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The vibration absorbers are frequently used to control and minimize excess vibration in structural system. Dynamic vibration absorbers are used to reduce the undesirable vibration in many applications such as pumps, gas turbines, engine, bridge, and electrical generator. To reduce the vibration of the system, the frequency of absorber should be equal to the excitation frequency. The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of magnetic vibration absorber along vibrating cantilever beam. This study will aim to develop a position of magnetic vibration absorber along the cantilever beam to adopt the change in vibratory system. The absorber system is mounted on a cantilever beam acting as the primary system. The objective is to suppress the vibration of the primary system subjected to a harmonic excitation whose frequencies are varying. It can be achieved by varying the position of magnetic vibration absorber along the length of beam. The advantage of magnetic vibration absorber is that it can be easily tuned to the excitation frequency, so it can be used to reduce the vibration of system subjected to variable excitation frequency.

1. Introduction

Dynamic vibration absorbers are used to reduce undesirable vibrations in many applications such as electrical transmission lines, helicopters gas turbines, engines, and bridges. Traditional treatment methods of vibration control that involve structural modifications are often time consuming and expensive. Some of them can be used as tunable vibration absorbers to control vibrations. Tunable dynamic vibration absorber which is simple to construct can be easily tuned to excitation frequency to minimize the vibrations and can be effectively used to minimize vibrations of structure. Absorber with variable frequencies can be used to minimize vibrations of structures having variable frequency drive. Dynamic vibration absorbers (DVAs) were first invented in 1909 by Hermann Frahm, and since then it has been successfully used to suppress wind-induced vibration and seismic response in buildings. In recent studies, interest has also been focused on the use of feedback and feedforward control systems and the synthesis of DVAs for multiple-degree-of-freedom systems. Igarasi et al. [1] have developed a magnetic dynamic vibration absorber with adjustable natural frequency, in these three permanent magnets arranged with opposite pole to each other. Repelling force between two magnets was used as spring constant. In 1993 they developed a dynamic vibration absorber using permanent magnets to suppress the vibrations of beam structures which was tuned to various frequency of beam by adjusting the distance between three rare-earth magnets. Nagaya and Sugiura [2] have developed the magnetic levitation actuator for obtaining a linear system. They investigate the nonlinear properties of spring constant. The restoring force due to the magnetic force is linearized by controlling the electric currents in the coil of electromagnets. Nagem et al. [3] have developed the electromechanical vibration absorber to suppress the vibration of simply supported beam, and they used the E shape magnet. Nagaya et al. [4] presented a method of vibration control for structures with consideration of higher modes of vibrations. In their method, the principle vibration mode is controlled by the use of autotuning antiresonance control of the tunable variable stiffness vibration absorber without damping, and higher modes are suppressed by the optimal vibration absorber with magnetic damper. Li and
Hu [5] have presented numerical simulation of magnetic field design for damping thermocapillary convection in a floating half zone. Balamurugan and Narayanan [6] have developed smart constrained layer damping (SCLD) treatment to control the vibration of beams. SCLD design consists of viscoelastic shear layer sandwiched between two layers of piezoelectric sensors and actuator, and this composite bonded to a vibrating structure acts as a smart treatment. Hill and Snyder [7] have described the design of vibration absorber (dual mass vibration absorber) using FEA in ANSYS software to reduce structural vibrations at multiple frequencies with enlarged bandwidth. They have carried out experiments to control vibrations of panel having all sides’ clamped boundary conditions and simply supported beam. They have shown that absorber could be used to provide local vibration attenuation on large electrical transformers. Bhave and Gupta [8] have designed and development active dynamic vibration absorber. Solenoid valves with plunger and spring arrangement was used to keep absorber mass fixed with beam. The absorber designed was best for those machines, which run at variable speeds but remain at particular speed for a considerable amount of time. Hashemi and Semercigil [9] have modeled a building as a cantilever beam. They have designed active moving mass damper and experimentally implemented it to control the first mode of vibrations. Then they have designed alternative pendulum control system and implemented it. Liu et al. [10] have developed a tunable electromagnetic vibration absorber whose stiffness is tunable online. The EMVA is capable of suppressing vibration of the primary system (simply supported beam) excited by harmonic force with variable frequency. Khazanov [11] has explained the effective and low-cost method to reduce the vibrations of pump over a wide operating speed range by installing a dynamic vibration absorber. Deng and Gong [12] have presented the application of magneto rheological elastomer to the vibration absorber. Moradi et al. [13] have designed the tunable vibration absorber to suppress chatter vibrations in boring operation in which boring bar is modeled as a cantilever Euler-Bernoulli beam instead of it being considered as single-degree-of-freedom system. The optimum values of the absorber parameters such as spring stiffness, absorber mass, and its position are determined using an algorithm based on mode summation method. Huang and Hung [14] have developed the piezoelectric vibration absorber. The absorber is made of a pair of piezoelectric sheets, attached to both sides of the plate, and closed electric circuits. One piece of the piezoelectric material serves as a sensor for detecting the motion of the plate. Another piece serves as an active dynamic absorber.

