Review Article

Ecological Speciation and the Intertidal Snail 

Littorina saxatilis

Juan Galindo¹ and John W. Grahame²

¹ Departamento de Bioquímica, Xenética e Inmunoloxía, Facultade de Bioloxia, Universidade de Vigo, Campus de Vigo, 36310 Vigo, Spain
² School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to John W. Grahame; j.w.grahame@leeds.ac.uk

Received 3 April 2014; Revised 18 June 2014; Accepted 19 June 2014; Published 22 July 2014

Academic Editor: Sveinn Are Hanssen

Copyright © 2014 J. Galindo and J. W. Grahame. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In recent decades biologists studying speciation have come to consider that the process does not necessarily require the presence of a geographical barrier. Rather, it now seems to be possible for reproductive barriers to evolve within what was hitherto a single “species.” The intertidal snail 

Littorina saxatilis

has been the focus of a considerable amount of work in this context, and it is now thought of as a good case study of “ecological speciation.” We review some of this work and briefly consider prospects for future developments.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, there has been a considerable shift in our view of speciation—ecology has come in [1]. Or rather, it has come back in because the role of ecological processes in diversification dates back to Darwin, although some biologists of the 20th century gave a prominent role in speciation to geographical isolation (allopatry) [2, 3]. This shift of view has been reviewed by Mallet [4]. It is our intention here to give an account of work on 

Littorina saxatilis

(Olivi) over the last three decades, highlighting its contribution and promise to the study of speciation.

This marine snail is a species in a small and young genus and is probably the most derived member of the genus 

Littorina.

It is thought to have originated in the eastern North Atlantic about 0.65 Ma bp [5], rapidly colonizing both sides of the Atlantic. Phylogeographic patterns make it likely that more northern populations have undergone repeated subdivision and recontact as shorelines have been subject to glacial action and concomitant sea level changes. Populations on the northwestern coasts of the Iberian Peninsula appear to be genetically distinct from those elsewhere, suggestive of relatively long isolation [5–7]. 

L. saxatilis

is strictly intertidal, though within the intertidal it has a fairly wide vertical distribution, and is found on rocky shores and in estuaries and salt marshes [8]. There are few published data on longevity. Hughes [9] gives a maximum of about four years. 

Littorina saxatilis

is also highly polymorphic, and this has given rise to a great deal of taxonomic confusion and synonymy. It is part of a species complex, the group of rough periwinkles, with its sister species 

Littorina compressa

(Jeffrey) and 

Littorina arcana

Hannaford Ellis [8]. These latter produce egg masses which are deposited in sheltered crevices on the shore, while 

L. saxatilis

females carry their embryos in a brood pouch in the dorsal mantle cavity until they are released as “crawl aways,” with similar morphology to the adult snails. Interestingly, there is evidence of hybridization and gene flow between 

L. saxatilis

and 

L. arcana

[10]. These oviparity and ovoviparity reproductive strategies both favour processes of local adaptation (in contrast with other members of the genus (e.g., 

Littorina littorea

(L.)), which are relatively long-distance dispersers through their planktonic eggs and larvae [11].

One of the current challenges in studying 

Littorina saxatilis

is bringing together phenotypic variation, genetic variation, and ecology, so that coherent conclusions may be drawn. This may be achieved at the local scale by studying the extreme environmental gradients occurring on the tidal shores of north-western Europe, and since about 1980 littorinid biologists have been interested in the relationship...
between the habitat-related phenotypic divergence and the genetic structure of the snail populations. Work along these lines has been carried out in three distinct and geographically separated European locations: the Galician coast of Spain (∼43°N), the east coast of Britain (∼55°N), and the northern Bohuslän coast of Sweden (∼58°N). The distance between these locations is of the order of 4,000 km with the British location being nearer to the Swedish (∼1,600 km) than the Spanish (∼2,400 km). We now give brief outlines of some studies on these rocky intertidal locations (Figure I).

