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Bone Health in Type 1 Diabetes: Where We Are Now and How We Should Proceed
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Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an autoimmune disease that precipitates in genetically susceptible individuals by environmental factors. The body’s own immune system attacks the beta-cells in the islets of Langerhans of the pancreas, destroying or damaging them sufficiently to reduce and eliminate insulin production, leading to the hypoinsulinemia and chronic hyperglycaemia [1]. T1D incidence has been globally rising during the past decades by as much as 3% annually, the cause of which is unknown. If these trends will continue, the total prevalence of people with type 1 diabetes will increase in coming years [2].

Chronic hyperglycaemia in T1D leads, in course of time, to chronic complications. Besides acute diabetic complications, nowadays, health providers give more attention to the prevention of disabling chronic complications, such as diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, and precocious atherosclerosis with early cardiovascular disease. Recently, a major interest has been focused on poor bone metabolism in T1D that can represent an overlooked complication of diabetes.

1. Introduction

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an autoimmune disease with chronic hyperglycaemic state. Besides diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy, T1D is characterized by poor bone health. The reduced bone mineralization and quality/strength, due to hyperglycemia, hypoinsulinemia, autoimmune inflammation, low levels of insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1), and vitamin D, lead to vertebral/hip fractures. Young age of T1D manifestation, chronic poor glycemic control, high daily insulin dose, low BMI, reduced renal function, and the presence of complications can be helpful in identifying T1D patients at risk of reduced bone mineral density. Although risk factors for fracture risk are still unknown, chronic poor glycemic control and presence of diabetic complications might raise the suspicion of elevated fracture risk in T1D. In the presence of the risk factors, the assessment of bone mineral density by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and the search of asymptomatic vertebral fracture by lateral X-ray radiography of thorax-lumbar spine should be recommended. The improvement of glycemic control may have a beneficial effect on bone in T1D. Several experiments showed promising results on using anabolic pharmacological agents (recombinant IGF-1 and parathyroid hormone) in diabetic rodents with bone disorder. Randomized clinical trials are needed in order to test the possible use of bone anabolic therapies in humans with T1D.

2. What Do We Know? Bone Parameters and Fracture Risk in Type 1 Diabetes

2.1. Bone Mineralization. An association between diabetes and reduced bone mass was firstly described by Albright and Reifenstein in 1948 [3]. In 1976 Levin and coauthors [4] demonstrated that almost 50% of patients with T1D had a reduction of bone mineral density (BMD) at the wrist.
The studies concerning the bone metabolism in T1D can be categorized into two groups: (1) studies evaluating bone metabolism in diabetic children and adolescents who did not reach the peak of bone mass yet; (2) studies evaluating bone metabolism in adults who developed T1D after having reached peak of bone mass.

It should be admitted that it is rather difficult to study bone metabolism in such population as children/adolescents whose skeleton is still in the way of growing. Moreover, the majority of studies included the children/adolescents at different stages of puberty and, therefore, at different stages of acquisition of bone mass. This probably, has been one of the main reasons of the lack of concordant results about the impact of diabetes on growing bones. Some authors [5–11] showed no differences in BMD between TID children/adolescents and their peers without diabetes. However, other authors found low bone mineral content (BMC) and low BMD both at spine and at femoral neck in TID children/adolescents [12–18]. Moreover, some longitudinal studies [6, 9] demonstrated a significant reduction of either lumbar spine or femoral neck BMD in diabetic patients after 2–4 years of followup, despite normal BMD at baseline. Therefore, it seems that TID, appeared in childhood, may alter the acquisition of bone mass that can be registered in youth ages or later in adult life.

Indeed, the majority of studies, performed on the TID adults, consistently showed a reduction of BMD either at lumbar spine and/or at femur [8, 9, 19–30]. Only few studies [31–33], which were conducted on small groups of diabetic patients (less than 40 cases), were discordant. Vestergaard [34] having analyzed 80 studies regarding bone density in patients (less than 40 cases), were discordant. Vestergaard [34] demonstrated a 6.94-fold frequency of reduced BMD in T1D patients after 2–4 years of followup, despite normal BMD at baseline. Therefore, it seems that TID, appeared in childhood, may alter the acquisition of bone mass that can be registered in youth ages or later in adult life.

