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Aim. To describe the actual use of blood alcohol concentration (BAC) testing in an emergency department. Method. This study was performed to examine in what circumstances emergency medicine doctors and nurses request blood alcohol concentrations and the outcome of patients so tested. A retrospective study was performed. A database of all the patients who presented to the emergency department and who were tested for BAC in 2012 was created. Descriptive statistics are used to present the findings. Results. During 2012, there were 1191 patients on whom BAC testing was performed. 37 patients had a BAC greater than the allegedly lethal concentration of 400 mg/100mL. Using a multifactorial analysis model, a higher blood alcohol concentration was associated with a lower Glasgow Coma Score. Conclusion. BAC testing is most often performed in the context of alleged overdose. BAC was performed in other clinical scenarios albeit in less than 2% of all ED attendances.

1. Introduction

Alcohol misuse is a major problem contributing to emergency department (ED) workload [1–3]. The dramatic increase in alcohol consumption in recent years and the associated burden on emergency departments have been well documented in Ireland [1, 2]. It is estimated that one in four patients attending hospital emergency departments in Dublin area have an alcohol related diagnosis and one in eight patients (13%) are clinically intoxicated at the time of their attendance [2].

Blood alcohol testing was introduced to the emergency department of the research hospital at the insistence of our colleagues in psychiatry who refused to assess patients with a blood alcohol concentration above 80 milligrams percent. A number of different units of measurement are used internationally. The measurement used in the hospital where the research was performed is milligrams of alcohol per 100 millilitres of blood [1]. A standard drink (bottle of beer or glass of wine) can increase a person’s BAC by between 20 mg/100mL and 40 mg/100mL depending on their sex, weight, age, and so forth [4]. The road safety regulations in Ireland define the legal limit for driving as 50 mg of alcohol per 100mL of blood for experienced drivers and 20 mg/100mL for inexperienced drivers [4].

This study was performed to see in what circumstances emergency medicine doctors and nurses requested blood alcohol concentrations and the outcome of patients so tested. It also examined blood alcohol concentration testing and variables such as Glasgow Coma Score (GCS), presenting complaint, patient outcome, age and gender of the patient, the time of day, and day of week when high BACs were most commonly detected.

2. Methods

This retrospective study was carried out in an emergency department (ED) with over 50,000 patient attendances...
annually in an 823-bed teaching hospital. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee. All emergency department patients who had a BAC measured in 2012 were included in the study. The Hospital Document Management System was used to access patient's charts and other scanned documents. Episode number, age, gender, date, day of the week, time of the day, presenting complaint, Glasgow Coma Scale Score, BAC (mg/100 mL), in overdose presence of paracetamol, tricyclic antidepressants, benzodiazepines, and aspirin, disposition (i.e., whether the patient was discharged, admitted, etc.), referral type if referred, and Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission status were recorded. Exclusion criteria were patients under 16 years of age and patients with incomplete data.

Review of the emergency department notes did not reveal any fatalities in those who had undergone blood alcohol concentration testing for the year and so admission to ICU was used as an indicator of the severity of a patient’s condition.

Descriptive statistics detailing means and standard deviations are presented for blood alcohol groups. A subsequent regression model measuring the association between GCS and BAC with other potential confounding variables was constructed. Stata 13 SE (College Station, Texas, USA) was used for the analysis. A p value < 0.05 was deemed to be significant.

3. Results

3.1. Population Analysis. During 2012, there were 1191 patients who had their BAC tested. Of these 706 (59%) were male. A positive result was found in 626 (52.6%) patients. Of those who tested positive, 388 (61.8%) were male. The average BAC in those showing a positive result was 212 mg/100 mL, that is, over four times the legal limit.

In the positive results group, 499 had a BAC less than 300 mg/100 mL. 89 patients had a BAC between 300 and 399 mg/100 mL. 37 patients (5.9% of all positives) had a BAC equal to or greater than 400 mg/100 mL of whom 59.5% (22) were male.

The mean age of those testing positive for alcohol was 41.7 years whilst the mean age of those with a BAC over 400 mg% was 40.4 years.

