Research Article

The Exponential Stability Result of an Euler-Bernoulli Beam Equation with Interior Delays and Boundary Damping

Peng-cheng Han, Yan-fang Li, Gen-qi Xu, and Dan-hong Liu

Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yan-fang Li; fangyan123li@tju.edu.cn

Received 20 January 2016; Accepted 9 March 2016

Academic Editor: Honglei Xu

Copyright © 2016 Peng-cheng Han et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the exponential stability of Euler-Bernoulli beam with interior time delays and boundary damping. At first, we prove the well-posedness of the system by the $\mathcal{C}_0$ semigroup theory. Next we study the exponential stability of the system by constructing appropriate Lyapunov functionals. We transform the exponential stability issue into the solvability of inequality equations. By analyzing the relationship between delays parameters $\alpha$ and damping parameters $\beta$, we describe $(\beta, \alpha)$-region for which the system is exponentially stable. Furthermore, we obtain an estimation of the decay rate $\lambda^\ast$.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the time delay always exists in real system, which may be caused by acquisition of response and excitation data, online data processing, and computation of control forces. Since time delay may destroy stability [1, 2] even if it is very small, the stabilization problem of systems with time delays has been a hot topic in the mathematical control theory and engineering. In recent years, the systems described by PDEs with time delays have been an active area of research; see [3–7] and references therein. Generally speaking, there are mainly three kinds of time delay in the system, one is the interior time delay of the system (also called structural memory), one is the input delay (control delay), and the third is the output delay (measurement delay). Many scholars have made great efforts to minimize the negative effects of time delays although time delay cannot be eliminated due to its inherent nature, for example, [8–10] for boundary control with delays, [11, 12] for internal control delays, and [13] for output delays.

In past several years, the research on the Euler-Bernoulli beam with time delay has made great progress. For example, Park et al. [14] considered the stabilization problem of an Euler-Bernoulli beam with structural memory; Liang et al. [15] introduced the modified Smith predictor to Euler-Bernoulli beam with the boundary control and the delayed boundary measurement; Shang et al. [16–18] investigated the stabilization problem of the Euler-Bernoulli beam with boundary input delay; Yang et al. [19, 20] solved the stabilization problem of constant and variable coefficients Euler-Bernoulli beam with delayed observation and boundary control; at the same time, Jin and Guo [21] solved the output feedback stabilization of Euler-Bernoulli beam by Lyapunov approach. However, few people investigate the influence of an Euler-Bernoulli beam with interior delays and boundary damping on the system stability. In this paper we mainly study the exponential stability of a system described by the Euler-Bernoulli beam with interior delays and boundary damping. More precisely, we consider the following system, whose dynamic behavior is governed by the Euler-Bernoulli beam:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{tt}(x,t) + y_{xxxx}(x,t) - 2\alpha y_t(x,t - \tau) &= 0, \\
y(0,t) &= y_x(0,t) = y_{xx}(1,t) = 0, \\
y_{xxxx}(1,t) &= \beta y_t(1,t), \\
y(x,0) &= y_0(x), \\
y_t(x,0) &= y_1(x), \\
y_t(x,s) &= h_0(x,s), & x \in (0,1), & s \in (-\tau, 0), \end{align*}
$$

(1)
with $\beta, \alpha, \tau > 0$, where $y_i(x,t) = \partial y/\partial t$, $y_x(x,t) = \partial y/\partial x$, and $\tau$ is the delay time. We mainly investigate its exponential stability.

The rest is organized as follows: In Section 2, we at first formulate problem (1) into an appropriate Hilbert space $H$ and then study the well-posedness of the system by the semigroup theory. In Section 3, we construct a Lyapunov functional for system (1) and prove the exponential stability under certain conditions. By optimization parameters we obtain a complicated relationship between the decay rate $\lambda$ and delay time $\tau$. Finally, in Section 4, we give a brief conclusion.