Frequency of reduced BMD in TID varies largely from 3 to 40% [19, 24, 25, 29, 30]. In our study by Eller-Vainicher and coauthors [35] about 30% of 175 TID patients had low bone mass (osteopenia/osteoporosis) at spine and/or femur, which was significantly higher in comparison to healthy controls.

2.2. Geometric Bone Parameters. Another important observation about bone parameters in TID, besides low bone mineralization, is the reduced bone size. Indeed, both studies on TID animals [36–38] and TID children/adolescents [10, 16, 39, 40] showed a significant decrease of bone cross-sectional area at radius, tibia and femur and a decrease of femur cortical thickness, leading to smaller and thinner bones. Thus, the decrease in BMD measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) could be due to the reduced bone size. On the contrary, Miazgowski et al. [29] did not find any differences in hip cross-section area between TID adult males and healthy controls, in the presence, at the same time, of low BMD. Such discrepancy could be explained by the fact that reduced bone size in the phase of growing can normalize with age, as shown in a 5-year followup study by Bechtold et al. [39]. Nonetheless, lower bone size has been demonstrated even after completion of pubertal growth in TID adolescents [40], and lower mineralization was found even in these smaller bones when measured with peripheral quantitative computer tomography (pQCT) [16].

2.3. Bone Strength/Biomechanical Parameters and Bone Quality. Bone strength and bone quality play an important role in the bone health and contribute in the relevant manner to a fracture event.

Biomechanical parameters (maximum load, displacement, energy absorption capacity, stiffness, ultimate stress, toughness, and elastic modulus), measured with tensile test and nanoindentation, reflect how the bone is able to resist the applied load. In the studies [36–38], performed on the streptozotocin- (STZ-) induced diabetic animal models, a reduction in the whole bone strength (less toughness and more brittleness) has been observed, leading to increased susceptibility to even small energy load. On the other hand, Miazgowski et al. [29] has found only the tendency of cross-sectional moment of inertia (CSMI) to be reduced in TID adult men. Of note, in the latter study [29], CSMI was measured with DEXA, which might not be sensitive enough to evaluate biomechanical bone properties.

Few studies addressed the issue of bone quality in TID. At one hand, STZ-induced diabetic animal models [36, 37] have shown changes of bone structure, analyzed with either microcomputed tomography (μCT) and histomorphometry, such as lower bone volume and fewer and thinner trabeculae. At the same time, the sole human study [41] could not find any differences of bone structure between TID patients and healthy controls. However, in the same study, TID patients with fractures tended to have lower bone volume, trabecular thickness, and number and higher trabecular separation as compared to patients without fractures, therefore indicating possible microarchitectural deterioration in the fractured diabetic subjects [41]. Larger human studies are needed to prove whether bone strength and quality are altered in type 1 diabetes.

2.4. Fracture Risk. In TID patients the frequency of lifetime fractures at any site has been reported to be increased as compared to counterparts without diabetes [27, 42]. The meta-analysis of Vestergaard [34] demonstrated a 6.94-fold increase risk of hip fracture in TID. Further, in our study [43] TID patients were found to have an increased prevalence of also asymptomatic vertebral fractures, which have been observed in 25% of diabetic subjects.

In conclusion, there is strong evidence that bones in TID patients are characterized by poor mineralization and smaller and thinner size with reduced bone strength and quality, which can lead to a higher fracture incidence at any site, predominantly at femoral neck.

3. Why and How Did It Happen?

Pathophysiological Aspects of Bone Disorder in Type 1 Diabetes

3.1. Bone Turnover: Osteoblastic and Osteoclastic Activity. Bone remodeling consists of bone formation and resorption,
which are performed by osteoblasts and osteoclasts, respectively. Remodeling causes renewals to bone by removing old material with microcracks and consequently by constructing a new one. The coupling of these two processes represents a crucial moment in the maintenance of bone health. When the function of osteoblasts and/or osteoclasts is impaired, the bone apposition and resorption are altered, rendering bone remodeling inefficient to repair old material.

