Research Article

On Cubic KU-Ideals of KU-Algebras

Naveed Yaqoob,¹ Samy M. Mostafa,² and Moin A. Ansari³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics, Ain Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Naveed Yaqoob; nayaqoob@ymail.com

Received 30 August 2012; Accepted 19 October 2012

Academic Editors: K. P. Shum and A. Vourdas

Copyright © 2013 Naveed Yaqoob et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the notion of cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras and several results are presented in this regard. The image, preimage, and cartesian product of cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras are defined.

1. Introduction

BCK-algebras form an important class of logical algebras introduced by Iséki and were extensively investigated by several researchers. The class of all BCK-algebras is quasivariety. Iséki and Tanaka introduced two classes of abstract algebras, BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras [1–3]. In connection with this problem, Komori [4] introduced a notion of BCC-algebras.

Prabpayak and Leerawat [5] introduced a new algebraic structure which is called KU-algebra. They gave the concept of homomorphisms of KU-algebras and investigated some related properties in [5, 6].

Zadeh [7] introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. At present this concept has been applied to many mathematical branches, such as groups, functional analysis, probability theory, and topology. Mostafa et al. [8] introduced the notion of fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras and then they investigated several basic properties which are related to fuzzy KU-ideals, also see [9]. Abdullah et al. [10, 11] introduced the concept of direct product of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in BCK-algebras.

Jun et al. [12] introduced the notion of cubic subalgebras/ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, and then they investigated several properties. They discussed the relationship between a cubic subalgebra and a cubic ideal. Also, they provided characterizations of a cubic subalgebra/ideal and considered a method to make a new cubic subalgebra from an old one, also see [13–17].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras and then we study the homomorphic image and inverse image of cubic KU-ideals.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will recall some concepts related to KU-algebra and cubic sets.

Definition 1 (see [5]). By a KU-algebra we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ with a single binary operation $*$ that satisfies the following identities: for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(ku1) \quad (x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = 0,$$

$$(ku2) \quad x * 0 = 0,$$

$$(ku3) \quad 0 * x = x,$$

$$(ku4) \quad x * y = 0 = y * x \text{ implies } x = y.$$

In what follows, let $(X, *, 0)$ denote a KU-algebra unless otherwise specified. For brevity we also call X a KU-algebra. In X we can define a binary relation $\leq$ by: $x \leq y$ if and only if $y * x = 0$.

Definition 2 (see [5]). $(X, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra if and only if it satisfies

$$(ku5) \quad (y * z) * (x * z) \leq (x * y),$$

$$(ku6) \quad 0 \leq x,$$

TABLE 1

·	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	2	3	4
2	0	1	0	3	3
3	0	0	2	0	2
4	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 2

·	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	0	2	2	4	5
2	0	0	0	1	4	5
3	0	0	0	0	4	5
4	0	0	0	1	0	5
5	0	0	0	0	0	0

(ku7) $x \leq y$, $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$,

(ku8) $x \leq y$ if and only if $y * x = 0$.

Definition 3 (see [8]). In a KU-algebra, the following identities are true:

- (1) $z * z = 0$,
- (2) $z * (x * z) = 0$,
- (3) $x \leq y$ imply $y * z \leq x * z$,
- (4) $z * (y * x) = y * (z * x)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- (5) $y * [(y * x) * x] = 0$.

Example 4 (see [8]). Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which $*$ is defined by Table 1.

It is easy to see that X is a KU-algebra.

Definition 5 (see [6]). A subset S of a KU-algebra X is called subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$, whenever $x, y \in S$.

Definition 6 (see [6]). A nonempty subset A of a KU-algebra X is called a KU-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $0 \in A$,
- (2) $x * (y * z) \in A$, $y \in A$ implies $x * z \in A$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 7. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in which $*$ is defined by Table 2.

Clearly $(X, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra. It is easy to show that $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $B = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are KU-ideals of X .