2. Well-Posedness of the System

In this section, we will discuss the well-posedness and some basic properties of system (1). For the purpose, firstly we formulate system (1) into an appropriate Hilbert space. Let

$$\begin{align*}
    z(\rho, t) &= y(x, t - \tau \rho), \\
    x \in (0, 1), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0.
\end{align*}$$

(2)

Clearly, $z(x, \rho, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{align*}
    \tau z_x(x, \rho, t) + z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) &= 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \\
    z(x, 0, t) &= y(x, t), \\
    z(x, 1, t) &= y(x, t - \tau).
\end{align*}$$

(3)

Thus, system (1) is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{align*}
    y_{tt}(x, t) + y_{xxxx}(x, t) - 2\alpha z(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \\
    \tau z_t(x, \rho, t) + z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) &= 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \\
    y(0, t) &= y_x(0, t) = y_{xx}(1, t) = 0, \\
    y_{xxx}(1, t) &= \beta y_1(t, 1).
\end{align*}$$

(4)

Set

$$H^2_\xi(0, 1) = \{ y \in H^2(0, 1) | y(0) = y_x(0) = 0 \},$$

(5)

where $H^k([0, 1])$ is the usual Sobolev space of order $k$. We take the state space as

$$\mathcal{H} = H^2_\xi(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1) \times L^2([0, 1] \times [0, 1]),$$

(6)

equipped with the following inner product, for any $Y_i = (f_i, g_i, h_i)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, 2$:

$$\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 (f_{1xxx}(x) \overline{f_{2xxx}(x)} + g_1(x) \overline{g_2(x)}) dx + \tau \int_0^1 h_1(x, \rho) \overline{h_2(x, \rho)} d\rho dx.$$  

(7)

Obviously $(\mathcal{H}, \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a Hilbert space.

We define an operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -f_{xxxx}(x) + 2\alpha h(x, 1) \\ -f_{xxxx}(x) + 2\alpha h(x, 1) \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} h_{\rho}(x, \rho) \end{pmatrix}$$

(8)

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ (f, g, h)^T \in \mathcal{H} \right\} f \in H^4 [0, 1] \cap H^2_\xi [0, 1], \quad g \in H^2_\xi (0, 1), \quad h \in H^1 (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad f''(1) = 0, \quad f'''(1) = \beta g(1), \quad h(x, 0) = g(x).$$

(9)

With the assistance of operator $\mathcal{A}$, we can rewrite (4) as an evolution equation in $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{A}Y(t), \quad t > 0,$$

$$Y(0) = Y_0,$$

(10)

where $Y(t) = (y(x, t), y_x(x, t), z(x, \rho, t))^T$ and $Y_0 = (y_0(x), y_1(x), z_0(x, \rho))^T$.

For operator $\mathcal{A}$, we have the following result.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be defined as (8) and (9). Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed and densely defined linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$. For any $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, $0 \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is compact on $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ consists of all isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed and densely defined linear operator in $\mathcal{H}$; the detail of the verification is omitted.

Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and for any $F = (\mu, \nu, \omega)^T \in \mathcal{H}$ we consider the equation $\mathcal{A}Y = F$ where $Y = (f, g, h) \in D(\mathcal{A})$; that is,

$$\begin{align*}
    g(x) &= \mu(x), \\
    -f_{xxxx}(x) + 2\alpha h(x, 1) &= \nu(x).
\end{align*}$$


\[
-\frac{1}{\tau} h_\rho (x, \rho) = \omega (x, \rho),
\]
with boundary condition
\[
f(0) = f'(0) = f''(1) = 0,
\]
\[
g(x) = \mu(x),
\]
\[
h(x, \rho) = \mu(x) - \int_0^\rho \tau \omega(x, s) ds,
\]
\[
f(x) = - \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z \int_0^w \left( 2\alpha \mu(s) - 2\alpha \int_0^1 \tau \omega(s, k) dk - \nu(s) \right) ds dp dq dr + \frac{1}{6} \beta \mu(1) x^3.
\]

Let \(f, g, h\) be given as (13). Then we have \(\mathcal{A}Y = F\) and \(Y = (f, g, h) \in D(\mathcal{A})\). The closed operator theorem asserts that \(0 \in \rho(A)\), and \(\mathcal{A}^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \to D(\mathcal{A})\) is a bounded linear operator. Since \(D(\mathcal{A}) \subset H^2(0, 1) \times H^2(0, 1) \times H^1(0, 1)\), the Sobolev Embedding Theorem asserts that \(\mathcal{A}^{-1}\) is a compact operator on \(\mathcal{H}\). Hence, by the spectral theory of compact operator, \(\sigma(\mathcal{A})\) consists of all isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{H}\) be defined as before. Then \(\mathcal{A}\) generates a \(C_0\) semigroup on \(\mathcal{H}\). Hence, system (10) is well posed.