3.2. Primary Measurement: BAC and Presenting Complaint. Table 1 shows the most common presentations resulting in a BAC test. The most common presenting complaint for which blood alcohol concentration was performed was deliberate self-poisoning. 61% of the patients tested had presenting complaints related to psychiatric conditions (deliberate self-poisoning, deliberate self-harm, depression, suicidal ideation/attempt, psychosis, or anxiety). There was a marginally higher percentage of negative BAC tests in the group with psychiatric complaints compared to the total patient group (50.6% versus 45.1%).

3.3. Secondary Measurement: BAC and the Burden on the Emergency Department. Approximately 2% of emergency department patients had a BAC done in Beaumont Hospital ED. In the hospital where the research took place BAC testing is performed at the discretion of the treating doctor or triage nurse. Alcohol dehydrogenase (an enzymatic method) is used to determine the BAC of a patient. The cost of this technique is just under €1 per test. 50.8% of patients with BAC measured were discharged. 17.1% were referred to community mental health services.

The time spent by each patient in the emergency department in relation to their BAC is shown in Figure 1.

The outcomes of patients with positive BACs are shown in Table 2.

The greatest number of presentations with BAC <300 mg/100 mL was between Midnight and 8 a.m. The greatest number of presentations with BAC >400 mg/100 mL was between 4 p.m. and Midnight. There were more positive BAC tests on the weekend days (38% of all positives).

3.4. Tertiary Measurement: The Correlation between Blood Alcohol Concentration and Glasgow Coma Score. To examine the relationship between blood alcohol and Glasgow Coma Score (GCS), a multifactorial regression model was conducted to include age, sex, and drug use in determining outcome. Although the vast majority had a GCS of 15, there was a negative correlation between blood alcohol and GCS (higher blood alcohol concentrations had an inverse relationship to GCS score; p < 0.001). Table 3 shows the relationship...
Table 2: Outcomes of patients with positive BACs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>BAC &lt; 300</th>
<th>BAC 300–349</th>
<th>BAC 350–399</th>
<th>BAC ≥ 400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referred (12.6%)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left (16.5%)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged (50.8%)</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted (18.8%)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to ICU (1.3%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.6% were removed because they were disruptive and posing a danger to other patients and the ED staff. 12.6% were referred to a specialty team (6% psychiatry referral, 27% of patients to medical team, and 14% to surgical team). 2% were transferred to other hospitals. 16.5% were left before treatment complete.

Table 3: Relationship between blood alcohol (BA) groups with age, gender, and GCS score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAC</th>
<th>Mean age (SD)</th>
<th>% male</th>
<th>Mean GCS (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.30 (16.30)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14.02 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–99</td>
<td>41.02 (15.37)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14.56 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–199</td>
<td>41.26 (16.05)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.02 (2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–299</td>
<td>42.89 (16.46)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13.83 (2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–399</td>
<td>42.61 (12.19)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13.53 (2.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–499</td>
<td>42.45 (10.45)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12.65 (3.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–599</td>
<td>35.00 (10.68)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.20 (6.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between blood alcohol groups with age, gender, and GCS score.

3.5. Quaternary Measurement: Potentially Fatal Blood Alcohol Levels. 37 patients seen in the emergency department had a BAC greater than or equal to 400 mg/100 mL, including 3 patients above 500 mg/100 mL. No deaths or transfer to ICU was recorded in this group with blood alcohol levels in excess of eight times the legal limit.

4. Discussion

As with any investigation, requesting a BAC should be performed according to clinical judgment [5]. Touquet and Paton suggest that BAC should routinely be considered for all resuscitation room patients with collapse from alcohol/drugs, trauma, and intentional self-harm as well as for gastrointestinal bleeding and noncardiac chest pain [5]. Olson et al. suggest that BACs should be measured especially in the obtunded patient where no history can be given by the patient [6].