Definition 8 (see [6]). Let $(X, *, 0)$ and $(X', *, 0')$ be KU-algebras. A homomorphism is a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ satisfying $f(x * y) = f(x) *' f(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 9 (see [6]). Let f be a homomorphism of a KU-algebra X into a KU-algebra X' , then

- (1) if 0 is the identity in X , then $f(0)$ is the identity in X' ;
- (2) if S is a KU-subalgebra of X , then $f(S)$ is a KU-subalgebra of X' ;
- (3) if I is a KU-ideal of X , then $f(I)$ is a KU-ideal in X' ;
- (4) if S is a KU-subalgebra of $f(X')$, then $f^{-1}(S)$ is a KU-subalgebra algebra of X ;
- (5) if B is a KU-ideal in $f(X')$, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a KU-ideal in X ;
- (6) if f is a homomorphism from KU-algebra X to a KU-algebra X' then f is one to one if and only if $\ker f = \{0\}$.

Proposition 10 (see [8]). Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a homomorphism of KU-algebras, then:

- (1) $f(0) = 0'$,
- (2) if $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$.

Proposition 11 (see [8]). Let $(X, *, 0)$ and $(X', *, 0')$ be KU-algebras and let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a homomorphism, then $\ker f$ is a KU-ideal of X .

Now we will recall the concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets.

An interval number is $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$, where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. Let $D[0, 1]$ denote the family of all closed subintervals of $[0, 1]$, that is,

$$D[0, 1] = \{\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] : a^- \leq a^+, \text{ for } a^-, a^+ \in I\}. \quad (1)$$

We define the operations “ $\geq$ ”, “ $\leq$ ”, “ $=$ ”, “ rmin ” and “ rmax ” in case of two elements in $D[0, 1]$. We consider two elements $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ and $\tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+]$ in $D[0, 1]$. Then

- (1) $\tilde{a} \geq \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- \geq b^-$ and $a^+ \geq b^+$,
- (2) $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$,
- (3) $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- = b^-$ and $a^+ = b^+$,
- (4) $\text{rmin}\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\min\{a^-, b^-\}, \min\{a^+, b^+\}]$,
- (5) $\text{rmax}\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\max\{a^-, b^-\}, \max\{a^+, b^+\}]$.

It is obvious that $(D[0, 1], \leq, \vee, \wedge)$ is a complete lattice with $\tilde{0} = [0, 0]$ as its least element and $\tilde{1} = [1, 1]$ as its greatest element. Let $\tilde{a}_i \in D[0, 1]$ where $i \in \Lambda$. We define

$$\text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = \left[\inf_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \inf_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+ \right], \quad \text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = \left[\sup_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \sup_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+ \right]. \quad (2)$$

An interval-valued fuzzy set (briefly, IVF-set) $\tilde{\mu}_A$ on X is defined as

$$\tilde{\mu}_A = \{ \langle x, [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] \rangle : x \in X \}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mu_A^-(x) \leq \mu_A^+(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then the ordinary fuzzy sets $\mu_A^- : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu_A^+ : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are called a

TABLE 3

.	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	3	0	3	4
3	0	1	2	0	1
4	0	0	0	0	0

lower fuzzy set and an upper fuzzy set of $\tilde{\mu}$, respectively. Let $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)]$, then

$$A = \{\langle x, \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_A : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$.

Jun et al. [12] introduced the concept of cubic sets defined on a nonempty set X as objects having the form:

$$\Xi = \{\langle x, \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x), \lambda_\Xi(x) \rangle : x \in X\}, \quad (5)$$

which is briefly denoted by $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$, where the functions $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ and $\lambda_\Xi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Denote $\mathcal{C}(X)$ by family of all cubic sets in X .

3. Cubic KU-Ideals of KU-Algebras

In this section, we will introduce a new notion called cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebras and study several properties of it.

Definition 12. Let X be a KU-algebra. A cubic set $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ in X is called cubic KU-subalgebra of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (S1) $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x)$ and $\lambda_\Xi(0) \leq \lambda_\Xi(x)$,
- (S2) $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * y), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)\}$ and $\lambda_\Xi(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Xi(x * y), \lambda_\Xi(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 13. Let X be a KU-algebra. A cubic set $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ in X is called cubic KU-ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x)$ and $\lambda_\Xi(0) \leq \lambda_\Xi(x)$,
- (A2) $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * z) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)\}$ and $\lambda_\Xi(x * z) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Xi(x * (y * z)), \lambda_\Xi(y)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 14. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which $*$ is defined by Table 3.