**Proof.** For any real \(Y = (f, g, h)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})\), we calculate
\[
\langle \mathcal{A}Y, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 g''''(x) f'''(x) dx + \int_0^1 g(x) \left( -f_{xxxx}(x) + 2ah(x, 1) \right) dx
\]
\[
- \int_0^1 h_\rho(x, \rho) h(x, \rho) dx d\rho
\]
\[
= -g(1) f'''(1) + 2\alpha \int_0^1 h(x, 1) g(x) dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 h^2(x, 1) dx
\]
\[
= -\beta g^2(1) + 2\alpha \int_0^1 h(x, 1) g(x) dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 h^2(x, 1) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \nu^2(x) dx.
\]
Since \(\beta > 0\), we have
\[
\langle \mathcal{A}Y, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( h(x, 1) - 2\alpha g(x) \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( 4\alpha^2 + 1 \right) g^2(x) dx \leq M \langle Y, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},
\]
where \(M = 2\alpha^2 + 1/2\), which shows that \(\mathcal{A} - MI\) is a dissipative operator. This together with Lemma 1 shows that \(\mathcal{A} - MI\) satisfies the conditions of Lumer-Phillips theorem [22]. So \(\mathcal{A}\) generates a \(C_0\) semigroup on \(\mathcal{H}\).

**3. Exponential Stability of the System**

In this section, we consider the exponential stability issue of system (1) based on Lyapunov method.

The energy function of system (1) is defined as
\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y_{xx}(x, t) + y_t^2(x, t) \right] dx.
\]

In what follows, we will give some lemmas that are the foundation of our method.

**Lemma 3** (see [23]). Let \(E(t)\) be a nonnegative function on \(\mathbb{R}_+\). If there exists a function \(V(t)\) and some positive numbers \(c_1\) and \(\lambda\) such that the conditions
\[
V(t) > c_1 e^{\lambda t} E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,
\]
\[
\dot{V}(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]
hold, then \(E(t)\) decays exponentially at rate \(\lambda\).

In order to construct a function \(V(t)\) satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3, we set
\[
G(t) = \eta \int_0^1 xy_x(x, t) y_t(x, t) dx,
\]
where \(\eta\) is a constant and satisfies \(0 < \eta < 2\).

We can establish an equivalence relation between \(G(t)\) and \(E(t)\) via the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Let \(E(t)\) and \(G(t)\) be defined as before. Then there exist positive constants \(c_2\) and \(c_3\) such that
\[
c_2 E(t) \leq G(t) + E(t) \leq c_3 E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]
holds.
Proof. Let $y(x, t)$ be the solution of (1). Applying Young's and Poincaré's inequalities

$$
\left| \int_0^1 x y_x(x, t) y_t(x, t) \, dx \right|
\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_0^1 y_t^2(x, t) \, dx + \frac{1}{2 \delta} \int_0^1 x^2 y_x^2(x, t) \, dx
$$

Taking $\delta = 1/2$, we get

$$
\left| \eta \int_0^1 x y_x(x, t) y_t(x, t) \, dx \right| < \frac{\eta}{2} E(t), \quad t \geq 0.
$$

Since $0 < \eta < 2$, we can set $c_1 = 1 - \eta/2$ and $c_2 = 1 + \eta/2$; then

$$
c_2 E(t) \leq G(t) + E(t) \leq c_3 E(t).
$$

The desired inequality follows. \qed

Let $\lambda > 0$. We define a function $V(t)$ by

$$
V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V_1(t) &= e^{2\lambda t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( y_{xx}^2(x, t) + y_t^2(x, t) \right) \, dx \\
&\quad + \eta \int_0^1 x y_x(x, t) y_t(x, t) \, dx \right), \\
V_2(t) &= 2\alpha e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{2\lambda(s+t)} y_t^2(x, s) \, ds \, dx.
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $\alpha > 0$, according to Lemma 4 we can see that the following result is true.

