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A mathematical model of the steady boundary layer flow of nanofluid due to an exponentially permeable stretching sheet with external magnetic field is presented. In the model, the effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis on heat transfer and nanoparticle volume friction are considered. Using shooting technique with fourth-order Runge-Kutta method the transformed equations are solved. The study reveals that the governing parameters, namely, the magnetic parameter, the wall mass transfer parameter, the Prandtl number, the Lewis number, Brownian motion parameter, and thermophoresis parameter, have major effects on the flow field, the heat transfer, and the nanoparticle volume fraction. The magnetic field makes enhancement in temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction, whereas the wall mass transfer through the porous sheet causes reduction of both. For the Brownian motion, the temperature increases and the nanoparticle volume fraction decreases. Heat transfer rate becomes low with increase of Lewis number. For thermophoresis effect, the thermal boundary layer thickness becomes larger.

1. Introduction

The term “nanofluid” was proposed by Choi [1], referring to dispersions of nanoparticles in the base fluids such as water, ethylene glycol, and propylene glycol. The thermal conductivity enhancement characteristic of nanofluid was observed by Masuda et al. [2]. Buongiorno [3] discussed the reasons behind the enhancement in heat transfer for nanofluid and he found that Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis are the main causes. Later, Nield and Kuznetsov [4] and Kuznetsov and Nield [5] investigated the natural convective boundary layer flow of a nanofluid employing Buongiorno model.

The study of boundary layer flow and heat transfer due to stretching surface has numerous applications in industry and technology, such as in polymer extrusion, drawing of copper wires, artificial fibers, paper production, hot rolling, wire drawing, glass fiber, metal extrusion and metal spinning, and continuous stretching of plastic films. Crane [6] first studied the boundary layer flow due to linearly stretching sheet. Many researchers [7–17] extended the work of Crane, whereas Magyari and Keller [18] considered the boundary layer flow and heat transfer due to an exponentially stretching sheet.

The flow and heat transfer over an exponentially stretching surface were investigated by Elbashbeshy [19] taking wall mass suction. Khan and Sanjayanand [20] presented the boundary layer flow of viscoelastic fluid and heat transfer over an exponentially stretching sheet with viscous dissipation effect and Partha et al. [21] reported a similarity solution for mixed convection flow past an exponentially stretching surface. Ishak [22] studied the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) boundary layer flow over an exponentially shrinking sheet in presence of thermal radiation. Bhattacharyya [23] discussed the boundary layer flow and heat transfer caused due to an exponentially shrinking sheet and Bhattacharyya and Pop [24] showed the effect of external magnetic field on the flow over an exponentially shrinking sheet. Recently, Bhattacharyya and Vajravelu [25] described the stagnation-point boundary layer flow due to exponentially shrinking sheet for Newtonian fluid and Bachok et al. [26] investigated the same problem for nanofluid.

The boundary layer flow of nanofluid past a linearly stretching sheet was first studied by Khan and Pop [27] introducing the model of Nield and Kuznetsov [4]. The boundary layer flow induced in a nanofluid due to a linearly stretching
sheet with convective boundary condition was described by Makinde and Aziz [28]. Kandasamy et al. [29] investigated the MHD boundary layer flow of a nanofluid past a vertical stretching permeable surface with suction/injection. Mustafa et al. [30] reported the flow of a nanofluid near a stagnation-point towards a stretching surface. Rana and Bhargava [31] et al. [30] reported the flow of a nanofluid near a stagnation-point towards a stretching surface. Later, Hady et al. [32] analysed the boundary layer flow of a nanofluid over a permeable stretching/shrinking sheet in presence of thermal radiation and variable wall temperature. Makinde et al. [33] discussed the combined effects of buoyancy force and magnetic field on stagnation-point flow and heat transfer in a nanofluid flow towards a stretching sheet. A theoretical study of unsteady boundary layer flow of a nanofluid over a permeable stretching/shrinking sheet was reported by Bachok et al. [34]. Recently, Nadeem and Lee [35] obtained analytic solutions of boundary layer flow of nanofluid over an exponentially stretching surface using homotopy analysis method (HAM).

In the present paper, the MHD boundary layer nanofluid flow dynamics due to an exponentially shrinking sheet is investigated. The obtained ordinary differential equations by similarity transformations are solved numerically using shooting technique with fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. Then computed results are plotted in graphs and discussed in detail.

