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We present an efficient numerical algorithm for solution of the fuzzy linear systems (FLS) based on He’s homotopy perturbation method (HPM). Moreover, the convergence properties of the proposed method have been analyzed and also comparisons are made between Adomian’s decomposition method (ADM) and the proposed method. The results reveal that our method is effective and simple.

1. Introduction

Consider the following linear system:
\[ Ax = b, \]  
(1)

where \( x \) denotes a vector in a finite-dimensional space and \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \). Linear systems are of fundamental importance in various fields of science and engineering and there are numerous methods to find a solution for them; see [1–8] and the references therein.

When coefficients of the system are imprecise, accessing the solution with no ambiguity will be difficult. Therefore, fuzzy logic was proposed by Zadeh (1965) and encountered seriously over Aristotle classic logic. Professor Zadeh discussed fuzzy set theory, fuzzy number concept, and arithmetical operation with these numbers in [9–12] and following that many articles and books were published in fuzzy systems.

We refer the reader to [13] for more information on fuzzy numbers and fuzzy arithmetic. Fuzzy systems are used to study a variety of problems including fuzzy metric spaces [14], fuzzy differential equations [15], particle physics [16, 17], game theory [18], optimization [19], and especially fuzzy linear systems [20–24]. Fuzzy number arithmetic is widely applied and useful in computation of linear system whose parameters are all or partially represented by fuzzy numbers. Friedman et al. [20] introduced a general model for solving a fuzzy \( n \times n \) linear system whose coefficient matrix is crisp and the right-hand side column is an arbitrary fuzzy number vector. They used the parametric form of fuzzy numbers and replaced the original fuzzy \( n \times n \) linear system by a crisp \( 2n \times 2n \) linear system. Furthermore, some iterative methods have been presented for solving such fuzzy linear systems [25–32].

In this paper, we solve fuzzy linear systems (FLS) via an analytical method called homotopy perturbation method (HPM). This method was first proposed by the Chinese mathematician He in 1999 [33] and was further developed and improved by him [34–36]. This author presented a homotopy perturbation technique based on the introduction of homotopy in topology coupled with the traditional perturbation method for the solution of algebraic equations. This technique provides a summation of an infinite series with easily computable terms, which converges rapidly to the solution of the problem. In the literature, various authors have successfully applied this method for any kinds of different problems [37–42]. The HPM has some advantages over routine numerical methods. This method does not involve discretization of the variables and hence is free from rounding.
off errors and does not require large computer memory or time. In this paper we focus on the use of the homotopy perturbation method for solving the FLS.

2. Fuzzy Linear Systems (FLS)

In this section we provide some basic notations and definitions of fuzzy number and fuzzy linear system.

Definition 1. An arbitrary fuzzy number is represented, in parametric form, by an ordered pair of functions \((u(r), \bar{u}(r))\), \(0 \leq r \leq 1\), which satisfy the following requirements (see [20]):

(i) \(u(r)\) is a bounded monotonic increasing left continuous function over \([0, 1]\);
(ii) \(\bar{u}(r)\) is a bounded monotonic decreasing left continuous function over \([0, 1]\);
(iii) \(u(r) \leq \bar{u}(r), 0 \leq r \leq 1\).

A crisp number \(\alpha\) can be simply expressed as \(u(r) = \bar{u}(r) = \alpha\).

The addition and scalar multiplication of fuzzy numbers \(x = (\bar{x}(r), \bar{y}(r))\) and \(y = (\bar{y}(r), \bar{y}(r))\) can be described as follows:

(i) \(x = y\) if and only if \(\bar{x}(r) = \bar{y}(r)\) and \(\bar{y}(r) = \bar{y}(r)\),
(ii) \(x + y = (\bar{x}(r) + \bar{y}(r), \bar{y}(r) + \bar{y}(r))\),
(iii) \(Kx = \{(k\bar{x}(r), k\bar{y}(r), k \geq 0), (\bar{x}(r), k \bar{y}(r), k \leq 0), K \in R\}.

Definition 2. Consider the following \(n \times n\) linear system of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1, \\
    a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2, \\
    &\vdots \\
    a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n &= b_n,
\end{align*}
\]

where the coefficient matrix \(A = (a_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq n\), is a crisp matrix and \(b_i \in \mathbb{E}^1; 1 \leq i \leq n\) is called a fuzzy linear system (FLS).