Remodeling is regulated by several hormones and cytokines, among which insulin, insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), parathyroid hormone (PTH), thyroid hormones, cortisols, estrogen, vitamin D, and other cytokines of inflammation such as interleukin-1 and 6 (IL-1,6), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) play a major role. The activity of osteoblasts and osteoclasts can be reflected by different markers measured both in the blood and in the urine. Bone formation markers consist of osteocalcin, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, procollagen type I amino terminal propeptide, and procollagen type I carboxyl terminal propeptide, while resorptive markers consist of N-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type-I collagen, C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type-I collagen, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase, pyridinoline, deoxypyridinoline, and hydroxyproline [44].

Osteocalcin is the most abundant noncollagenous protein of the bone matrix. It is a product of differentiated osteoblasts, and it promotes the recruitment and differentiation of circulating monocytes and osteoclasts precursors, suggesting its role on osteoblast-osteoclast interaction and bone resorption [45]. In the last decade particular interest has been addressed to the extraskeletal effects of the osteocalcin, one of which is glucose homeostasis. There is a reciprocal loop between osteoblast and pancreatic β-cells function. Circulating osteocalcin and, particularly, its undercarboxylated fraction (released during active bone resorption) exert a direct effect on β-cells, stimulating insulin production, and on adipocytes, enhancing adiponectin production. Adiponectin itself is able to promote insulin sensitivity. In turn, insulin also acts directly on osteoblasts and indirectly on osteoclasts. Locking the reciprocal loop, osteoclasts stimulate bone resorption with subsequent release of undercarboxylated osteocalcin in blood circulation [45].

Several studies demonstrated that bone metabolism in T1D is characterized by low bone turnover and, in particular, by reduced bone formation [44]. In T1D the osteoblast impairment is characterized by (1) decreased osteoblastogenesis; (2) low osteoblast differentiation; (3) low osteoblast activity (low levels of osteocalcin and reduced mineral apposition rate); (4) low osteoblast number (low osteoblast surface and osteoid surface); and (5) enhanced osteoblast death [46, 47]. Additionally, slow and short osteoblastic cycle is accompanied by decreased osteoblast lineage selection due to impaired function of bone marrow stromal cells (BMSC) [48]. Osteoclast metabolism appears unaltered or decreased [45, 46].

At the molecular level, it is thought that inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling and Runx2 activity, which play significant role in the control of osteoblastogenesis and bone formation in physiological condition, is responsible for slowing down the osteoblastic metabolism [47, 49]. However, the mechanisms leading to the inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling and Runx2 activity are still unknown.

It is possible that hyperglycemia, hypoinsulinemia, and autoimmune inflammation, well known characteristics of T1D, play a crucial role in impairing osteoblast differentiation and function. Moreover, the low levels of IGF-1 [9, 15, 47, 48, 50, 51] and vitamin D [52], which also usually accompany diabetes, may be an additional factor responsible of poor bone health (see Figure 1).

### 3.2. Role of Hyperglycemia: Oxidative Stress and AGEs.

Hyperglycemia itself, regardless of its etiology, is detrimental for bone. Hyperglycemia may have a direct toxicity for osteoblasts, affecting the osteoblast signaling pathways [53] and may lead to an increased reactive oxygen species, induction of cellular osmotic responses, oxidative stress [53], and increased nonenzymatic glycosylation of proteins and DNA [36, 46]. Nonenzymatic glycosylation during chronic hyperglycemic state and oxidative stress leads to the formation and deposition of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) in different tissues, including bone. AGEs, and in particular pentosidine, being one of the important products of nonenzymatic glycosylation, have been suggested to be deposited predominantly at skeletal sites with low bone turnover, as cortical bone [54], damaging in this way bone strength and quality [36]. This is thought to be the main mechanism of increased bone fragility and fractures, predominantly of long bones, especially femur, in TID [34].

### 3.3. Role of Hypoinsulinemia and Deficit of IGF-1.

Research over several decades has supported a primary role for insulin and IGF-1 in anabolic bone formation. Expression of insulin and IGF-1 receptors has been detected at different steps of osteoblast differentiation, from preosteoblast to mature ones [55]. Moreover, insulin and IGF-1 are important factors for osteoblast lineage selection, since its receptors have been found also on osteogenic BMSC [48]. Insulin and IGF-1 utilize many of the same cellular proteins to achieve various cellular outcomes. In addition, they are able to cross-talk with two major proosteogenic pathways that ultimately regulate Runx2 activity in osteoblasts, such as the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling and the bone mineral protein- (BMP-) 2 pathways [55].

