A Study of I-Function of Several Complex Variables
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The aim of this paper is to introduce a natural generalization of the well-known, interesting, and useful Fox H-function into generalized function of several variables, namely, the I-function of \( r \) variables. For \( r = 1 \), we get the I-function introduced and studied by Arjun Rathie (1997) and, for \( r = 2 \), we get I-function of two variables introduced very recently by Shantha Kumari et al. (2012). Convergent conditions, elementary properties, and special cases have also been given. The results presented in this paper generalize the results of H-function of \( r \) variables available in the literature.

1. Introduction

In 1997, Rathie introduced the generalization of the well-known Fox’s H-function [1] which has very recently found interesting applications in wireless communication [2–4]. Motivated by the I-function, very recently Shantha Kumari, Nambisan, and Rathie introduced I-function of two variables [5] which is a natural generalization of the H-function of two variables introduced earlier by Mittal and Gupta [6] and discussed some of its important properties.

In the present paper, we aim to develop I-function of \( r \) variables which may be regarded as the natural generalization of the H-function of \( r \) variables introduced earlier by Srivastava and Panda [7]. We also discussed some of the important properties.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we have defined the I-function of \( r \) variables by means of multiple Mellin-Barnes type contour integrals. In Section 3, we have given the convergence conditions for this function. In Section 4, we obtained the series representation and behaviour of the function for small values of the variables. In Section 5, we have mentioned special cases of our function giving relations with other functions available in the literature. Finally, in Section 6, we have mentioned a few important properties.

2. The I-Function of Several Variables

The generalized Fox H-function, namely, I-function of \( r \) variables, is defined and represented in the following manner:

\[
I_{\{z_1, \ldots, z_r\}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \phi(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \theta_1(s_1) \cdots \theta_r(s_r) z_1^{s_1} \cdots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \cdots ds_r,
\]
where \(\phi(s_1, \ldots, s_r), \theta_i(s_i), i = 1, \ldots, r\) are given by
\[
\phi(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} A_j \left( 1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \times \left( \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma^j \left( a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma^j \left( 1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \right)^{-1},
\]
and
\[
\theta_i(s_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma^{(j)} \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma^{(j)} \left( a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma^j \left( 1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \right)^{-1},
\]
where \(i = 1, \ldots, r\).

Also,
(i) \(z_i \neq 0\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, r\);
(ii) \(i = \sqrt{-1}\);
(iii) an empty product is interpreted as unity;
(iv) the parameters \(m_j, n_i, p_j, q_j\) are nonnegative integers such that \(0 \leq n \leq p, q \geq 0, 0 \leq n \leq p_j, 0 \leq m_j \leq q_j\) for \(j = 1, \ldots, r\) and \(r \) (not all zero simulataneously);
(v) \(\alpha_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, p, i = 1, \ldots, r), \beta_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, q, i = 1, \ldots, r), \gamma_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, p, i = 1, \ldots, r), \) and \(\delta_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, q, i = 1, \ldots, r)\) are supposed to be positive quantities for standardisation purpose. However, the definition of I-function of "r" variables will have a meaning even if some of the quantities are zero or negative numbers. For these, we may obtain corresponding transformation formulas which will be given in a later section;
(vi) \(a_j (j = 1, \ldots, p), b_j (j = 1, \ldots, q), c_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, p, i = 1, \ldots, r), 1 \) and \(d_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, q, i = 1, \ldots, r)\) are complex numbers;
(vii) the exponents \(A_j (j = 1, \ldots, p), B_j (j = 1, \ldots, q), C_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, p, i = 1, \ldots, r), \) and \(D_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, q, i = 1, \ldots, r)\) of various gamma functions involved in (2) and (3) may take noninteger values;
(viii) the contour \(L_i\) in the complex \(s_i\) plane is of Mellin-Barnes type which runs from \(c - \infty\) to \(c + \infty\) (\(c\) real) with indentation, if necessary, in such a manner that all singularities of \(\Gamma^{(j)} (d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i)\), \(j = 1, \ldots, m_i\) lie to the right and \(\Gamma^{(j)} (1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i), j = 1, \ldots, n_i\) are to the left of \(L_i\).