Some have argued that BAC, used with Glasgow Coma Scores, can affect patient management, for example, by helping to inform the decision about tracheal intubation or computed tomography scanning [7], especially for those with impaired consciousness, drug overdose, or trauma [8–10]. Indeed, it has been suggested that all comatose patients smelling of alcohol must have a BAC analysis [10].

In the research emergency department, BAC is often used to rule out alcohol ingestion, in patients attending with psychiatric conditions and requiring a psychiatric referral (61%), rather than to determine the degree of intoxication of a patient. Patients with psychiatric conditions presenting to the emergency department should have a precise history, physical examination, and mental state examination which will help to rule out any other potential causes of the psychiatric symptoms and should guide the decision around BAC testing [11].

The peer reviewed literature gives differing perspectives on the usefulness of blood alcohol concentration testing and other investigations in those presenting with apparent psychiatric disorders. In a study by Hall et al. [12], 46% of the 100 patients admitted to a psychiatric facility had unrecognized medical illnesses and 80% of those needed treatment whilst Tintinalli and colleagues [13] found that, having reviewed 298 charts of emergency voluntary psychiatric admissions, only 4% of such patients had an acute medical condition that required intervention. Henneman and colleagues [14] found that 63% of patients with psychiatric symptoms were found on testing to have a medical cause for their symptoms whilst Olshaker and colleagues [15] discovered a medical aetiology in <0.05% of patients with psychiatric complaints. Previous authors have found that history and physical examination had a sensitivity of 94% and 51%, respectively, in identifying medical illness in patients with psychiatry related attendances [15]. Laboratory testing had a sensitivity of only 20% [15]. Korn et al. [16] concluded that patients with primary psychiatric complaints with other negative findings do not need ancillary testing in the emergency department.

The American Psychiatric Association (APA) recommends testing for the acute presentation [17]. In the American College of Emergency Physician (ACEP) guidelines, it is suggested that a patient’s cognitive ability should be assessed rather than a blood alcohol level as blood alcohol concentrations do not correlate with the degree of intoxication [18].

52.7% of the patients tested for blood alcohol concentration in our study had alcohol in their system. It was also observed that the average BAC in males was higher. These findings are in keeping with those of Woo et al. [19].

The finding of the inverse relationship of BAC to GCS is consistent with previous research suggesting that BACs of 200 mg dL or higher have a greater impact on postinjury GCS Scores compared to lower levels of intoxication [20].

Previous research has suggested that the lethal BAC is over 400 mg/100 mL [21]. Studies have shown that the five most common presentations to the resuscitation room associated with a raised BAC are collapse, self-harm, trauma, gastrointestinal bleeding, and noncardiac chest pain [22]. Each of the above has an associated risk of death, but classification of alcohol as the primary cause of death in each is questionable. If a blood alcohol concentration is positive, it makes it possible that alcohol may have had a direct or indirect causal relationship to the patient’s death [23]. The mechanism
of death due to ethanol intoxication is generally considered to be suppression of the respiratory centre in the brain stem, with a concomitant lowered arterial oxygen saturation [24].

The results of our study raise questions as to the validity of considering alcohol levels greater than 400 mg/100 mL as a primary cause of death. The exact BAC considered to be fatal varies between authors with figures probably reflecting the personal experiences of the pathologists or toxicologists involved [25]. The difficulty in defining a universal lethal value arises for a number of reasons [23, 25]. Jones and Holmgren describe a pronounced tolerance to ethanol in patients attending hospital for detoxification. They also report that acute alcohol poisoning is most typically a complication of chronic alcoholism as an unaccustomed drinker usually does not reach lethal BAC [23].

In our study, blood alcohol concentration does not correlate with the time spent by each patient in the emergency department as seen in Figure 1. The correlation between the BAC and patients length of stay in emergency department has not been documented in the literature.

5. Conclusion

Blood alcohol concentration testing in the emergency department was performed in about 2% of ED patient attendances and was most frequently performed to assess patients’ fitness to undergo psychiatric assessment. A higher blood alcohol concentration score is associated with a lower Glasgow Coma Score. Levels of alcohol in excess of 400 mg% were not found to result in death in the cohort in this study at or above that level.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

