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The paper presents a new voltage-mode multifunction filter. The proposed filter employs single modified fully differential second generation current conveyor (FDCCII), two grounded capacitors, and three resistors. The proposed circuit enjoys the employment of two grounded capacitors (attractive for absorbing shunt parasitic capacitance and ideal for IC implementation). The proposed circuit provides all five generic filter responses (low pass (LP), high pass (HP), band pass (BP), notch (NH), and all pass (AP) filter responses) simultaneously with single input. The novel proposed filter has low active and passive sensitivities. A number of time domain and frequency domain simulation results depicted through PSPICE using 0.18 \( \mu \)m TSMC process parameters are included to validate the theory. The proposed circuit is expected to enhance the existing knowledge on the subject.

1. Introduction

Analog filters are the basic building blocks and widely used for continuous-time signal processing. Applications of analog filters employing current-mode active elements extend over a large number of areas [1–5]. In the literature, several voltage-mode (VM) universal biquadratic filters with single input and multioutputs (SIMO) have been reported [6–10]. A SIMO circuit configuration reported in [6] employs five current feedback amplifiers (CFAs), two grounded capacitors, and six resistors. It realizes all the standard filter functions, namely, low pass (LP), band pass (BP), high pass (HP), notch (NH), and all pass (AP), simultaneously, and also enjoys the features of using only grounded capacitors and orthogonal control between the resonance angular frequency and quality factor. In [7], two voltage-mode universal filter circuits are presented. Each circuit employs two FDCCII, two resistors, and two capacitors. The filter circuits reported in [8, 9] use single FDCCII, three resistors, and two grounded capacitors and realize all generic filter responses in VM. Two VM universal filters are reported in [10]. The first universal filter employs three DVCCs together with two grounded capacitors and three grounded resistors and provides five outputs with single input but it requires matching condition to realize all the generic filter responses. The second reported VM universal filter with three inputs and one output employs three DVCCs, two grounded capacitors, and three grounded resistors. Over the last decades, numerous voltage-mode biquadratic filters using current conveyors are also presented [11–18]. However, all of these reported filters cannot realize five filtering responses simultaneously.

In this paper, a new voltage-mode multifunction filter has been presented. The proposed filter employs single modified FDCCII as an active element, two grounded capacitors, and three resistors. The proposed circuit realizes all generic filter functions (low pass (LP), band pass (BP), high pass (HP), Notch (NH), and all pass (AP)) simultaneously. The proposed circuit of multifunction filter enjoys the features of minimum number of active and passive components and no requirement of component choice except for to realize all pass filter and low active and passive sensitivities.

2. Circuit Description

Fully differential second-generation current conveyor (FDCCII) as a current-mode active device was proposed to improve the dynamic range especially in mixed-mode applications where fully differential signal processing is
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Routine analysis of the proposed circuit using (1) yields the following transfer functions:

\[
\frac{V_{\text{out1}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{sC_2R_2}{\Delta_1},
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{out2}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{1}{\Delta_1},
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{out3}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = -\frac{s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2}{\Delta_1},
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{out4}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2 + 1}{\Delta_1},
\]

\[
\frac{V_{\text{out5}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2 + sC_2R_2 + 1}{\Delta_1},
\]

where \(\Delta_1 = s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2 + sC_2R_2 + 1\).

It can be seen from (2) that a noninverting BP response is obtained from \(V_{\text{out1}}\), a noninverting LP response is obtained from \(V_{\text{out2}}\), an inverting HP response is obtained from \(V_{\text{out3}}\), a noninverting NH response is obtained from \(V_{\text{out4}}\), and if \(R_3 = 2R_2\), a noninverting AP response is obtained from \(V_{\text{out5}}\). It is to be noted that there is no need to impose component choice except in the realization of AP filter response.

The resonance angular frequency \(\omega_0\) and the quality factor \(Q\) are given by

\[
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_1C_2R_1R_2}},
\]

\[
Q = \frac{C_1R_1}{C_2R_2}.
\]

The sensitivities of \(\omega_0\) and \(Q\) with respect to passive components are given as

\[
S_{\omega_0R_1,R_2,C_1,C_2} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad S_{Q,R_1,C_1} = -S_{Q,C_2} = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

It is to be noted from (4) that all the sensitivities are less than unity in magnitude.