Lemma 5. Let $V(t)$ defined as before. Then $V(t)$ satisfies condition (17); that is,

$$
V(t) > c_2 e^{2\lambda t} E(t), \quad t \geq 0.
$$

In what follows, we will calculate $\dot{V}(t)$ for $V_1(t)$ we have the following result.

Lemma 6. Let $V_1(t)$ be defined as before and let $y(x, t)$ be the solution of (1). Then

$$
\dot{V}_1(t) \leq e^{2\lambda t} \left[ \left( \lambda - \frac{3\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta \eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha \eta^2}{4} e^{\lambda t} \right) \\
\int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 \, dx + \left( \lambda - \beta \right) \int_0^1 y_t^2 \, dx \right],
$$

where $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ are defined as before.

Using integration by parts and the boundary condition, we have

$$
\dot{E}(t) = \int_0^1 y_{xx} y_{xxt} \, dx + y_t y_t x \, dx + 2\beta \int_0^1 y_t^2 \, dx.
$$

where $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ are defined as before.

So

$$
\dot{V}_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( 2\lambda (E(t) + G(t)) + \dot{E}(t) + \dot{G}(t) \right).
$$

In what follows, we will calculate $E(t)$ and $\dot{G}(t)$.

By definition, we see that

$$
V_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( E(t) + G(t) \right),
$$

where $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ are defined as before.

So

$$
\dot{V}_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( 2\lambda (E(t) + G(t)) + \dot{E}(t) + \dot{G}(t) \right).
$$

In what follows, we will calculate $E(t)$ and $\dot{G}(t)$.

Using integration by parts and the boundary condition, we have

$$
\dot{E}(t) = \int_0^1 y_{xx} y_{xxt} \, dx + y_t y_t x \, dx + 2\beta \int_0^1 y_t^2 \, dx.
$$

where $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ are defined as before.

So

$$
\dot{V}_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( 2\lambda (E(t) + G(t)) + \dot{E}(t) + \dot{G}(t) \right).
$$

In what follows, we will calculate $E(t)$ and $\dot{G}(t)$.

By definition, we see that

$$
V_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( E(t) + G(t) \right),
$$

where $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ are defined as before.

So

$$
\dot{V}_1(t) = e^{2\lambda t} \left( 2\lambda (E(t) + G(t)) + \dot{E}(t) + \dot{G}(t) \right).
$$

In what follows, we will calculate $E(t)$ and $\dot{G}(t)$.
\[
- \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_{xxx} (x, t) \, dx \right) = \eta \left( \frac{1}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
- \beta y_x (1, t) y_t (1, t) \\
+ 2 \alpha \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \right),
\]

where we have used equalities
\[
\int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t) \, dx \\
= \frac{1}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
\int_0^1 x y_{xxx} (x, t) y_x (x, t) \, dx \\
= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx + \beta y_x (1, t) y_t (1, t).
\]

Summarizing the above all, we have
\[
\dot{V}_1 = e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \lambda \int_0^1 \left( y_x^2 (x, t) + y_t^2 (x, t) \right) \, dx \\
+ 2 \lambda \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t) \, dx \right] + e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \lambda \right] \\
+ \frac{\eta}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) - \beta \eta y_x (1, t) y_t (1, t) \\
- \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \int_0^1 y_t (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
= e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \lambda \right] \\
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \lambda \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \int_0^1 y_t (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \frac{\eta}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) - \beta \eta y_x (1, t) y_t (1, t) \right].
\]

Since
\[
\int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t) \, dx \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx,
\]

we have
\[
V_1 \leq e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \lambda \int_0^1 \left( y_x^2 (x, t) + y_t^2 (x, t) \right) \, dx \\
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \int_0^1 y_t (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \right] + e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \frac{\eta}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) \right] \\
= e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \lambda \right] \\
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \lambda \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \int_0^1 y_t (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ 2 \alpha \eta \int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
+ e^{2 \lambda t} \left[ \frac{\eta}{2} y_t^2 (1, t) + \beta \eta y_x (1, t) y_t (1, t) \right].
\]

