LOGISTIC EQUATION WITH THE p -LAPLACIAN AND CONSTANT YIELD HARVESTING

SHOBHA ORUGANTI, JUNPING SHI,
AND RATNASINGHAM SHIVAJI

Received 29 September 2003

We consider the positive solutions of a quasilinear elliptic equation with p -Laplacian, logistic-type growth rate function, and a constant yield harvesting. We use sub-super-solution methods to prove the existence of a maximal positive solution when the harvesting rate is under a certain positive constant.

1. Introduction

We consider weak solutions to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= f(x, u) \equiv au^{p-1} - u^{y-1} - ch(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ_p denotes the p -Laplacian operator defined by $\Delta_p z := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z)$; $p > 1$, $y(> p)$, a and c are positive constants, Ω is a bounded domain in R^N ; $N \geq 1$, with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,\beta}$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and connected (if $N = 1$, we assume Ω is a bounded open interval), and $h: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying $h(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$, $h(x) \not\equiv 0$, $\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) = 1$, and $h(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. By a weak solution of (1.1), we mean a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{y-1} - ch(x)]w \, dx, \quad \forall w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{1.2}$$

From the standard regularity results of (1.1), the weak solutions belong to the function class $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (see [4, pages 115–116] and the references therein).

We first note that if $a \leq \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, then (1.1) has no positive solutions. This follows since if u is a positive solution of (1.1), then u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx = \int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{y-1} - ch(x)]u \, dx. \tag{1.3}$$

But $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^p dx$. Combining, we obtain $\int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1} - ch(x)]u dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^p dx$ and hence $\int_{\Omega} (a - \lambda_1)u^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega} [u^{\gamma-1} + ch(x)]u dx \geq 0$. This clearly requires $a > \lambda_1$.

Next if $a > \lambda_1$ and c is very large, then again it can be proven that there are no positive solutions. This follows easily from the fact that if the solution u is positive, then $\int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1} - ch(x)]dx$ is nonnegative. In fact, from the divergence theorem (see [4, page 151]),

$$\int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1} - ch(x)]dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu dx \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Thus,

$$c \int_{\Omega} h(x)dx \leq \int_{\Omega} [au^{p-1} - u^{\gamma-1}]dx \leq a^{(\gamma-1)/(\gamma-p)} |\Omega|. \quad (1.5)$$

Here in the last inequality, we used the fact that $u(x) \leq a^{1/(\gamma-p)}$ which can be proven by the maximum principle (see [4, page 173]).

This leaves us with the analysis of the case $a > \lambda_1$ and c small which is the focus of the paper.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $a > \lambda_1$. Then there exists $c_0(a) > 0$ such that if $0 < c < c_0$, then (1.1) has a positive $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ solution u . Further, this solution u is such that $u(x) \geq (ch(x)/\lambda_1)^{1/(p-1)}$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that $a > \lambda_1$. Then there exists $c_1(a) \geq c_0$ such that for $0 < c < c_1$, (1.1) has a maximal positive solution, and for $c > c_1$, (1.1) has no positive solutions.*

Remark 1.3. **Theorem 1.2** holds even when $h(x) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

We establish **Theorem 1.1** by the method of sub-supersolutions. By a supersolution (subsolution) ϕ of (1.1), we mean a function $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla w dx \geq (\leq) \int_{\Omega} [a\phi^{p-1} - \phi^{\gamma-1} - ch(x)]w dx, \quad \forall w \in W, \quad (1.6)$$

where $W = \{v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \mid v \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$. Now if there exist subsolutions and supersolutions ψ and ϕ , respectively, such that $0 \leq \psi \leq \phi$ in Ω , then (1.1) has a positive solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi \leq u \leq \phi$. This follows from a result in [3].