As it has been already mentioned before, T1D is characterized by hypoinsulinemia and also by an IGF-1 decrease [9, 15, 47, 48, 50, 51, 55]. The reduction of IGF-1 levels in TID is not fully explained. It has been hypothesized that both hyperglycemia and the state of chronic inflammation, through enhanced expression of proteins “suppressors of cytokine signaling” (SOCS), can suppress the growth hormone (GH) activity with subsequent reduction of IGF-1 synthesis [50, 51, 56].

Beside the insulin and IGF-1 levels reduction, recent studies on diabetic rodents [47, 48] have found a decreased expression of insulin and IGF-1 receptors and of some
important proteins for the insulin and IGF-1 signaling both in osteoblasts and in osteogenic BMSCs.

Therefore, the presence of hypoinsulinemia, IGF-1 reduction, and of an altered signaling of these molecules can impair both osteoblastic function and the osteogenic potential of BMSCs, leading to reduced bone formation.

3.4. Role of Autoimmune Inflammation. Autoimmune inflammatory state is one of pathogenic characteristics of T1D. In humans, some studies indicate no inflammation while others indicate higher intracellular TNF-α in CD8+ T cells at the time of diagnosis and higher intracellular TNF-α in CD4+ T lymphocytes in patients at 3 months after diagnosis [46]. TNF-α is well known to activate osteoclast bone resorption and decrease bone formation. In fact, animal models showed that the increased expression of TNF-α, both in bone and bone marrow, leads to osteoblastic disfunction and its precocious death. Treatment with TNF-α neutralizing antibodies reduces diabetes-induced increases in osteoblast apoptosis [57, 58]. Moreover, inflammatory cytokines induce enhanced expression of adipogenic genes (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ2: PPARγ2), which, in turn, switches the differentiation of stem cells from osteoblasts to adipocytes, determining bone marrow adiposity [59, 60], in this way, leading to an altered mesenchymal cell lineage selection (adipogenesis at the cost of osteoblastogenesis) and to the reduction of bone formation.

However, this is only animal models, and there are no human studies so far. Therefore, this topic about the link between autoimmune inflammation and bone damage in T1D still remains less explored.

3.5. Role of Vitamin D Deficit. Vitamin D plays an important role in the bone health. Its active form 1,25(OH)2D interacts with its vitamin D nuclear receptor, which is present in the small intestine, kidneys, and other tissues. It stimulates intestinal calcium absorption and calcium reabsorption from the glomerular filtrate. 1,25(OH)2D interacts with its vitamin D receptor in the osteoblast, stimulating the expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor B ligand (RANKL). This, in turn, interacts with receptor activator of nuclear factor B (RANK) to induce immature monocytes to become mature osteoclasts, which dissolve the matrix and mobilize calcium and other minerals from the skeleton [61]. Nutritional rickets in children and osteomalacia in adults are undisputed consequences of vitamin D deficiency.

Vitamin D insufficiency/deficiency in T1D is a common finding in most [52] but not all studies [52]. However, vitamin D insufficiency was also frequent in nondiabetic individuals [52]. The exact diabetes-specific mechanism contributing to vitamin D deficiency is not clear. However, some authors hypothesized a role of the different genetic variants of vitamin D receptor, 1α-hydroxylase, and other genes of vitamin D metabolism involved in vitamin D transport, cholesterol
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Table I: Clinical risk factors associated with poor bone health in T1D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical risk factors for low bone mineralization</th>
<th>Clinical risk factors for fracture risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Young age of TID manifestation</td>
<td>(1) Low lumbar spine BMD (only for moderate and severe vertebral fractures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Poor glycemic control</td>
<td>(2) Poor glycemic control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Presence of diabetic complications</td>
<td>(3) Presence of diabetic complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Daily insulin dose &gt; 0.67 U/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) BMI &lt; 23.5 kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Renal function &lt; 88.8 mL/min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TID: type 1 diabetes; BMD: bone mineral density; BMI: body mass index.

synthesis, and vitamin D hydroxylation [52]. Moreover, exaggerated urinary loss of vitamin D-binding protein in T1D patients, particularly in those with microalbuminuria, might contribute mechanistically to vitamin D deficiency in this disease [62, 63].

