compositions of the fuel (5% PuO$_2$ + 95% ThO$_2$), cladding (natural zirconium), and moderator (light water with 500 ppm concentration of natural boron) can be found in [8].

We compared the results of criticality and fuel composition as a function of burnup (burnup range from 0 to 60 GWd/t) with those obtained by the participants of the CRP. Table 1 and Figure 2 show the evolution of the multiplication factor during the burnup. Figures 3(a), 3(b), and 3(c) show...
5. Models and Fuel Cycles Studied

In this paper three thorium-based fuel cycles are presented and compared:

(i) $^{232}\text{Th} + 4.7\%^{233}\text{U}$, in this cycle one fissile isotope mainly sustain the criticality of the reactor: $^{233}\text{U}$, which represents a certain percent of the fresh fuel, and the $^{233}\text{U}$ produced by transmutation of fertile $^{232}\text{Th}$.

(ii) $^{232}\text{Th} + 4.7\%^{239}\text{Pu}$, in this cycle two fissile isotopes mainly sustain the criticality of the reactor: $^{239}\text{Pu}$, which represents a certain percent of the fresh fuel, and the $^{233}\text{U}$ produced by transmutation of fertile $^{232}\text{Th}$.

(iii) 40%$^{232}\text{Th}$ + 60%$^{238}\text{U}$ enriched at the 7.83% in $^{235}\text{U}$, in this cycle three fissile isotopes mainly sustain the criticality of the reactor: $^{235}\text{U}$, which represents a certain percent of the fresh Uranium, $^{233}\text{U}$, which is produced by transmutation of fertile $^{232}\text{Th}$, and $^{239}\text{Pu}$, which is produced by transmutation of fertile $^{238}\text{U}$.

Table 2 summarizes some of the main parameters of the TNPP VBER-150 concept in whole-core refueling mode used in the MCNPX calculation; detailed information about this reactor model (Figure 4) can be found in [13].

Three models of VVER-1000 assemblies were designed. The first one and second (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)) are an advanced VVER-1000 assembly with two different fuel element types. The thorium oxide fuel is placed into dark pins and the rest are fresh UOX pins. The third one, (Figure 5(c)) is the VVER-1000 assembly with one type of fuel pins; this assembly presents a homogeneous fuel distribution; in the three models the thorium oxide percent, enrichment, and $^{235}\text{U}$ mass are the same.

The neutronic behavior of the reactor was studied as for burnup and cycle duration for the three models of distribution of the fuel element in the fuel assembly. As is shown in Table 3, the multiplicative properties are higher in the third model (fuel homogeneous distribution in the assembly), as well as the cycle duration in FPD; therefore, the burnup is relatively the highest. For this reason any posterior study presented in this paper will be made with the homogeneous model of fuel distribution.
The reactor behavior using the three-thorium-based fuels and the basic UOX cycles was modeled. The fuel burnup in the reactor was calculated using (1). The results of the discharge burnup, fuel cycle duration and $K_{\text{eff}}$ evolution can be seen in Table 4 and Figure 6:

\[
\text{Fuel burnup (GWd/ton)} = \frac{\text{Power (GW)} \cdot \text{Time (days)}}{\text{Fuel mass (ton)}}.
\] (1)

The results obtained for basic cycle of UOX are similar as those reported in [13] for this reactor; results obtained for thorium cycles support the use of thorium as fuel for this reactor. However, it is necessary to go deeper in safety studies regarding the thermomechanical behavior of the nuclear fuel and others.

The mass variation of the fissile isotopes and plutonium isotopes in the reactor as a function of time are shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b) for Th$^{232}$-Pu$^{239}$ cycle, Figure 8 for Th$^{232}$-U$^{233}$ cycle, Figures 9(a) and 9(b) for Th$^{232}$-U, cycle and Figures 10(a) and 10(b) for UOX cycle.

