Research Article

The Localized Energy Distribution of Dark Energy Star Solutions

Paul Halpern and Michael Pecorino

Department of Mathematics, Physics and Statistics, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia, 600 S. 43rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Paul Halpern; p.halper@uscience.edu

Received 20 January 2013; Accepted 18 February 2013

Academic Editors: F. Crawford and H. Zhao

Copyright © 2013 P. Halpern and M. Pecorino. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We examine the question of energy localization for an exact solution of Einstein's equations with a scalar field corresponding to the phantom energy interpretation of dark energy. We apply three different energy-momentum complexes, the Einstein, the Papapetrou, and the Møller prescriptions, to the exterior metric and determine the energy distribution for each. Comparing the results, we find that the three prescriptions yield identical energy distributions.

1. Introduction

The question of energy localization has been a pressing issue in general relativity since Einstein formulated his field equations. Einstein sought to include the energy and momenta of gravitational fields along with those of matter and non-gravitational fields as a well-defined locally conserved quantity. To that end, he proposed an energy-momentum complex that follows conservation laws for those quantities [1].

One concern about the Einstein energy momentum is that its value depends on the coordinate system used. Specifically, it favors the use of quasi-Cartesian (perturbations of a flat background) coordinates. Accordingly, it does not transform as a tensor. Because it is asymmetric in its indices, it does not conserve angular momentum.

To address these issues, a number of other theorists have proposed alternative definitions for energy-momentum complexes. These include prescriptions by Papapetrou [2], Landau and Lifshitz [3], Weinberg [4], and others, each designed to conserve angular momentum in addition to energy momentum. A prescription by Møller [5, 6] offers the special advantage of being coordinate system independent.

While at first the multiplicity of energy-momentum complexes discouraged theorists from using them, important results in the 1990s suggested an underlying unity that served

to revive interest. In 1990, Virbhadra found consistency in the application of distinct energy-momentum prescriptions to the same metric [7]. Six years later, a paper by Aguirregabiria et al. revealed that all metrics of the Kerr-Schild class, including the Schwarzschild, Reissner-Nordström, and other solutions, yield identical energy and momentum distributions for a variety of prescriptions [8]. Then in 1999, a paper by Virbhadra indicated that the energy-momentum complexes of Einstein, Papapetrou, Weinberg, and Landau and Lifshitz produced similar results for metrics more general than the Kerr-Schild class if they are constructed with Kerr-Schild Cartesian coordinates [9].

There have subsequently been many attempts to apply the various energy-momentum complexes to black holes and related astronomical objects. In recent years, for example, Radinschi, along with various colleagues, has investigated the energy-momentum distribution for stringy black holes [10, 11], Hořava-Lifshitz black holes [12], for charged black holes in generalized dilaton-axion gravity [13], for asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes [14], and in a Schwarzschild-quintessence spacetime [15]. Vagenas has examined the energy distribution in the dyadosphere of a Reissner-Nordström black hole [16]. Berman has looked at the energy and angular momentum of dilation black holes [17]. Sharif and Jawad have examined the energy of rotating spacetimes

in teleparallel gravity [18]. Halpern has investigated the energy distribution of a charged black hole with a minimally coupled scalar field [19].

In this paper, we consider yet another scenario: a static, electrically neutral, and spherically symmetric massive object (such as a star or black hole) embedded in a space filled with phantom energy. Phantom energy is an especially potent type of dark energy proposed by Caldwell [20] that possesses an equation of state parameter $w < -1$. It and other forms of dark energy have been hypothesized as possible agents for the acceleration of the universe, as recorded in supernova surveys [21, 22].

The interior and exterior metrics of a dark energy star were calculated by Yazadjiev [23]. The metrics depend on a mass parameter m , the radius r , and an additional parameter β . From these, Yazadjiev determined an overall mass M (that includes the rest mass as well as dark energy) and a dark charge D through the following relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \cosh \beta m, \\ D &= \sinh \beta m. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the situation of a conventional Schwarzschild solution without phantom energy. The conventions that $c = 1$ and $G = 1$ are followed.

Applying the prescriptions of Einstein, Papapetrou, and Møller to the exterior metric derived by Yazadjiev, we will examine the localized energy of the dark energy star.

