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Sperm RNA as a Mediator of Genomic Plasticity
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Sperm RNA has been linked recently to trans-generational, non-Mendelian patterns of inheritance. Originally dismissed as “residual” to spermatogenesis, some sperm RNA may have postfertilization functions including the transmission of acquired characteristics. Sperm RNA may help explain how trans-generational effects are transmitted and it may also have implications for assisted reproductive technologies (ART) where sperm are subjected to considerable, ex vivo manual handling. The presence of sperm RNA was originally a controversial topic because nuclear gene expression is switched off in the mature mammalian spermatozoon. With the recent application of next generation sequencing (NGS), an unexpectedly rich and complex repertoire of RNAs has been revealed in the sperm of several species that makes its residual presence counterintuitive. What follows is a personal survey of the science behind our understanding of sperm RNA and its functional significance based on experimental observations from my laboratory as well as many others who have contributed to the field over the years and are continuing to contribute today. The narrative begins with a historical perspective and ends with some educated speculation on where research into sperm RNA is likely to lead us in the next 10 years or so.

1. Introduction

The recent publication of two reports on transgenerationally acquired inheritance (henceforth called TAC) in the mouse has brought the subject of sperm RNA back sharply into focus. The first of these [1] examined the transmission of a conditioned aversion to a particular odor in the F0s, which carried through to conditioned (and odor)-naïve F1 and F2 pups. The second report [2] demonstrated the inheritance of cognitive and behavioural conditioning in the F0s by F1 and F2 pups that had no prior experience of the conditioning (Figure 1). Although only the latter report went on to demonstrate a change in the (small noncoding) sperm RNA profile of conditioned mice that could be linked to the conditioning response and transmission, these reports, in association with earlier reports showing the transmission of paramutation effects by sperm RNA [3], strongly suggest that the odor conditioning was also transmitted by or associated with sperm RNA (or possibly sperm RNA-altered DNA modification). In hindsight, the connection between sperm RNA and TAC now seems more obvious given that the transmission must go through the germ line [4]. Whether the RNA somehow marks the sperm genome before its entry into the ooplasm at fertilisation or is required to make its mark at some point after fertilisation remains unresolved to date. Moreover, it is unclear if the epigenetic information is carried by all or just sex-selected sperm otherwise the observed effects would be restricted to [4, 5] or independent of [3] gender. These recent reports shed light on earlier, human and animal-based studies, where some trauma or life “experience” in one generation is passed on to subsequent generations through the germ line. In human populations, the phenomenon is perhaps best known in relation to dietary or lifestyle habits with the Swedish Överkalix population being among the best studied [4]. In this population, dietary-associated TAC effects appeared to skip a generation affecting the mortality risk ratios of their grandsons but not granddaughters. Similar effects were seen linking paternal grandmothers with their granddaughters’ mortality risk ratios although in this case dietary effects could also be linked back to the paternal grandmothers’ time in utero or in early infancy. Direct father to offspring effects linking paternal smoking in the SGB with their sons’ body mass index at 9 years of age have, however, been
Figure 1: Sperm RNAs may be involved in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. Behavioral changes in male F1 and F2 mice from litters subjected to separation trauma based on maternal separation combined with unpredictable maternal stress (MSUS) were assessed. Compared with undisturbed control litters (white columns), MSUS males (black columns) entered an arm of an elevated maze more quickly (a), spent longer periods in the lit compartment of a light/dark box (b), and spent longer periods swimming in forced swim tests (c). These phenomena seem to be related to higher levels of certain metabolically relevant miRNAs in the sperm (and serum; not shown) from F1 MSUS males compared with F1 controls (a). Although F2 males do not show the same patterns of elevated miRNAs (b), they show very similar behaviours. With the exception of the forced swim tests, these behavioural effects were less marked among female progeny, adapted from Gapp et al. 2014, with permission.

observed in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) [5].

