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Cardiovascular and Thermal Response to Dry-Sauna Exposure in Healthy Subjects
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Dry-sauna is a strong thermal stimulus and is commonly used all over the world. The aim of this experiment was to comprehensively analyse cardiovascular and autonomic changes that result from an increase in core body temperature during sauna bath. The study included 9 healthy men with mean age 26.7 ± 3.0 years and comparable anthropomorphical characteristics. Each subject was exposed to one 15-minute session of dry-sauna treatment at 100 °C and 30–40% humidity. The autonomic and baseline cardiovascular (i.e., hemodynamic and contractility) parameters were measured noninvasively with Task Force Monitor. Cardiovascular autonomic functions were assessed using baroreceptor reflex sensitivity (BRS) and spectral analysis of heart rate (HRV) and blood pressure (BPV) variability. Measurements were performed four times, at the following stages “before sauna,” “after sauna,” “sauna + 3 h,” and “sauna + 6 h.” The first recording constituted a baseline for the subsequent three measurements. The changes in core body temperature were determined with the Vital Sense telemetric measurement system. Results show that exposure to the extreme external environmental conditions of dry-sauna does not compromise homeostasis in healthy persons. The hemodynamic changes induced by heating are efficiently compensated by the cardiovascular system and do not exert negative effects upon its short-term regulatory potential.

1. Introduction

Sauna constitutes one of the most popular and most extensively studied forms of whole-body thermal treatment. The idea of sauna originated in Scandinavian countries over a century ago and quickly gained popularity and it is now widely used worldwide [1–3]. The conditions of a sauna are determined by a combination of efficiency of thermoregulatory response, age, gender, and the cardiorespiratory performance of an individual [4, 5], together with the tradition of a given country. While high temperatures (90–100 °C) and low humidity (10–15%) are typically used in Germany, Turkish sauna baths are completely different (70–80 °C, 40%). In turn, infrared (IR) and far-infrared (FIR) saunas, with 45–60 °C temperatures, are more popular in Canada [3, 6]. Recently, this latter form of dry sauna has gained popularity, described as a form of biological renewal because of a cardiovascular strain associated with the treatment [1].

The term “sauna,” used in medical literature, is derived from a traditional Finnish steam bath in a room heated by an electric stove. Overheating of the body takes place in a wooden room with temperatures approaching 70–100 °C and low, 10–20% humidity levels. Usually, sauna duration lasts between 5 and 15 minutes [2, 3, 7]. Each heating session is followed by cooling down of the body under cold shower or in a pool with cold water. One complete treatment comprises...
two or three heating/cooling down cycles, with a restitution period when fluid repletion is recommended [8, 9]. Sauna is recommended for persons with musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, and respiratory disorders. Moreover, it can be used as a form of adjunct treatment for obesity, depression, chronic pain, and chronic fatigue syndrome [7, 10–12]. The effects of sauna bath in patients with cardiovascular conditions are well established and exhaustively reported [1, 5, 6, 13, 14]. Sauna treatment proved to be a well-tolerated and safe procedure for most healthy persons and individuals with congenital and chronic diseases of the heart [5, 13–17]. The risk of acute coronary syndrome or sudden cardiac death during sauna bath is lower than those during other activities of daily living [18], and the level of cardiovascular strain associated with sauna treatment is similar to that during a light physical work [13]. Moreover, sauna treatment has been postulated to exert a potential therapeutic effect in patients with primary arterial hypertension [5, 14]. Regular sauna treatment of patients with chronic heart failure can be associated with a decrease in arterial blood pressure and an increase in the left ventricular ejection fraction [7, 15, 16]. Sudden cardiac death constitutes the most serious but very rare adverse effect of sauna bath. This complication has been most often reported in individuals with latent cardiovascular conditions, patients treated with diuretics, and persons who consumed alcohol or used extreme heating/cooling down conditions during sauna bath [2, 7].