Definition 3. A fuzzy number vector \(X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T\), given by parametric form \(x_i = (\bar{x}_i(r), \bar{x}_i(r))\), \(1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq r \leq 1\), is called a solution of the fuzzy linear system (2) if

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j &= b_i, \\
    \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j &= \bar{b}_i.
\end{align*}
\]

Friedman et al. [20] in order to solve the system given by (3) have solved a \(2n \times 2n\) crisp linear system as

\[
SX = B,
\]

where \(S = (s_{ij})\) are determined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{ij} \geq 0 &\implies s_{ij} = a_{ij}, & s_{in+j+n} = a_{ij}, \\
    a_{ij} < 0 &\implies s_{i+j+n} = -a_{ij}, & s_{i+n+j} = -a_{ij}.
\end{align*}
\]

And the others are zero. Then referring to [20] we have

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -x \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -b \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\implies \begin{cases}
    s_1 x - s_2 \bar{x} = b_1 \\
    s_2 x - s_1 \bar{x} = -b.
\end{cases}
\]

Or

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & -s_2 \\ -s_2 & s_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\implies \begin{cases}
    s_1 x - s_2 \bar{x} = b_2 \\
    -s_2 x + s_1 \bar{x} = b.
\end{cases}
\]

where \(s_1, s_2 \geq 0\) and \(A = s_1 - s_2\).

3. Homotopy Perturbation Method for Linear Systems

Consider (1), where

\[
A = [a_{ij}], \quad b = [b_i], \quad x = [x_j],
\]

\[
i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Let also \(L(u) = Au - b\) and \(F(u) = u - u_0\), where \(u_0\) is a known vector. Then we define homotopy \(H(u, p)\) as follows:

\[
H(u, p) = (1 - p)F(u) + \alpha p L(u) = 0,
\]

where \(p \in [0, 1]\) is an embedding parameter and \(\alpha\) is nonzero auxiliary parameter.

Obviously, we will have

\[
H(u, 0) = F(u),
\]

\[
H(u, 1) = \alpha L(u) = 0 \xrightarrow{\alpha \neq 0} H(u, 1) = L(u).
\]

According to the HPM, we can first use the embedding parameter \(p\) as a small parameter and assume that the solution of (1) can be written as a power series in \(p\):

\[
u = u_0 + pu_1 + p^2u_2 + \cdots
\]

and the exact solution is obtained as follows:

\[
x = \lim_{p \to 1} u
\]

\[
= \lim_{p \to 1} \left( u_0 + pu_1 + p^2u_2 + p^3u_3 + \cdots \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j.
\]
Given a stopping criterion with tolerance $\varepsilon > 0$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, until $\|\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\|\sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n\| \leq \varepsilon$, do:

$$
\delta_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} (-1)^i ((\alpha D^{-1} A) - I)^i (\alpha D^{-1} b_1),
$$
$$
\sigma_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} (-1)^i (\alpha |D^{-1} A| - I)^i (\alpha |D^{-1}| b_2),
$$

Obtain

$$
x = \frac{\delta_{n+1} + \sigma_{n+1}}{2},
$$

End for.

**Algorithm 1: HPM method for FLS.**

Putting (11) into (9) and comparing the coefficients of identical degrees of $p$ on both sides, we find

$$
p^0 : u_0 = w_0,
$$
$$
p^1 : (\alpha A - I) u_0 + u_1 - w_0 - ab = 0,
$$
$$
u_1 = ab - (\alpha A - I) u_0 + w_0,
$$

$$
p^2 : (\alpha A - I) u_1 + u_2 = 0, \quad u_2 = -(\alpha A - I) u_1
$$

\ldots

And in general,

$$
u_{n+1} = -(\alpha A - I) u_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
$$

(14)

Taking $u_0 = w_0 = 0$ yields.

$$
u_1 = ab,
$$
$$
u_2 = -(\alpha A - I) u_1 = -(\alpha A - I) (ab),
$$
$$
u_3 = -(\alpha A - I) u_2 = (\alpha A - I)^2 (ab)
$$

\ldots

$$
u_{n+1} = -(\alpha A - I) u_n = (-1)^n (\alpha A - I)^n (ab).
$$

(15)

Therefore the solution can be in the following form:

$$
x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\alpha A - I)^i (ab).
$$

(16)

The convergence of the series equation (16) when $\alpha = 1$ is proved for diagonally dominant matrix $A$ in [41].

**4. HPM for the Fuzzy Linear Systems**

Asady and Mansouri in [29] proposed a method for finding a fuzzy solution of $n \times n$ FLS without increasing the order. In this method the original system with a matrix $A$ is replaced by two $n \times n$ crisp linear systems. Furthermore, improvement of computing time compared with other methods by using this method is shown. This method is given by the following.