2. Applying Einstein's Prescription to Dark Energy Stars

We now apply Einstein's prescription to Yazadjiev's dark energy star solution. The exterior metric has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^\kappa dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1-\kappa} \\ & \times \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - (2m/r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\kappa = \cosh \beta = \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 - D^2}}. \quad (3)$$

Einstein's prescription defines the local energy-momentum density as

$$\theta_i^k = \frac{1}{16\pi} H_i^{kl}, \quad (4)$$

where the superpotentials H_i^{kl} are given by

$$H_i^{kl} = \frac{g_{in}}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[-g \left(g^{kn} g^{lm} - g^{ln} g^{km} \right) \right]_{,n}. \quad (5)$$

This complex has the antisymmetric property that:

$$H_i^{kl} = -H_i^{kl}. \quad (6)$$

The energy-momentum components can be found by integrating the energy-momentum density over the volume under consideration:

$$P_i = \iiint \theta_i^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3. \quad (7)$$

Through Gauss's theorem we can express this as a surface integral:

$$P_i = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint H_i^{0\alpha} \mu_\alpha dS, \quad (8)$$

where μ_α is the outward unit vector normal to the spherical surface element:

$$dS = r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi. \quad (9)$$

The localized energy $E = P_0$ can thereby be expressed as:

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint H_0^{0\alpha} \mu_\alpha dS. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the relevant superpotentials to determine the localized energy are $H_0^{0\alpha}$ with α ranging from 1 to 3.

Taking $i = k = 0$ we find that (5) reduces to

$$H_0^{0l} = \frac{g_{0n}}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[-g \left(g^{0n} g^{lm} - g^{ln} g^{0m} \right) \right]_{,n}. \quad (11)$$

Note that $g_{0n} = 0$ for all values of n except $n = 0$. Therefore, the only nonzero components of (11) are the ones for which $n = 0$, namely

$$H_0^{0l} = \frac{g_{00}}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[-g \left(g^{00} g^{lm} - g^{l0} g^{0m} \right) \right]_{,m}. \quad (12)$$

We substitute the metric (2) into expression (12) and find that the relevant superpotential values are

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^{01} &= \frac{4\kappa m x}{r^3}, \\ H_0^{02} &= \frac{4\kappa m y}{r^3}, \\ H_0^{03} &= \frac{4\kappa m z}{r^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We insert the superpotentials (13) into the double integral (10). Evaluating this double integral over the full coordinate range, we find the total energy of s solution within a sphere of radius r to be

$$E = P_0 = \kappa m = M. \quad (14)$$

It is interesting that the localized energy precisely matches the dark energy star's total mass M . This indicates that the Einstein complex well-encompasses the complete energy of the star, including the energy associated with its rest mass m as well as its dark energy. Note that if the dark charge D is zero, κ becomes 1, and the localized energy reverts to the Schwarzschild value of m .

3. Determining the Energy by Use of Papapetrou's Prescription

We now turn to a second method for determining the local energy, Papapetrou's prescription. Unlike Einstein's prescription, Papapetrou's energy-momentum complex offers the advantage of being symmetric in its indices. Hence, it permits the precise definition of local conservation laws.

The Papapetrou energy-momentum complex is defined as

$$\Omega^{ik} = \frac{1}{16\pi} N^{ikab}{}_{,ab}, \quad (15)$$

$$P_i = \iiint \Omega^{i0} dx^1 dx^2 dx^3,$$

where the functions N^{ikab} are given by

$$N^{ikab} = \sqrt{-g} [g^{ik} \eta^{ab} - g^{ia} \eta^{kb} + g^{ab} \eta^{ik} - g^{kb} \eta^{ia}], \quad (16)$$

and the η^{ab} terms represent the components of a Minkowski metric of signature -2 .

Again, we use Gauss's theorem to express the total energy as a surface integral:

$$E = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint \chi^{00\alpha} \mu_\alpha dS, \quad (17)$$

with the superpotentials $\chi^{00\alpha}$ defined as

$$\chi^{00\alpha} = N_k^{00k\alpha}. \quad (18)$$

We determine the relevant values of the superpotentials to be

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{001} &= \frac{4\kappa m x}{r^3}, \\ \chi^{002} &= \frac{4\kappa m y}{r^3}, \\ \chi^{003} &= \frac{4\kappa m z}{r^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substituting (16)–(18) into (15) yields

$$E = P_0 = \kappa m = M. \quad (20)$$

This is identical to the expression obtained using Einstein's prescription. It is instructive to see that both complexes produce the same result.

4. Determining the Energy by Use of the Møller Prescription

We now turn to a third method for determining the local energy, the Møller prescription, which has the marked advantage of being coordinate system independent. The complex defined by Møller can be expressed as

$$\Xi_i^k = \frac{1}{8\pi} \chi_{i,p}^{kp}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\chi_i^{kl} = \sqrt{-g} [g_{ip,q} - g_{iq,p}] g^{kq} g^{lp}. \quad (22)$$

Inserting the metric components for Yazadjiev's solution (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0^{01} &= \frac{4\kappa m x}{r^3}, \\ \chi_0^{02} &= \frac{4\kappa m y}{r^3}, \\ \chi_0^{03} &= \frac{4\kappa m z}{r^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

One more time, we employ Gauss's theorem to express the total energy as a surface integral:

$$E = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint \chi_0^{0\alpha} \mu_\alpha dS. \quad (24)$$

Integrating over the full range of coordinates, we find the total energy using the Møller complex to be

$$E = \kappa m = M. \quad (25)$$

This is identical to the expression obtained using Einstein's and Papapetrou's prescriptions.

