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1. Introduction

Combustion-generated Particulate Matter (PM) has been extensively reported in the literature [1–8]. They are known as the cause of environmental pollution with its toll of diseases and deaths [9–16]. Particulate Matter (PM) has been categorized as Fine Particulate Matter (FP) and Ultra-fine Particulate Matter (UFP). FPs have an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) while UFPs have an aerodynamic diameter less than 0.1 \( \mu \text{m} \) [17, 18]. The smaller the size of fine particles, the more potent and biologically destructive they are [19–23]. Fine particles’ toxicity has been attributed to their association with free radicals [24–26].

Persistent Free Radicals (PFRs) are chemical compounds with one or more unpaired electrons, sufficiently stable towards decomposition, and resistant to further reaction and can exist for long period of time in the atmosphere. These free radicals that potentially include semiquinone-type and phenoxyl-type radicals are highly resonance stabilized and are supposed to form in combustion systems or thermal processes such as burning of cigarette, biomass fuels, fossil fuels, coal, and hazardous materials [18]. The PFRs associated...
with particulate matter of 2.5 μm aerodynamic diameter (PM_{2.5}) are consistent with semiquinone type radicals [19]. It has also been reported that the precursors of semiquinone type radicals are catechol (CAT), hydroquinone (HQ), and structurally similar compounds found in woods, biomass, fuels, and tobacco [20–26].

From radical stand point, during these past ten years, extensive efforts have been made in identifying PFRs that can be associated with PM [27–30]. Some of these radicals are very labile and terminated without being acquired by the Electron-Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) associated with the Low Temperature Matrix Isolation (EPR-LTMI) technique employed. However, molecular prove of the formation of such radicals is expected to definitively give an extensive view on radicals formed from combustion of organic matters. This is the main purpose of the present work.

2. Material and Method

2.1. Sampling. For the pyrolysis of catechol, hydroquinone, and phenol, the same experimental procedure is almost followed, except for some minor differences due to their vapor pressures. Catechol (CAT) and hydroquinone (HQ) have very low vapor pressures. Thus they needed to be vaporized prior to their pyrolysis. Experiments were carried out by loading HQ, CAT, or phenol (>99.5% pure from Aldrich) into a Pyrex container vaporizer in a constant temperature oven held at 50–75°C for the vaporization of the sample of CAT and HQ and at 15°C for phenol. To avoid condensation of the vaporized CAT and HQ samples on the transfer line to the cold finger of the Dewar, the transfer line was insulated and held at temperature of 80–90°C employing heating tapes and blankets. All samples were at a total pressure of carrier gas of ~0.1–0.3 Torr for the low pressure pyrolysis of the precursors and 760 Torr for the atmospheric pyrolysis measured by the online pressure gauges. The experimental setup for the low pressure pyrolysis is described elsewhere (my references). The atmospheric conditions pyrolysis is depicted in Figure 1. The carrier gas used for this research is carbon dioxide. Upon exiting the vaporizer, the vaporized sample entered the tubular-flow quartz reactor (i.d. = 12 mm, length = 40 mm) located in an electrically heated furnace. Radical accumulation time ranged from 10 to 12 minutes, starting point of the saturation. Reagents are pumped by the means of rotary pumps at a pressure of 0.1 Torr through the electrically heated pyrolysis zone. Pyrolysis products are condensed onto the cold finger of the Dewar placed in the EPR cavity and cooled by liquid nitrogen. Carbon dioxide can be introduced at a given flow as a main carrier gas into the pyrolysis zone. Once the sample is loaded in the vaporizer, the sample, the transfer line, and the Dewar were flushed long enough under the carrier gas flow (CO₂) to remove oxygen from the sample and the transfer line. The flushing is done by the rough pump at a rate of 60 cc/min. Given that CAT and HQ have very low vapour pressure, slightly heating their samples during the flushing period helps to get rid of absorbed/adsorbed oxygen. Phenol's samples do not need the preheating because of phenol's high vapour pressure. After sufficient flushing, the vaporizer hosted by a furnace was set at the sample vaporizing temperatures, 50 or 75°C for CAT and HQ and 15°C for phenol.

The flow meters in the system allow monitoring the time of residence of the vaporized sample in the pyrolysing reactor held at the experimental temperature by a thermoelectric furnace. The radical accumulation starts when the Dewar is filled with liquid nitrogen. A rotary pump is used to pump the pyrolyzed products through the liquid nitrogen cooled Dewar. Frozen radicals onto the cooled finger of the Dewar can be observed by the dropping of the total reagent pressure (from 0.1 Torr to 10⁻³ Torr) and most of the time by the slight change of cold finger color. The accumulation lasts generally 10 to 12 minutes during which EPR spectra of frozen radicals are acquired every minute. The end of the accumulation of radicals is marked by the nonincreasing of EPR signal intensity of the radicals. At the end of the accumulation, the carrier gas flow was stopped, and the sample vaporizer was closed. More EPR spectra of total radical intensity were then registered in the absence of thermal noise generated by the interaction of the hot gas flow with the cooled finger of the Dewar. This is the time in the experiment where the power dependence of radical is achieved. After acquisition of the radical spectra, the Dewar was removed from the EPR cavity under liquid nitrogen temperature.