2. Mathematical Formulation

Consider the steady boundary layer flow of nanofluid over an exponentially stretching sheet in presence of a transverse magnetic field. The governing equations of motion and the energy equation may be written in usual notation as [27, 35]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \quad u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\sigma B^2}{\rho_f} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{(\rho c)_f}{(\rho c)_p} \left[ \frac{D_B}{\rho_f} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right], \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_T}{T_{\infty}} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2},
\]

where \( u \) and \( v \) are the velocity components in \( x \)- and \( y \)- directions, respectively, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( \rho_f \) is the density of the base fluid, \( T \) is the temperature, \( T_{\infty} \) is constant temperature of the fluid in the inviscid free stream, \( \alpha \) is the thermal conductivity, \( (\rho c)_p \) is the effective heat capacity of nanoparticles, \( (\rho c)_f \) is heat capacity of the base fluid, \( N \) is nanoparticle volume fraction, \( D_B \) is the Brownian diffusion coefficient, and \( D_T \) is the thermophoretic diffusion coefficient. Here, the variable magnetic field \( B(x) \) is taken in the form [22, 24]

\[
B(x) = B_0 \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right),
\]

where \( B_0 \) is a constant.

The boundary conditions are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
& u = U_w(x), \quad v = v_w \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \\
& u \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty, \\
& T = T_w = T_{\infty} + T_0 \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \\
& T \rightarrow T_{\infty} \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty, \\
& N = N_w = N_{\infty} + N_0 \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \\
& N \rightarrow N_{\infty} \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( T_w \) is the variable temperature at the sheet with \( T_0 \) being a constant which measures the rate of temperature increase along the sheet, \( N_w \) is the variable wall nanoparticle volume fraction with \( N_0 \) being a constant, and \( N_{\infty} \) is constant nanoparticle volume fraction in free stream. The stretching velocity \( U_w \) is given by

\[
U_w(x) = c \exp \left( \frac{x}{L} \right),
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is stretching constant. A physical model with the coordinate system of the problem is sketched in Figure 1.

Here \( v_w \) is the variable wall mass transfer velocity and is given by

\[
v_w = v_0 \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right),
\]

where \( v_0 \) is a constant with \( v_0 < 0 \) for mass suction and \( v_0 > 0 \) for mass injection.

Now, we introduce the similarity transformations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi &= \sqrt{2} \nu L e f(\eta) \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right), \\
\theta(\eta) &= \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_w - T_{\infty}}, \\
\phi(\eta) &= \frac{N - N_{\infty}}{N_w - N_{\infty}}, \\
\eta &= y \sqrt{2} \nu L e \exp \left( \frac{x}{2L} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Psi \) is the stream function with \( u = \partial \Psi / \partial y \) and \( v = -\partial \Psi / \partial x \) and \( \eta \) is the similarity variable.

In view of relations in (6) we finally obtain the following self-similar equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
& f'''' + ff'' - 2f'^2 - Mf' = 0, \\
& \theta'' + Pr \left( \theta' - f\theta' + Nb\theta' \phi' + Nt\theta'^2 \right) = 0, \\
& \phi'' + Le \left( f\phi' - f^2 \phi \right) + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta'' = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
where $M = 2 \alpha B^2_L \sqrt{L}/\rho$ is the magnetic parameter, $Pr = v/\alpha$ is the Prandtl number, and $Le = v/D_h$ is the Lewis number. The dimensionless parameters $Nb$ (Brownian motion parameter) and $Nt$ (thermophoresis parameter) are defined as

$$Nb = \frac{D_B (\rho c)_p (N_w - N_{co})}{D (\rho c)_f v},$$
$$Nt = \frac{D_T (\rho c)_p (T_T - T_{co})}{D (\rho c)_f v}. \tag{8}$$

The boundary conditions (3) reduce to the following forms:

$$f(\eta) = S, \quad f'(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0,$$
$$f'(\eta) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty,$$
$$\theta(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0, \quad \theta'(\eta) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty,$$
$$\phi(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0, \quad \phi'(\eta) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty, \tag{9}$$

where $S = -\nu_0/\sqrt{vc/2L}$ is the wall mass transfer parameter. $S > 0$ ($\nu_0 < 0$) corresponds to mass suction and $S < 0$ ($\nu_0 > 0$) corresponds to mass injection.

The quantities of physical interest for this problem are the local skin friction coefficient $C_f$, the local Nusselt number $Nu_x$, and the local Sherwood number $Sh_x$, which are, respectively, defined as [35]

$$C_f = -\frac{\nu}{U^2_w e^{2x/L}} \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0}, \quad Nu_x = -\frac{x}{(T_T - T_{co})} \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0},$$
$$Sh_x = -\frac{x}{(N_w - N_{co})} \left. \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0}, \tag{10}$$

that is,

$$\sqrt{2 \text{Re}}_x C_f = f''(0), \quad \frac{Nu_x}{\sqrt{2 \text{Re}}_x} = -\frac{x}{2L} \theta'(0),$$
$$\frac{Sh_x}{\sqrt{2 \text{Re}}_x} = -\frac{x}{2L} \phi'(0), \tag{11}$$

where $\text{Re}_x = U_w x/v$ is the local Reynolds number.