5. Conclusion

We have determined the localized energy distribution for Yazadjiev's solution representing a static, electrically neutral, and spherically symmetric massive object with phantom energy. In applying the Einstein, Papapetrou and Møller energy-momentum complexes to Yazadjiev's metric, we have found that each yields an identical localized energy equal to the mass M . This extends earlier results for the Kerr-Schild objects such as the Schwarzschild solution to an interesting case that could bear upon the dark energy question. Our findings help broaden the applicability of energy-momentum complexes, augmenting their use in general relativity as consistent, well-defined ways of describing the local distribution of energy.

Acknowledgment

Thanks are due to K. S. Virbhadra for his helpful advice throughout the years about energy localization and other aspects of general relativity.

References

- [1] A. Einstein, "Zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," *Preußische Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, vol. 47, p. 778, 1915.
- [2] A. Papapetrou, "Einstein's theory of gravitation and flat space," *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy. Section A*, vol. 52, p. 11, 1948.
- [3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Classical Theory of Fields*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1962.

- [4] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [5] C. Møller, "On the localization of the energy of a physical system in the general theory of relativity," *Annals of Physics*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 347–371, 1958.
- [6] C. Møller, "Further remarks on the localization of the energy in the general theory of relativity," *Annals of Physics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 118–133, 1961.
- [7] K. S. Virbhadra, "Energy associated with a Kerr-Newman black hole," *Physical Review D*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 1086–1090, 1990.
- [8] J. M. Aguirregabiria, A. Chamorro, and K. S. Virbhadra, "Energy and angular momentum of charged rotating black holes," *General Relativity and Gravitation*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 1393–1400, 1996.
- [9] K. S. Virbhadra, "Naked singularities and Seifert's conjecture," *Physical Review D*, vol. 60, no. 10, Article ID 104041, 6 pages, 1999.
- [10] I. Radinschi and I. C. Yang, "On the energy of string black holes," in *New Developments in String Theory Research*, S. A. Grece, Ed., Nova Science, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [11] I. Radinschi and B. Ciobanu, "Weinberg energy-momentum complex for a stringy black hole solution," in *Fifty Years of Romanian Astrophysics*, vol. 895 of *AIP Conference Proceedings*, pp. 329–332, Bucharest, Romania, September 2006.
- [12] I. Radinschi, F. Rahaman, and A. Banerjee, "On the energy of Hořava-lifshitz black holes," *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2906–2916, 2011.
- [13] I. Radinschi, F. Rahaman, and A. Ghosh, "On the energy of charged black holes in generalized dilaton-axion gravity," *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 943–956, 2010.
- [14] I. Radinschi, T. Grammenos, and A. Spanou, "Localization of energy for a regular black hole solution in an asymptotically de Sitter spacetime geometry," *Central European Journal of Physics*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1173–1181, 2011.
- [15] I. Radinschi, T. Grammenos, and A. Spanou, "Distribution of energy-momentum in a schwarzschild-quintessence space-time geometry," <http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1663>.
- [16] E. C. Vagenas, "Energy distribution in the dyadosphere of a Reissner-Nordström black hole in Møller's prescription," *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 21, no. 25, pp. 1947–1956, 2006.
- [17] M. S. Berman, "Energy and angular momentum of dilaton black holes," *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica*, vol. 44, pp. 285–291, 2008.
- [18] M. Sharif and A. Jawad, "Energy contents of some rotating spacetimes in teleparallel gravity," *Astrophysics and Space Science*, vol. 331, no. 1, pp. 321–329, 2010.
- [19] P. Halpern, "Energy distribution of a charged black hole with a minimally coupled scalar field," *Astrophysics and Space Science*, vol. 313, no. 4, pp. 357–361, 2008.
- [20] R. R. Caldwell, "A phantom menace? Cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super-negative equation of state," *Physics Letters, Section B*, vol. 545, no. 1-2, pp. 23–29, 2002.
- [21] A. G. Riess, A. V. Filippenko, P. Challis et al., "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant," *The Astronomical Journal*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 1009–1038, 1998.
- [22] S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, G. Goldhaber et al., "Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high-redshift supernovae," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 517, no. 2, pp. 565–586, 1999.
- [23] S. S. Yazadjiev, "Exact dark energy star solutions," *Physical Review D*, vol. 83, no. 12, Article ID 127501, 4 pages, 2011.