We shall return to these matters in a later section (Section 5. The Future), but they are becoming all the more interesting because the spermatozoon of most higher animals (and plants) is a terminally differentiated and highly specialised cell, which until fairly recently was thought only to be a vessel for delivering the paternal genome safely to the oocyte. For that, it is exquisitely designed, being essentially a powerful flagellum at one end with a nucleus containing highly condensed chromatin at the other. Very little cytoplasm survives the elongation process and the linear relationship between head (nucleus), midpiece (mitochondria), and tail (flagellum) is optimised for an extended and potentially hazardous voyage across the female tract. Within the sperm nucleus (of eutherian mammals), DNA compaction is some 20 times greater than it is in round spermatids due to the general replacement of histones by arginine-rich protamines...
Figure 2: RNA in sperm. Sperm RNA is associated with the nuclear scaffold visualised here in a decondensed human spermatozoon nucleus (a) stained with RiboGreen. Compared with typical spermatids (b), where 28S and 18S rRNA dominate the cytoplasmic RNA profile, seen here on a Bioanalyzer trace (Agilent Technologies), sperm appear to be dominated by smaller RNAs which are essentially free of intact 28S and 18S rRNA (c). The absence of these large subunit rRNAs has been used as a benchmark for purification of sperm to homogeneity. However, one report suggests that although 28S RNA may indeed be depleted in sperm, ribosomes are present and the 18S rRNA subunit can be detected if the background level of DNA contamination is reduced (d). The influence of round cell contamination in sperm preparations is shown in (e) for the individual sample 28S rRNA peaks shown in (d); boxed. As the level of round cell contamination drops (wedge), the quantity of 28S RNA as indicated by the peak shading in (e) falls correspondingly, adapted with permission from Lalancette et al, 2008 (a); Gilbert et al, 2007 (b) and (c); and Cappallo-Obermann et al, 2011 (d) and (e). M indicates Marker.

[6, 7]. This repackaging phenomenon confers an almost crystalline molecular structure to the chromatin of mature (mammalian) sperm nuclei and other animals making use of such substitutions (including fruit flies; [8]). Protamines probably arose independently from original histone-like proteins, several times in the evolutionary past by frame shift mutations because they enable the haploid paternal genome to be packaged into the smallest volume possible, perhaps conferring an advantage that is selected for [9]. Sperm RNA is embedded in or at least closely associated with the chromatin as various studies have demonstrated its nuclear localisation, Figure 2(a) [10–12]. The RNA may also perform a structural role within the sperm nuclear matrix, as it is known to associate with the nuclear lamina in somatic cells and its loss by RNase leads to a general structural destabilisation of the nucleus [13, 14]. Sperm RNA has been described and catalogued in the sperm of many species including animals and plants (reviewed most recently in [15–18]), highlighting not only the widespread nature of the phenomenon, but also its anomalous presence in what is traditionally viewed as a cell so highly specialised, that gene expression is completely shut down [19]. The presence of RNA in mature sperm is not that surprising if viewed as a relic of earlier, more active stages in spermatogenesis. Why should such an efficiently crafted
cell bother wasting energy recycling RNA that has served its purpose and where no further transcription is required? Its presence could simply reflect the ending of a series of events required to facilitate the substitution of histones first, by translation proteins and then by protamines, where gene expression (transcription followed much later by translation) is progressively turned off during the postmeiotic stages of spermatogenesis, generally referred to as spermiogenesis [20]. Elongating spermatids in mammals and flies rely on translational control of stored mRNAs to complete the differentiation of the mature spermatozoa [21–25]. This temporal disconnect between transcription and translation accommodates the hypothesis that sperm RNA is residual to spermatogenesis and that its presence in the nucleus is due to it being trapped there during nuclear condensation. Very similar dynamics have been described in the sperm of the fruit fly, showing that the same solution to delivering the paternal genome to fly eggs has also arisen independently in a completely separate phylum [8]. Drosophila sperm also retains a complex repertoire of RNAs, underscoring the likely universality of “residual” RNA carriage by mature sperm [26].

More recent RNA-seq data supports the suggestion that the large spermatozoal (28S and 18S) rRNAs are degraded by fragmentation (probably by an unidentified nuclease), perhaps to close down and prevent further translation during spermatid maturation [27–29]. Indeed the presence of both truncated and full-length mRNAs in sperm in relation to their relative abundance suggests that an active digestion process is involved. Upregulation of endogenous endonuclease activity in sperm has been reported elsewhere [30, 31]; hence, an active RNase activity during sperm maturation is likely. Nevertheless, the presence of small noncoding RNAs in the sperm of many species targeting a variety of gene sequences unrelated to spermatogenesis suggests that sperm RNA is more than just a residual relic of spermatogenesis [2, 16, 18, 29, 32–46]. Several reports, the most recent of which focused on sperm capacitation, have provided evidence that sperm RNA can be translated de novo [47, 48]. Capacitation is the term used to describe the events that take place following ejaculation that enables the spermatozoon to undergo an egg-penetrating acrosome reaction [49, 50]. These newly synthesised proteins may serve to replace older proteins that are degraded or lost during capacitation. However, translation in sperm seems to be restricted to a mitochondrial pathway as it is sensitive to chloramphenicol but not cycloheximide. An earlier review correctly pointed out that in common with all other cell types, the mitochondrial ribosomal machinery in sperm should solely be responsible for synthesizing the 21 components of the respiratory transport chain encoded by the mitochondrial genome [51]. In this regard, although a relationship between extra-mitochondrial mitochondrial ribosomes and germ cell formation has been reported in insects and fish [52], how such a mechanism can support the translation of cytoplasmic RNAs (including nuclear-encoded RNAs for mitochondrial proteins) demands explanation. In a cautionary context, other reports have suggested that the reported translational activity in ejaculate sperm (even mitochondrially driven) could also be an artifact of bacterial contamination [53, 54]. The more recent of these reports, however, while implicating bacteria fell short of actually demonstrating their presence in semen samples concerned.

