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The rth-order nonlinearity of Boolean function plays a central role against several known attacks on stream and block ciphers. Because of the fact that its maximum equals the covering radius of the rth-order Reed-Muller code, it also plays an important role in coding theory. The computation of exact value or high lower bound on the rth-order nonlinearity of a Boolean function is very complicated problem, especially when r > 1. This paper is concerned with the computation of the lower bounds for third-order nonlinearities of two classes of Boolean functions of the form Tr2(λx3) for all x ∈ F2n, λ ∈ F2n, where (a) d = 2^i + 2^j + 2^k + 1, where i, j, and k are integers such that i > j > k ≥ 1 and n > 2i, and (b) d = 2^{2i} + 2^{2j} + 2^{2k} + 1, where ℓ is a positive integer such that gcd(ℓ, n) = 1 and n > 6.

1. Introduction

Boolean functions are the building blocks for the design and the security of symmetric cryptographic systems and for the definition of some kinds of error correcting codes, sequences, and designs. The rth-order nonlinearity, \( nl_r(f) \), of a Boolean function \( f \in B_n \) is defined by the minimum Hamming distance of \( f \) to RM(r, n)-Reed-Muller code of length \( 2^n \) and order \( r \) (RM(r, n) := \{ f ∈ B_n : \deg(f) ≤ r \}). The nonlinearity of \( f \) is given by \( nl(f) = nl_1(f) \) and is related to the immunity of \( f \) against best affine approximation attacks [1] and fast correlation attacks [2], when \( f \) is used as a combiner function or a filter function in a stream cipher. The rth-order nonlinearity is an important parameter, which measures the resistance of the function against various low-order approximation attacks [1, 3, 4]. In cryptographic framework, within the trade-off with the other important criteria, the rth-order nonlinearity must be as large as possible; see [5–9]. Since, the maximal rth-order nonlinearity of all Boolean functions equals the covering radius of RM(r, n), it also has an application in coding theory. Besides these applications, an interesting connection between the rth-order nonlinearity and the fast algebraic attacks has been introduced, recently in [9], which claims that a cryptographic Boolean function should have high rth-order nonlinearity to resist the fast algebraic attack.

Unlike nonlinearity there is no efficient algorithm to compute second-order nonlinearities for \( n > 11 \). The most efficient algorithm is introduced by Fourquet and Tavernier [10] which works for \( n ≤ 11 \) and up to \( n = 13 \) for some special functions. Thus, to identify a class of Boolean function with high rth-order nonlinearity, even for \( r = 2 \), is a very relevant area of research. In 2008, Carlet has devoted a technique to compute rth-order nonlinearity recursively in [11], and using this technique he has obtained the lower bounds of nonlinearity profiles for functions belonging to several classes of functions: Kasami functions, Welch functions, inverse functions, and so forth. Based on this technique, the lower bound for rth-order nonlinearity, for \( r ≥ 2 \), is obtained for some specific classes of Boolean functions, in many articles; see, for example, [11–14] and the references therein. The best known asymptotic upper bound for \( nl_3(f) \) given by Carlet and Mesnager [15] is as follows:

\[
nl_3(f) ≤ 2^{n-1} - \sqrt{15} \cdot (1 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot 2^{n/2-1} + O(n).
\] (1)

The classes of Boolean functions for which the lower bound of third nonlinearity is known are inverse functions [11], Dillon functions [16], and Kasami functions, \( f(x) = Tr_2^n(\lambda x^{57}) \) [12]. In this paper, we deduce the theoretical lower bounds on third-order nonlinearities of two classes of biquadratic
monomial Boolean functions $\text{Tr}_n^i(\lambda x^d)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ and (a) $d = 2^i + 2^j + 2^k + 1$, where $i, j, k$ are integers such that $i > j > k \geq 1$ and $n > 2i$, and (b) $d = 2^k + 2^d + 2^n + 1$, where $e$ is a positive integer such that $\gcd(e, n) = 1$ and $n > 6$.

Remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some basic definitions and notations required for the subsequent sections are reviewed. The main results on lower bounds of third-order nonlinearities are presented in Section 3. The numerical compression of our bounds with the previous known results is provided in Section 4. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ be the finite field consisting of $2^n$ elements. The group of units of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, is a cyclic group consisting of $2^n - 1$ elements. An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is said to be a primitive element if it is a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. A function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is said to be a Boolean function on $n$ variables; the set of such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_n$. Let $Z$ and $Z_p$ where $q$ is a positive integer, denote the ring of integers and integers modulo $q$, respectively. A cyclotomic coset modulo $2^n - 1$ of $s \in Z$ is defined as

$$C_s = \{s, s^2, s^2^2, \ldots, s^{2^n-1}\}$$

where $n_s$ is the smallest positive integer such that $s \equiv s^{2^k}$ (mod $2^n - 1$) [17, page 104]. It is a convention to choose the subscript $s$ to be the smallest integer in $C_s$ and refer to it as the coset leader of $C_s$ and $n_s$ denotes the size of $C_s$. The trace function $\text{Tr}_n^i : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is defined by $\text{Tr}_n^i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{2^i}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. The trace representation [18] of a function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma(n)} \text{Tr}_n^i(A_k x^k) + A_{2^{n-1}} x^{2^{n-1}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n},$$

where $\Gamma(n)$ is the set of all coset leaders modulo $2^n - 1$ and $A_k \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $A_{2^{n-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for all $k \in \Gamma(n)$. A Boolean function is said to be a monomial trace term if its trace representation consists of single trace term. The binary representation of an integer $d \in Z$ is

$$d = d_{m-1}2^{m-1} + d_{m-2}2^{m-2} + \cdots + d_22 + d_0,$$

where $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{m-1} \in \{0, 1\}$. The Hamming weight of $d$ is $w_H(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_i$, where the sum is over $Z$. The algebraic degree, denoted by $\deg(f)$, of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, as represented in (3), is the largest positive integer $\omega$ for which $w_H(k) = \omega$ and $A_k \neq 0$. The support of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

The Walsh-Hadamard transform (WHT) of a Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined by $W_f(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{f(x)+\text{Tr}_n^i(x)}$. The nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ in terms of its Walsh-Hadamard spectrum (WHS) is given by

$$\text{nl}_f = 2^{n-1} - 1 \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} |W_f(\lambda)|.$$  

The set $\{W_f(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$ is referred to as the WHS of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ which satisfies the Parseval’s identity: $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} W_f(\lambda)^2 = 2^{2n}$ which implies that $\max |W_f(\lambda)| : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \geq 2^{n/2}$, and so $\text{nl}(f) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{(n/2)-1}$. The function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ achieving maximum possible nonlinearity $2^{n-1} - 2^{(n/2)-1}$ are said to be bent functions (exists only for even $n$), were introduced by Rothaus [19].

The derivative of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is defined by $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. The second-order derivatives of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with respect to $V = \langle a, b \rangle$ is the Boolean function $D_V f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ which is defined by $D_V f(x) = D_{2a} D_{2b} f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a) + f(x + b) + f(x + a + b)$, where $V$ is two-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ generated by $a$ and $b$; for details on higher derivatives, see [5, 11]. The $r$th-order nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined as

$$\text{nl}_r(f) = \min_{h \in \text{RM}(r,n)} \max_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{f(x)+h(x)} \right|.$$  

The sequence $[\text{nl}_r(f)]_{r=0}^{\infty}$ is called the nonlinearity profile of $f$. Also, $\text{nl}_r(f) \leq \text{nl}_{r-1}(f)$ because $\text{RM}(r-1, n) \subseteq \text{RM}(r, n)$. The notion of $r$th-order bent functions introduced by Iwata and Kurosawa [4]. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is said to be $r$-order bent (for $r \leq n - 3$) if and only if $\text{nl}_r(f) \geq 2^{n-r-3}(r + 4)$, for even $r$, and $\text{nl}_r(f) \geq 2^{n-r-3}(r + 5)$, for odd $r$.

Carlet’s [11] recursive lower bounds for third-order nonlinearity which we use to compute our bounds, are given below in Propositions 1 and 2.

**Proposition 1** (see [11, Proposition 2]). Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$; then $\text{nl}_3(f) \geq (1/4) \max \{\text{nl}(D_a D_b f) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$.

**Proposition 2** (see [11, Equation (1)]). Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Then

$$\text{nl}_3(f) \geq 2^{n-1} - 1 \sqrt{\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \text{nl}(D_a D_b f)}.$$  

**Proposition 3** (see [17, Chapter 15, Corollary 13] (McEliece’s theorem)). The $r$th-order nonlinearities of a Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with algebraic degree $d$ are divisible by $2^{\text{nl}(d-1)}$, where $\lceil u \rceil$ denotes the ceiling of $u$ (the smallest integer greater than or equal to $u$).

**Proposition 4** (see [20, Corollary 1]). Let $L(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n x^{2^k}$ be a linearized polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, where $v, k$ are positive integers such that $\gcd(n, k) = 1$. Then zeroes of the linearized polynomial $L(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ are at most $2^v$.