3. Nonideal Analysis

To account for non ideal sources, two sets of parameters \(\alpha_i\) and \(\beta_j\) are introduced where \(\alpha_i\) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) and \(\beta_j\) \((j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)\) represent the current and voltage transfer gains of the internal current and voltage followers of the FDCCII, respectively. They can be approximated by the first order of low pass functions, which can be considered to have unity values for frequencies much less than their corner frequencies [10]. By assuming, the circuit is working at the frequencies much less than the corner frequencies of \(\alpha_i\) and \(\beta_j\). More specifically, \(\alpha_i = 1 - \delta_i, (|\delta_i| \ll 1)\) where \(\delta_i\) (from \(X+\) to \(-Z^+\)), \(\delta_2\) (from \(X-\) to \(-Z^-\)), and \(\delta_3\) (from \(X+\) to \(-Z^-\)) are current tracking errors. Similarly, \(\beta_j = 1 - \varepsilon_j, (|\varepsilon_j| \ll 1)\) where \(\varepsilon_1\) (from \(Y_1\) to \(X+\)), \(\varepsilon_2\) (from \(Y_2\) to \(X+\)), \(\varepsilon_3\) (from \(Y_3\) to \(X+\)), \(\varepsilon_4\) (from \(Y_4\) to \(X+\)), \(\varepsilon_5\) (from \(Y_5\) to \(X+\)), and \(\varepsilon_6\) (from \(Y_6\) to \(X+\)) are voltage tracking errors.

The proposed circuit employing single modified FDCCII, two grounded capacitors, and three resistors is shown in Figure 2. The use of grounded capacitors is particularly attractive for integrated circuit implementation because grounded capacitors compensate stray capacitances at their nodes [20].
(from $Y_1$ to $X_-$), $e_3$ (from $Y_2$ to $X_-$), and $e_4$ (from $Y_4$ to $X_-$), are voltage tracking errors. By incorporating these two non-ideal sources onto the ideal input-output matrix relationship, the modified FDCCII now leads to:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{X+} \\
V_{X-} \\
I_{Z+} \\
I_{Z-}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\beta_1 & -\beta_2 & \beta_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\beta_4 & \beta_5 & 0 & \beta_6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_3
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{Y1} \\
V_{Y2} \\
V_{Y3} \\
V_{Y4} \\
I_{X+} \\
I_{X-}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

The proposed circuit of Figure 2 is reanalyzed using (5) so as to obtain nonideal transfer functions as

$$
\frac{V_{out1}}{V_{in}} = \frac{sC_2R_2\alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\alpha_5\beta_4(1 - \beta_3)}{\Delta_2},
$$

$$
\frac{V_{out2}}{V_{in}} = \frac{\alpha_2\alpha_5\beta_4 - (sC_1R_1 + \alpha_1\beta_1)\alpha_2(1 - \beta_3)}{\Delta_2},
$$

$$
\frac{V_{out3}}{V_{in}} = \frac{\left(s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2\beta_3 - sC_2R_2\alpha_1(\beta_3\beta_4 - \beta_2\beta_5) + \alpha_4\alpha_3\beta_3\beta_4(2\beta_5 - 1)\right)}{\Delta_2},
$$

$$
\frac{V_{out5}}{V_{in}} = \frac{s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2\left[\alpha_3(1 - \beta_3) + 1\right]}{\Delta_2}
+ \frac{sC_2R_2\alpha_1\left[1 + \alpha_3(1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3R_3)\right]}{\Delta_2}
+ \frac{\alpha_4\alpha_3\beta_3\beta_4\left[\alpha_3(1 - \beta_3)\left(\frac{1}{R_2} - 1\right) + 1\right]}{\Delta_2}
\times (\Delta_2)^{-1},
$$

where $\Delta_2 = s^2C_1C_2R_1R_2 + sC_2R_2\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_4\alpha_3\beta_3\beta_4$.

The resonance angular frequency ($\omega_o$) and quality factor ($Q$) are obtained by

$$
\omega_o = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_4\alpha_3\beta_3\beta_4}{C_1C_2R_1R_2}},
$$

$$
Q = \frac{1}{\beta_1},
$$

The active and passive sensitivities of $\omega_o$ and $Q$ are given as

$$
S_{\omega_o}^{R_1,C_1,C_2} = -\frac{1}{2},
S_{\omega_o}^{\alpha_4,\alpha_3,\beta_3,\beta_4} = \frac{1}{2},
S_{Q}^{R_1,C_1} = -S_{Q}^{C_1} = \frac{1}{2},
S_{Q}^{\alpha_4,\beta_3,\beta_4} = -S_{Q}^{\alpha_3} = \frac{1}{2},
S_{Q}^{\beta_1} = -1.
$$

It is to be noted from (8) that all the active and passive sensitivities are less than or equal to unity in magnitude.

4. Effects of FDCCII Parasitic

A parasitic model of FDCCII is shown in Figure 3.