2. Historical Context

This laboratory’s earliest foray into sperm RNA was in its assessment as a convenient and sensitive marker of successful (or unsuccessful) human vasectomy [55]. We also reasoned that if ejaculate spermatozoa could provide equivalent information on what underlies a nonobstructive subfertile or infertile phenotype, a noninvasively obtained semen sample was surely a preferable and more widely acceptable option to testis biopsy. Our first report used a mix of RT-PCR targeting sperm-specific and nonspecific RNAs and the then relatively new “random” technique known as differential display (DD) PCR. The targeted approach detected protamine 2 (PRM2) and in the process showed that the RNA was processed (introns removed). Differential display hinted at many more RNA species and random cloning and sequencing of RNA display products revealed some of them, including an abundance of transcribed ALU-like sequences [56]. Shortly thereafter, an independent and now occasionally collaborating laboratory localised sperm RNA (including PRM2) to the nucleus by in situ hybridisation [57] and Figure 2(a), confirming earlier reports examining the location of small nuclear RNAs in human rodent and plant sperm [10, 11, 58].

Although the functionality of spermatozoa is of considerable concern for clinicians investigating human male infertility and in animal husbandry, where semen quality is an essential economic consideration [59, 60], spermiology in general has tended to ignore gene expression in mature spermatozoa. Much of the earliest research in this area focused on bovine spermatozoa that were shown either capable [61, 62] or incapable [53, 63, 64] of making RNA or protein. These early experiments [65] used methods that were relatively insensitive and potentially prone to the effects of bacterial contamination and growth. Precise studies on sperm gene expression began appearing only after the advent of more advanced radiocchemical techniques. Between them, MacLaughlin and Terner [66] and Premkumar and Bhargava [67] demonstrated that mature bovine spermatozoa have no nuclear transcription to speak of (as might be expected) but that mitochondrial RNA was actively transcribed. Translation of (mitochondrial) RNAs was inferred rather than demonstrated at the time although at least one more recent report suggested that even mitochondrial gene expression is also absent in mature sperm [54].

The consensus that has arisen among molecular andrologists in recent years is that large cytoplasmic (28S and 18S) ribosomal RNAs are either absent in sperm or are so heavily fragmented that they cannot support translation, despite earlier reports [68] of their presence, now presumed to be due to somatic cell contaminants. Using Bioanalyzer traces, our laboratory and others detected only smaller (shorter) RNAs in mature mammalian sperm [56, 69, 70], Figures 2(b) and 2(c), with limited or no detection of large rRNAs although one recent report suggested that RNA extraction processes could be at least partly responsible for a failure to detect the smaller
18S rRNA in human sperm [71], Figures 2(d) and 2(e). Nevertheless, a general rule of thumb for the isolation of pure populations of sperm RNA for downstream analyses (where even small amounts of contaminating somatic cell RNAs could be problematic) is that if the sperm RNA profile is essentially free of 28S and 18S rRNA, it is also free of nonsperm cell contaminants. The corollary of this assumption is that detection of the large rRNA subunits indicates a potential nonsperm cell contamination [71]. The question, therefore, of whether sperm has a translational capacity that cannot be ascribed to another contaminating cell type (including contaminating bacteria) remains open. De novo, chloramphenicol- (CP-) sensitive, mitochondrially directed protein synthesis in capacitating human sperm was recently demonstrated using the uptake of $^{35}$S-methionine-lysine and BODIPY lysine tRNA [47]. This report did not cite a study appearing three years earlier, concluding that protein synthesis in sperm was due to CP-sensitive bacterial contamination (repeating an assertion made almost 40 years previously; [53, 54]). The only other report of de novo protein synthesis in sperm used a 2D PAGE based proteomic approach to identify 44 differentially expressed proteins in capacitated versus non-capacitated sperm including sperm capacitated in the presence of chloramphenicol [47]. These results were suggestive of a CP-sensitive, capacitation-dependent translational capacity; however, this technique did not involve any incorporation of a detectable marker that would aid the discrimination of newly translated protein (e.g., by stable isotope incorporation), a requirement to improve confidence in the results. Hence, the limited evidence suggesting that some sperm RNA can be translated de novo, perhaps as a replacement for proteins lost or degraded during capacitation or swimming remains inconclusive. While intriguing, a “hybrid” translational mechanism dependent on polysome complexes of mitochondrial origin would certainly require investigation in its own right. In conclusion, although the phenomenon of sperm RNA is now widely accepted and is not simply a reflection of contaminating somatic cells or residual cytoplasmic droplets [72, 73], defining its role remains a key goal of contemporary research efforts as considered below.