The result in Proposition 4 above was introduced by Bracken et al. [20]. The bilinear form [17] associated with a quadratic Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined by $B(x, y) := f(0) + f(x) + f(y) + f(x + y)$ and the kernel, $\mathcal{E}_f$ of $B(x, y)$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : B(x, y) = 0 \ \forall \ y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}.$$  


An element $c \in \mathcal{E}_f$ is called a linear structure of $f$. Next, if $V$ is a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic 2 and $Q : V \to \mathbb{F}_q$ a quadratic form, then $\dim(V)$ and $\dim(\mathcal{E}_f)$ have the same parity [21]. The distribution of the WHT values of a quadratic Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is given in the following theorem which claims that the weight distribution of the values in the WHS of $f$ depends only on the dimension $k$ of $\mathcal{E}_f$.

**Theorem 5** (see [17, 21]). Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ be a quadratic Boolean function and $k = \dim(\mathcal{E}_f)$, where $\mathcal{E}_f$ is defined in (8); then the weight distribution of the WHT values of $f$ is given by

$$W_f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
0, & 2^n - 2^{n-k} \text{ times,} \\
2^{(n-k)/2}, & 2^{n-k-1} + (-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2)/2} \text{ times,} \\
-2^{(n-k)/2}, & 2^{n-k-1} - (-1)^{f(0)} 2^{(n-k-2)/2} \text{ times.}
\end{cases}$$

(9)

**3. Main Results**

In this section, using Carlet’s recursive technique [11], the theoretical lower bounds for third-order nonlinearities of two general classes of monomial Boolean functions of degree 4 are obtained.

**Theorem 6.** Let $f_\lambda(x) = Tr^n_1(\lambda x^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1})$, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $i, j, \text{ and } k$ are integers such that $i > j > k \geq 1$ and $n > 2i$. Then

$$nl_3(f_\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 
2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^n - 1} \sqrt{2^{(3n+2)/2}} + 2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2)/2} & \text{if } n = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^n - 1} \sqrt{2^{(3n+2)/2}} - 2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2)/2} & \text{if } n = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases}$$

(10)

In particular, if $\gcd(j - k, n) = 1$, then

$$nl_3(f_\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 
2^{n-2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^n - 1} \sqrt{2^{(3n+2)/2}} + 2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2)/2} & \text{if } n = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n-2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^n - 1} \sqrt{2^{(3n+2)/2}} - 2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2)/2} & \text{if } n = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases}$$

(11)

Proof. Derivative of $f_\lambda$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is

$$D_a f_\lambda(x) = f_\lambda(x + a) + f_\lambda(x)$$

$$= Tr^n_1(\lambda x^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1}) + Tr^n_1(\lambda x^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1})$$

$$= Tr^n_1(\lambda (ax^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1} + a^2x^{2^{i+j}+1} + a^2x^{2^{i+j}+1})) + q(x),$$

(12)

where $q$ is quadratic. The second derivative $D_b D_a f_\lambda$ with respect to $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, where $a \neq b$, is

$$D_b D_a f_\lambda (x)$$

$$= f_\lambda(x + a + b) + f_\lambda(x + a) + f_\lambda(x + b) + f_\lambda(x)$$

$$= Tr^n_1(\lambda(x + a + b)^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1}) + Tr^n_1(\lambda x^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1})$$

$$+ Tr^n_1(\lambda(x + a)^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1}) + Tr^n_1(\lambda x^{2^{i+j}+2^{i}+1})$$

$$= l(x) + Tr^n_1(\lambda (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}}),$$

(13)

where $l$ is an affine function. If $D_b D_a f_\lambda$ is quadratic, then the WHS of $D_b D_a f_\lambda$ is equivalent to the WHS of the function $h_\lambda$ obtained by removing $l$ from $D_b D_a f_\lambda$:

$$h_\lambda(x) = Tr^n_1(\lambda (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}+1} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}})$$

(14)

Further, $\mathcal{E}_f = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : B(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \}$, where $B(x, y)$ is the bilinear form associated with $h_\lambda$. Now, using $x^{2^i} = x, y^{2^i} = y$, and $Tr^n_1(x^{2^i}) = Tr^n_1(x)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we compute $B(x, y)$ as follows

$$B(x, y) = h_\lambda(0) + h_\lambda(x) + h_\lambda(y) + h_\lambda(x + y)$$

$$= Tr^n_1(\lambda y^{2^i} ((ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}} + (ab^{2^i} + a^2 b) x^{2^{i+j}}) x)$$