3. Theoretical Design of Absorber

The magnetic vibration absorber proposed in this study is the same as the passive magnetic vibration absorber. It is made up of three permanent magnets with two poles facing each other set in front of each other as shown in Figure 1. A magnetic dynamic absorber uses repelling force of permanent magnets as restoring force (restoring force that acts on magnet A forming mass element of the absorber) and changes the natural frequency of absorber by changing the distance between magnets on both sides. Natural frequency of absorber can be changed on wider range by changing the distance between magnets.

For cylindrical magnets, relation between the distance between magnets and repelling force \( F(d) \) is calculated by using

\[
F(d) = Kd^n,
\]

where \( K = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \) NM is the characteristic coefficient of repelling force and \( n = 3.022 \) is the characteristic exponent of repelling force.

Distances \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are obtained by solving the following equation:

\[
k(d_1^n - d_2^n) + mg = 0,
\]

\[
d_1 + d_2 = L.
\]
Table 1: Solution of simultaneous equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>d₁</th>
<th>d₂</th>
<th>F(d₁)</th>
<th>F(d₂)</th>
<th>Kₑ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.6202</td>
<td>6.168</td>
<td>548.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>60.923</td>
<td>59.076</td>
<td>7.1582</td>
<td>7.856</td>
<td>756.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>55.846</td>
<td>54.153</td>
<td>9.3142</td>
<td>10.219</td>
<td>1074.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>50.769</td>
<td>49.231</td>
<td>12.418</td>
<td>13.629</td>
<td>1577.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>45.692</td>
<td>44.308</td>
<td>17.077</td>
<td>18.739</td>
<td>2407.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>40.615</td>
<td>39.385</td>
<td>24.375</td>
<td>26.751</td>
<td>3865.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>35.538</td>
<td>34.462</td>
<td>36.518</td>
<td>40.049</td>
<td>6617.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30.462</td>
<td>29.536</td>
<td>58.154</td>
<td>63.812</td>
<td>12296.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.385</td>
<td>24.615</td>
<td>100.93</td>
<td>110.784</td>
<td>25613.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>20.308</td>
<td>19.692</td>
<td>198.25</td>
<td>217.272</td>
<td>62826.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.231</td>
<td>14.769</td>
<td>472.384</td>
<td>518.344</td>
<td>199774.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The stiffness of absorber is calculated with the help of the following equation (5)

\[ Kₑ = kn \{d₁^{(n+1)} + d₂^{(n+1)} \}. \]  (3)

Results of the above equations are given in Table 1.

Figure 2 shows the graph of stiffness versus distance between magnets.

The frequency of absorber is calculated with the help of the following equations:

\[ \omega n₁ = \sqrt{\left( \frac{Ke}{m} \right)}, \]  (4)

\[ fn₁ = \frac{\omega n₁}{2\pi}. \]  (5)

Natural frequencies decrease with the increase in distance between magnets. Figure 3 shows the graph of natural frequencies versus distance between magnets.

The tunable absorber will be tuned to change the frequency from 0.8 fn to 1.2 fn. So approximately frequency of absorber lies in between 34.08 Hz and 51.12 Hz. Therefore, respective distance between magnets is selected as 70 mm.
4. Experimental Studies

The experiments are done on cantilever beam. One end of the beam is clamped to rigid support, and the absorber is clamped to the free end of the beam. Accelerometer is mounted on the beam as shown in Figure 5. The beam is excited with the help of the exciter. For the first set of readings, mass on rods of absorber is at its outermost position.

Excitation frequency is gradually increased and for each frequency amplitude of vibration of the main system is measured. Then, for the next set of readings, the position of magnetic vibration absorber along vibrating cantilever beam is changed. For this setup again, excitation frequency is gradually increased. Same procedure is repeated for a number of positions of the magnetic vibration absorber along the vibrating cantilever beam. Then, amplitude versus excitation frequency graph is plotted for different distances between magnets for cantilever beam which is shown in Figure 6.

From Figure 6, it is clear that the amplitude of vibration is considerably minimized. Thus, the cantilevered magnetic absorber can be designed which is easy to construct. This magnetic absorber can be used as a tunable vibration absorber at multiple frequencies.

From Figure 7, it is clear that the absorber is more efficient at support position of beam at excitation frequency 43 Hz, that is, at resonance frequency.

5. Conclusion

By using ANSYS software, the natural frequency of primary system and mode shapes have been studied. Theoretical and ANSYS values of frequencies are in close proximity. Natural frequencies of absorber were calculated by analytical method, and the effect of the distance between magnets on natural frequencies has been studied. It was observed that as distance between magnets increased the natural frequencies decreased. The absorber is effective nearby resonance. There was a significant reduction in the amplitude of the primary system when the absorber was tuned at resonance, and amplitude of vibration is reduced from 2389 microns to 263 microns when the distance between magnets $L = 52$ mm. It was observed that as distance between magnets
Table 2: First four natural frequencies of cantilever beam (FEA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>210.22</td>
<td>269.09</td>
<td>695.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was changed that is, tuned, there is a slight shifting of the peak. Effectiveness of absorber is checked on the cantilever beam. It is found that the designed absorber is very effective to reduce vibrations of beam when it is tuned to various excitation frequencies nearer to the natural frequency of cantilever beam. Also it is observed that the absorber is more efficient at the support position of beam (Table 2).

Appendix

Figure 8 shows the FEA mesh model of cantilever beam.
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