3. Sperm RNA Revisited

Assessing the complexity of any RNA population has only been possible with the advent of nontargeted PCR based approaches, first with differential display (DD), then with serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) followed by the development of arrays and, ultimately, the wider availability of next generation sequencing (NGS). Each of these has been applied to sperm RNA and is worth considering in more detail. Together, they are leading us to conclude that sperm contain examples of just about every known RNA subtype in either an intact or fragmented form. One of our earliest reports [55] used DD to demonstrate the complexity of sperm RNA relative to RNA isolated from the endometrium and subsequently went on to identify some of these randomly primed cDNAs by simple excision of bands on agarose gels followed by conventional Sanger sequencing [56]. The collaborative ventures with Steve Krawetz in Detroit that followed [57] went on exploiting array technologies that pushed the numbers of (human) sperm mRNA species up to over 3,000 (see Miller and Ostermier, [18] for an earlier review). Similar studies have been undertaken on bull sperm where evidence for differential “expression” of some mRNAs in relation to bull fertility and return rates has been presented [69, 74–77]. Until very recently, sperm RNA composition of other animals had not been studied in such detail, mainly because microarray platforms for these species were unavailable. In this regard, a recent paper reported a similarly complex repertoire of RNAs isolated from the sperm of the fruit fly [26], extending the phenomenon to arthropods. Zhao et al. [78] commented on the abundance of RNAs derived from ribosomal protein complexes in fly sperm and a similar abundance reported in human sperm using SAGE. As many of these complexes are not represented on the array platforms used by human studies, they have not appeared in lists of sperm RNA transcripts derived from most array-based studies. The advantage of SAGE (like all DNA sequencing based strategies) is that it is not limited by the presence of specific probes on array platforms and so should provide more accurate descriptions of the relative abundance of mRNAs. The wider adoption of massively parallel RNA-seq in conjunction with better annotated genomes from domestic species has led to recent reports on the complexity of bovine [74], porcine [79], equine [80], and murine [2, 32] sperm RNA. At the time of writing, the most comprehensive description of sperm RNA is from the human. Using a number of different methods for building sperm RNA-seq libraries for NGS, Sendler et al. [29] reported over 22,000 distinct RNA species in human sperm, eight times that reported by the same group previously using relatively primitive macroarrays [29, 81]. Alternative strategies for building libraries (while keeping the same (Illumina) sequencing platform) helped assess the effects of library construction on sperm RNA sequence composition. For example, some libraries were built from polyA+ enriched RNA, which normally comprises less than 10% of the total RNA in a cell. Others made use of total RNA, attempting to cover all RNAs (including all short and many long noncoding) that are not polyadenylated. The advantage of selecting for polyA+ RNA is that it focuses primarily on the protein coding genome and, by ignoring all other RNAs, greater sequencing depth can be achieved, allowing more comprehensive coding mRNA catalogues to be compiled. Higher depth sequencing of snRNAs can be achieved by size fractionation of total RNA to remove longer RNAs including most mRNAs beforehand. Sperm RNA is unusual in that much of it (estimated at >70%) is derived from fragmented 28S and 18S RNAs, which will tend to dominate the reads of total RNA sequencing runs including size fractionated RNA (and so reduce the sequencing depth for regions of interest overall) unless attempts are made to reduce its representation. Unfortunately, methods aimed at achieving this (RiboZero, for example) rely largely on secondary structure to recognise and remove large subunit rRNAs and so their utility with degraded RNA sources (including sperm RNA) can be unpredictable. Sendler et al. [29] used a single primer isothermal
amplification based process (SPIA) in library construction that “ignores” ribosomal RNA sequences and so reduces their representation in libraries. The main findings from this study included confirmation that sperm RNA is heavily fragmented (probably to prevent illegitimate translation), with the 3' ends of mRNA being more heavily truncated relative to 5' ends. Distinct ontological descriptions persist, however, among the most abundant transcripts. A similar finding has been reported on murine sperm that also included rare evidence for the postfertilisation translation of some sperm RNAs in mouse zygotes [32]. Human sperm appear to have many RNAs with alternative polyadenylation (APA) sites compared with corresponding testis RNAs and, 3' truncation may be a normal consequence of APA and hence under active cellular control (rather than a passive consequence of RNA fragmentation). These characteristics, including (in human sperm) the presence of RNAs derived from introns in genes expressed highly in the testis but where no such (coding) transcript persists, may also be found in the sperm from other species if fresh interrogations of their respective RNA-seq databases are undertaken.