4. What Should We Do in Clinical Practice? Management of Type 1 Diabetic Patients at Risk of Bone Disorder

4.1. Who Is at Risk of Bone Disorder? Clinical Risk Factors Associated with Poor Bone Health in Type 1 Diabetes.

When evaluating T1D patients in clinical practice, it is very important to give the answers to the following questions: who is at the risk of bone disorder and who should be evaluated for it? In order to respond correctly to these questions, adequate algorithms, including clinical factors able to reflect poor bone health in TID, should be developed.

Clinical factors associated with poor bone health in TID can be divided into two groups (Table I): (1) factors associated with low BMD; (2) factors associated with fractures.

4.1.1. Clinical Factors Associated with Low BMD. The age of diabetes manifestation, disease duration, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), diabetic complications, daily insulin dose, BMI, and renal function can give information about the possible presence of low BMD in TID.

The age of TID manifestation may be crucial for the acquisition of bone mass. Although data about bone mineralization in children/adolescents are inconsistent, some authors [6, 9] have demonstrated a significant reduction of either lumbar spine or femoral neck BMD in diabetic patients after 2–4 years of followup, even having showed normal BMD at baseline. Moreover, early manifestation of T1D can be a risk factor for smaller bone size [10, 16, 39, 40]. Therefore, a young age of TID occurrence may be considered a risk factor for low BMD in TID patients.

On the other hand, the majority of studies have found no association between low BMD and duration of TID [5–9, 12, 15, 29, 34, 35, 42, 64].

On the basis of the data regarding the effect of hyperglycemia on osteoblast, one could expect to find an association between BMD and glycometabolic control as reflected by HbA1c. However, only few studies have found the link between poor glycemic control and low BMD [13, 14, 27, 65], probably, because HbA1c was evaluated not only during the last three months but also during the previous years of disease. On the other hand, the lack of a correlation between BMD and HbA1c may also depend on a nonlinear relationship between these variables, hardly detectable by the classic statistics. In our study [35], we have applied a special mathematic approach, such as artificial neural network (ANN). In this study we found that HbA1c was connected with low BMD through the link with the diabetes complications (see Figure 2). Indeed, the diabetic complications are the result of the chronic exposure to high blood glucose of target organs and the finding of an association between chronic complications and low BMD may also reflect the effect of chronic hyperglycemia on bone.

The chronic diabetes complications per se have been suggested to predict low BMD in TID. The reduced visual function and the presence of diabetic neuropathy may predispose patients to low physical activity, which, in turn, may cause bone loss [7, 19, 22, 24]. The presence of diabetic nephropathy with negative calcium balance and reduced vitamin D level was reported to be an early indicator of osteopenia in TID [19, 22].

Insulin is considered an anabolic agent for bone [55], and, therefore, one should expect BMD to increase with the increase of daily insulin dose. On the contrary, in the study by Eller-Vainicher et al. [35] and in the study by Léger et al. [15], patients with diabetes with low BMD had higher insulin dose. This finding could be explained by the following hypotheses. Firstly, it is possible that the need of high insulin dose may reflect the presence of a more severe disease (i.e., a more pronounced inflammatory milieu), leading per se to bone damage. In keeping, a direct correlation between insulin dose and HbA1c [35] and between levels of inflammation markers/oxidative stress and HbA1c [14] has been demonstrated. This hypothesis is supported by the ANN analysis (Figure 2), [35], showing that insulin dose was strictly connected with HbA1c and then with low BMD, although through diabetes complications. Secondly, higher insulin demands might simply reflect higher insulin resistance and higher autoimmune inflammation at the level of all the tissues, including bone. Indeed, recently it has been suggested that in TID, insulin resistance raises the insulin demands, leading to the beta-cell stress. In this setting autoimmunity may be a secondary accelerator operating in those with particular HLA genotype [66].

Beside all the factors described above, some studies [34, 35, 42] have reported low body mass index (BMI) [35] to be associated with low BMD, pointing to the importance...
of maintaining of lean mass and weight in type 1 diabetic patients.