By noting the structure of $S$ we have the following linear system:

$$
s_1 \bar{x} + s_2 (\bar{x}) = b_1,
$$
$$
s_2 \bar{x} + s_1 (\bar{x}) = -b_2.
$$

(17)

Therefore, by adding and then subtracting the two parts of (17) we obtain the following:

$$
A\delta = b_1,
$$
$$
E\sigma = b_2,
$$

(18)

where

$$
A = s_1 - s_2,
$$
$$
E = s_1 + s_2,
$$
$$
\delta = (\bar{x} - \bar{x}),
$$
$$
\sigma = (\bar{x} + \bar{x}),
$$
$$
b_1 = (\bar{b} + b),
$$
$$
b_2 = (\bar{b} - b).
$$

(19)

Thus, by adding and subtracting the two solutions of systems equation (18) we have the following:

$$
x = \frac{\delta - \sigma}{2}, \quad \bar{x} = \frac{\delta + \sigma}{2}.
$$

(20)

Now, we solve the FLS using HPM.

By using the above model, HPM method for FLS is as follows:

$$
\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i ((\alpha D^{-1} A) - I)^i (\alpha D^{-1} b_1),
$$
$$
\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\alpha |D^{-1} A| - I)^i (\alpha |D^{-1}| b_2),
$$

(21)
where $D$ is the diagonal part of $A = D - L - U$ and $-L, -U$ are, respectively, strictly lower and upper triangular matrices of $A$.

So as iterative method we have Algorithm 1.

Next, we will present the convergence analysis of this method.

**Definition 4** (see [1, 4, 6–8]). (a) A matrix $A = a_{ij}$ is called a $Z$-matrix if for any $i \neq j$, $a_{ij} \leq 0$.

(b) $A$ is a $Z$-matrix if and only if $A$ is nonsingular and if $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

(c) For any matrix $A$ the comparison matrix $\langle A \rangle = (m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined by

\[
m_{ii} = |a_{ii}|, \quad m_{ij} = -|a_{ij}|, \quad i \neq j,
\]

$1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ($|\cdot|$ is symbol of absolute value).

(d) A matrix $A$ is an $H$-matrix if and only if $\langle A \rangle$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.

**Definition 5** (see [1, 4, 6–8]). Let $A$ be a real matrix. The splitting $A = M - N$ is called;

(a) convergent if $\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$;

(b) $M$-splitting if $M$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix and $N \geq 0$.

**Lemma 6** (see [1]). Let $A = M - N$ be an $M$-splitting of $A$. Then $\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$ if and only if $A$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix.

**Theorem 8.** The series $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\alpha D^{-1} A - I)(\alpha D^{-1} b)$ in HPM method for solving linear system convergence if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $A$ is an $H$-matrix.

**Proof.** It is well known that the series convergence if and only if $\rho(\alpha D^{-1} A - I) < 1$.

Furthermore, we have

\[
\rho(\alpha D^{-1} A - I) = \rho \left(\alpha \left( D^{-1} \left( A - \alpha D^{-1} D \right) \right) \right) = \rho \left( \alpha D^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \right) D - (L + U) \right) \right).
\]

Since $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $A = D - L - U$ is an $H$-matrix, we see that $D$ is also an $H$-matrix, $\langle A \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} |D| - \frac{((1 - \alpha)/\alpha)|D| + |L| + |U|)}{N} \right)$ is an $M$-matrix, and $\langle A \rangle = M - N$ is $M$-splitting; by Definition 5 and Lemma 6 we have

\[
\rho \left( \alpha D^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) |D| + (|L| + |U|) \right) \right) < 1.
\]

Furthermore, from Lemma 7,

\[
|D^{-1}| \leq |D|^{-1},
\]

\[
\alpha D^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) D^{-1} + L + U \right) \right) \]

\[
\leq \alpha \left( |D|^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) D^{-1} + L + U \right) \right) \]

\[
\leq \alpha |D|^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} |D|^{-1} + |L| + |U| \right) \right).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\rho \left( \alpha D^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \right) D - (L + U) \right) \right) \]

\[
\leq \rho \left( \left( \alpha D^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} D + L + U \right) \right) \right) \right. \]

\[
\leq \rho \left( \alpha |D|^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} |D| + (|L| + |U|) \right) \right) \right) < 1.
\]

And the proof is completed.

**Theorem 9.** Algorithm 1 for solving the fuzzy linear system converges if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $A$ is an $H$-matrix.

**Proof.** We need to show that

\[
\max(\rho(\alpha D^{-1} A - I), \rho(\alpha |D|^{-1} A - I)) < 1.
\]

Also it is easy to see that if $A$ is an $H$-matrix, then $|A|$ is and $H$-matrix too.