(12)
\[\begin{align*}
+ y^{2i} \left( \left( ab^{2i} + a^2 b \right) x^{2i} + \left( ab^{2i} + a^2 b \right) x^{2i} \right) \\
+ \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ y \left( \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \right) \\
+ \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i}) \right)
\]

\[= \text{Tr}^n(yP(x)), \] (15)

where

\[P(x) = \lambda \left( ab^{2i} + a^2 b \right) x^{2i} + \lambda \left( ab^{2i} + a^2 b \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i} \\
+ \lambda \left( a^2 b^{2i} + a^2 b^{2i} \right) x^{2i}.
\] (16)

The coefficient of \(x\) in \(L_{(\lambda, a, b)}(x)\) is zero if and only if \(a^{2i} b^{2i} + a^2 b = 0\); that is, \(a^{2i} b + ab^{2i} = 0\) which implies that \(b \in aF_{2^n}\). Therefore, for every \(0 \neq a, b \in F_{2^n}\) such that \(b \notin aF_{2^n}\), the degree of linearized polynomial, \(L_{(\lambda, a, b)}\), in \(x\) is at most \(2^{2i}\); this implies that the dimension of the kernel \(\mathcal{K}_{D_bD_\lambda f_\lambda}\) associated with \(D_bD_\lambda f_\lambda\) is \(k(a, b) \leq 2i\) if \(n\) is even; otherwise \(k(a, b) \leq 2i - 1\). The WHT of \(D_bD_\lambda f_\lambda\) at \(\mu \in F_{2^n}\) is

\[W_{D_bD_\lambda f_\lambda}(\mu) \leq \begin{cases} \\
2^{(m - 2)/2}, & \text{if } n = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{(m - 1)/2}, & \text{if } n = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases} \] (19)

Therefore,

\[\text{nl}(D_bD_\lambda f_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \\
2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2i-2)/2}, & \text{if } n = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n+1} - 2^{(n+2i-3)/2}, & \text{if } n = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases} \] (20)

Using Proposition 1, we have

\[\text{nl}_3(f_\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} \\
2^{n-3} - 2^{(n+2i-6)/2}, & \text{if } n = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n-3} - 2^{(n+2i-7)/2}, & \text{if } n = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases} \] (21)

In particular, if \(\gcd(j - k, n) = 1\), we have \(k(a, b) \leq 2i\) if \(n\) is even; otherwise \(k(a, b) \leq 2i - 1\) for all \(a, b \in F_{2^n}\) such that \(a \neq 0\) and \(b \notin aF_{2^n}\). Therefore, (20) holds for all \(a, b \in F_{2^n}\) such that \(a \neq 0\) and \(b \notin aF_{2^n}\).

Using Proposition 2, we have the following.

(i) When \(n = 0 \mod 2\),

\[\text{nl}_3(f_\lambda) \geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \left(2^n - 2 \cdot 2^n - 2 \cdot (2^n - 1 - 2^{n+2i-2}/2) \right)} \]

\[= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \left(2^{3n+3}/2 + 2^{n+1} - 2^{n+2i+2}/2 \right)}.
\] (22)
(ii) When \( n = 1 \mod 2 \),
\[
\text{nl}_3(f_\lambda) \geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{2n-2} - 2 (2^n - 2) (2^{n-2} - 2 (n+2) - 2)}}
\]
\[
= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{3n-2} - 2 + 2^{n-1} - 2 (2^{n+1})}},
\]
and so, by Proposition 4, \( b \in a \mathbb{F}_2 \). The polynomial \( L_{(\lambda, a, b)}(x) \) as represented in (25) is of the form \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i x^i \) and so, again by Proposition 4, the equation \( L_{(\lambda, a, b)}(x) = 0 \) has at most 2\(^6\) roots for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2 \) such that \( a \neq 0 \) and \( b \notin a \mathbb{F}_2 \). This implies that \( k(a, b) \leq 6 \) if \( n \) is even; otherwise \( k(a, b) \leq 5 \). The WHT of \( D_\lambda D_\alpha g_\lambda \) at \( \mu \in \mathbb{F}_2 \) is
\[
W_{D_\lambda D_\alpha g_\lambda}(\mu) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 0 \mod 2, \\
\frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 1 \mod 2.
\end{array} \right.
\]
(26)
Therefore,
\[
\text{nl}_3(g_\lambda) \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
2^{n-3} - \frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n-3} - \frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 1 \mod 2.
\end{array} \right.
\]
(27)

Using Proposition 1, we have
\[
\text{nl}_3(g_\lambda) \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
2^{n-3} - \frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 0 \mod 2, \\
2^{n-3} - \frac{2^n}{n+1} & \text{if } \mu = 1 \mod 2.
\end{array} \right.
\]
(28)

Using Proposition 2, we have the following.