We now estimate the integral terms with time delay. Applying Young’s and Poincaré’s inequalities, we have
\[
\int_0^1 y_t (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t - \tau) \, dx + \frac{1}{2 \delta_1} \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx,
\]

\[
\int_0^1 x y_x (x, t) y_t (x, t - \tau) \, dx \\
\leq \frac{1}{2 \delta_2} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx + \frac{1}{8 \delta_2} \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 (x, t) \, dx.
\]
Thus,
\[
\dot{V}_1(t) \leq e^{2\lambda t} \left[ \left( \lambda - \frac{3\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha\eta^2}{4\delta} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 \, dx 
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha e^{\lambda t}}{2} \right) \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx \right] 
+ e^{2\lambda t} \left( -\beta + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} \right) y_t^2 (1, t)
\]
(36)

Taking \( \delta_1 = e^{-\lambda t}, \delta_2 = e^{-\lambda t}/\eta, \) we obtain
\[
\dot{V}_1(t) \leq e^{2\lambda t} \left[ \left( \lambda - \frac{3\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha\eta^2 e^{\lambda t}}{4} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 \, dx 
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{3\alpha e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta} \right) \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx \right] 
+ e^{2\lambda t} \left( -\beta + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} \right) y_t^2 (1, t)
\]
(37)

The desired inequality follows. \( \square \)

Since
\[
V_2(t) = 2\alpha e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^1 \int_{t-\tau}^t e^{2\lambda(s+\tau)} y_t^2 (x, s) \, ds \, dx
\]
we have
\[
\dot{V}_2(t) = 2\alpha e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda(t+\tau)} y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx 
- 2\alpha e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^1 e^{2\lambda t} y_t^2 (x, t-\tau) \, dx
\]
(38)

Employing the estimate, we have
\[
\dot{V}(t) = \dot{V}_1(t) + \dot{V}_2(t)
\leq e^{2\lambda t} \left[ \left( \lambda - \frac{3\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha\eta^2 e^{\lambda t}}{4} \right) \int_0^1 y_{xx}^2 \, dx 
+ \left( \lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{3\alpha e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta} \right) \int_0^1 y_t^2 (x, t) \, dx \right] 
+ e^{2\lambda t} \left( -\beta + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} \right) y_t^2 (1, t)
\]
(40)

Clearly, if the parameters \( \eta, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, \) and \( \tau \) are such that the inequalities
\[
\lambda - \frac{3\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} + \frac{\alpha\eta^2 e^{\lambda t}}{4} \leq 0,
\lambda - \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\lambda\eta}{2} + \frac{3\alpha e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta} \leq 0,
\]
(41)

\[
-\beta + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\beta\eta}{2} \leq 0
\]
hold, then we have \( \dot{V}(t) \leq 0. \)

Summarizing discussion above, we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( y(x, t) \) be the solution of (1), and let \( 0 < \eta < 2 \) and \( \lambda > 0. \) If inequalities (41) hold, then the energy function \( E(t) \) decays exponentially at rate \( 2\lambda. \)

We now are in a proposition to study the solvability of inequalities (41). Noting that \( \eta \) is not a system parameter, it is only a middle parameter which is introduced in the multiplier term. From the third inequality of (41) we see that \( \eta \) and \( \beta \) have a relationship:
\[
0 < \eta < \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}.
\]
(42)

Taking \( \eta = \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}, \) (41) is equivalent to
\[
\lambda - 3\beta \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta} + \beta \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta} + \beta \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta} \leq 0,
\lambda - \beta \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta} + \beta \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta} + 3\alpha \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{1+\beta} \leq 0.
\]
(43)

**Theorem 8.** Set \( \eta = \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}. \) If \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) satisfy the inequality
\[
\alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta, \frac{\beta}{3 \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta}} \right\},
\]
(44)
then there exists \( \lambda^* > 0 \) such that for \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*] \) inequality (41) holds true.