Finally, kidney function seems to be important for femoral BMD not only in general population [67], but also in T1D population [35].

Interestingly, Eller-Vainicher and coauthors [35] has found the thresholds for daily insulin dose, BMI, and renal function (>0.67 U/kg, <23.5 kg/m², and <88.8 mL/min, resp.), below which T1D patients may be at risk of poor bone mineralization. In the absence of these risk factors the probability to have normal BMD is 84.2% and measuring BMD may not be necessary. On the contrary, in the presence of all these risk factors the probability of low BMD is 62.9% and the measurement of BMD might be considered.

4.1.2. Clinical Factors Associated with Fractures. Besides BMD, the other BMD-independent clinical factors associated with fractures have not been well studied. However, one can hypothesize that HbA1c and diabetic complications, being the result of high blood glucose levels, may be associated with fractures in T1D.

Although low bone mass is a common finding in T1D, it seems that low BMD is of poor fracture prediction in this kind of patients [34, 43], as it happens in other several forms of secondary osteoporosis [68]. T1D patients may have fractures even in the presence of normal BMD values [34, 43]. This fact emphasizes the presence of poor bone quality/strength, besides low bone mineralization, in T1D. On the other hand, Zhukouskaya et al. [43], having analyzed asymptomatic morphometric vertebral fractures in T1D population, have shown that the more severe vertebral fractures were associated with low lumbar spine BMD, which underlines that BMD still remains to be crucial for fracture event.

Since the elevated fracture risk in T1D seems to be related to reduced bone quality and strength rather than to reduced bone mass and AGEs (the effect of the chronic hyperglycemia) are the main responsible of low bone quality, the association between fractures and HbA1c should be logical. Nevertheless, only in one study [42] clinical fractures were associated with HbA1c, while the majority of studies have not shown any association between these two variables [34, 43]. This could be explained by the fact that HbA1c, if measured only at the beginning and/or at the end of followup, cannot reliably mirror the glycmetabolic control over time.

The diabetic complications per se have been suggested to contribute little to the overall risk of fractures in diabetes [43, 69]. However, in our study [43] T1D patients with vertebral fracture tended to have higher prevalence of diabetic

---

**Figure 2**: Semantic connectivity map of studied variables. The figures on the connections represent the strength of the link on a 0-1 scale. Low BMD femur, presence of low F-BMD; low BMD spine, presence of low LS-BMD. Males and females are both connected to neuropathy but with a different conditional probability. The link for females is stronger (0.95) than it is for males (0.93). BMD: bone mineral density; F: femur; LS: lumbar spine; BMI: body mass index; ClCr: clearance creatinine; HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin. (Diabetes care by American Diabetes Association Reproduced with permission of American Diabetes Association in the format Journal/magazine via Copyright Clearance Center. Order Detail ID: 64182026).
complications, especially retinopathy and neuropathy. Since low BMD is associated with the presence of complications and low lumbar spine BMD is associated with fractures, the diabetic complications might not be a BMD-independent fracture risk factor in TID.

In our study we tried to define an algorithm for individuating the TID patients to be screened for bone damage. In summary, in TID patients with the diabetic complications (retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy) and/or with daily insulin dose > 0.67 U/kg, BMI < 23.5 kg/m², and renal function < 88.8 mL/min, the screening for the bone disorder (low bone mineralization + high fracture risk) should be recommended.

4.2. Management of Type 1 Diabetic Patients at Risk of Bone Disorder. There is still no consensus on the correct evaluation and management of TID patients at risk of bone disorder. However, we propose the following measures which are necessary to be done in these patients (Figure 3).

In order to exclude other possible causes of secondary osteoporosis some laboratory tests should be performed including [70] (1) general exams (blood cell count, serum protein electrophoresis, C-reactive protein, liver function with glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT), glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), γ-glutamyltransferase (γ-GT), and renal function with creatinine); (2) mineral metabolism (total serum calcium corrected for albumin, serum phosphate, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD), and 24-hour urinary calcium); (3) thyroid and, in men, testes function (thyroid stimulating hormone, TSH, and total testosterone). Moreover, the possible presence of an associated celiac disease should be excluded in selected patients by performing antiendomysial and antitransglutaminase antibodies. Further laboratory tests may be required, depending on comorbidities and clinical findings.