Following the results of Braaksma [8] the I-function of "r" variables is analytic if
\[
\mu_j = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j \alpha^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} B_j \beta^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j \gamma^{(i)} \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r.
\]

3. Convergence Conditions

Integral (1) converges absolutely if
\[
|\arg (z_k)| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k \pi, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r,
\]
where
\[
\Delta_k = \left[ - \sum_{j=m+1}^{p} A_j \alpha^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} B_j \beta^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} C_j \gamma^{(k)} \right] > 0,
\]
and if \(|\arg (z_k)| = (1/2) \Delta_k \pi \) and \(\Delta_k \geq 0, k = 1, \ldots, r\), then integral (1) converges absolutely under the following conditions:
(i) \(\mu_k = 0, \Omega_k < -1, \) where \(\mu_k\) is given by (4) and
\[
\Omega_k = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Re (a_j) \right] A_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Re (b_j) \right] B_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Re (c_j^{(k)}) \right] C_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \Re (d_j^{(k)}) \right] D_j^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r;
\]
(ii) \(\mu_k \neq 0, \) with \(s_k = \sigma_k + it_k, (\sigma_k \) and \(t_k\) are real, \(k = 1, \ldots, r, \) and \(\sigma_k\) are chosen so that for \(|t_k| \to \infty\) we have \(\Omega_k + \sigma_k \mu_k \leq -1.\)

Outline of the Proof. The convergence of integral (1) depends on the asymptotic behaviour of the functions \(\phi(s_1, \ldots, s_r), \theta_i(s_i), i = 1, \ldots, r\) defined by (2) and (3), respectively. Such
asymptotic behaviour is based on the following relation for the 
gamma function $\Gamma(z) = x + iy$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ [9]:

$$\Gamma(x + iy) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |y|^{x-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi |y| \right), \quad |y| \to \infty.$$  

(8)

Along the contour $\mathcal{D}_k$, if we put $s_k = \sigma_k + it_k$ and take the 
limit as $|t_k| \to \infty$ for $k = 1, \ldots, r$, we obtain by virtue of (8) that

$$\left| \Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \alpha^{(k)}_j t_k) \right| \leq (2\pi)^{A_j/2} |\alpha^{(k)}_j|^{1/2 - \Re(a_j) + \alpha^{(k)}_j} A_j,$$

(9)

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \left( \alpha^{(k)}_j |t_k| + |\Im(a_j)| \right) A_j \right],$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left| \Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \alpha^{(k)}_j s_k) \right| \leq (2\pi)^{\Sigma^{A_j/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha^{(k)}_j|^{1/2 - \Re(a_j) + \alpha^{(k)}_j} A_j,$$

(10)

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \alpha^{(k)}_j |t_k| + |\Im(a_j)| \right) A_j \right].$$

Similarly, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left| \Gamma^{B_j}(1 - b_j + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta^{(k)}_j s_k) \right| \leq (2\pi)^{\Sigma^{B_j/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\beta^{(k)}_j|^{1/2 - \Re(b_j) + \beta^{(k)}_j} B_j,$$

(11)

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \beta^{(k)}_j |t_k| + |\Im(a_j)| \right) B_j \right].$$

Also,

$$z_k^h = \exp \left[ (\sigma_k + it_k) \log |z_k| + i \arg(z_k) \right] = \exp [\sigma_k \log |z_k| - t_k \arg(z_k)]$$

(12)

$$= \exp (-t_k \arg(z_k)).$$

Hence, substituting (10)-(11) in (1) and using (12) we have, after much simplification,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left| \Gamma^{c^{(k)}_j}(1 - c^{(k)}_j + y^{(k)}_j s_k) \right| \leq (2\pi)^{\Sigma^{c^{(k)}_j/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (y^{(k)}_j |t_k|)^{1/2 - \Re(c^{(k)}_j) + y^{(k)}_j \alpha c^{(k)}_j} C^{(k)}_j,$$

(13)

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( y^{(k)}_j |t_k| + |\Im(c^{(k)}_j)| \right) C^{(k)}_j \right],$$

where $C_k$ is independent of $t_k$ and $\Delta_k$, $\mu_k$, and $\Omega_k$ are given 
by (6), (7), and (8), respectively, for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

Hence, the result follows.
Remark 1. If $D_{(i)} = 1$ ($j = 1, \ldots, m_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$) in (1), then the function will be denoted by

$$ \mathbf{I}_\gamma \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}_r \end{array} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(\gamma_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(\delta_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma\left( 1 - \delta_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma\left( 1 - \gamma_j \right).$$

The result can be proved on computing the residues at the

$$ (18)$$

Remark 2. If $C_{(i)} = 1$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n_i$), $D_{(i)} = 1$ ($j = 1, \ldots, m_i$), where $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and if $n = 0$ in (1), then the corresponding function will be denoted by

$$ \mathbf{I}_\gamma \left[ \mathbf{z}_1 \right] = 1(\gamma_j + \delta_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(\gamma_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(\delta_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma\left( 1 - \delta_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma\left( 1 - \gamma_j \right).$$

The result can be proved on computing the residues at the

$$ (19)$$

4. Series Representation

if

(i) $z_i \neq 0$, ($i = 1, \ldots, r$) and $\mu_i < 0$, where $\mu_i$ is given by

$$ (4)$$

This result can be proved on computing the residues at the
poles as follows:

\[ s_r = \frac{d h^{(i)} + k_i}{\delta h^{(i)}} \]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \).

