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The aim of the present paper is to investigate several third-order differential subordinations, differential superordination properties, and sandwich-type theorems of an integral operator $W_{s,b}f(z)$ involving the Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function. We make some applications of the operator $W_{s,b}f(z)$ for meromorphic functions.

1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{H}(U)$ the class of functions analytic in the unite disk

$$U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| < 1\},$$

of the form

$$\mathcal{H}[a,n] = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{H}(U), \ f(z) = a + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right\},$$

(2)

(a $\in \mathbb{C}; \ n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,\ldots\})

and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}[1,1].$

For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ to be analytic in $U,$ $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in $U$ and written by

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

(3)

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z),$ which is analytic in $U,$ with

$$\omega(0) = 0,$$

$$|\omega(z)| < 1,$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in U).$$

(5)

It is generally known that

$$f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in U) \implies f(0) = g(0), \ f(U) \subset g(U).$$

(6)

Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in $U,$ then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \iff f(0) = g(0), \ f(U) \subset g(U).$$

(7)

Denote by $Q$ the set of functions $q(z)$ that are analytic and univalent on $U \setminus \mathcal{B}(q),$ where

$$\mathcal{B}(q) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \to \zeta} q(z) = \infty \right\},$$

(8)

are such that $\min_{z \in \partial U} |q'(\zeta)| = \varepsilon > 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \setminus \mathcal{B}(q).$

Furthermore, let

$$Q(a) = \{ q(z) \in Q : q(0) = a \},$$

(9)

$$Q_1 = Q(1).$$

Denote by $A_*$ the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
which are analytic in the punctured unit disk
\[ U^* = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \ 0 < |z| < 1 \} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{ 0 \}. \] (11)

We recall the general Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function \( \Phi(z, s, a) \) (see, e.g., [1, p. 121] and [2, p. 194]) defined by
\[ \Phi(z, s, a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+a)^s}. \] (12)
where \( a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{-} \) when \( |z| < 1 \); \( \Re(s) > 1 \) when \( |z| = 1 \).

In recent years, the general Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function \( \Phi(z, s, a) \) was investigated by many researchers. A huge amount of interesting properties and consequences can be found in, for example, Choi and Srivastava [3], Gargar et al. [4], Lin and Srivastava [5], and Srivastava et al. [6].

In 2007, by involving the general Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function \( \Phi(z, s, a) \), Srivastava and Attiya [7] (also see [8–11]) introduced the integral operator
\[ J_{s, b} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + b^{k+b})}{b^{k+b}} z^k. \] (14)
where \( Z^{-}_0 = Z^{-} \cup \{ 0 \} = \{ 0, -1, -2, \ldots \} \).

Analogous to abovementioned operator \( J_{s, b} f \), Wang and Shi [12] introduced a new integral operator \( \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f \) defined by
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, b} : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \] (15)
defined by
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f(z) = \Theta_{s, b}(z) * f(z) \] (16)
where
\[ \Theta_{s, b}(z) = (b-1)^s \left[ \Phi(z, s, b) - b^{-s} + \frac{1}{z (b-1)^s} \right] \] (17)
and “*” denotes the Hadamard product.

From (10), (12), (16), and (17), we easily find that
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(b-1)^s}{z (b+k)} a_k z^k. \] (18)
It is true that \( b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ Z^{-} \cup \{ 1 \} \} \), the integral operator \( \mathcal{W}_{s, b} \) defined as
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, 0} f(z) = \lim_{b \to 0} \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f(z). \] (19)

We can deduce that
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, 0} f(z) = f(z), \] (20)
\[ \mathcal{W}_{-1, 0} f(z) = -z f'(z), \] (21)
\[ \mathcal{W}_{-1, -1} f(z) = \frac{f(z) - z f'(z)}{2}, \] (22)
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s, 2} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z+k} \right)^s a_k z^k, \] (23)
\[ \mathcal{W}_{1, b+1} f(z) = \frac{b-1}{z^{b+1}} \int_0^z t^{b} f(t) \, dt \ (b > 0), \] (24)
\[ \mathcal{W}_{\alpha, \beta+1} f(z) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(s)} z^{\beta+1} \int_0^z t^{b} \left( \log z \right)^{s-1} f(t) \, dt \ (\alpha > 0; \beta > 0). \] (25)

Furthermore, by (18), we observe that
\[ \mathcal{W}_{s+1, b} f(z) = \frac{b-1}{z^{b+1}} \int_0^z t^{b-1} \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f(z) \, dt \] (27)
\[ (\Re(b) > 1). \]

Operator (23) was introduced and studied by Alhindi and Darus [13]; operators (24) and (25) were introduced by Lashin [14].