Exposure to heat induces a number of cardiovascular and thermoregulatory changes that protect the body against overheating. Physiological responses to thermal stress involve an array of reactions aimed at efficient dispersion of excess heat. Cardiovascular responses to thermal stimulation during sauna bath have been a subject of extensive research [2, 19]. In contrast to previous studies, the aim of this experiment was to comprehensively analyse cardiovascular and autonomic changes that result from an increase in core body temperature during sauna bath. The use of modern techniques of measurement enabled us to analyse the dynamics of regulatory and adaptive processes being controlled by the autonomic nervous system.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Subjects. The study included 9 healthy young male volunteers who satisfied all the inclusion criteria, that is, lacked any organic disease or functional disorder of the cardiovascular and autonomic nervous system examined with a short form for autonomic function testing. Prior to the exposure, each of the participants was examined by a physician specialized in cardiac rehabilitation. The subjects did not use any medications that could interfere with the cardiovascular or autonomic function. Prior to the sauna exposure, and during the postsauna period, the participants remained in a dedicated facility for biological renewal procedures that included an air-conditioned room with constant ambient temperature and humidity, and their activity was limited to a necessary minimum; they were allowed only to walk across the room or watch TV and were supervised by the research team. Subjects did not participate in any activities which could influence natural core body temperature balance. All subjects were nonsmokers and were also instructed to refrain from caffeine, alcohol ingestion, and intensive physical activity on the day of investigation and ate a light breakfast only. Food intake during the experiment was also controlled by research staff. During the whole experiment, subjects were allowed to drink only water and had two light, thermoneutral meals between “after sauna”–“sauna + 3 h” and “sauna + 3 h”–“sauna + 6 h” stages; first water consumption was allowed two hours after telemetric capsule intake.

The protocol of the study was approved by the Local Bioethics Committee of the Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. Basic characteristics of the study subjects are summarized in Table 1.

2.2. Cardiovascular and Autonomic Parameters. The autonomic and baseline cardiovascular parameters were measured noninvasively using the Task Force Monitor system (TFM, CNSSystems, Medizintechnik, Graz, Austria). The fact that TFM determines and records biological signals in a completely accurate and noninvasive manner, in a beat-to-beat mode, represents the principal advantage of this system during the examination of dynamic changes in a short-term cardiovascular regulation. TFM comprises devices for continuous (contBP) and oscillometric (oscBP) blood pressure measurement, electrocardiograph (EKG), and impedance cardiograph (IKG) [18, 20, 21]. Cardiovascular autonomic functions were assessed using baroreceptor reflex sensitivity (BRS), spectral analysis of heart rate (HRV), and blood pressure (BPV) variability in an adaptive autoregressive model (AAR) [22, 23]. Spontaneous sensitivity of arterial baroreceptors was determined with a sequential method for short-term sequences of blood pressure variability. This method is based on an identification of at least 3 consecutive heartbeats that are defined as a single sequence and characterized by an elongation of R-R intervals (RRI) preceded by a gradual increase in systolic blood pressure (sBP) or by a shortening of the R-R intervals (RRI) preceded by a gradual decrease in the systolic blood pressure (sBP) [24].

Biological signals recorded by TFM were registered continuously for a minimum of five minutes after acquired all signals stabilization, at four time points referred to as “before sauna,” “after sauna (no longer than 15 min),” “sauna + 3 h,” and “sauna + 6 h.” The first recording constituted a baseline for the subsequent three measurements. The tests
were conducted under standardized conditions for functional examination of the autonomic nervous system, after a 10 min restitutio period aimed at the stabilization of cardiovascular parameters of an examined individual. All the measurements were taken during the same time of the day, in a quiet room with stable neutral temperature (23°-24°C) and air humidity [25, 26].

2.3. Core Body Temperature. The changes in core body temperature were determined with Vital Sense telemetric measurement system (Equivital, Hidalgo Ltd., Cambridge, UK; formerly: Philips Respironics; Mini Mitter Co. Inc., Bend Oregon, USA). The Vital Sense system for telemetric measurement of core body temperature is comprised of two components: a portable monitor and a telemetric capsule (CBTC). The function of the system is based on a registration of radiofrequency signal emitted by a sensor of core body temperature, that is, by the telemetric capsule. The participants were asked to swallow the capsule with a small amount of warm water. After about one minute, CBTC started registration of changes in core body temperature. Mean values of all measurements taken during each 1-minute interval were stored in the monitor’s memory. The continuous temperature recordings were divided into 10-minute segments, separated by 5-minute intervals. The segments that corresponded to consecutive measurements taken with TFM were subjected to a comparative analysis [27, 28]. To analyze the effect of thermal stress on core body temperature, registration of the latter started at least two hours prior to the sauna exposure.