**Proof.** If (44) holds, then
\[
\alpha < \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta,
\]
(45)
\[
\alpha < \frac{\beta}{3 \frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta}},
\]
or equivalently
\[
-\frac{3\beta}{1+\beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1+\beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{\lambda t}}{(1+\beta)^2} < 0,
\]
(46)
Set
\[ f(x) = x - \frac{3\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{x\tau}}{(1 + \beta)^2}, \quad x \geq 0, \]  
\[ g(y) = y - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{y\beta}{1 + \beta} + 3\alpha e^{y\tau}, \quad y \geq 0. \]

Since
\[ f(0) = -\frac{3\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{(1 + \beta)^2} < 0, \]
\[ g(0) = -\frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\lambda\beta}{1 + \beta} + 3\alpha < 0, \]  
\[ f(3) = 3 + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{3\tau}}{(1 + \beta)^2} > 0, \]
\[ g(1) = 1 + 3\alpha e^{\tau} > 0, \]  
there exist \( x^* \in (0, 3) \) and \( y^* \in (0, 1) \) such that \( f(x^*) = 0 \) and \( g(y^*) = 0 \).

Set
\[ x_* = \min \{ x^* \in (0, 3), f(x^*) = 0 \}, \]
\[ y_* = \min \{ y^* \in (0, 1), g(y^*) = 0 \}, \]  
and \( \lambda^* = \min\{x_*, y_*\} \). Clearly, when \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^* \) we have \( f(\lambda) \leq 0 \) and \( g(\lambda) \leq 0 \), so (43) holds. Hence (41) holds true.

In what follows, we discuss the property of the function
\[ G(\beta) = \min \left\{ \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta, \frac{\beta}{3(1 + \beta)} \right\}, \quad \beta \geq 0. \]  
(50)

We consider equation
\[ \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta = \frac{\beta}{3(1 + \beta)}, \]  
(51)
and it is equivalent to
\[ 9 + 15\beta + 2\beta^2 - 3\beta^3 = 0. \]  
(52)
This equation has three real roots \( \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 0 < 2 < \beta_3 < 3 \). So we have
\[ G(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{3(1 + \beta)}, & \beta \in (0, \beta_3] \\ \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta, & \beta \in [\beta_3, 3] \\ \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta < 0, & \beta \geq 3, \end{cases} \]  
(53)
\[ \max_{\beta \geq 0} G(\beta) = \frac{\beta_3}{3(1 + \beta_3)}. \]  
(54)

3.1. \((\beta, \alpha)\)-Region of the Exponential Stability. According to (52) we determine \( \beta_3 \approx 2.818 \). And according to (53) we draw the \((\beta, \alpha)\)-region.

The picture of \( G(\beta) \) is given as Figure 1. \((\beta, \alpha)\)-region is given by
\[ \sum(\beta, \alpha) = \{(\beta, \alpha) | \alpha < G(\beta), \beta \in (0, 3)\}. \]  
(55)

Figure 1 gives the graph of function \( G(\beta) \) that gives the relationship between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) with which system \((1)\) is exponentially stable. From this picture we see that if \( \alpha \) is larger, we cannot stabilize it by the boundary damping. \( \alpha \) has upper bound \( \alpha^* = \frac{\beta}{3(1 + \beta_3)} \approx 0.246 \).

3.2. The Best Decay Rate \( \lambda^* \). Suppose that \( \alpha < G(\beta) \) with \( \beta \in (0, 3) \). According to (43) we determine the best decay rate \( \lambda^* \). Note that \( \lambda^* = \min\{x_*, y_*\} \in (0, 1) \), where \( x_* \) and \( y_* \) solve the following equation, respectively:
\[ x_* - \frac{3\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x_*\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{x_*\tau}}{(1 + \beta)^2} = 0, \]  
(56)
\[ y_* - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{y_*\beta}{1 + \beta} + 3\alpha e^{y_*\tau} = 0. \]  
(57)

Firstly, let
\[ p(x) = x - \frac{3\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{x\tau}}{(1 + \beta)^2}, \]  
(58)
\[ q(x) = x - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x\beta}{1 + \beta} + 3\alpha e^{x\tau}. \]  
(59)
Obviously, \( p(x) \) and \( q(x) \) both are monotonic function. For comparing to the two equations of (56), we define the function \( f(x) \):

\[
f(x) = p(x) - q(x) = x - \frac{3\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2 e^{\omega t}}{(1 + \beta)^2} - (x - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} + \frac{x\beta}{1 + \beta} + 3\alpha e^{\omega t})
\]

(58)

Since \( \beta, \alpha, \tau > 0 \), we have \( \alpha[\beta^2/(1 + \beta)^2 - 3]e^{\omega t} < 0 \). When \( \beta \in (0, 2) \), we get \( (\beta^2 - 2\beta)/(1 + \beta) < 0 \); that is, \( f(x) < 0 \). Therefore, when \( \beta \in (0, 2) \), \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \).