3. Numerical Method for Solution

The highly nonlinear coupled ODEs (7) along with the boundary conditions (9) form a two-point boundary value problem (BVP) and those are solved using shooting method [36–41]. The following first-order system is set:

$$f' = p, \quad p' = q, \quad q' = 2p^2 - fp + Mp,$$
$$\theta' = r, \quad r' = -Pr \left( fr - p \theta + Nb rz + Ntw^2 \right), \tag{12}$$
$$\phi' = z, \quad z' = -Le \left( f z - p \phi \right) - \frac{Nt}{Nb} r',$$

with the boundary conditions

$$f(0) = S, \quad p(0) = 1, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1. \tag{13}$$

The set of nonlinear first-order ordinary differential equations (12) with boundary conditions (13) have been solved by shooting method using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm with a systematic guessing of $q(0)$, that is, $f''(0)$, $r(0)$, that is, $\theta'(0)$, and $z(0)$, that is, $\phi'(0)$. The step size is taken as $\Delta \eta = 0.01$ and the suitable finite value of $\eta \to \infty$, $\eta_{co}$, is taken as 20 in all cases. The guess values $f''(0)$, $\theta'(0)$, and $\phi'(0)$ are adjusted using “secant method” to give better approximation for the solution. An asymptotic convergence criterion of $10^{-3}$ level for the boundary conditions $f'(\eta_{co}) = 0$, $\theta(\eta_{co}) = 0$, and $\phi(\eta_{co}) = 0$ is taken in the computation.

4. Results and Discussion

The numerical solutions are obtained using the above numerical scheme for some values of the governing parameters, namely, the magnetic parameter ($M$), the wall mass transfer parameter ($S$), the Prandtl number ($Pr$), the Lewis number ($Le$), Brownian motion parameter ($Nb$), and thermophoresis parameter ($Nt$). Effects of $M$, $S$, $Pr$, $Le$, $Nb$, and $Nt$ on the steady boundary layer flow, heat transfer, and nanoparticle volume fraction over exponentially stretching sheet in nanofluid are discussed in detail.

To ensure the numerical accuracy, the values $f''(0)$ and $f(\infty)$ are compared with the results of Magyari and Keller [18] in Table 1 without magnetic field ($M = 0$) and with nonporous stretching sheet ($S = 0$) and those are found in excellent agreement. Thus, we are very much confident that the present results are accurate.

The nondimensional velocity $f'(\eta)$, temperature $\theta(\eta)$, and nanoparticle volume fraction $\phi(\eta)$ for various values
of magnetic parameter are shown in Figure 2. The velocity reduces with the increase of magnetic parameter. The magnetic field opposes the transport process. Actually, the increase of $M$ leads to the increase of the Lorentz force arising because of interaction of magnetic and electric fields for the motion of an electrically conducting fluid, and the stronger Lorentz force produces much more resistance to the transport phenomena. On the other hand, the temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction increase with $M$. The Lorentz force has the tendency to increase the temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction in nanofluid motion. Consequently, the thermal boundary layer thickness and nanoparticle volume fraction boundary layer thickness become thicker for stronger magnetic field.

In Figure 3, the velocity, temperature, and nanoparticle volume fraction are presented for variation in wall mass transfer parameter $S$. With increasing values of the mass suction parameter ($S > 0$), the velocity $f'(\eta)$, temperature $\theta(\eta)$, and nanoparticle volume fraction $\varphi(\eta)$ in the boundary layer region decrease, whereas, due to the increase of mass injection ($S < 0$), all those increase. Due to mass suction, the fluid is brought closer to the sheet and it thins velocity boundary layer thickness as well as the thermal and nanoparticle volume boundary layer thicknesses. Opposite effect is found for mass injection case; that is, the fluid is taken away from the sheet. Consequently, the velocity, thermal, and nanoparticle volume boundary layer thicknesses become broader.