Moreover, the method of measurement was not associated with any discomfort of our participants and thus did not interfere with the recorded values of core body temperature [27].

2.4. Sauna Exposure. The participants were subjected to one 15-minute session of dry sauna treatment at 100°C and 30–40% air humidity. The exposure was preceded by an acclimatization at a neutral temperature (23°-24°C). The treatment was not followed by cooling down under a cold shower, in order the exclude the confounding effect of the latter procedure on the dynamics of temperature changes. Furthermore, the participants were asked to refrain from consuming alcohol and coffee, smoking tobacco, and performing intensive physical exercise during 24 hours preceding the examination and treatment [7]. All sauna exposures took place at the same time of day to account for circadian rhythm.

2.5. Statistics. All data are presented as means ± SD. Normal distribution of the study variables was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Levene’s test was used to check the homogeneity of variances in the analysed samples. The results were compared with ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test, or with Friedman’s ANOVA test and Dunn’s post-hoc test if appropriate. The results of the tests were considered significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

### 3. Results

Heart rate (HR) and baroreceptor reflex sensitivity (BRS) were the only hemodynamic and cardiovascular parameters that showed significant changes (resp., \( P = 0.0120 \) and \( P = 0.0457 \)) in response to dry sauna treatment. The thermal treatment showed a significant increase in HR that was observed immediately after the exposure and 3 hours thereafter. In contrast, a significant decrease in BRS was observed both immediately after the sauna bath and 3 hours later. Six hours after the exposure both HR and BRS normalized to their pretreatment values (Table 2).

A significant increase in Heather index (HI) values was observed 3 and 6 hours after the dry sauna bath (\( P = 0.0181 \)). In turn, a significant decrease in the left ventricular ejection time (LVET) was documented immediately after the treatment, as well as 3 and 6 hours thereafter (0.0181). Furthermore, a significant decrease in the preejection period (PEP) was observed 3 and 6 hours postexposure (\( P = 0.0068 \)) (Table 3).

The sauna treatment resulted in a significant increase in core body temperature, which reached its peak values immediately after the exposure and gradually normalized 3 and 6 hours thereafter (Table 4).

The relationships between hemodynamic and cardiovascular parameters, core body temperature prior to the dry sauna treatment, and at various stages after the procedure are presented schematically in Figure 1.

The dry sauna bath resulted in a significant decrease in heart rate variability parameters: HR-RRI (\( P = 0.0313 \)) and PSD-RRI (\( P = 0.0412 \)). Both the spectral parameters normalized no earlier than 6 hours after the procedure. The abovementioned changes were reflected by a significant increase in the sympathetic-parasympathetic balance ratio of the heart rate spectrum (\( P = 0.0483 \)), observed immediately after the sauna bath and 3 hours thereafter. Moreover, a significant increase in the normalized low-frequency component of diastolic blood pressure spectrum (\( \text{LFnu-dBP}, P = 0.0438 \)) was observed up to 3 hours after the treatment, along with a significant decrease in the high-frequency components (\( \text{HFnu-dBP}, P = 0.0438 \) and \( \text{HF-dBP}, P = 0.0238 \)). All these changes resulted in a concomitant increase in the sympathetic-parasympathetic balance ratio of the diastolic blood pressure spectrum (\( P = 0.0029 \)). Finally, a significant increase in the normalized low-frequency component of systolic blood pressure spectrum (\( \text{LFnu-sBP}, P = 0.0273 \)) was documented immediately after the sauna bath, along with a concomitant increase in the sympathetic-parasympathetic balance ratio of the systolic blood pressure spectrum (\( P = 0.0439 \)) (Table 5).