2. Three Principal Study Systems

The Galician coast of Spain—tidal range is ∼3 m vertically. Studies have taken place on gently sloping granite shores exposed to Atlantic waves. In the upper parts of the study transects, the snails are exposed to predation by the crab *Pachygrapsus marmoratus* (Fabricius), as well as to relatively long periods of desiccation, while lower down the principal environmental stress is considered to come from wave action. On these shores, conspicuously different ecotypes occur: a relatively large snail with a ridged shell in the upper shore (referred to as "RB", ridged-banded) and a smaller snail with a smooth and larger aperture shell referred to as "SU" (smooth-unbanded). Rolán-Alvarez [12] provides photographs of the shore and ecotypes. These ecotypes present empirical evidence of adaptation to their native microhabitat. There is a well-defined hybrid zone in the mid-shore, with individuals of intermediate phenotypes, where all forms survive equally well, while the RB and SU ecotypes survive best in their native environment [13]. Movement rates are low, about 1–3 m per month, consistent with what is known elsewhere for *Littorina* (see K. Johannesson and B. Johannesson [14] for a higher estimate of 5 m per month, perhaps associated with low population densities). If displaced out of zone, both RB and SU ecotypes tended to migrate back to their native zone, though this was comparatively weak in SU because their movement is even less than that of RB [15]. Male-female pairs from the field showed strong assortative mating both with respect to ecotype and size (large females being preferred by males) though these effects were somewhat blurred in the laboratory [16]. Cruz and Garcia [17] found evidence of reduced fecundity in intermediate females, and the sperm of hybrid males may be less viable due to enhanced DNA fragmentation rates [18]. While a lack of postzygotic isolation was found under laboratory conditions [19], patterns of hybridization across different shores are in support [20]. Thus there is evidence of both pre- and postzygotic barriers to mating between the ecotypes, which nonetheless are too weak to prevent gene flow between them. This system of diverged ecotypes with a hybrid zone between them develops across a shore extent of up to about 60 m horizontally [12], study transects are within this, typically across 10–24 m of the shore [21].

The British system—tidal range is ∼0.4 m. This presents an environmental contrast to the previous two systems; the phenotypic cline occurs along the coast between wave-protected boulders in bays and wave-exposed promontories; these habitats are sometimes separated by as little as ∼10 m [26]. On the promontories an “E” (exposed) ecotype is found, with a thin shell and large aperture, contrasted with an “S” (sheltered) ecotype in the bays. There are large populations of an intermediate “I” ecotype between them in a transition habitat. Reciprocal transplant experiments showed the ecotypes to survive better in their native habitat, including “I” in the intermediate habitat. A contrast with the previous systems is that the intermediate phenotypes represent a greater fraction of the total population, reflecting the larger intermediate habitat in the Swedish system. Overall movement rates were low, of the order of 1 m per month, which would be enough to homogenize the populations if at least some degree of habitat choice was not present. The broods of the ecotypes were in accordance with those reported for the British system, including some evidence of hybrid inviability [27]. Assortative mating between the ecotypes was found, and it is independent of geography [28]. In this system it has also been reported that males discriminate ecotypes from the mucous trail of a female; this is likely to contribute to assortative mating [29]. A unique attribute of the Swedish system, of the three noted here, is the presence of the habitats in numerous islands of various sizes, separated by sufficient distance that migration of *L. saxatilis* between them must be only very occasional. This has consequences for the genetic substructuring of the snail populations at a very small scale [30].

In all three systems it is clear that the animals can move, and if displaced from their native habitat will attempt, at least to some degree, to migrate back to it. There is published evidence for this for Galicia [15] and for...
Sweden [26], and unpublished evidence for the British system [31]. In the absence of displacement, whether experimental or by natural events, the snails may be rather sedentary. Atkinson and Newbury [32] inferred that the small but significant size differences of snails on different surfaces of causeway pillars meant that the snails largely remained on one side of the pillar and were very locally adapted.

### 3. Do the Ecotypes Represent a Stage in Speciation?

From early on in the studies cited above, authors were considering the possible implications of the phenotypic variation in terms of speciation. With the evidence for gene flow between the ecotypes in each system they were all considered to belong to one species, namely, *L. saxatilis*. Given the high fitness of hybrids (in their habitat) and the smooth transition between the extreme ecotypes in the Swedish system it was considered unlikely that this represented a situation in which reproductive isolation might arise [33, 34], and see Sadedin et al. [35]. A more likely candidate system for the evolution of isolation might be the Galician ecotypes, which show greater genetic differentiation on average [7]. Here, habitat selection, assortative mating, and perhaps sexual selection by females all were considered to contribute to partial reproductive isolation between the RB and SU morphs [36]. It was argued, based on genetic data (e.g., microsatellites, mtDNA, and AFLPs), that the different clines on different shores were of primary origin, rather than secondary, and so representing a case of sympatric speciation [20, 37–39].