Interestingly, surveys of sncRNAs from the sperm of several species have also appeared in recent years of which the human has been the most extensively studied. Based on size fractionated total RNA (<200 bp), Krawetz et al. [36] showed that over 65% of noncoding RNA in human sperm was derived from repetitive DNA sequence (primarily long (LINE) and short (SINE), Interspersed Nuclear Elements), with piRNAs and miRNAs, between them comprising another 25% and Long Tandem Repeat (LTR) RNAs comprising almost 10%. To some extent, these results reflect our earlier description of ALU-like RNAs in human spermatozoa [82]. The other studies focused mainly on noncoding RNAs and in human reported the presence of other less clearly defined sncRNA classes including RNAs aligning to transcription start sites (TSS) and promoter sequences [36]. Interestingly, the reported targets for human miRNAs and piRNAs were mainly directed towards repetitive, histone bound, and TSS/promoter sequences. As these sncRNAs are known to be involved in either regulating (miRNAs) mRNA expression or ensuring that the expression of repetitive sequences such as the LINE and LTR retroposons is repressed (piRNAs), their simultaneous presence alongside their targets is puzzling. Perhaps it reflects a dynamic process during spermiogenesis aimed at counteracting the temporarily weakened repression of repetitive sequence expression that may be a consequence of the repackaging of the genome [83]. Alternatively, sperm miRNAs and piRNAs may perform some function in the early zygote, perhaps related to the known expression of LTRs in the early embryo [84] or to some other paternally derived regulatory activity (see below). Although the existence of full term parthenotes using just maternally derived gametes suggests that such parthenally derived epigenetic contributions are not required for “normal” development [85], sperm entry to the egg can be viewed as an invasive process. While gynogenic parthenotes are derived from two ultimately compatible maternal sources, RNAs delivered by the sperm may help facilitate a process of checking (C), recognition (R), and consolidation (C) that permits the essentially “foreign” paternal genome to be accepted [16, 86]. Although speculative, these suggestions have some scientific rationale behind them that could shed light on forms of male infertility arising from a dysfunction in the CRC process. In this regard, one could expect such dysfunctions to manifest as either a failure of fertilisation or a failure of successful activation of the embryonic genome. In human IVF clinics, the proportion of eggs that are successfully fertilised (two pronuclei visible) is ~70%. By comparison, in one study on frozen/thawed human embryos, the proportion of zygotes, day 3 embryos, and day 5 embryos (blastocysts) that went on to implant was much lower (~13%) [87].

4. Clinical Utility

It is only with the advent and rapid development of more advanced investigative methods and assays that assessment of the clinical potential of sperm RNA has become possible. Certainly the target gene-specific investigative strategies of the earlier days of transcriptome analysis have essentially been replaced by PCR arrays and microarrays capable of simultaneously interrogating hundreds or thousands of RNA sequences in a sample. Next generation sequencing (NGS) now offers at least an order of magnitude greater resolution for transcriptome profiling than even the best arrays can achieve and at comparable cost. The clinical diagnostic potential of sperm RNA has always been an attractive proposition because sperm can be easily obtained and early reports had already linked it to testicular gene expression [81]. As a noninvasive proxy for the testis, therefore, sperm RNA (or ejaculate RNA) can be viewed as “windows” into the testis or to the wider male reproductive secretory organs. In the author’s view, this latter potential of the ejaculate is being currently underexploited. Certainly, early reports indicated that sperm RNA could be a useful indicator for motility (the most significant indicator of a fertile phenotype). Using discontinuous density gradients and RT-PCR, Lambard et al. [88] reported a reduction in the mRNA for protamines, eNOS and nNOS, in populations of highly motile sperm (90% layer), compared with poorly motile sperm (44% layer). In conjunction with reports indicating that sperm from infertile men can display an altered protamine 1/protamine 2 (protein) ratio (1 : 1 is the norm) indicative of aberrant DNA packaging [89,90], reports of RNA “loss” in motile sperm could indicate an active translational replacement of degraded proteins accompanied by a subsequent turnover and loss of coding RNA. Quid pro quo, higher levels of the RNA in immotile or poorly motile sperm could indicate translational failure. The work described previously demonstrating a translation capacity in mature sperm supports this hypothesis [47].