The relationships between heart rate and blood pressure variability parameters and core body temperature prior to the dry sauna treatment and at various stages after the procedure are presented schematically in Figure 2.

### 4. Discussion

Our study showed that a single exposure of healthy young men to conditions associated with the Finnish sauna bath
Figure 1: Relationships between hemodynamic and cardiovascular parameters and core body temperature at the specific stage of the experiment: before S (before sauna), after S (after sauna), S+3 h (3 hours after sauna), and S+6 h (six hours after sauna). (a) HR, (b) BRS, (c) LVET, (d) PEP, (e) HI, (f) core body temperature; (abbreviations are listed in the "Nomenclature" section).
Figure 2: Relationships between heart rate and blood pressure variability parameters and core body temperature at the specific stage of the experiment: before S (before sauna), after S (after sauna), S+3 h (3 hours after sauna), and S+6 h (six hours after sauna). (a) HF-RRI, (b) PSD-RRI, (c) LF-dBP, (d) PSD-dBP, and (e) LF/HF; (abbreviations are listed in the "Nomenclature" section).
Table 2: Resting values of basic cardiovascular and baroreceptor parameters in the study group; all data are expressed as means ± standard deviations, and P values (abbreviations are listed in the "Introduction" Section 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>before_S</th>
<th>after_S</th>
<th>S_+ 3 h</th>
<th>S_+ 6 h</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (n/l)</td>
<td>59.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>65.0 ± 7.2</td>
<td>64.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td>59.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.0120*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>127.9 ± 8.7</td>
<td>127.6 ± 9.6</td>
<td>129.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>127.8 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.7891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>79.3 ± 6.9</td>
<td>78.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>77 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.7891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>94.5 ± 8.4</td>
<td>94.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>93.9 ± 10.5</td>
<td>92.3 ± 7.1</td>
<td>0.6832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (mL/mmHg)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.9852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td>108.6 ± 18.2</td>
<td>104.7 ± 20.7</td>
<td>110.6 ± 17.3</td>
<td>113.2 ± 13</td>
<td>0.4663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI (mL/m²)</td>
<td>54.0 ± 8</td>
<td>52.3 ± 10.9</td>
<td>55.3 ± 9.4</td>
<td>56.5 ± 6.8</td>
<td>0.4662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI (mL/m²)</td>
<td>89.2 ± 11.8</td>
<td>87.3 ± 16.2</td>
<td>90.6 ± 14.6</td>
<td>91.6 ± 10.8</td>
<td>0.5828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFC (l/kOhm)</td>
<td>36.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>36.5 ± 3</td>
<td>37.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>37.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.0978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (L/min/m²)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.1271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (dyn<em>s</em>m²/cm³)</td>
<td>1180.8 ± 193.7</td>
<td>1130.1 ± 232.2</td>
<td>1051.6 ± 172.7</td>
<td>1093.2 ± 212.6</td>
<td>0.1241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRI (dyn<em>s</em>m²/cm³)</td>
<td>2369.3 ± 404.9</td>
<td>2283.8 ± 544.2</td>
<td>2112.6 ± 360.3</td>
<td>2186.5 ± 378.7</td>
<td>0.6533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS (ms/mmHg)</td>
<td>32.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>21.8 ± 7.2</td>
<td>26.7 ± 9.7</td>
<td>30.3 ± 14.7</td>
<td>0.0457*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates significantly different results; all parameters are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 3: Resting values of contractility and heart muscle cycle parameters; all data are expressed as means ± standard deviations, and P values (abbreviations are listed in the "Introduction" Section 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>before_S</th>
<th>after_S</th>
<th>S_+ 3 h</th>
<th>S_+ 6 h</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC (1000/s)</td>
<td>61 ± 11.4</td>
<td>61.5 ± 17.8</td>
<td>65.7 ± 15.8</td>
<td>66.1 ± 12.7</td>
<td>0.5221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI (100/s²)</td>
<td>80.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>82.9 ± 19.1</td>
<td>87.9 ± 18.8</td>
<td>89.4 ± 17.3</td>
<td>0.1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI (l/s²)</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.0238*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVET (ms)</td>
<td>320.4 ± 13</td>
<td>305.1 ± 12.9</td>
<td>307.5 ± 13.4</td>
<td>314.7 ± 13.7</td>
<td>0.0181*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP (ms)</td>
<td>116.7 ± 12.1</td>
<td>115.1 ± 10.1</td>
<td>111 ± 11.2</td>
<td>109.2 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.0068*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR (%)</td>
<td>36.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>37.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>36.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>34.8 ± 3</td>
<td>0.1116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVWI (mmHg* L/(min*m²))</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.2260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates significantly different results; all parameters are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 4: Statistical characteristics of core body temperature at the each stage of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>F = 10.83 P &lt; 0.01</th>
<th>Core Body Temperature [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before_S</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after_S</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_+ 3 h</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_+ 6 h</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