The main purpose of this paper is to derive some third-order differential subordination, differential superordination properties, and sandwich-type theorems of the integral operator \( \mathcal{W}_{s, b} f(z) \).

2. Preliminary Results

We will investigate our main results by using following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 1 (see [15, p. 440, Definition 1]). Suppose that \( \Psi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, q(z), \) and \( h(z) \) are univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \). If \( p(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) and satisfies the third-order differential subordination
\[ \psi \left( p(z), z p'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z \right) < h(z), \] (28)
then \( p(z) \) is called a solution of the differential subordination. \( q(z) \) is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination or more simply a dominant if \( p(z) < q(z) \) for all \( p(z) \) satisfying (28). A dominant \( \tilde{q}(z) \) that satisfies
\[ \tilde{q}(z) < q(z), \] (29)
for all dominants of (28), is called the best dominant of (28).
As the second-order differential superordinations were introduced and investigated by Miller and Mocanu [16], Tang et al. [17] introduced the following third-order differential superordinations.

**Definition 2** (see [17, p. 3, Definition 5]). Suppose that \( \psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C} \) and the function \( h(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \). If the functions \( p(z) \) and
\[
\psi \left( p(z), z p'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z \right)
\]
are univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \) and satisfy the third-order differential superordination
\[
h(z) < \psi \left( p(z), z p'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z \right),
\]
then \( p(z) \) is called a solution of the differential superordination. An analytic function \( q(z) \) is called a subordinant of the solutions of the differential superordination or more simply a subordinant if \( q(z) < p(z) \) satisfies (31) for \( p(z) \) satisfying (31). A univalent subordinant \( \tilde{q}(z) \) that satisfies
\[
q(z) < \tilde{q}(z)
\]
for all superordinants \( q(z) \) of (31) is said to be the best subordinant.

**Lemma 3** (see [18, p. 132], [19, p. 190]). Suppose that \( q(z) \) is univalent in the open unit disk \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are analytic in a domain \( \mathbb{D} \) containing \( q(\mathbb{U}) \) with \( \phi(\omega) \neq 0 \) when \( \omega \to q(\mathbb{U}) \). Set \( \Phi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \) and \( h(z) = \Theta(q(z)) + \Phi(z) \). Suppose that
\[
(1) \ \Phi \ \text{is star-like in } \mathbb{U};
\]
\[
(2) \ \Re(\theta(z)\phi(q(z))) > 0.
\]
If \( p(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \theta(z) + z p'(z) \phi(p(z)) < \theta(q(z)) + z q'(z) \phi(q(z)) \), then \( p(z) < q(z) \) and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.

**Lemma 4** (see [20, p. 332]). Suppose that \( q(z) \) is univalent in the open unit disk \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are analytic in a domain \( \mathbb{D} \) containing \( q(\mathbb{U}) \). Set \( \Phi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \). Suppose that
\[
(1) \ \Phi \ \text{is star-like in } \mathbb{U};
\]
\[
(2) \ \Re(\theta(q(z))) > 0.
\]
If \( p(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \theta(z) + z p'(z) \phi(p(z)) \) is univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \), and
\[
\theta(q(z)) + z q'(z) \phi(q(z)) < \theta(p(z)) + z p'(z) \phi(p(z)),
\]
then \( q(z) < p(z) \) and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.