The pyrolysis products are thereafter dissolved in approximatively 5 cm³ ethanol under hood and dried up to 1 cm³. one μL of the solution is injected in a GC-MS.

The radical intensity is calculated employing the method of double integration of the first derivative signal and compared with a standard sample of 2,2-di(4-tert-octylphenyl)-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH or 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH).

2.2. Products Mass Analysis. Products were analyzed using an Agilent Technologies 6890N GC system coupled with a 5973 Masse Selective Detector. Products separation was completed employing a 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., and 0.25 μm film thickness column (Restek, Rtx-5MS) with a temperature program ramp from —60 to 300°C at 15°C/min. The injection port was operated at the temperature of 90°C for CAT and HQ and 50°C for phenol. Detection of products was obtained on the Agilent 5973 Mass Selective Detector operating in full-scan mode from 15 to 350 amu. The GC/MS software, an Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification
Figure 2: Time dependence of radicals intensity from the pyrolysis of phenol from 750 to 950 °C. A linear trend with excellent correlation intensity versus time was observed in the entire temperature domain.

Figure 3: A comparative total radical intensity yields from 0 to 12 min accumulation time of radicals from the pyrolysis of CAT (blue), HQ (red), and phenol (yellow). HQ shows the lowest yield. While total radical intensity from phenol keeps an increasingly linear trend, saturation is reached at approximately 10 min of total radical accumulation from CAT and HQ.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Time Dependence of Total Radical Accumulation. For each sample and for a given pyrolysis temperature, pyrolysis products are accumulated for 10 to 12 minutes and EPR spectra acquired every minute. The radical intensity is thereafter calculated by transferring a given EPR spectrum to SimFonia software (http://www.bruker-biospin.com/simfonia.html). A double integration of the spectrum gives its surface area. The latter was divided by the surface area of the DPPH used as reference to account for the conversion time, receiver gain, number of data points, and sweep width, in sorting the normalisation of the spectra intensities.

Beside its natural occurrence [32–35], phenol is a product of the thermal degradation of CAT and HQ [27, 36] and is abundantly found in mainstream tobacco smoke [37].

Its pyrolysis, as it can be seen from Figure 2, shows a linear increase trend with all pyrolysis temperatures.

Unlike CAT and HQ, accumulation of the pyrolysis products of phenol shows no saturation which means accumulation is possible beyond 16 minutes with a fine tune of the EPR resonator, while for CAT and HQ saturation is reached after 10 to 12 minutes of their pyrolysis product accumulation. This phenomenon can be due to several reasons. The phenol high vapour pressure in comparison to those of CAT and HQ favours a steady feed of material to the reactor throughout the experiment, while CAT and HQ low vapour pressure leads to a quick saturation. Phenol molecular structure compared to those of CAT and HQ renders its thermal degradation easier than that of the others [36], leading to limited radical-radical recombination that facilitates longer accumulation time. Furthermore, by pyrolysis, CAT and HQ decompose to phenol and other compounds [38] in which their presence may cryogenically clog the EPR cavity.

The thermal degradation of HQ scheme shows, on the left hand side, that phenol will decompose to yield phenyl, phenoxy, and CPD. Phenol thermal degradation leads to phenoxy radical by elimination of the hydrogen atom of the hydroxyl group of phenol. Further reaction of phenoxy proceeds by CO elimination to form CPD [39]. In our gas-phase phenol thermal degradation study, CPD and phenoxy radicals are, respectively, identified as pyrolysis products and their EPR gas-phase spectra are acquired [39–42].

Unlike the pyrolysis of phenol, the time dependence of radical intensity from the pyrolysis of HQ and CAT showed saturation towards the end of the accumulation as depicted by Figure 3.

3.2. Temperature Dependence of Total Radical Accumulation. As it was seen, the pyrolysis of phenol from 400 to 1000 °C shows a linear time dependence of radical signal intensity (Figure 3). However, the temperature dependence of radical
intensity from phenol shows a sudden increase from 700°C, while the temperature dependence of CAT pyrolysis showed an increase in signal intensity from 300 to 800°C, followed by a decrease above 800°C as shown in Figure 4. The thermal degradation of CAT should yield o-semiquinone (o-SQ), CPD, phenoxy, and hydroxycyclopentadienyl (HO-CPD) as the most likely Persistent Free Radicals (PFRs). We have positively identified and acquired o-SQ and CPD radicals EPR spectra.