More recently, clinical reports have begun to appear, comparing sperm mRNA profiles using either array-PCR covering a defined batch of selected gene probes or whole genome microarrays with many thousands of unselected gene probes. Using the Agilent whole genome microarray platform, Garcia-Herrero et al. (2011) [91] were able to identify differences in the sperm RNA profiles between couples achieving or not achieving pregnancy by intrauterine insemination
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In an earlier report from the same group but using an array-PCR based approach, Garrido et al. [92] detected clear differences between the sperm RNA profiles of fertile and infertile men but with no discernible differences in their respective semen profiles as characterized by the WHO (1999) reference manual [92, 93]. A similar study using a TaqMan array-PCR based approach reported reproducible differences in the sperm RNA levels from 87 target genes from normozoospermic donors [94]. This study examined 68 sperm samples, by far the largest sample size for any sperm RNA study published to date. It also derived some useful statistical outputs capable of distinguishing between donors with a high or low chance of achieving successful pregnancy by IUI (Figure 3). These studies suggest that molecular anomalies can give rise to forms of infertility that cannot always be detected or distinguished by a standard semen analysis. With regard to phenotypes, Platts et al. [95], using the Affymetrix U133 + 2 array platform, were easily able to cluster sperm RNA profiles into normozoospermic and teratozoospermic categories based on comparisons between 31 samples (17 normozoospermic and 14 teratozoospermic). This paper also reported a significant ontological signature for RNAs encoding proteins involved in the ubiquitin-proteasome regulated protein recycling pathways (downregulated in the teratozoospermic cohort). In this regard, comparative but far more detailed NGS studies using similar cohorts should be considered, where both coding and noncoding RNAs can be more comprehensively characterized and assessed.

Interestingly, although much of the emphasis on isolating pure populations of sperm RNA arose because of the initial skepticism surrounding its existence, there is no reason for such caution when considering its utility in a clinical setting as currently, the WHO manual for semen analysis recommends assessment for the presence of other cell types present in the ejaculate including leukocytes and other somatic cells.

Using unprocessed semen, for example, and a target-specific RT-PCR approach, Yatsenko et al. were able to identify suspected mutations in the germ cell specific KLHL10 gene causing an infertile phenotype [96]. Similar, nonselective approaches could be used for future NGS studies providing transcription profiles that are not restricted to spermatozoa alone. Such an approach could also permit the noninvasive molecular characterisation of other parts of the male reproductive axis including the prostate and seminal vesicles.

5. The Future

Apart from its promising future in a clinical diagnostic setting, one of the more intriguing research questions regarding sperm RNA is whether it has an extra-spermatozoal function. Being the only cell in the body specifically designed to gain entry into another (the oocyte), such a function may not be trivial and as such could have implications for assisted reproduction technologies in general and particularly for Intra-Cytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI). The discovery of a paramutation effect for a cKit mediated phenotype on coat colour has added considerable weight to this possibility and suggests that the mechanism of transmission is non-Mendelian and involves sperm RNA [3]. Paramutation is mutation that does not involve the DNA sequence per se as the effect is observed in the presence of animals displaying the mutated phenotype (in this case, white tail tips and paws) but carrying wild-type alleles. This study also reported that sperm from mice heterozygous for the mutant Kit allele carried unusually high levels of RNA (presumably, deregulated Kit transcripts) into the oocyte compared with homozygous wild-type animals. The same paramutated phenotype was observed in wild-type crosses after microinjection of the zygotes with either total RNA from heterozygous animals.

Figure 3: TaqMan low density array (TLDA) workflow for assessing sperm RNA expression profile in relation to (IUI) pregnancy rate. Flow chart representing the number of individuals and candidate genes going through the different work-procedure stages. TLDA: training donors in Phase 1 were classified into three groups (tertiles) according to the pregnancy rate (PR) obtained after IUI: low (0 to 15.70% PR: Group (1); medium 15.71 to 23.00% PR: Group (2); high (23.01 to 45.0% PR: Group (3), adapted from Bonache et al, 2012.
(presumed to be brain) or Kit-specific miRNAs. A large-
offspring effect using microinjection of a particular miRNA
(miR-124) targeting the Sox 9 gene promoter was later
reported [97] suggesting that the oocyte is sensitive to RNA
ingress that can affect subsequent phenotypes (perhaps by
inducing downstream zygotic DNA methylation events) and
that the most likely source of this RNA is the fertilising
sperm itself. In this regard, one particular miRNA (miR-34c),
involved in p53 regulation, is thought to be important in
promoting a postmeiotic phenotype driving spermiogenesis
[39, 98]. This miRNA is also present in relatively high abun-
dance in both human [16] and mouse [34] sperm and in the
latter, evidence for its involvement in embryonic genome
activation by selective miR-34c knock-down in recipient
oocytes has been presented [34] but contradicted by another,
wholly unrelated study showing that mice carrying a deletion
of all three miR-34 members are fully fertile [99].