In order to assess bone mineralization and the presence of vertebral fractures, a DXA evaluation at lumbar spine and at femoral neck and lateral X-ray radiography of thorax-lumbar spine or DXA combined with vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) should be performed [70] in the presence of diabetic complications and/or high daily insulin dose, low BMI, and reduced renal function.

4.3. Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes-Associated Bone Disorder. The best approach to treat patients with TID related bone disorder is still not clear. On the basis of the pathogenesis of the bone disorder in TID, the following strategies may lead to the improvement of poor bone health: (1) restoration of hypoinsulinemia and glycometabolic control; (2) reduction of autoimmune inflammation; (3) restoration of low levels of IGF-1; and (4) restoration of low levels of vitamin D.

Since hypoinsulinemia and hyperglycemia play an important role in damaging bone, insulin treatment accompanied by reduction of glycaemia seems to be the pivotal point in treatment and prevention of bone disorder in TID. In the prospective study of Campos Pastor et al. [22], although the statistical significance was not reached, a BMD increase was associated with the improvement of glycemic control in TID patients on intensive insulin treatment after 7 years of followup. However, the insulin treatment with reduction of hyperglycemia, probably, is not enough for bone health, since an elevated fracture risk is still present in TID even after initiation of intensive insulin treatment. This may be due to several reasons. Firstly, insulin treatment is beneficial for bone mineralization, but it is not sufficient for the restoration of bone quality/strength [38]. Secondly, in order to avoid the risk of hypoglycemia it is not possible to reduce glycaemia to values of subjects without diabetes. Therefore, even a slight chronic hyperglycemia may be sufficient for damaging bone. Finally, the other additional factors besides hyperglycemia (i.e., autoimmune inflammation, deficit of IGF-1, and vitamin D), interfering with the bone health in TID, are probably scarce or not influenced by the correction of the glycometabolic control.

Recently several experiments on animal models have been focused on the reduction of autoimmune inflammation and on the treatment with recombinant IGF-1 (rhIGF-1), in order to improve bone mineralization and quality in TID. Treatment with TNF-α-specific inhibitors reduces diabetes-induced increases in osteoblast apoptosis [46]. Fowlkes et al. [55] has shown favorable effect of rhIGF-1 in promoting new bone formation and in improving of bone biomechanical properties in STZ-induced diabetic rodents. To date, however, no studies are available on the possible therapeutic use of TNF-α-specific inhibitors and rhIGF-1 in humans with TID.

The efficacy of vitamin D on TID related bone damage has been examined only minimally in animal models. In the STZ-induced rat model of TID, low femoral BMD has improved significantly after treatment with 1α-hydroxyvitamin D3 [52]. Clinical trials are needed to investigate the role that vitamin D status may play in the intervention or reversal of bone damage in humans with TID.

As TID related osteoporosis is characterized by a reduced bone apposition and osteoblast differentiation and function, the anabolic therapy with PTH seems to be an interesting option. Motyle et al. [71] have studied effect of PTH treatment in STZ-induced diabetic rodents, showing increasing of bone mineralization by promoting remodeling and reducing diabetes-induced osteoblast apoptosis and making the conclusion that intermittent PTH therapy might be an option to promote bone formation and resorption, which are both depressed in diabetic patients. To date, however, no data on humans are available on the possible usefulness of PTH anabolic therapy in TID patients.

Finally, weight-bearing physical activity has been recently demonstrated to have a positive effect on bone mineral acquisition in children with TID, similarly to what happen in children without TID [72].

Due to the lack of data on the possible therapeutic options on humans, most recommendations that can be given nowadays to the TID patients at risk and with manifested bone disorder are derived from the good clinical practice and from the experience of the physician rather than from evidence-based guidelines.

Intensive insulin treatment, being a standard treatment of TID, with improvement of glycemic control should be
Evaluation for the risk factors, presence of the diabetic complications and/or the following:
- daily insulin dose > 0.67 U/kg,
- BMI < 23.5 kg/m²,
- renal function < 88.8 mL/min

No

- Laboratory exams for exclusion of other forms of secondary osteoporosis
  - DXA at LS and femur
  - Lateral X-ray radiography of thorax-lumbar spine or DXA combined with VFA

Yes

- Intensive insulin treatment
- Improvement of glycaemic control
- Assess calcium intake
- Supplementation with calcium if necessary
- Supplementation with vitamin D physical activity
- Therapy with anabolic agents??