Clearly $(X, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra. Define a cubic set $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ in X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x) &= \begin{cases} [0.6, 0.7], & \text{if } x = 0, \\ [0.4, 0.5], & \text{if } x \in \{1, 3\}, \\ [0.1, 0.3], & \text{if } x \in \{2, 4\}, \end{cases} \\ \lambda_\Xi(x) &= \begin{cases} 0.1, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.3, & \text{if } x \in \{1, 3\}, \\ 0.6, & \text{if } x \in \{2, 4\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By routine calculations it can be seen that the cubic set $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of X .

Lemma 15. Let $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ be a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra X . If the inequality $x * y \leq z$ holds in X , then $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z)\}$ and $\lambda_\Xi(y) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Xi(x), \lambda_\Xi(z)\}$.

Proof. Assume that the inequality $x * y \leq z$ holds in X , then $z * (x * y) = 0$ and by (A2) $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z * y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z * (x * y)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x)\}$, if we put $z = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0 * y) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0 * (x * y)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * y), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x)\} \end{aligned} \quad (i)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * y) &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x * (z * y)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z * (x * y)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0), \mu(z)\} = \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (ii)$$

From (i) and (ii), we get $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(z)\}$. Similarly we can show that $\lambda_\Xi(y) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Xi(x), \lambda_\Xi(z)\}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 16. If $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra X and if $x \leq y$ then $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)$ and $\lambda_\Xi(x) \leq \lambda_\Xi(y)$.

Proof. If $x \leq y$ then $y * x = 0$. This together with $0 * x = x$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)$ also $\lambda_\Xi(0) \leq \lambda_\Xi(y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0 * x) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0 * (y * x)), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0 * 0), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(0), \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y)\} = \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(y). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\Xi(0 * x) &= \lambda_\Xi(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Xi(0 * (y * x)), \lambda_\Xi(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Xi(0 * 0), \lambda_\Xi(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Xi(0), \lambda_\Xi(y)\} = \lambda_\Xi(y). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Xi, \lambda_\Xi \rangle$ and $\mathcal{F} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\mathcal{F}, \lambda_\mathcal{F} \rangle$ be two cubic sets in a KU-algebra X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi \cap \mathcal{F} &= \{\langle x, \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x), \tilde{\mu}_\mathcal{F}(x)\}, \max\{\lambda_\Xi(x), \lambda_\mathcal{F}(x)\} \rangle \\ &\quad : x \in X\} \\ &= \{\langle x, \tilde{\mu}_\Xi(x) \cap \tilde{\mu}_\mathcal{F}(x), \lambda_\Xi(x) \cup \lambda_\mathcal{F}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Proposition 17. Let $\{\Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of cubic KU-ideals of a KU-algebra X , then $\bigcap_{i \in I} \Xi_i$ is a cubic KU-ideal of X .

Proof. Let $\{\Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of cubic KU-ideals of a KU-algebra X , then for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\bigcap \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} \right) (0) \\
 &= \text{rinf} \left(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (0) \right) \geq \text{rinf} \left(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (x) \right) \\
 &= \left(\bigcap \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} \right) (x), \\
 & \left(\bigcap \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} \right) (x * z) \\
 &= \text{rinf} \left(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (x * z) \right) \\
 &\geq \text{rinf} \left(\text{rmin} \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (y) \right\} \right) \\
 &= \text{rmin} \left\{ \text{rinf} \left(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (x * (y * z)) \right), \text{rinf} \left(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} (y) \right) \right\} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \left\{ \left(\bigcap \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} \right) (x * (y * z)), \left(\bigcap \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_i} \right) (y) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\bigcup \lambda_{\Xi_i} \right) (0) \\
 &= \sup \left(\lambda_{\Xi_i} (0) \right) \leq \sup \left(\lambda_{\Xi_i} (x) \right) \\
 &= \left(\bigcup \lambda_{\Xi_i} \right) (x), \\
 & \left(\bigcup \lambda_{\Xi_i} \right) (x * z) \\
 &= \sup \left(\lambda_{\Xi_i} (x * z) \right) \\
 &\leq \sup \left(\max \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_i} (x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi_i} (y) \right\} \right) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \sup \left(\lambda_{\Xi_i} (x * (y * z)) \right), \sup \left(\lambda_{\Xi_i} (y) \right) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left(\bigcup \lambda_{\Xi_i} \right) (x * (y * z)), \left(\bigcup \lambda_{\Xi_i} \right) (y) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

For any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, let $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ be a cubic set in a KU-algebra X , then the set

$$U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s) = \{x \in X : \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \geq \tilde{t}, \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \leq s\} \tag{12}$$

is called the cubic level set of $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$.