Therefore by Theorem 8 the proof is completed.

**5. Comparison Results**

Adomian’s decomposition method (ADM) was first introduced by G. Adomian in the beginning of 1980s [44, 45] and has been rapidly growing in recent years. In this method the solution of a functional equation is considered as the sum of an infinite series usually converging to an accurate solution. Allahviranloo in [26] applied the Adomian decomposition method to solve the fuzzy linear systems by model of (6) and has shown that this method is equivalent to the Jacobi iterative method. But since Flop count and the computing time in model (18) are shorter than model (6) we present ADM for FLS based on model (18). This algorithm is as Algorithm 2.

Now, to show the ability of these algorithms we test the following FLS, using Matlab software.
Given \( \delta_0 = D^{-1}_A b_1 \), \( \sigma_0 = D^{-1}_E b_2 \), and the stopping criterion with tolerance \( \varepsilon > 0 \), for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), until \( \| \delta_{n+1} - \delta_n \| \leq \varepsilon \), \( \| \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n \| \leq \varepsilon \), do

\[
\delta_{n+1} = D^{-1}_A (L_A + U_A) \delta_n + D^{-1}_A b_1,
\]
\[
\sigma_{n+1} = D^{-1}_E (L_E + U_E) \sigma_n + D^{-1}_E b_2,
\]

Obtain

\[
X = \delta_{n+1} + \sigma_{n+1},
\]
\[
\delta = \frac{\delta_{n+1} - \sigma_{n+1}}{2}.
\]

End for.

**Algorithm 2: ADM method for FLS.**

**Table 1: Number of iterations of HPM and ADM.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>ITER (HAM)</th>
<th>ITER (ADM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 10.** Consider the following \( n \times n \) fuzzy system

\[
2x_1 - x_3 = (2 + r, 4 - r),
\]
\[
x_2 + 2x_3 - x_4 = (2 + r, 4 - r),
\]
\[
x_3 + 2x_4 - x_5 = (2 + r, 4 - r)
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
x_n - 2x_{n-2} = (2 + r, 4 - r),
\]
\[
x_{n-2} + 2x_{n-1} = (2 + r, 4 - r),
\]
\[
x_{n-1} + 2x_n - x_1 = (2 + r, 4 - r).
\]

The tables show the numerical results of the above example. In Table 1, we reported the number of iterations (ITER) for the HPM and ADM methods with different \( n \). Also \( \alpha = 0.9 \).

From Table 1, we can see that the number of iterations in HPM algorithm is superior to the number of iterations in ADM algorithm.

Tables 2 and 3 also show comparison of accumulated errors for system (28) obtained using HPM and ADM.

From the above tables, we can see that the results of the HPM are close to the exact solution in comparison with ADM which confirm the validity of our method.

**Table 2: Accumulated error for \( \| x \| \) and \( n = 100 \).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>Error (HAM)</th>
<th>Error (ADM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0309e-005</td>
<td>0.006238873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.5844e-004</td>
<td>0.006840745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.6657e-004</td>
<td>0.007442617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.7470e-004</td>
<td>0.008044489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8283e-004</td>
<td>0.008646361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.9096e-004</td>
<td>0.009248233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.9910e-004</td>
<td>0.009850104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>8.0723e-004</td>
<td>0.010451976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.1536e-004</td>
<td>0.011053848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.023488</td>
<td>0.011655720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.01131620</td>
<td>0.012257592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Accumulated error for \( \| \mathbf{x} \| \) and \( n = 100 \).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>Error (HAM)</th>
<th>Error (ADM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.7388e-004</td>
<td>0.006207893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.6642e-004</td>
<td>0.006833297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.5895e-004</td>
<td>0.007458701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.5148e-004</td>
<td>0.008084104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.4401e-004</td>
<td>0.008709507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.3654e-004</td>
<td>0.009334911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.2907e-004</td>
<td>0.009960314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.2161e-004</td>
<td>0.010585718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.00101437</td>
<td>0.01121121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.01106668</td>
<td>0.011836525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.01199200</td>
<td>0.012461928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6. Conclusions**

The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) is a powerful tool which is capable of handling linear/nonlinear equations. In this paper, we proposed homotopy perturbation method and compared it with Adomian’s decomposition method (ADM) for solving fuzzy linear systems (FLS). This method does not require parameter in any equation, the same as the perturbation approach, and also is very simple and straightforward. The numerical results show that the results
of the present method are in excellent agreement with those of exact solution and reductions in the size of calculations compared with the ADM.
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