Figure 7(a) shows an important Pu$^{239}$ decrease with respect to the loaded mass and it can be seen that the mass of U$^{233}$ isotope presents a quasilinear growth. Figure 7(b) shows that plutonium isotopes grow in all cases except in...
Pu\textsuperscript{238}. Figure 8 shows a light depletion of fissile isotope U\textsuperscript{233}, product of the new U\textsuperscript{233} obtained from transmutation of Th\textsuperscript{232} isotope. In Th\textsuperscript{232}+Pu\textsuperscript{239} cycle plutonium isotopes are not produced in the reactor. Figure 9(a) shows U\textsuperscript{235} decrease with respect to the loaded mass and it can be seen that the mass of U\textsuperscript{233} and Pu\textsuperscript{239} isotopes presents a quasilinear growth. Figure 9(b) shows that plutonium isotopes grow in all cases except in Pu\textsuperscript{238} and Pu\textsuperscript{232}; in these two cases the plutonium masses presents a quasilinear growth. Figure 10(a) shows U\textsuperscript{235} decrease with respect to the loaded mass and it can be seen that the mass of Pu\textsuperscript{239} isotope tends to reach a constant value. Figure 10(b) shows that plutonium isotopes growth in all cases except in Pu\textsuperscript{238} and Pu\textsuperscript{232} in these two cases the plutonium masses presents a quasilinear growth. In all cases the fertile isotopes U\textsuperscript{235} and Th\textsuperscript{232} do not show important relative variation with burnup.

Table 5 presented the results of calculation of the fuel breeding coefficient (BC) values for the fuel cycles studied using similar approach proposed in [14]. The greater BC value is obtained for UOX cycle; however, important BC values were obtained for thorium cycles too; in these cases the final mass of fissile fuel left in the spent fuel is greater than UOX cycle. This is due to the quasilinear increase of the U\textsuperscript{233} mass in Th\textsuperscript{232}-Pu\textsuperscript{239} cycle and Th\textsuperscript{232}-U cycle (Figures 7(a) and 9(a)) and the quasilinear decrease of the U\textsuperscript{233} mass in Th\textsuperscript{232}-U\textsuperscript{235} cycle (Figure 8), while the mass of Pu\textsuperscript{239} is almost depleted in Th\textsuperscript{235}-Pu\textsuperscript{239} cycle and the mass of U\textsuperscript{235} is consumed in a 40% in Th\textsuperscript{232}-U cycle.

Figure 11 shows the mass variations of the minor actinide isotope Np\textsuperscript{237} in the reactor as a function of time for Th\textsuperscript{232}-Pu\textsuperscript{239} cycle, Th\textsuperscript{232}-U cycle, and UOX cycle.

Minor actinide which accumulates more is Np\textsuperscript{237}; it is produced by neutron capture of U\textsuperscript{236} and subsequent beta disintegration of U\textsuperscript{237}. Figure 11 shows an increase in the accumulation rate of this isotope in Th\textsuperscript{232}-U cycle and UOX cycle, in Th\textsuperscript{232}-Pu\textsuperscript{239} cycle Np\textsuperscript{237} mass is negligible. In the Th\textsuperscript{232}-U\textsuperscript{233} cycle insignificant masses of minor actinides isotopes are produced in the reactor.

7. Conclusions

The primary objective of this paper contribute to the neutronics analysis of the TNPP VBER-150 core, innovative concept currently in development.

The main objective of this paper is to examine the use of thorium based fuel cycle for the TNPP VBER-150 concept, in particular the neutronic behavior. The thorium based fuel cycles included Th\textsuperscript{232}+Pu\textsuperscript{239}, Th\textsuperscript{232}+U\textsuperscript{233}, Th\textsuperscript{232}+U, and the standard design fuel UOX. The Th\textsuperscript{232}+U\textsuperscript{233} fuel cycle proved to be the best cycle for minimizing the production of Pu and MA in the TNPP VBER-150 concept. Also, it was demonstrated that a relatively low percent of fissile isotopes in the fuel mixture (4.7%) is sufficient to design the reactor core with long operation cycles. Further studies are needed to confirm these results and contribute to development and demonstration of their technical, safety, and economic viability.

The neutronic calculations have been performed with the MCNPX version 2.6e code. Three different models of VVER-1000 assemblies were designed for the calculations with the purpose of selecting the model more suitable for the present study. Unfortunately there is insufficient data of VVER reactors with fuel containing thorium to validate the MCNPX code version 2.6e code. To reach this goal and considering the scope of this paper we performed calculation of the IAEA benchmark announced by IAEA-TECDOC-1349 for a simplified model of a PWR assembly with a plutonium-Thorium fuel composition. The results of benchmark calculations ratified that MCNPX code version 2.6e with available library in XSDIR, ENDF/B VI.2, is adequate for studies of thorium based fuel cycles.
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