Theorem 18. Let $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ be a cubic subset in X then $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of X if and only if for all $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$ is either empty or a KU-ideal of X .

Proof. Assume that $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of X , let $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, be such that $U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s) \neq \emptyset$, and let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \in U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$, then $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\lambda_{\Xi}(x) \leq s$. By (A2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y * 0) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y * (x * 0)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y * 0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \} = \tilde{t},
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{\Xi}(y * 0) &= \lambda_{\Xi}(0) \leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(y * (x * 0)), \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(y * 0), \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(0), \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \} = s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Thus, $0 \in U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$. Now letting $x * (y * z) \in U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$, $y \in U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$, it implies that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * z) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y) \} = \tilde{t}, \tag{15}$$

also

$$\lambda_{\Xi}(x * z) \leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y) \} = s. \tag{16}$$

So that $x * z \in U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$. Hence, $U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$ is a KU-ideal of X .

Conversely, suppose that $U(\Xi; \tilde{t}, s)$ is a KU-ideal of X and let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * z) &< \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y) \}, \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x * z) &> \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y) \},
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

taking

$$\widetilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * z) + \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y) \} \}, \tag{18}$$

and taking

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(x * z) + \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y) \} \}, \tag{19}$$

we have $\widetilde{\beta}_1 \in D[0, 1]$ and $\beta_2 \in [0, 1]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * z) &< \widetilde{\beta}_1 < \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y) \}, \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x * z) &> \beta_2 > \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y) \}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It follows that $x * (y * z) \in U(\Xi; \widetilde{\beta}_1, \beta_2)$ and $x * z \notin U(\Xi; \widetilde{\beta}_1, \beta_2)$. This is a contradiction and therefore $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is cubic KU-ideal of X . $\square$

Proposition 19. If $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra X , then

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y), \quad \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) \leq \lambda_{\Xi}(y). \tag{21}$$

Proof. Taking $z = x * y$ in (A2) and using (ku2) and (A1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * (x * y))), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (x * (y * y))), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (x * 0)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)\} = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y), \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * (x * y))), \lambda_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(x * (x * (y * y))), \lambda_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(x * (x * 0)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(0), \lambda_{\Xi}(y)\} = \lambda_{\Xi}(y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Thus, we get $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)$ and $\lambda_{\Xi}(x * (x * y)) \leq \lambda_{\Xi}(y)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. Image and Preimage of Cubic KU-Ideals

In this section we will present some results on images and preimages of cubic KU-ideals in KU-algebras.

Definition 20. Let f be a mapping from a set X to a set Y . If $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is a cubic subset of X , then the cubic subset $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta} \rangle$ of Y is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi})(y) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(y) = \begin{cases} \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x), \\ \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(x) = y\} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
 f(\lambda_{\Xi})(y) &= \lambda_{\beta}(y) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \lambda_{\Xi}(x), \\ \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(x) = y\} \neq \emptyset, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

is said to be the image of $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ under f .

Similarly if $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta} \rangle$ is a cubic subset of Y , then the cubic subset $\Xi = \beta \circ f$ in X (i.e., the cubic subset defined by $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) = \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x))$ and $\lambda_{\Xi}(x) = \lambda_{\beta}(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$) is called the preimage of β under f .

Theorem 21. An onto homomorphic preimage of cubic KU-ideal is also cubic KU-ideal.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an onto homomorphism of KU-algebras, $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta} \rangle$ a cubic KU-ideal of X' , and $\Xi =$

$\langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ the preimage of β under f , then $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) = \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x))$ and $\lambda_{\Xi}(x) = \lambda_{\beta}(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(0)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x)) = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x), \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(0) &= \lambda_{\beta}(f(0)) \leq \lambda_{\beta}(f(x)) = \lambda_{\Xi}(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Now let $x, y, z \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * z) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x * z)) = \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x) *' f(z)) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x) *' (f(y) *' f(z))), \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(y))\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(x * (y * z))), \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(f(y))\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y)\}, \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x * z) &= \lambda_{\beta}(f(x * z)) = \lambda_{\beta}(f(x) *' f(z)) \\
 &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\beta}(f(x) *' (f(y) *' f(z))), \lambda_{\beta}(f(y))\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\beta}(f(x * (y * z))), \lambda_{\beta}(f(y))\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(x * (y * z)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y)\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 22. A cubic subset $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ of X has sup and inf properties if for any subset T of X , there exist $t, s \in T$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t) = \text{rsup}_{t \in T} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t)$ and $\lambda_{\Xi}(s) = \inf_{t \in T} \lambda_{\Xi}(s)$.