Follow-up with reevaluation for the risk factors

- Assess calcium intake and vitamin D status
- Supplementation with calcium and vitamin D if necessary
- Physical activity

BMD: bone mineral density; T1D: type 1 diabetes; DXA: dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; LS: lumbar spine; VFA: vertebral fracture assessment; BMI: body mass index.

**Figure 3:** Flow-chat for evaluation, management, and treatment of T1D patients at risk of bone disorder.
taken in consideration in all patients. Insulin with reduction of hyperglycemia would be beneficial not only for bone but also for prevention of chronic diabetic complications. Annual screening for microalbuminuria, annual ophthalmologic exam, and annual testing for pressure and vibration sensation should be performed in order to reach early diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy, respectively.

Supplementation with calcium and vitamin D should be advised to the T1D patients with bone disorder. A daily uptake of 1200 mg calcium is generally required, ideally through the diet, but supplementation can be used if dietary uptake is inadequate. According to the guidelines regarding prevention and treatment of vitamin D deficiency [61], vitamin D deficient subjects should be supplemented with vitamin D3 at dose of 600–1000 U/day for children and 1500–2000 U/day for adults.

Weight-bearing sports, including ball games, jumping activities, or gymnastics should be encouraged in T1D children to optimize bone mineral acquisition during growth and potentially prevent the development of osteoporosis later in life [72].

At the end of this chapter we propose a flow-chat (Figure 3) for evaluation, management, and treatment of T1D patients at risk and with manifested bone disorder.

5. Which Way Should We Proceed? Conclusion and Future Prospects

In summary, T1D is characterized by poor bone health, which should be recognized as a diabetic complication among the other well-known complications such as retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy. Slow bone turnover is the main characteristic of T1D-associated bone disorder, which leads to reduced mineralization and reduced quality and strength with consequent fracture event as the most important clinical manifestation. Although during last decade many studies both on animals and humans have been focused on the pathogenesis of T1D related bone damage and on the risk factors for the identification of T1D patients at risk of bone disorder, several questions still remain to be answered.

Firstly, since BMD represents a poor clinical tool for fracture prediction, as it often happens in case of secondary osteoporosis [68], we need to develop some methods, easy-to-perform in clinical practice, able to predict fracture risk in T1D patients. Trabecular bone score (TBS), being indirect measure of bone quality [73] and easily obtainable through DXA, has been shown to predict better than BMD the fracture risk in patients with some forms of secondary osteoporosis [68, 74, 75]. Therefore, prospective studies are needed to investigate the usefulness of TBS in prediction of fracture risk in T1D.

Secondly, it is possible that good glycemic control may exert a beneficial effect on bone, but it is not clear how strict we should maintain glycemic control and below which level we should lower HbA1c in order to prevent or improve bone disorder in T1D. Therefore, we need prospective studies focused on the changes of bone metabolism/mineralization/fracture risk after intensification of insulin treatment (e.g., through insulin pump), which is known to lead to a notable improvement of glycemic control.

Finally, it is not clear yet what kind of drugs should be used in TID patients with manifestened bone disorder, who do not improve with only good glycemic control and supplementation of calcium/vitamin D and who, probably, need pharmacological intervention. Some promising results seem to come from the use of anabolic pharmacological agents (rhIGF-1 and PTH) in diabetic rodents with bone disorder. Therefore, randomized clinical trials are needed in order to understand whether it could be the case in humans.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References


M. Hie, N. Iitsuka, T. Otsuka, and I. Tsukamoto, "Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus decreases osteoblastogenesis associated with the inhibition of Wnt signaling through increased expression of Sost and Dkk1 and inhibition of Akt activation," *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 455–462, 2011.


T. J. Wilkin, "Is autoimmunity or insulin resistance the primary driver of type 1 diabetes?" *Current Diabetes Reports*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 651–656, 2013.


K. J. Motyl, L. K. McCauley, and L. R. McCabe, "Amelioration of type 1 diabetes-induced osteoporosis by parathyroid hormone