TAC effects in animal models have focused mainly on
fetal exposure to cytotoxic agents in the rat. Anway and
Skinner were the first to report such an effect (impaired spermatogenesis) in up to the F4 generation in males derived
from F0 females exposed to the fungicide vinclozolin [100].
In the absence of classical mutation, only epigenetic effects on
phenotype beyond the F2 generation can be considered truly
transgenerational because the Fls (male and female) would
have been exposed to the systemic presence of the fungicide
in the F0 uterus. The F2 primordial germ cells developing
in the Fl embryos could be affected likewise. The two
reports mentioned in the introduction to this review as well
as others appearing in the last few months have essentially
brought sperm back into focus as the likely vehicle for TAC
and sperm RNA and/or stably inherited (RNA-mediated?)
sperm DNA methylation as the likely carrier. Differences in
the miRNA content of sperm from Fl and F2 maternal separ-
ation combined with unpredictable maternal stress (MSUS)
conditioned versus nonconditioned control mice were noted in
one study after injection of naïve zygotes with sperm RNAs
isolated from MSUS males [2] Figure 4. The other study using
olfactory conditioning in the F0 generation detected inheritable
changes in the methylation of sperm DNA corresponding
with the epigenetic transmission to Fl and F2 offspring [1].
As siRNAs can induce gene expression downregulation by
cytosine methylation on CpG sites [101] it is tempting to
consider differential sperm RNA carriage and subsequent
or possibly complementary changes in DNA methylation as
having similar effects (Figure 4). Both of these cases can be
considered truly transgenerational despite the lack of infor-
mation from F3 or F4 generations because neither Fl nor F2
generations were exposed to conditioning regimens that can
be regarded as systemic (unlike the earlier experiments with
vinclozolin). In all of these cases, it appears that a somatic
stress is being transferred to the germ line. Moreover, somatic
traits targeted at male gametes would either have to cross the
blood-testis barrier in order to reach their target or bypass it
altogether. The latter option is possible in view of the
potential carriage of RNAs by exosomes in the circulation and
the transfer of exosomes to mature sperm while they are
traversing the epididymis [102–104].

In this regard, another interesting development regarding
potential TAC effects in the human is with testicular dysge-
ness syndrome (TGS), a phenomenon associated with the
observed rise in western human populations in the inci-
dence of cryptorchidism, hypospadias, and testicular cancer
[105]. Although it is very difficult to prove causation in
humans, TGS is probably influenced by fetal exposure to
endocrine disrupters such as phthalates [106]. A more acces-
sible marker for such exposure during uterine life is anogen-
ital distance (AGD), which is naturally shorter in females
than in males. Exposure to endocrine disrupters during the
so-called "masculisation programming window" in rat gest-
tation leads to a shortening of the distance in males but
not females [107]. Several studies in humans have now also
confirmed a shortening in anogenital distance associated
with a higher prevalence of cryptorchidism, hypospadias, and
lower sperm counts. Alongside the evidence for the effects of
high levels of maternal glucocorticoid exposure on offspring
in both animals and humans (the original metabolic syn-
drome; [108]) with associated TAC effects, evidence for "win-
dows of opportunity" during fetal development (and early
childhood) where external, environmental influences can
modulate phenotype, most likely through epigenetic mecha-
nisms is becoming stronger. The demonstrations of germ line
(particularly male, sperm)-mediated TAC, first put forward
as a component of the notion of "soft inheritance" by Henri
Lamark in 18th and early 19th centuries [109, 110] and sub-
sequently revisited several times since has once again come
to the fore. The theory that TAC is the means by which evolu-
tionary change is driven was eventually supplanted by the
now accepted dogmas of Darwinian based fixation of rare but
beneficial mutations by natural selection and the Mendelian
segregation of genetic information. TAC upsets widely
accepted notions that any DNA methylation events induced
in Fl gametes will not survive fertilisation and into the
Fls [111] or if they do will be lost during subsequent differ-
entiation of the primordial germ cells and so cannot persist
into the F2s [112]. It also violates the Weissmann principle on
germ line to soma transmission of genetic information but is
an attractive proposition for adaptation because it operates at
a much faster rate than is possible with conventional
Mendelian based mechanisms of inheritance. TAC thereby
offers a way for organisms to respond to rapidly changing
environmental conditions or exposures and potentially
boosting the chances of their offspring's survival by giving
them an adaptive advantage. In the author's and others view
[110], this is fully compatible with neo-Darwinian concepts of
fitness and survival.