Theorem 23. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism between KU-algebras X and Y . For every cubic KU-ideal $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ in X , $f(\Xi)$ is cubic KU-ideal of Y .

Proof. By definition $\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(y') = f(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi})(y') = \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x)$ and $\lambda_{\beta}(y') = f(\lambda_{\Xi})(y') = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_{\Xi}(x)$ for all $y' \in Y$ and $\text{rsup } \emptyset = [0, 0]$ and $\inf \emptyset = 0$. We have to prove that $\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x' *' z') \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x' *' (y' *' z')), \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(y')\}$ and $\lambda_{\beta}(x' *' z') \leq \max\{\lambda_{\beta}(x' *' (y' *' z')), \lambda_{\beta}(y')\}$ for all $x', y', z' \in Y$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an onto homomorphism of KU-algebras, $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ a cubic KU-ideal of X with sup and inf properties, and $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta} \rangle$ the image of $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ under f . Since $\Xi = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}, \lambda_{\Xi} \rangle$ is cubic KU-ideal of X , we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x), \quad \lambda_{\Xi}(0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{26}$$

Note that $0 \in f^{-1}(0')$ where $0, 0'$ are the zero of X and Y , respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(0') &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x) \quad \forall x \in X, \\
 \lambda_{\beta}(0') &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t) = \lambda_{\Xi}(0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi}(x) \quad \forall x \in X,
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

which implies that $\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(0') \geq \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x')$ and $\lambda_{\beta}(0') \leq \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t) = \lambda_{\beta}(x')$ for any $x' \in Y$. For

any $x', y', z' \in Y$, let $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x')$, $y_0 \in f^{-1}(y')$, and $z_0 \in f^{-1}(z')$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x_0 * z_0) &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * z')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t), \\
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y_0) &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t), \\
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}\{f(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0))\} \\
 &= \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x' * (y' * z')) \\
 &= \text{rsup}_{(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) \\
 &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x_0 * z_0) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * z')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t), \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(y_0) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t), \\
 \lambda_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) &= \lambda_{\beta}\{f(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0))\} \\
 &= \lambda_{\beta}(x' * (y' * z')) \\
 &= \inf_{(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \lambda_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)) \\
 &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \lambda_{\Xi}(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x' * z') &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * z')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x_0 * z_0) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(y_0)\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\left\{\text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t), \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi}(t)\right\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(x' * (y' * z')), \tilde{\mu}_{\beta}(y')\}, \\
 \lambda_{\beta}(x' * z') &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * z')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t) = \lambda_{\Xi}(x_0 * z_0) \\
 &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Xi}(x_0 * (y_0 * z_0)), \lambda_{\Xi}(y_0)\} \\
 &= \max\left\{\inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * (y' * z'))} \lambda_{\Xi}(t), \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_{\Xi}(t)\right\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\beta}(x' * (y' * z')), \lambda_{\beta}(y')\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Hence, β is cubic KU-ideal of Y . $\square$

5. Cartesian Product of Cubic KU-Ideals

In this section we will provide some new definitions on cartesian product of cubic KU-ideals in KU-algebras.

Definition 24. Let $\Xi_1 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}, \lambda_{\Xi_1} \rangle$ and $\Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_2} \rangle$ be two cubic subsets of KU-algebras X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Then cartesian product of cubic subsets Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 is denoted by $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ and is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y)\}, \\
 \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi_1}(x), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y)\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Remark 25. Let X and Y be KU-algebras. We define $*$ on $X \times Y$ by $(x, y) * (u, v) = (x * u, y * v)$ for every $(x, y), (u, v)$ belong to $X \times Y$, then clearly $(X \times Y, *, (0, 0))$ is a KU-algebra.