(i) When \( n = 0 \mod 2 \),
\[
\text{nl}_3(g_\lambda) \geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 (2^n - 2) (2^{n-1} - 2 (n+4))}}
\]
\[
= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{3n+6} + 2^{n+1} - 2 (n+8)}}.
\]
(29)

(ii) When \( n = 1 \mod 2 \),
\[
\text{nl}_3(g_\lambda) \geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 (2^n - 2) (2^{n-1} - 2 (n+3))}}
\]
\[
= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2^n - 1) \sqrt{2^{3n+5} + 2^{n+1} - 2 (n+7)}}.
\]
(30)

Remark 8. Let \( f \in \mathcal{B}_n \) be a biquadratic Boolean function. If there exists at least elements \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^* \) such that \( D_\lambda D_\alpha f \) is quadratic, then \( \text{nl}_3(f) \geq 2^{n-3} \). This result follows from Proposition 1 and the fact that the nonlinearity of any quadratic function in \( \mathcal{B}_n \) is at least \( 2^{n-2} \) [11, 22].

4. Comparison

The theoretical lower bounds for third-order nonlinearities obtained by using Theorem 6 for \( i = 3, 4, 5 \) and \( j, k \) are taken in such a way that \( \gcd(j-k, n) = 1 \) and reported in Tables 1 and 2. The bounds are compared with the general bounds for third-order nonlinearity: \( \text{nl}_3(f) \geq 2^{n-4} \), for any biquadratic
Table 1: The lower bounds on the third-order nonlinearities obtained by Theorem 6 for odd $n$ and $i = 3, 4, 5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i = 3$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>9493</td>
<td>42361</td>
<td>184199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 4$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>8191</td>
<td>37979</td>
<td>169457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 5$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>6642</td>
<td>32767</td>
<td>151923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General bounds</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>32768</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The lower bounds on the third-order nonlinearities obtained by Theorem 6 for even $n$ and $i = 3, 4, 5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i = 3$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>4094</td>
<td>18988</td>
<td>84726</td>
<td>368407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 4$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>3321</td>
<td>16283</td>
<td>75960</td>
<td>338919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 5$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>13284</td>
<td>65535</td>
<td>303849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General bounds</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>65536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of the value of lower bounds on third-order nonlinearities obtained by Theorem 6 with the bound obtained in [4, 11, 12] for odd $n$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Theorem 6</th>
<th>[12]</th>
<th>[4]</th>
<th>[11]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9496</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>8872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>42368</td>
<td>16256</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>40272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>184208</td>
<td>65280</td>
<td>65536</td>
<td>177168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of the value of lower bounds on third-order nonlinearities obtained by Theorem 6 with the bound obtained in [4, 11, 12] for even $n$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Theorem 6</th>
<th>[12]</th>
<th>[4]</th>
<th>[11]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18992</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>18992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>84736</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32768</td>
<td>84736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>368416</td>
<td>130816</td>
<td>131072</td>
<td>368416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boolean function. It is evident that the bounds for $i = 3, 4$ are efficiently large and decrease with increasing the value of $i$. It is to be noted that Class $(a)$ is the more general class of biquadratic monomial Boolean functions containing several classes of highly nonlinear Boolean functions. In particular, for $i = 5$, $j = 4$, and $k = 3$ Class $(a)$ coincides with Kasami functions of algebraic degree 4.

The theoretical bounds for third-order nonlinearities obtained by using Theorem 7 and Proposition 3 are compared with known classes of functions [4, 11, 12] and reported in Tables 3 and 4. It is to be noted that the lower bounds for third-order nonlinearities of the inverse functions $(\text{nl}(f_{inv}) \geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{(7n-2)/8})$ are larger than that of the Dillon functions $(\text{nl}(f_{dillon}) \geq 2^{n-1} - 2^{7n/8})$ for all $n$. Thus, it is demonstrated that the lower bound obtained by Theorem 7 is better than the bounds obtained by Gode and Gangopadhyay [12] for Kasami functions: $\text{Tr}(\lambda x^5)$, Iwata and Kurosawa's general bound [4] for all $n > 8$. Also these bounds are improved upon Carlet's [11] bound for inverse function when $n$ is odd, or $n = 8, 12$, and equal for the rest of values of even $n$.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, using recursive approach introduced in [11], we have computed the lower bounds of third-order nonlinearities of two general classes of biquadratic monomial Boolean functions. It is demonstrated that in some cases our bounds are better than the bounds obtained previously.
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