Investigations designed to detect DNA loci possibly under selection were also informative. Using amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) methodology to conduct a genome scan, Wilding et al. [25] showed that there were indeed potential candidate loci under selection and that there was an interesting pattern when selected versus putatively neutral loci were considered (Figure 2).

Figure 2(a) shows the ecotypes grouping together, presumably reflecting the similarity of selection-driven outcomes in the four sets of populations. Figure 2(b) shows the ecotypes grouping by shore and irrespective of ecotype; this tree is based on the nondifferentiating loci and represents presumably drift-driven patterns of similarity. It suggests that now the shores are relatively independent of one another, with little gene flow between them. However, this is a recent snapshot and tells us nothing about the history of the populations—the differentiation could have arisen in allopatry, a plausible explanation given the environmental perturbations of glacial events.

An AFLP genome scan in Galician *L. saxatilis* gave a strikingly similar sort of pattern [20] (see Figure 3). Here the...
availability of replicate transects allowed a second level of resolution, showing that some signal between adjacent shores remained even with selected loci.

Similar considerations apply with the Swedish system [30] based on microsatellite neutral variation, with ecotypes grouping within islands, a signature of genetic drift between islands.

In all three systems the variation between the two extreme ecotypes appears to be clinal. Grahame et al. [40] explored this cline on two of the British shores using shell shape and
Figure 4: Scores for individual snails plotted on the first canonical variate using shell shape (a, c) and 15 differentiating AFLP bands (b, d) on two shores on the Yorkshire coast, separated by 40 km. The 0 point on the abscissa is chosen so that H samples are at negative distances, M at positive, with respect to 0. This was judged to be the most satisfactory way of representing a somewhat complicated sample layout dictated by the details of shore topography (see Grahame et al. [40] for details).

AFLP. These variables reveal a sharp and correlated cline between H and M on these shores (Figure 4) which appears to be independent of the spatial scale difference between them. Similarly, a correlation between phenotype and genotype for candidate loci under selection was found in Galicia, although the spatial scale and ecology played an important role in the clinal variation [20]. The form and congruence (with phenotype and genotype coinciding) of the clines suggested that strong selection must be operating, but apart from the inference of crab predation there is as yet no clear statement of what this selection actually is.

The cline in the British system is evidently very sharp; Grahame et al. [40] considered that it must be maintained by a combination of strong selection and habitat choice.

What does the evidence add up to? The partial reproductive isolation, phenotypic differences coincident with genetic differences, clines along the steep environmental gradients, and repeated occurrence of similar patterns of diversification on different shores may reflect a stage in speciation between these ecotypes. However, in the absence of solid information on the history of the systems, these suggestions remain vulnerable to the criticisms surrounding the inference of evolutionary processes from genetic patterns [40–42].

4. Apparently the Ecotypes Have Originated Independently

Until very recently no single study has analysed the three systems simultaneously. Butlin et al. [7] studied, phenotypically and genetically, the ecotypes from Galicia, Britain, and Sweden, including two shores per region. They found remarkably consistent phenotypic divergence between the shells of the ecotypes across regions (Figure 5). Greatest divergence
was found for Swedish shells and lowest for the "northern" location in Britain. In each region the ecotype referred to here as "wave" is smaller, with a thinner shell, and larger aperture; the "crab" ecotype is larger, with a relatively smaller aperture, and with an evidently thicker shell. The shape analysis here is a "geometric morphometric" one, following the protocol of Conde-Padí et al. [43].

The genetic analysis presented by Butlin et al. consisting of both sequence data and AFLP data was used to test models of demographic history by means of approximate Bayesian computation (see [7] for details). The models best fitting the observed data supported the hypothesis of independent origin of the ecotypes both in the localities (northern, southern) and in the regions (Sweden, Britain, and Galicia). The evidence discussed here points towards the evolution of the ecotypes and their differentiation after the colonization of the shores; it is supposed that this lag may have come about because of environmental limitations on the crab predators, which are both species with warmer preferences than is L. saxatilis [7]. Further study of the loci putatively involved in the adaptations, and consideration of some of the other species in the rough periwinkle group, may allow a better understanding of the evolution and diversification of this group.

5. What Genes Might Be Involved?

While the repeated emergence of broadly the same loci as outliers in AFLP genome scans across shores in the British system suggests a robust finding, with type I errors in mind it might be considered troubling that in this study the proportion is about 5% of loci. To investigate some of the loci identified in the British system further, Wood et al. [44] used a bacterial artificial chromosome library to investigate four loci of varying levels of differentiation (maximal to undifferentiated).