modulates their core body temperature and function of the cardiovascular and autonomic nervous systems. The principal aim of the study was to analyse the adaptation to such specific and extreme environmental conditions; thus, potential therapeutic effects of the Finnish sauna bath were addressed only indirectly. Moreover, the process of cooling down took place at an ambient temperature, without the use of cold water shower or immersion. Thus, our findings may differ markedly from the data published by other authors, who studied the effects of classic sauna [29].

Significantly disturbed thermal balance of the body, resulting from intensive heating of the skin and subcutaneous tissue due to enhanced perfusion thereof, is the principal initiator of cardiovascular changes. A number of authors showed that sauna treatment causes a considerable heating of external body layers; although the relative increase in superficial body temperature observed in various studies differed up to 1-2°C, treatment in the sauna is undoubtedly reflected by an increase in physiological temperature of the body. Treatment in the sauna is undoubtedly reflected by an increase in physiological temperature of the body, the studies dealing with dry sauna-induced changes are sparse. In most of these studies, rectal temperature or tympanic membrane temperature was used as a substitute of the core body temperature. Although the rectal temperature is strongly correlated with the core body temperature, its determination causes marked discomfit. In contrast, the tympanic membrane temperature can be determined easily and in a noninvasive manner but is considered an inaccurate and unreliable measure of the core body temperature.

In contrast to the analyses of superficial temperature of the body, the studies dealing with dry sauna-induced changes in core body temperature are sparse. In most of these studies, rectal temperature or tympanic membrane temperature was used as a substitute of the core body temperature. Although the rectal temperature is strongly correlated with the core body temperature, its determination causes marked discomfort. In contrast, the tympanic membrane temperature can be determined easily and in a noninvasive manner but is considered an inaccurate and unreliable measure of the core body temperature.

A 15-minute exposure to dry sauna treatment was associated with a significant increase in the core body temperature
of examined individuals. This finding seems important in the context of resultant functional changes in the cardiovascular and autonomic nervous system. Intensive heating of the skin and resultant increase in core body temperature were associated with an array of hemodynamic changes that could be observed up to 6 hours after the thermal treatment.

Previous studies have shown that intensive heating of the skin may be reflected by an increase in dermal perfusion, from 300–400 mL/min up to 6000–7500 mL/min [29, 30]; such marked decentralization of the blood and the necessity to maintain appropriate cerebral perfusion undoubtedly result in severe alterations of the body haemodynamics. The principal regulatory mechanisms in body haemodynamics are controlled by the autonomic nervous system. Consequently, the synchronic analysis of basic cardiovascular, hemodynamic, and contractility parameters, myocardial strain, blood pressure and heart rate variability, and their association with the changes of core body temperature enabled us to study the dynamics of the compensatory processes activated in response to overheating.

The significant increase in heart rate (HR) of our participants and subsequent gradual normalization of this parameter with a decrease in core body temperature are a well-established response to passive heating of the body. This mechanism is vital for maintaining normal perfusion of cerebral vessels, despite a decrease in venous return and stroke volume (SV). The abovementioned changes were associated with an increase in cardiac output (CO), which remained elevated up to 3 hours postexposure, that is, until gradual normalization of heart rate and venous return, associated with progressive cooling down of the skin [19, 30].