Definition 26. A cubic subset $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ of $X_1 \times X_2$ is called a cubic KU-subalgebra of $X_1 \times X_2$ if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(CP1)} \quad &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \text{ and } \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y), \\
 \text{(CP2)} \quad &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2)\}, \\
 \text{(CP3)} \quad &\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Definition 27. A cubic subset $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ of $X_1 \times X_2$ is called a cubic KU-ideal of $X_1 \times X_2$ if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(CP4)} \quad &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \text{ and } \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y), \\
 \text{(CP5)} \quad &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2)\}, \\
 \text{(CP6)} \quad &\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \leq \max\{\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3)), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Theorem 28. Let $\Xi_1 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}, \lambda_{\Xi_1} \rangle$ and $\Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_2} \rangle$ be two cubic KU-subalgebras of KU-algebras X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Then $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-subalgebra of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. For any $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(0)\} \\
 &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y)\} = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y), \\
 \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) &= \max\{\lambda_{\Xi_1}(0), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(0)\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Xi_1}(x), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y)\} = \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1) \} \\
&\geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_2) \}, \\
&\quad \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * y_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * y_2) \}, \\
&\quad \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
&\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1 * y_1)(x_2 * y_2)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \}, \\
&\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \\
&= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_1) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_2), \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_2) \}, \\
&\quad \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * y_2), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_2), \lambda_{\Xi_1}(y_1 * y_2) \}, \\
&\quad \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_2}(x_2), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1 * y_1)(x_2 * y_2)), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \}. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-subalgebra of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. $\square$

Theorem 29. Let $\Xi_1 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}, \lambda_{\Xi_1} \rangle$ and $\Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_2} \rangle$ be two cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Then $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. For any $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) = \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(0) \} \\
&\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y) \} = \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y), \\
&\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) = \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(0), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(0) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y) \} = \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y). \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1 * x_3, y_1 * y_3) \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_3), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * y_3) \} \\
&\geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_2) \}, \\
&\quad \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)) \}, \\
&\quad \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1}(x_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), (y_1 * (y_2 * y_3))), \\
&\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
&\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\
&\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \}, \\
&\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\
&= \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1 * x_3, y_1 * y_3) \\
&= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * x_3), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * y_3) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_2) \}, \\
&\quad \min \{ \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_1 * (y_2 * y_3)) \}, \\
&\quad \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1}(x_2), \lambda_{\Xi_2}(y_2) \} \} \\
&= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1 * (x_2 * x_3)), (y_1 * (y_2 * y_3))), \\
&\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\
&\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \}. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$, $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. $\square$

Lemma 30. If $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$ and if $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$, we have $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \leq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1)$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \geq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1)$, for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, such that $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \Rightarrow (x_2, y_2) * (x_1, y_1) = (0, 0)$. This together with

$(0, 0) * (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \leq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0)$ also $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \geq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (x_1, y_1)) \\
 &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_1, y_1))), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (0, 0)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2), \\
 &\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (x_1, y_1)) \\
 &= \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \\
 &\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_1, y_1))), \\
 &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (0, 0)), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\
 &= \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This shows that $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \leq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1)$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \geq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1)$, for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$. $\square$

Lemma 31. If $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$ and if $(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) \leq (x_3, y_3)$ holds in $X_1 \times X_2$, then we have $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}$, for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and let $(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) \leq (x_3, y_3)$ holds in $X_1 \times X_2$, then

$$(x_3, y_3) * ((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)) = (0, 0). \tag{37}$$

Now for $(x_3, y_3) = (0, 0)$ and from (CP5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * (x_2, y_2)) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * ((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \},
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (x_2, y_2)) \\
 &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_3, y_3) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * ((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \\
 &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \},
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and from (CP6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * (x_2, y_2)) \\
 &\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * ((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \},
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((0, 0) * (x_2, y_2)) \\
 &= \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \\
 &\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_3, y_3) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_3, y_3) * ((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2))), \\
 &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}, \\
 &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_3, y_3) \}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 32. Let $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ be a cubic subset of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$, and for any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, the set

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s) \\ = \{(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2 : \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \geq \tilde{t}, \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \leq s\} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

is called the cubic level set of $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$.