The behaviour of the function \( I \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \right] \) is given by

\[ I \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \right] = O \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r} |z_j|^\phi_j \right), \quad \text{max} \{|z_1|, \ldots, |z_r|\} \to 0, \quad (20) \]

where

\[ \phi_j = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right], \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r). \quad (21) \]

On the other hand, when \(|z_i| \to \infty (i = 1, \ldots, r)\), the associated function \( I_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \right] \) given by (16) has the behaviour

\[ I_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} \right] = O \left( \prod_{j=1}^{r} |z_j|^\phi_j \right), \quad \text{min} \{|z_1|, \ldots, |z_r|\} \to 0, \quad (22) \]

where

\[ \phi_j = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \left[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{1 - c_j^{(i)}}{y_j^{(i)}} \right) \right], \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r). \quad (23) \]

5. Elementary Special Cases

In this section, we mention some interesting and useful special cases of the I-function of "r" variables.

(i) If all the exponents \( A_j (j = 1, \ldots, p) \), \( B_j (j = 1, \ldots, q) \), \( C_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, p_i, i = 1, \ldots, r) \), and \( D_j^{(i)} (j = 1, \ldots, q_j, i = 1, \ldots, r) \) in (1) are equal to unity, we obtain H-function of "r" variables defined by Srivastava and Panda [7].

(ii) When \( p = q = n = 0 \), (1) degenerates into the product of \( r \) mutually independent I-functions of one variable introduced by Rathie [1].

(iii) When \( p = q = n = 0 \) and \( r = 1 \), (1) reduces to the I-function defined by Rathie [1].

(iv) When \( n = p, m_i = 1, n_i = p_i, i = 1, \ldots, r \), and \( A_j = B_j = C_j = D_j = 1 \) and \( (d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; D_j^{(i)}) \) is replaced by \((0, 1, 1), (d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; D_j^{(i)})\), (1) reduces to the generalized Lauricella function [10].
where the functions $\psi_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ are Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions [11].

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{vmatrix}
    z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    z_r & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix}
& = \prod_{i=1}^{r} f_{\mu_i}^{\psi_i}(z_i), \\
\end{align*}$$

(26)

where the functions $f_{\mu_i}^{\psi_i}(z_i)$ are Wright's generalized Bessel functions [12].

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{vmatrix}
    -z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    -z_r & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix}
& = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \Phi(z_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i), \\
\end{align*}$$

(27)

where $\Phi(z_i, \mu_i, \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ are the generalized Riemann zeta functions [13, page 27, 1.11, (1)], which are the generalizations of Hurwitz zeta functions and Riemann zeta functions [13, page 24, 1.10, (1) and 1.12, (1)].

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{vmatrix}
    -z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    -z_r & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix}
& = \prod_{i=1}^{r} F(z_i, \mu_i), \\
\end{align*}$$

(28)

where $F(z_i, \mu_i)$ are the polylogarithms of order $\mu_i$. For $\mu_i = 2, i = 1, \ldots, r$, the R.H.S. of (28) reduces to the product of Euler's dilogarithm [13, page 31, 1.11, equation (2)].

6. Elementary Properties and Transformation Formulas

The properties given below are immediate consequence of the definition (1) and hence they are given here without proof:

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{vmatrix}
    z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    z_r & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix}
& = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{\mu_i}^{\psi_i}(z_i), \\
\end{align*}$$

(29)

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{vmatrix}
    z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
    z_r & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix}
& = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{\mu_i}^{\psi_i}(z_i), \\
\end{align*}$$