Immediately after the exposure, our participants showed a decrease in the values of systolic index, end-diastolic index (EDI), and stroke volume. A gradual increase in these parameters was observed 3 and 6 hours postexposure. The changes of stroke volume probably resulted from an initial decrease in venous return caused by an enhanced perfusion of the skin during its maximum heating. A gradual loss of heat was reflected by a progressive increase in venous return and resultant increase in stroke volume, which eventually reached higher values than those prior to the exposure. While the temperature-induced changes of volumetric myocardial parameters did not prove to be significant on statistical analysis, we observed significant changes in the values of ejection time characteristics, that is, left ventricular ejection time and pre-ejection period. Initial decrease and subsequent gradual increase in venous return were reflected by synchronised changes of left ventricular ejection time (LVET) and pre-ejection period (PEP); this resulted in gradual changes in the cardioimpedance parameters of myocardial contractility. Although both the index of contractility (IC) and the acceleration index (ACI) increased with time after exposure, none of these changes proved to be significant on statistical analysis. Heerer index (HI) turned out to be the only contractility parameter which showed significant alterations in response to the thermal treatment. We would suggest that this reflected higher sensitivity of this parameter to changes in myocardial inotropy [19, 29]. The abovementioned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>before_S</th>
<th>after_S</th>
<th>S_0 + 3 h</th>
<th>S_0 + 6 h</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LFnu-RRI (%)</td>
<td>49.9 ± 12.3</td>
<td>65.4 ± 11.9</td>
<td>57.6 ± 13.1</td>
<td>44.2 ± 21</td>
<td>0.0438*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFnu-RRI (%)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 10.4</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>13.4 ± 11</td>
<td>16.8 ± 16.9</td>
<td>0.0238*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-RRI (ms²)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.8 ± 16.2</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.6822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF-RRI (ms²)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.0433*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD-RRI (ms²)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>20.2 ± 35.6</td>
<td>5.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.4663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/ HF (n/l)</td>
<td>6.1 ± 5.6</td>
<td>13.2 ± 6</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6.8</td>
<td>5.6 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.0029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFnu-dBP (%)</td>
<td>43.8 ± 12.8</td>
<td>55.5 ± 10.3</td>
<td>47.2 ± 17.1</td>
<td>37.1 ± 15.3</td>
<td>0.0273*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFnu-dBP (%)</td>
<td>13.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>10.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>9.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>15.4 ± 12.6</td>
<td>0.1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-dBP (mmHg²)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 8.2</td>
<td>13 ± 16.1</td>
<td>8.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>5.9 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.7173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF-dBP (mmHg²)</td>
<td>2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.6822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD-dBP (mmHg²)</td>
<td>15.9 ± 15</td>
<td>26.5 ± 37.6</td>
<td>18 ± 10.8</td>
<td>16.1 ± 14</td>
<td>0.8964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/ HF-dBP (n/l)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>4.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.0439*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates significantly different results; all spectral parameters are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 5: Resting values of heart rate and blood pressure variability parameters; all data are expressed as means ± standard deviations, and P values (abbreviations are listed in the “Introduction” Section 1).
findings are consistent with the Frank-Starling law, according to which an increase in venous return is reflected by a greater filling of heart chambers, an increase in preload, and a resultant positive inotropic effect. However, these changes were documented 3 and 6 hours postexposure, thus confirming the findings of other authors who showed that maximum heating of the body is not associated with an increase in myocardial contractility. This phenomenon is explained as a consequence of decreased venous return and resultant decreased filling of heart chambers [4]. Increased heart rate and positive inotropic effect would cause an increase in blood pressure under normothermic conditions; however, a decreased peripheral resistance after the thermal exposure (resulting from a decrease in venous return and afterload) was reflected by stable and safe levels of blood pressure and arterial compliance. Moreover, we showed that intensive heating was not reflected by an impairment of myocardial performance parameters or an increase in an "oxygen debt" of cardiomyocytes. These observations are consistent with the results of previous studies and may at least partially confirm the positive effect of sauna treatment on the cardiovascular system [4, 29].