Theorem 33. Let $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ be a cubic subset of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. Then $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-subalgebra of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$ if and only if for any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$ is either empty or a KU-subalgebra of $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. $\square$

Theorem 34. Let $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ be a cubic subset of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. Then $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$ if and only if for any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$ is either empty or a KU-ideal of $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. Let $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ be a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. For any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s) \\ = \{(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2 : \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \geq \tilde{t}, \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \leq s\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Since $U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s) \neq \emptyset$, let $(x, y) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$. This implies $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \leq s$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \geq \tilde{t} \implies \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{t}, \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y) \leq s \implies \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \leq s. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

This shows that $(0, 0) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$. Let $(x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3)) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))) &\geq \tilde{t}, \\ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) &\geq \tilde{t}, \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))) &\leq s, \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) &\leq s. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\ &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\ &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \} = \tilde{t}, \\ &\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ &\leq \max \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\ &\quad \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ s, s \} = s. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

This implied that $(x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$. Hence, $U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$ is a KU-ideal of $X_1 \times X_2$.

Conversely, suppose $U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s)$ is a KU-ideal of $X_1 \times X_2$, for any $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Assume $(x_1, y_1) \in X_1 \times X_2$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) &< \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \\ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) &> \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) + \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\ &\implies \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) < \tilde{t}_0 < \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1), \\ s_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) + \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1) \} \\ &\implies \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) > s_0 > \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_1, y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

This implies $(x_1, y_1) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}_0, s_0)$, but $(0, 0) \notin U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}_0, s_0)$, which is contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y)$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(0, 0) \leq \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X_1 \times X_2$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ &< \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\ &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ &\quad + \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \\ &\quad \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ & < \tilde{t}_o < \text{rmin} \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ & > \max \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_o &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \max \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ & > s_o > \max \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3)) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s), \\ & (x_2, y_2) \in U(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2; \tilde{t}, s). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

But $(x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3) \notin U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}; \tilde{t}_o, s_o)$, which is a contradiction; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ & \geq \text{rmin} \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}((x_1, y_1) * ((x_2, y_2) * (x_3, y_3))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}(x_2, y_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Hence, $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2}, \lambda_{\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2} \rangle$ is a cubic KU-ideal of KU-algebra $X_1 \times X_2$. $\square$

References

- [1] K. Iséki, "On BCI-algebras," *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 125–130, 1980.
- [2] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, "An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–26, 1978.
- [3] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, "Ideal theory of BCK-algebras," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 351–366, 1976.
- [4] Y. Komori, "The class of BCC-algebras is not a variety," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 391–394, 1984.
- [5] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, "On ideals and congruences in KU-algebras," *Scientia Magna*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 54–57, 2009.
- [6] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, "On isomorphisms of KU-algebras," *Scientia Magna*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 25–31, 2009.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Computation*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [8] S. M. Mostafa, M. A. Abd-Elnaby, and M. M. M. Yousef, "Fuzzy ideals of KU-algebras," *International Mathematical Forum*, vol. 6, no. 61–64, pp. 3139–3149, 2011.
- [9] S. M. Mostafa, M. A. Abd-Elnaby, and O. R. Elgendy, "Interval-valued fuzzy KU-ideals in KU-algebras," *International Mathematical Forum*, vol. 6, no. 61–64, pp. 3151–3159, 2011.
- [10] S. Abdullah, N. Yaqoob, B. Satyanarayana, and S. M. Qurashi, "Direct product of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals of BCK-algebras," *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2012.
- [11] S. Abdullah, W. Mohammed, and M. Naeem, "Direct product of finite intuitionistic fuzzy sets in BCK-algebra," *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 57–67, 2012.
- [12] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and M. S. Kang, "Cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 239–250, 2010.
- [13] M. Aslam, T. Aroob, and N. Yaqoob, "On cubic Γ -hyperideals in left almost Γ -semihypergroups," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 169–182, 2013.
- [14] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, and M. S. Kang, "Cubic structures applied to ideals of BCI-algebras," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 3334–3342, 2011.
- [15] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and J. G. Kang, "Cubic q -ideals of BCI-algebras," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 25–34, 2011.
- [16] Y. B. Jun, S. T. Jung, and M. S. Kim, "Cubic subgroups," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 9–15, 2011.
- [17] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and K. O. Yang, "Cubic sets," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 83–98, 2012.