The influences of the Prandtl number $Pr$ and the Lewis number $Le$ on the temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction are depicted in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The increment of Prandtl number results in major effects on temperature as well as on nanoparticle volume fraction. The thermal boundary layer thickness reduces with Prandtl number and it happens due to decrease of thermal diffusivity for the increment of Prandtl number. The nanoparticle volume fraction exhibits overshoot near the sheet for higher values of $Pr$, though the nanoparticle volume boundary layer thickness reduces. Hence, with uniform thermophoretic particle deposition, for larger values of Prandtl number the nanoparticle volume fraction $\varphi(\eta)$ is higher in the fluid adjacent to the sheet than the value at the wall. Very minor variation (initially increasing near the sheet and then decreasing away from the sheet) is observed in the temperature with the increase in the Lewis number. For large values of $Le$...

Table 1: The comparison of values of $f''(0)$ and $f(\infty)$ for $M = 0$ and $S = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Magyari and Keller [18]</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-f''(0)$</td>
<td>1.281808</td>
<td>1.28180838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(\infty)$</td>
<td>0.905639</td>
<td>0.90564328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Le, the nanoparticle volume fraction significantly decreases and also the nanoparticle volume boundary layer thickness reduces. But, for smaller value of Le the overshoot is found in $\varphi(\eta)$ near the sheet (Figure 5(b)). The Brownian diffusion effect becomes nominal for larger values of Lewis number and for which the nanoparticle volume boundary layer thickness decreases.

The effect of Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ on the dimensionless temperature and the dimensionless nanoparticle volume fraction is plotted in Figure 6 (Figures 6(a) and 6(b), respectively). The figure reveals that the temperature of the fluid increases and the nanoparticle volume fraction decreases with increasing values of $Nb$. In nanofluid system, due to the presence of nanoparticles, the Brownian motion
takes place and for the increase in Nb the Brownian motion is affected and consequently the heat transfer characteristic of the fluid changes. Also, when the value of Nb increases, the nanoparticle volume boundary layer thickness decreases.

Thermophoresis parameter Nt is a key parameter for analysing the temperature distributions and nanoparticles volume fraction in nanofluid flow. The effect of thermophoresis parameter Nt on the temperature \( \theta(\eta) \) and the nanoparticle volume fraction \( \varphi(\eta) \) is presented in Figure 7. With increase of Nt, the temperature of the fluid increases. The nanoparticle volume fraction increases with Nt and the overshoot near the wall is found. Increase in Nt causes
increment in the thermophoresis force which tends to move nanoparticles from hot to cold areas and consequently it increases the magnitude of temperature profiles and nanoparticle volume fraction profiles. Ultimately, the thickness of nanoparticle volume boundary layer becomes significantly large for slightly increased value of thermophoresis parameter.

We now discuss the variations of the physical quantities of engineering importance, that is, the local skin friction coefficient $C_f$, the local Nusselt number $Nu_x$, and the local
Sherwood number $S_h$ for different values of $M$, $S$, $Pr$, $Le$, $Nb$, and $Nt$. And for which the quantities $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$, and $-\varphi'(0)$ related to local skin friction coefficient, the local Nusselt number and the local Sherwood number, respectively, are plotted in Figures 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 for various values of parameters. The values of $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$, and $-\varphi'(0)$ versus the mass transfer parameter $S$ are plotted in Figure 8 for different values magnetic parameter. For stronger magnetic field the value of $-f''(0)$, that is, the local skin friction coefficient, increases, whereas the values of $-\theta'(0)$, that is, local Nusselt number, and $-\varphi'(0)$, that is, local Sherwood number, decreases. Also, the values of $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\varphi'(0)$ are depicted against Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and thermophoresis parameter $Nt$ for various $M$ in Figures 9 and 10. Similar results are also observed in those graphs. In physical viewpoint, it can be noticed that the powerful Lorentz force that arose in flow field for larger magnetic field reduces the values of local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number. On the other hand, the skin friction coefficient increases (decreases) with mass suction and local Sherwood number. On the other hand, the skin friction coefficient increases (decreases) with mass suction (injection) (Figure 8(a)). $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\varphi'(0)$ are displayed against $Nb$ and $Nt$ for several $S$ in Figures II and 12. From all graphical results it should be noted that, similar to that of skin friction, the local Nusselt number and the local Sherwood number also decrease with injection and increase with suction. But for greater values of thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, the contrary effect of mass transfer parameter on the local Sherwood number is obtained, namely, the value of $-\varphi'(0)$ increases with mass injection and decreases with mass suction (Figure 12(b)). The effects of Prandtl number $Pr$ and Lewis number $Le$ on the local Nusselt number $Nu_x$ and the local Sherwood number $S_h$ are illustrated in Figures 13–16. Due to higher values of Prandtl number the local Nusselt number increases and local Sherwood number reduces. The heat transfer rate is strengthened when the Prandtl number increases, whereas it is worth noting that the Lewis number affects the Nusselt number (reduction) and the Sherwood number (increment) in completely reverse manner compared to that of Prandtl number. For small values of Lewis number, the Brownian diffusion effect is large and accordingly increased heat transfer rate is found (greater Nusselt number, Figure 15(a)). From Figures 9 to 16, the variations in local Nusselt number and the local Sherwood number for Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and thermophoresis parameter $Nt$ can be understood. For high rate of Brownian motion, that is, for increasing $Nb$, the values of $-\theta'(0)$ reduce and those of $-\varphi'(0)$ increase. But the increase in thermophoresis parameter $Nt$ causes reduction in both, the local Nusselt number and the local Sherwood number.