When the parasitic equivalent circuit model of modified FDCCII is used instead of the ideal one, the parasitics of modified FDCCII appear as the undesirable factors in (2), which lead to modified transfer functions as follows:

$$
\frac{V_{out1}}{V_{in}} = \frac{sa_1 + a_0}{s^2b_2 + sb_1 + b_0},
$$

$$
\frac{V_{out2}}{V_{in}} = \frac{RY_3R_{Z+}R_{Z-}}{s^2b_2 + sb_1 + b_0}.
$$
where

\[ a_1 = R'_1 R'_2 R_Y R_{Y3} R_{Z}, \quad a_0 = R'_2 R_Y R_{Z} , \quad b_2 = R'_1 R'_2 C'_2 R_Y R_{Y3} R_{Z} , \]

\[ b_1 = C'_2 R'_2 R_{Y3} R_{Z} (R_{Y1} R_{Z} + R'_1 R_{Y1} + R'_1 R_{Z}) + C'_1 R'_1 R_{Y1} R_{Z} + (R_{Y3} + R_{Z}) , \]

\[ b_0 = R'_1 R'_2 (R_{Z} - R_{Z} + R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Y3}) + R_{Y1} R_{Z} + (R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R'_1 R_{Y3} + R'_1 R_{Z}) , \]

\[ c_2 = R'_1 R'_2 C'_1 R_Y R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R_{Z} , \quad c_1 = C'_1 R'_1 R_Y R_{Z} + (R_{Y3} + R_{Z}) , \]

\[ c_0 = R'_1 R'_2 (R_{Z} R_{Z} + R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Y3}) , \quad d_1 = C'_1 R'_1 R_Y R_{Z} + (R_{Y1} + R_{Z}) , \]

\[ d_0 = R'_1 R'_2 (R_{Z} R_{Z} + R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Z} + R_{Y1} R_{Y3}) + R_{Y1} R_{Z} + (R_{Y3} R_{Z} + R'_1 R_{Y3} + R'_1 R_{Z}) , \]

\[ R'_1 = R_{X} + R_{1} , \quad R'_2 = R_{X} + R_{2} + (R_{Y2} / C_{Y2}) , \]

\[ R'_3 = R_{3} + (R_{Y2} / C_{Y2}) + (R_{Z} / C_{Z}) , \]

\[ C'_1 = C_{1} / C_{Y1} / C_{Z} , \quad C'_2 = C_{2} / C_{Y3} / C_{Z} . \]

In practical FDCCII, the external resistors \( R_k \) (where, \( k = 1, 2, 3 \)) can be chosen very much smaller than the parasitic resistors at the \( Y \) and \( Z \) terminals of FDCCII and very much greater than the parasitic resistors at the \( X \) terminals of FDCCII, that is, \( (R_Y, R_Z) \gg R_k \gg R_X \). Moreover, the external capacitances \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) can be chosen very much greater than the parasitic capacitances at the \( Y \) and \( Z \) terminals of FDCCII, that is, \( (C_1, C_2) \gg (C_Y, C_Z) \).

5. Simulation Results

To verify the given theoretical analysis, the proposed voltage-mode multifunction filter has been simulated using PSPICE. The CMOS implementation of modified FDCCII is shown in Figure 4 and is realized using TSMC 0.18 \( \mu \)m CMOS process model parameters. The supply voltages and currents have been selected as \( V_{DD} = -V_{SS} = 1.1 \) V, \( V_{bp} = V_{bn} = 0 \) V, \( I_h = 1.4 \) \( \mu \)A, and \( I_{sb} = 1.3 \) \( \mu \)A. The proposed circuit shown in Figure 2 is designed with the passive component values as \( C_1 = C_2 = 50 \) pF, \( R_1 = R_2 = 1 \) k\( \Omega \) and \( R_3 = 2 \) k\( \Omega \) to obtain angular frequency of 3.18 MHz and a quality factor of \( Q = 1 \). All the magnitude responses
of the LP, HP, BP, NH, and AP in dB are simultaneously shown in Figure 5. In addition, the magnitude and phase responses of all generic filter responses are shown in Figures 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, separately. To test the input dynamic range of the proposed filter, the sinusoidal input signal of an amplitude 300 mV (p-p) at 3.18 MHz is applied. Figure 11 shows the input and output sinusoidal signals of the band pass response. Figure 12 shows the noise behavior of the HP filter using the INoise and ONoise statements. Another study is carried out on the temperature performance of the proposed multifunction filter. Figure 13 shows the simulated frequency responses of the AP filter at different operating temperature (−30°C to 90°C).
6. Conclusion

A new voltage-mode multifunction filter is presented. The proposed circuit realizes all generic filter responses simultaneously. Nevertheless, the proposed circuit needs a single matching constraint only to realize an AP response. The proposed circuit is simple and contains a minimum number of active components required to achieve a second-order transfer function. However, with the advantage of circuit simplicity, pole-\( \omega_0 \) and \( Q \) are not independently adjustable. PSPICE simulations using 0.18 \( \mu \)m TSMC process parameters are used to support the validity and practical utility of the proposed circuit.
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