6. A Dilemma for IVF?

If epigenetic changes are at the heart of TAC with gametes
(particularly sperm) as the main vectors, the implications
for assisted reproduction are profound. Gametes for IVF are
obtained from couples and in so doing are removed from
their normal background environments. Superovulatory reg-
imens, in particular, can expose follicles to oxidative and
proinflammatory conditions that may put them at developmental risk. However, it could be argued that sperm are at potentially greater risk because of their extended processing. In most IVF labs, sperm are washed free of seminal plasma by density gradient centrifugation and are often incubated for prolonged periods at 37°C. We know that sperm can potentially upregulate endogenous endonucleases capable of initiating digestion of their own DNA (and probably RNA) [30] and that this may be a time dependent phenomenon [113]. However, very little is known about how sperm processing can affect the cells’ epigenetic status. One landmark study demonstrated a relationship between differential levels of sperm DNA methylation and IVF outcomes [114]; a later study reported correlations between sperm DNA methylation and semen quality, based on standard assessment criteria including concentration, morphology, and motility [115].

A third study demonstrated the accidental transgenesis of mouse embryos by bacterial DNA following ICSI [116]. Although beyond the scope of this review, other studies in various species have shown that sperm can carry exogenous nucleic acids into the egg and that this process can be used to produce transgenic animals (see [117] for a recent review and [118]). If as current research suggests the gametes are the vessels and either RNA carriage or DNA methylation is the vectors of transgenerational information, then being taken out of context (the body), gametes may open another “epigenetic programming window” that could inadvertently introduce subtle changes affecting the epigenetic signature. In the assisted conception field, whether these changes are for the good or ill (or even exist at all) will only be determined by long term follow-up observation of IVF children. In this regard, children conceived by ICSI need particularly careful

Figure 4: Schematic for sperm RNA-mediated transgenerational inheritance. Cartoon depicting possible modifications in sperm RNA content (yellow bars) over background (black bars) in relation to behavioral changes in male F1 and F2 mice from litters subjected to separation trauma based on maternal separation combined with unpredictable maternal stress (MSUS). Alterations in behaviour in response to the stressor must be neurological in origin and for transmission to the F1s derived from those males neurological changes must somehow be signaled to gametes. Evidence presented by Gapp et al. [120] suggests that the signal could be transmitted in the circulation to the male reproductive system by miRNAs or their precursors. One testable hypothesis is that the sperm-derived RNA signal may only be required for F0 (stress exposed) to F1 transgenerational transmission before becoming imprinted in the DNA epigenome (CH3 groups in sperm cartoon) in the F1 zygote and hence transmitted by sperm DNA into the F2 and subsequent generations; this RNA-mediated effect could equally, however, involve demethylation. In this author’s view, miRNAs may not be required to traverse the blood testis barrier if they first encounter mature sperm passing through the epididymis via exosomes, adapted from Gapp et al, 2014.
attention because, in their case, the potentially filtering barriers of egg zona pellucida and egg membrane binding were bypassed altogether.

7. Conclusions

The story of sperm RNA has come a long way since its original description in the late 1950s and early 1960s. We now appreciate that it is present in all sperm types investigated so far, including mammalian, insect, and plant species. Sperm RNA represents an intrinsic "record" of past events in spermatogenesis but recent evidence now suggests that it has extrinsic roles beyond the spermatozoon itself. In the former context, sperm RNA will be useful resource for improvements in the diagnosis and management of male infertility. In the latter context, sperm RNA may be key to understanding the transmission of epigenetic characteristics to subsequent offspring and its connection with recently revisited forms of transgenerational inheritance. It is the author's professional view that TAC is likely to be the driver for future research into functional aspects of sperm RNA.

Since revising this paper, a paper has appeared demonstrating the soma (transplanted tumour cells) to germ line transmission of a synthetic marker RNA (EGFP) into F0 mouse sperm [119]. The EGFP RNA, expressed from an episomal plasmid in subcutaneously xenografted human tumour cells, was also detected in circulating exosomes (derived from the tumour cells) and in epididymal sperm. The current hypothesis explaining this result is that exosomes carrying the EGFP RNA escape from the tumour cells enter the circulation and subsequently merge with sperm cells during their transport across the epididymis. A similar transport mechanism may be operating in the transfer of RNAs from the brain or olfactory bulb of fear conditioned mice to their sperm [1, 120]. It will be interesting to see if the EGFP marker can be transgenerationally acquired by F1 and F2 males.
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