**Lemma 5** (see [16, p. 822]). Suppose that \( q(z) \) is univalent complex in the open unit disk \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \), with \( \Re(\gamma) > 0 \). If \( p(z) \) and \( \gamma z p'(z) \) is univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \), and
\[
q(z) + \gamma z p'(z) < p(z) + \gamma z p'(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
then \( q(z) < p(z) \) and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.

**3. Main Results**

In this section, we state several third-order differential subordination and differential superordination results associated with the operator \( \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) \).

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that the function \( q \in \mathbb{A}^* \) is nonzero univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \) with \( q(0) = 1 \) and
\[
\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\]
Let \( 0 \leq \rho \leq 1 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \). If \( f \in \mathcal{H}_0[p, \rho] \) satisfies
\[
[(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\eta \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
then
\[
[(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\eta < q(z)
\]
and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant in (39). When \( \eta = 0 \) the left hand side expressions in (39) are interpreted as 1.

**Proof.** Suppose that
\[
p(z) = [(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\eta.
\]
Then \( p(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \). Logarithmically differentiating both sides of (40) with respect to \( z \), we have
\[
\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \eta \left[ (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z) \right]^\eta
\]
\[
-1.
\]
To apply Lemma 3, we set
\[
\theta(\omega) = 1,
\]
\[
\phi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega}
\]
\[
(\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}),
\]
\[
\Phi(z) = zq'(z) \phi(q(z)) = \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
\[
h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \Phi(z) = 1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}.
\]
By means of (36) we see that $\Phi(z)$ is univalent star-like in $U$. Since $h(z) = 1 + \Phi(z)$, we furthermore get that

$$\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) > 0. \quad (43)$$

By a routine calculation using (40) and (41) we find that

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z) \phi(p(z)) = 1 + \eta \left[ \frac{(1 - \rho) z \left( \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) \right)' + \rho z \left( \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right)'}{1 - \rho} \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right] - 1. \quad (44)$$

Therefore, hypothesis (38) is equivalently written as

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z) \phi(q(z)). \quad (45)$$

We know that condition (33) is also satisfied. From an application of Lemma 3, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z). \quad (46)$$

Thus, we get the assertions in (39). Thus, the proof of Theorem 6 is completed.

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that the function $q \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is a univalent mapping of $U$ into the right half plane with $q(0) = 1$ and

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in U). \quad (47)$$

Let $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{H}[0, p]$ satisfy

$$[(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z)] \eta \neq 0 \quad (z \in U). \quad (48)$$

If

$$\Delta(z) < q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (49)$$

where

$$\Delta(z) = \left[ (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right]' + \eta \left[ \frac{(1 - \rho) z \left( \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) \right)' + \rho z \left( \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right)'}{1 - \rho} \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right] - 1, \quad (50)$$

then

$$[(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z)]'' < q(z) \quad (51)$$

and $q$ is the best dominant in (51). When $\eta = 0$, the left hand side expression of (51) is interpreted as 1.

**Proof.** Suppose that the function $p(z)$ is defined by (40). If set

$$\theta(\omega) = \omega, \quad \phi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (52)$$

$$\Phi(z) = zq'(z) \phi(q(z)) = \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (53)$$

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \Phi(z) = q(z) + \Phi(z)$$

we easily get

$$\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) = \Re \left( q(z) + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (54)$$

By virtue of (41), hypothesis (49) can be rewritten as

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z) \phi(p(z)) < \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z) \phi(q(z)). \quad (55)$$

Therefore, by making use of Lemma 3, we derive that

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (56)$$

Thus, the assertion in (49) follows. The proof of Theorem 7 is completed.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose that the function $q \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is a univalent mapping of $U$ into the right half plane with $q(0) = 1$ and satisfies condition

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (57)$$

Let $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f \in \mathcal{H}[1, p]$ satisfy

$$[(1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z)]'' \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q. \quad (58)$$

Let function $\Delta(z)$ be univalent in $U$, where $\Delta(z)$ is defined by (50). If