An example is given: Assume that \( \beta = 1, \alpha = 0.1, \tau = 0.1 \) (i.e., they are constants). We obtain the approximative zero points of \( p(x) \) and \( q(x) \) from Figure 2. That is, \( x_\ast = 0.6489 \) and \( y_\ast = 0.1307 \). Therefore, we get the best decay rate \( \lambda^* = y_\ast = 0.1307 \).

Next, we consider \( \beta \in (2, \beta_3) \). By researching (58), we can obtain \( (\beta^2 - 2\beta)/(1 + \beta) > 0 \) and \( [\beta^2/(1 + \beta)^2 - 3]e^{\omega t} \leq \beta^2/(1 + \beta)^2 - 3 \leq 0 \). We have \( f(x) \leq (\beta^2 - 2\beta)/(1 + \beta) + \alpha[\beta^2/(1 + \beta)^2 - 3] \).

Let

\[
H = \frac{\beta^2 - 2\beta}{1 + \beta} + \alpha \left[ \frac{\beta^2}{(1 + \beta)^2} - 3 \right] < 0.
\]

(59)

We have \( \alpha > (2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)/(\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2) > 0 \). According to \((\beta, \alpha)\)-region of the exponential stability, we obtain \( \alpha < \beta/3(1 + \beta) \). After comparison,

\[
\frac{(2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)}{\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2} < \alpha < \frac{\beta}{3(1 + \beta)}.
\]

(60)

To summarize, \( \beta \in (2, \beta_3] \), when \( (2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)/((\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2) < \alpha < \beta/3(1 + \beta) \), we can get \( f(x) \leq H < 0 \). Therefore, the best decay \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \).

Then, we give two examples.

Assume that \( \beta = 2.1 \in (2, \beta_3], \alpha = 0.1 \in (0.0267, 0.2258) \), \( \tau = 0.1 \) (i.e., they are constants); we can obtain Figure 3. We get the best decay rate \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \).

Assume that \( \beta = 2.1 \in (2, \beta_3], \alpha = 0.2 \in (0.0267, 0.2258) \), \( \tau = 0.1 \) (i.e., they are constants); we can obtain Figure 4. We get the best decay rate \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \).

Finally, we consider \( \beta \in (\beta_3, 3) \). We can also obtain \( \alpha > (2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)/((\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2) > 0 \), when \( f(x) \leq H < 0 \). Here, \( \alpha < 3/\beta + 2 - \beta \). After comparison,

\[
\frac{(2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)}{\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2} > \frac{3}{\beta} + 2 - \beta.
\]

(61)

Therefore, Not all \( f(x) < 0 \) were always correct.

Summarizing the above all, the best decay \( \lambda^* \) is easy to determine, when \( \beta \in (0, 2) \). The best decay \( \lambda^* \) is not easy to determine, when \( \beta \in (2, 3) \). We have two conclusion:

(i) \( \beta \in [0, 2] \), the best decay \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \);

(ii) \( \beta \in (2, \beta_3] \), when \( (2\beta - \beta^2)(1 + \beta)/((\beta^2 - 3(1 + \beta)^2) < \alpha < \beta/3(1 + \beta) \), the best decay \( \lambda^* = y_\ast \).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, using the Lyapunov functional approach we discussed the exponential stabilization of an Euler-Bernoulli beam equation with interior delays and boundary damping. Different from the earlier papers, we added a multiplier term \( e^{\lambda t} \) to the Lyapunov function so as to transform the exponential stability. By solving the inequality equations, we give the exponential stability region of the system.

We note that the method used in this paper also can apply to the investigation of the exponential stability of other
model. In the future, we will study the boundary feedback control anti-interior time delay for other models.
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