The temperature dependence of HQ pyrolysis showed an increase in signal intensity from 300 to 850°C, followed by a decrease above 850°C (Figure 4). p-semiquinone (p-SQ), CPD, phenoxy, and hydroxycyclopentadienyl (HO-CPD) radicals are more likely the most Persistent Free Radicals (PFRs) to form from the pyrolysis/photolysis of HQ. We have positively identified and acquired p-SQ and CPD radicals EPR spectra [39, 40].

It has been demonstrated that the pyrolysis of CAT and HQ promotes formation of methyl (CH₃), ethyl (CH₃CH₂) [43, 44], and acetylene [44] that contributed to the formation of PAHs. In our effort to identify persistent free radicals formed from the pyrolysis of CAT, HQ, and phenol, we have had a close look at the nonradical products formed from the combination of radicals either labile or persistent. Basically, some radicals from the pyrolysis/photolysis of CAT and HQ are suspected to be labile to the point where acquisition of their EPR spectra was not possible before they were terminated either by radical-radical reaction or radical-surface interaction. Thus a mass analysis of the pyrolysis/photolysis products of CAT and HQ was performed. Figure 5 is a
Scheme 2: Proposed mechanism of the formation of 1-hydroxynaphthalene from the condensation of one molecule of CPD and one molecule of HydroxyCPD followed by the formation of 1H-indene by elimination of CO from the formed hydroxynaphthalene.

Scheme 3: Formation of fluorene. It starts with H-radical abstraction from indene followed by its condensation with a cyclopentadienyl radical followed by the cycling with elimination of a second H-radical.

The GC-MS analysis of the pyrolysis products of CAT revealed the formation of fluorene (Scheme 3) ($m/z = 166$) and 1H-indenol ($m/z = 132$) and its isomer 1H-inden-1-one, 2,3-dihydro, acenaphylene ($m/z = 152$), benzofuran-7-methyl, and benzofuran-2-methyl ($m/z = 132$).

From hydroquinone, a computer-generated hydroxycyclopentadienyl (HO-CPD) radical EPR spectrum, a five-line spectrum with intensity distribution 1:4:6:4:1 was...
compared with the EPR spectra from the pyrolysis of HQ in all temperature regions (400–1000 °C) [42]. There was no match. However, the GC-MS analysis of the atmospheric pressure pyrolysis products of HQ revealed the formation of, in addition to naphthalene (m/z = 130), indene (m/z = 116) and Hydroxyindene (m/z = 132). They are supposed to result from CO elimination from either Hydroxynaphthalene (m/z = 144) or Dihydroxynaphthalene (m/z = 160). Hydroxynaphthalene and hydroxynaphthalene can result from the condensation of one molecule of CPD and one of HO-CPD and the condensation of two molecules of HO-CPD respectively. Also dibenzo dioxin and dibenzo furan were observed.

The formation of indene and hydroxyindene during the pyrolysis of HQ is the evidence of OHCPD gas-phase formation. Therefore OHCPD is formed but was not persistent enough to acquire its EPR spectrum with the various techniques we used in the previous studies [39, 40, 42].

Fluorene is second to naphthalene in the family of polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Fluorene detection as the pyrolysis products of CAT is a confirmation that CPD radicals are formed during the pyrolysis/photolysis of CAT as demonstrated in previous studies [39, 40, 42].

(i) The detection of 1H-indenol gives evidence of the formation of labile radicals such as Hydroxycyclopentadienyl radical while that of fluorene confirms not only the formation of CPD radical but also of aliphatic radicals such as ethenyl and acetylene radicals [44]. Scheme 1 presents the mechanism of 1H-indenol formation. Its formation proceeds by condensation reaction of two hydroxy-CDP radicals with intermediate formation of naphthalene-diol followed by CO elimination.

Formation of dibenzodioxin (DD) results from the condensation of one molecule of phenol and one phenoxyl radical followed by successive H-radical abstraction and cycling as depicted in Scheme 4.

Scheme 5 shows the formation of DF as the results of radical-radical recombination of two keto-forms of phenoxyl radical to a dimer intermediate. The latter intermediate can either tautomerize to 2,2-dihydroxybiphenyl followed by an intramolecular water elimination process to form DF (upper pathway in Scheme 5) or undergo a hydrogen abstraction followed by intramolecular interring displacement of hydroxyl radical to form DF (lower pathway in Scheme 5).

4. Conclusion

The present study, by combining LTMI-EPR and GC-MS, has shined light on, and thus completing, CAT, HQ, and phenol thermal decomposition understanding. The mass analysis permits to identify molecules such as naphthalene. The experimental identification of those compounds gives the proof of how they are formed. Radical-radical, radical-neutral molecule and even radical-wall interactions are plausible explanation of the molecular gas phase formation. Thus, naphthalene would result from the condensation of two molecules of CPD radical, 1-hydroxynaphthalene from...
the condensation of one HO-CPD and one CPD, followed by elimination of CO to yield indene (Scheme 2).
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