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} < \Delta(z), \quad (59)$$

then

$$q(z) < \left[ (1 - \rho) z \mathcal{W}_{sb} f(z) + \rho z \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b} f(z) \right]' \quad (\eta = 0)$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant in (59). When $\eta = 0$, the left hand side expressions of (59) are interpreted as 1.
Proof. By putting
\[ \theta(\omega) = \omega, \]
\[ \phi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \]
\[ \Phi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \quad (z \in U), \]
obviously, \(\Phi\) is star-like in \(U\) and
\[ \Re \left( \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right) = \Re (q(z)) \quad (z \in U). \]
Suppose that function \(p\) is defined by (40). By simple calculation, from (41), we know that
\[ \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) = \Delta(z). \]
Hence, condition (58) can be equivalently written as
\[ \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) < \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)). \]
Therefore, by Lemma 4, we have
\[ q(z) < p(z) \quad (z \in U) \]
and \(q\) is the best subordinant. The proof of Theorem 8 is completed.

**Theorem 9.** Suppose that \(0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \alpha, \eta \in \mathbb{C}\), the function \(q \in S^*\) is univalent in \(U\), and
\[ \Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \{0, -\Re(\alpha)\}. \]
Let \(f \in \mathcal{H}[0, p]\) satisfy
\[ [(1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U). \]
Denote by
\[ \Xi(z) = [(1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\alpha \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
+ \eta \left[ (1-\rho)z(\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z))' + \rho (\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z))' \right] / (1-\rho) \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z) \\
- 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (z \in U). \]
If
\[ \Xi(z) < \alpha q(z) + zq'(z), \]
then
\[ [(1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\eta \leq q(z) \]
and \(q\) is the best dominant in (69). When \(\eta = 0\), the left side hand expressions of (69) are interpreted as 1.

Proof. Suppose that function \(p(z)\) is defined by (40). Making using of (41), we have
\[ zp'(z) = \eta p(z) \]
\[ \left[ (1-\rho)z(\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z))' + \rho (\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z))' \right] / (1-\rho) \mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho \mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z) \]
\[ - 1 \]
Therefore, by putting
\[ \theta(\omega) = \alpha \omega, \]
\[ \phi(\omega) = 1 \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C}), \]
\[ \Phi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = zq'(z) \quad (z \in U), \]
\[ h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + \Phi(z) = \alpha q(z) + zq'(z), \]
obviously, \(\Phi\) is star-like in \(U\) and
\[ \Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) = \Re (\alpha + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}) > 0. \]
Furthermore, by substituting the expression for \(p(z), zp'(z)\) from (40) and (70), respectively, we get
\[ \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) = \alpha (p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) = \Xi(z), \]
where \(\Xi(z)\) is given by (67). Hypothesis (68) can be equivalently written as
\[ \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) < \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)). \]
From Lemma 3, we get
\[ p(z) < q(z). \]
Thus, we get assertion (69) of Theorem 9.

**Theorem 10.** Suppose that \(0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \Re(\alpha) > 0\); function \(q \in S^*\) is univalent in \(U\) with \(q(0) = 1\). Let function \(f \in \mathcal{H}[0, p]\) satisfy
\[ [(1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U), \]
\[ [(1-\rho)z\mathcal{W}_{s,b,f}(z) + \rho z\mathcal{W}_{s+1,b,f}(z)]^\eta \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q. \]
If \(\Xi(z)\) defined by (67) is univalent and satisfies
\[ \alpha q(z) + zq'(z) < \Xi(z), \]
If function $\Xi(z)$ is given by (67) and satisfies

$$q_1(z) + zq'_1(z) < \Xi(z) < aq_2(z) + zq'_2(z),$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left[ (1 - \rho) zW_{s,b}f(z) + \rho zW_{s+1,b}f(z) \right]^\eta$$

$$< q_2(z),$$

where $q_1$ and $q_2$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant in (87).

4. Conclusions

In the present paper, making use of the integral operator $W_{s,b}f(z)$ involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, we have derived several third-order differential subordination and differential superordination consequences of meromorphic functions in the punctured unit disk. Furthermore, the sandwich-type theorems are considered. These subordinate relationships have shown the upper and lower bounds of the operator in the punctured unit disk.
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