Using sequence data, the divergence patterns found were consistent with the patterns found for AFLP loci (Figure 6). This provides further evidence of ecotype divergence in these genomic regions (but see above for caveats in interpreting this in terms of speciation processes). Another interesting question, highly debated, is the size of these genomic regions. Differentiation was found to decline very quickly (a few kilobases) on either side of the DNA sequence that represented a differentiated AFLP locus; thus it was concluded that the genomic regions identified as differentiated were small. For the most differentiated locus (E10, Figure 6(a)) it was suggested that the differentiation was in fact due to the recent insertion of a transposable element which was beneficial in one habitat and not the other. This study, then, did not identify possible candidate coding genes involved in the differentiation of H and M ecotypes.

There has been progress here using next generation sequencing to perform a transcriptome scan [45] which has identified putatively selected genes including skeletal matrix proteins (shell formation), skeletal muscle proteins (adhesion and locomotion in a wave-swept environment), and energetic
metabolism as well as possibly reverse transcriptases, indicating again that transposable elements may be important.

6. Prospects: Crab and Wave and Other Agents of Selection

Butlin et al. [7] use the terms crab ecotype and wave ecotype, aiming to simplify the names used in the original studies when the ecotypes were described for the first time: “S” (sheltered) and “E” (exposed) in Sweden [46], “M” (mid), and “H” (high) in Britain [22], and “RB” (ridged-banded) and “SU” (smooth-unbanded) in Spain [21]. Crab and wave denote the main selection forces in their native habitat, although it is not clear whether these are the main drivers of the divergence. Reciprocal transplant experiments test for survival in one habitat, but many selective pressures could be acting, and this is normally not tested. Also, these pressures could all point to a similar phenotype (but maybe different genes); this scenario is difficult to differentiate because by testing only one of these selection forces it is possible to get the expected response, although misleading, because only one force is assumed. Therefore, more ecological studies are needed to test for multiple forces of selection but also genomic studies supporting this hypothesis are necessary.

For example, Walker and Grahame [47] have shown that in the wave ecotype (“H”) within the British system there is a continuum of shell shape variation. This suggests different axes of shell shape variation in this ecotype. One of these axes of variation was associated with an increase in the relative mass of the brood carried by the female (used as a proxy for reproductive effort) at the expense of the size (mass) of the body (Figure 7). This opens a new line of investigation into the evolution of phenotype variation in *L. saxatilis*, since there are such clear selection pressures likely to be involved.

Further variation has been found on a shore in south-west Scotland in the same ecotype; a rare mitochondrial haplotype was associated with specific shell shape variation along a continuum of variation [48]. The mechanisms involved in this apparent sequestration of this rare haplotype are unknown. Thus we have two rather widely separated instances of intraspecies variation which seem worthy of further attention.

7. Conclusion

A lot has changed in the study of speciation in recent decades, and gene flow and divergent natural selection are important components of key recent studies on the evolution of reproductive isolation [1]. A lot has changed in the study of *Littorina saxatilis* as well, and a major theme is the contribution of investigations on *Littorina* to the advances in ecological speciation research [49]. Butlin et al. [7] concluded that the evidence available points to independent parallel evolution of ecotypes adapted to different habitats on the rocky shores studied, with the ecotypes (and associated partial reproductive barriers) arising some time after the colonization of the shores by *Littorina saxatilis*. The parallel evolution of reproductive barriers in response to similar ecological processes denotes a role for natural selection in speciation as has been shown in other well-known examples of ecological speciation, for example, *Timema* walking sticks [50].

Therefore it seems that this is a very promising system in evolutionary biology. *Littorina* has much more potential, still unexplored. Other axes of selection and phenotypic variation in *L. saxatilis* need to be studied in the same detail.
as the "crab" and "wave" ecotypes, for example, the ecotype "neglecta" [51], as yet comparatively poorly known. Also other closely related species (L. compressa, L. arcana) show important ecological variation [8]. Littorina is a rich resource for the further investigation of intra- and interspecific variation linked to the study of speciation as a continuum [1], from population divergence to species formation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Roger Butlin for commenting on an earlier draft of this paper and are grateful for the comments of an anonymous referee. Juan Galindo is currently supported by an "Isidro Parga Pondal" fellowship (Xunta de Galicia).

References