5. Concluding Remarks

The laminar MHD boundary layer flow of nanofluid past an exponentially permeable stretching sheet has been studied. The spatial focus is offered to the effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis on the heat transfer in boundary layer flow of nanofluid due to an exponentially stretching sheet in presence of magnetic field. The findings of the analysis can be summarized as follows.

(a) Due to thermophoresis particle deposition and Brownian motion the heat transfer from the surface to the ambient fluid significantly increases.

(b) The temperature and the nanoparticle volume fraction are enhanced with thermophoresis.

(c) For Brownian motion the temperature of the fluid increases and the nanoparticle volume fraction decreases.

(d) Due to the presence of the nanoparticles in the fluid, the Brownian motion and thermophoresis take place and those cause significant change in the heat transfer efficiency of the fluid.

(e) The magnetic field reduces the heat transfer rate, though it causes the increment in the temperature and the nanoparticle volume fraction inside the boundary layer due to exponential stretching of sheet.

(f) Due to mass suction, the velocity boundary layer thickness as well as the thermal and nanoparticle volume boundary layer thicknesses becomes thinner, whereas mass injection makes those thicker.

Nomenclature

- $B$: Variable magnetic field
- $B_0$: A constant
- $c$: Stretching constant
- $C_f$: Local skin friction coefficient
- $D_B$: Brownian diffusion coefficient
- $D_T$: Thermophoretic diffusion coefficient
- $f'$: Dimensionless stream function
- $f^*$: Dimensionless velocity
- $Le$: Lewis number
- $M$: Magnetic parameter
- $N$: Nanoparticle volume fraction
- $N_{0w}$: Constant nanoparticle volume fraction in free stream
- $N_{w0}$: Variable wall nanoparticle volume fraction
- $N_0$: A constant
- $Nb$: Brownian motion parameter
- $Nt$: Thermophoresis parameter
- $Nu_x$: Local Nusselt number
- $p$: A variable
- $Pr$: Prandtl number
- $q$: A variable
- $Re_{x0}$: Local Reynolds number
- $S$: Wall mass transfer parameter
- $S_h$: Local Sherwood number
- $T$: Temperature
- $T_{0\infty}$: Constant temperature of the fluid in the free stream
- $T_w$: Variable temperature at the sheet
- $T_0$: A constant
- $u$: Velocity component in x-direction
- $U_w$: Stretching velocity
- $v$: Velocity component in y-direction
Figure 10: Variation of $-\varphi'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of magnetic parameter $M$.

Figure 11: Variation of $-\theta'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of mass transfer parameter $S$.

Figure 12: Variation of $-\varphi'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of mass transfer parameter $S$. 
Figure 13: Variation of $-\theta'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of Prandtl number $Pr$.

Figure 14: Variation of $-\phi'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of Prandtl number $Pr$.

Figure 15: Variation of $-\theta'(0)$ with (a) Brownian motion parameter $Nb$ and (b) thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, for different values of Lewis number $Le$. 
\[ \nu_w: \text{ Variable wall mass transfer velocity} \]
\[ \nu_t: \text{ A constant} \]
\[ x: \text{ Distance along the sheet} \]
\[ y: \text{ Distance perpendicular to the sheet} \]
\[ z: \text{ A variable}. \]

**Greek Symbols**

\[ \alpha: \text{ Thermal conductivity} \]
\[ \eta: \text{ Similarity variable} \]
\[ \nu: \text{ Kinematic viscosity} \]
\[ \varphi: \text{ Dimensionless nanoparticle volume fraction} \]
\[ \rho_f: \text{ Density of the base fluid} \]
\[ (pc)_p: \text{ Effective heat capacity of nanoparticles} \]
\[ (pc)_f: \text{ Heat capacity of the base fluid} \]
\[ \Psi: \text{ Stream function} \]
\[ \theta: \text{ Dimensionless temperature}. \]
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