Responses to thermal stimulation are under tight control by the autonomic nervous system. The exposure of our participants to intensive thermal stimulus was reflected by a decrease in HF-RRI component. This corresponded to a decrease in parasympathetic response and baroreceptor reflex sensitivity. The postexposure decrease in power spectral density (PSD-RRI) was associated mostly with the change of HF-RRI component and also corresponded to the increase in sympathetic-parasympathetic balance ratio (LF/HF). Interestingly, a significant increase in power spectral density of LF-RRI and HF-RRI was observed 3 and 6 hours postexposure, along with a normalization of the LF/HF ratio. Also the results of the spectral analysis of blood pressure variability, namely, an increase in the normalized low-frequency components of sBP (LFnu-sBP) and dBP (LFnu-sBP) spectra and a concomitant decrease in the normalized high-frequency component of dBP spectrum (HFnu-dBP), confirmed the sympathetic predominance after thermal stimulation. The increased sympathetic activity was associated with enhanced thermogenesis, which is consistent with the data published by other authors [31, 32].

The analysis of baroreceptor reflex sensitivity (BRS) confirmed that intensive heating of the body causes a significant decrease in the latter parameter. This might reflect a direct influence of the thermal stimulus on the hypothalamic thermoregulatory centre (containing nuclei responsible for the control the baroreceptor function) and potential central inhibition of the baroreceptor reflex. However, most previous studies have revealed that intensive heating of the body does not alter the baroreceptor reflex sensitivity. Only one study has shown that the temperature-induced changes of heart rate and blood pressure resulted from a decreased vagal activity and an increased sympathetic activity, caused by the baroreceptor reflex inhibition. Also, the abovementioned hemodynamic changes, leading to a decreased filling of the carotid bulbs and aortic arch, could constitute a potential mechanism behind altered baroreceptor reflex sensitivity. However, on the basis of our findings, one cannot unambiguously identify which of the mechanisms played the predominant role in the abovementioned process. Nevertheless, the lack of significant changes in blood pressure may point to a greater involvement of the hemodynamic component [32–36].

In conclusion, this study has revealed that exposure to the extreme external environmental conditions of dry sauna does not compromise homeostasis in healthy persons. The hemodynamic changes induced by heating are efficiently compensated by the cardiovascular system and do not exert negative effects upon its short-term regulatory potential.

5. Limitations

The small number of the participants and lack of any skin temperature data are significant limitations in this study. Also, we did not consider the potential influence of respiratory rate on blood pressure and heart rate variability. It undoubtedly decreased the power of statistical tests and was reflected by a lack of statistical significance in the case of some evidently decreasing or increasing trends. However, these potential flaws are likely counterbalanced by the coherent and complementary character of our findings.

Nomenclature

ANS: Autonomic nervous system
BPV: Blood pressure variability
BRS: Baroreceptor reflex sensitivity
BSA: Body surface area
CI: Cardiac index
CO: Cardiac output
dBP: Diastolic blood pressure
dBPV: Diastolic blood pressure variability
EDI: End-diastolic index
ER: Early ejection
HF: High-band frequency spectrum
HF-dBP: HF-component of dBPV
HFnu-dBP: Normalized "HF-component" of dBPV
HFnu-RRI: Normalized "HF-component" of HRV
HFnu-sBP: Normalized "HF-component" of sBPV
HF-RRI: HF-component of HRV
HF-sBP: HF-component of sBPV
HR: Heart rate
HRV: Heart rate variability
IC: Index of contractility
LF: Low-band frequency spectrum
LF/HF: Sympathovagal balance LF-dBPV/HF-RRI
LF/HF-dBP: LF/HF ratio of dBPV
LF/HF-sBP: LF/HF ratio of sBPV
LF-dBP: LF-component of dBPV
LFnu-dBP: Normalized "LF-component" of dBPV
LFnu-RRI: Normalized "LF-component" of HRV
LFnu-sBP: Normalized "LF-component" of sBPV
LF-RRI: LF-component of HRV
LF-sBP: LF-component of sBPV
LVET: Left ventricular-ejection time
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