(30)

for $k_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, r$. 
\[
\text{(iii) } \frac{1}{k_1} \cdots \frac{1}{k_r} [z_1, \ldots, z_r] = \phi_{m_{1},m_{2},\ldots,m_{r}}^{n_{1},n_{2},\ldots,n_{r}}_{p_{1},p_{2},\ldots,p_{r},\ldots,q_{r}} \begin{bmatrix}
    z_1^{k_1} & (a_j; k_j; A_j)_{1,p} & (c_j^{(1)}; k_j; C_j^{(1)})_{1,p} & \cdots & (c_j^{(r)}; k_j; C_j^{(r)})_{1,p} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_r^{k_r} & (b_j; k_j; B_j)_{1,p} & (d_j^{(1)}; k_j; D_j^{(1)})_{1,q_1} & \cdots & (d_j^{(r)}; k_j; D_j^{(r)})_{1,q_r}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( k_j > 0, i = 1, \ldots, r, \)

\[
\text{(iv) } \frac{1}{p_{1},p_{2},\ldots,p_{r},\ldots,q_{r}} \begin{bmatrix}
    z_1 & (a; A)_{1,p} & (c_1^{(1)}; C_1^{(1)})_{1,p} & \cdots & (c_r^{(r)}; C_r^{(r)})_{1,p} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_r & (b; B)_{1,q} & (d_1^{(1)}; D_1^{(1)})_{1,q_1} & \cdots & (d_r^{(r)}; D_r^{(r)})_{1,q_r}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( p \geq n \geq 1, \)

\[
\text{(v) } \frac{1}{p_{1},p_{2},\ldots,p_{r},\ldots,q_{r}} \begin{bmatrix}
    z_1 & (a; A)_{1,p-1} & (c_1^{(1)}; C_1^{(1)})_{1,p} & \cdots & (c_r^{(r)}; C_r^{(r)})_{1,p} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_r & (b; B)_{1,q} & (d_1^{(1)}; D_1^{(1)})_{1,q_1} & \cdots & (d_r^{(r)}; D_r^{(r)})_{1,q_r}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( p - 1 \geq n \geq 0, \)

\[
\text{(vi) } \frac{1}{p_{1},p_{2},\ldots,p_{r},\ldots,q_{r}} \begin{bmatrix}
    z_1 & (a; A)_{1,p} & (c_1^{(1)}; C_1^{(1)})_{1,p} & \cdots & (c_r^{(r)}; C_r^{(r)})_{1,p} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_r & (b; B)_{1,q-1} & (b; B)_{1,q} & (d_1^{(1)}; D_1^{(1)})_{1,q_1} & \cdots & (d_r^{(r)}; D_r^{(r)})_{1,q_r}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( q - 1 \geq 0, \)
\[\begin{align*}
\text{(vii)} & \quad I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
& I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
\end{align*}\]

where \( p \geq n \geq 1, \Re(1 - a) > 0, \)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{(viii)} & \quad I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
& I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - a) \times \\
\end{align*}\]

where \( p - 1 \geq n \geq 0, \Re(a) > 0, \)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{(ix)} & \quad I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - b) \times \\
& I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - b) \times \\
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - b) \times \\
\end{align*}\]

where \( q - 1 \geq 0, \Re(1 - b) > 0, \)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{(x)} & \quad I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - c) \times \\
& I_{p,n_1,\ldots,n_r,p_1,\ldots,p_r,q_1,\ldots,q_r}^{0,\ldots,m_1,\ldots,m_r,n_1,\ldots,n_r}
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - c) \times \\
& = 1^{\alpha} (1 - c) \times \\
\end{align*}\]

where \( p_1 \geq n_1 \geq 1, \Re(1 - c) > 0, \)
(xi) \[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}(c)} \prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}(c)} \prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( p_1 - 1 \geq n_1 \geq 0 \), \( \mathcal{R}(c) > 0 \),

(xii) \[
\prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix} = \Gamma^d \prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( q_1 \geq m_1 \geq 1 \), \( \mathcal{R}(d) > 0 \),

(xiii) \[
\prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Gamma^d (1 - d)} \prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

provided that \( q_1 - 1 \geq m_1 \geq 0 \), \( \mathcal{R}(1 - d) > 0 \),

(xiv) \[
\prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Gamma^d (1 - d)} \prod_{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{z_1} \left( a_j, a_1^{(r)}, \ldots, a_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{z_r} \left( b_j, p_j^{(r)}, \ldots, p_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

provided that \( p \geq n \geq 1 \), \( p_i \geq n_i \geq 1 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, r \), and \( q \geq 1 \),
\( q_i \geq m_i + 1, i = 1, \ldots, r \).
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7. Special Cases

When \( r = 2 \) and all the exponents \( A_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots, p)\), \( B_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots, q)\), \( C_i^{(r)} \) \((j = 1, \ldots, p_i, i = 1, \ldots, r)\), and \( D_i^{(r)} \) \((1, \ldots, q_i, i = 1, \ldots, r)\) the I-function of \( \nu \) variables reduces to H-function of two variables and therefore we obtain the corresponding results in H-function of two variables [14].
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