Equation (1.1) arises in the studies of population biology of one species with u representing the concentration of the species and $ch(x)$ representing the rate of harvesting. The case when $p = 2$ (the Laplacian operator) and $\gamma = 3$ has been studied in [6]. The purpose of this paper is to extend some of this study to the p -Laplacian case. In [3], the authors studied (1.1) in the case when $c = 0$ (nonharvesting case). However, the $c > 0$ case is a semipositone problem ($f(x, 0) < 0$) and studying positive solutions in this case is significantly harder. Very few results exist on semipositone problems involving the p -Laplacian operator (see [1, 2]), and these deal with only radial positive solutions with the domain Ω a ball or an annulus. In **Section 2**, when $a > \lambda_1$ and c is sufficiently small, we will construct nonnegative subsolutions and supersolutions ψ and ϕ , respectively, such that $\psi \leq \phi$, and

establish [Theorem 1.1](#). We also establish [Theorem 1.2](#) in [Section 2](#) and discuss the case when $h(x) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

2. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first construct the subsolution ψ . We recall the antimaximum principle (see [\[4, pages 155–156\]](#)) in the following form. Let λ_1 be the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then there exists a $\delta(\Omega) > 0$ such that the solution z_λ of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p z - \lambda z^{p-1} &= -1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ z &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \delta)$ is positive for $x \in \Omega$ and is such that $(\partial z_\lambda / \partial \nu)(x) < 0$, $x \in \partial\Omega$.

We construct the subsolution ψ of [\(1.1\)](#) using z_λ such that $\lambda_1 \psi(x)^{p-1} \geq ch(x)$. Fix $\lambda_* \in (\lambda_1, \min\{a, \lambda_1 + \delta\})$. Let $\alpha = \|z_{\lambda_*}\|_\infty$, $K_0 = \inf\{K \mid \lambda_1 K^{p-1} z_{\lambda_*}^{p-1} \geq h(x)\}$, and $K_1 = \max\{1, K_0\}$. Define $\psi = K c^{1/(p-1)} z_{\lambda_*}$, where $K \geq K_1$ is to be chosen. Let $w \in W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w \, dx + \int_{\Omega} [a(\psi)^{p-1} - (\psi)^{y-1} - ch(x)] w \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [-cK^{p-1}(\lambda_* z_{\lambda_*}^{p-1} - 1) + ac(Kz_{\lambda_*})^{p-1} - (Kc^{1/(p-1)} z_{\lambda_*})^{y-1} - ch(x)] w \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} [-cK^{p-1}(\lambda_* z_{\lambda_*}^{p-1} - 1) + ac(Kz_{\lambda_*})^{p-1} - (Kc^{1/(p-1)} z_{\lambda_*})^{y-1} - c] w \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [(a - \lambda_*)(Kz_{\lambda_*})^{p-1} - (Kz_{\lambda_*})^{y-1} c^{(y-p)/(p-1)} + (K^{p-1} - 1)] c w \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Define $H(y) = (a - \lambda_*)y^{p-1} - y^{y-1} c^{(y-p)/(p-1)} + (K^{p-1} - 1)$. Then $\psi(x)$ is a subsolution if $H(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in [0, K\alpha]$. But $H(0) = K^{p-1} - 1 \geq 0$ since $K \geq 1$ and $H'(y) = y^{p-2}[(a - \lambda_*)(p-1) - c^{(y-p)/(p-1)}(y-1)y^{y-p}]$. Hence $H(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in [0, K\alpha]$ if $H(K\alpha) = (a - \lambda_*)(K\alpha)^{p-1} - (K\alpha)^{y-1} c^{(y-p)/(p-1)} + (K^{p-1} - 1) \geq 0$, that is, if

$$c \leq \left(\frac{(a - \lambda_*)(K\alpha)^{p-1} + (K^{p-1} - 1)}{(K\alpha)^{y-1}} \right)^{(p-1)/(y-p)}. \quad (2.3)$$

We define

$$c_1 = \sup_{K \geq K_1} \left(\frac{(a - \lambda_*)(K\alpha)^{p-1} + (K^{p-1} - 1)}{(K\alpha)^{y-1}} \right)^{(p-1)/(y-p)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then for $0 < c < c_1$, there exists $\bar{K} \geq K_1$ such that

$$c < \left(\frac{(a - \lambda_*)(\bar{K}\alpha)^{p-1} + (\bar{K}^{p-1} - 1)}{(\bar{K}\alpha)^{y-1}} \right)^{(p-1)/(y-p)} \quad (2.5)$$

and hence $\psi(x) = \bar{K} c^{1/(p-1)} z_{\lambda_*}$ is a subsolution.

We next construct the supersolution $\phi(x)$ such that $\phi(x) \geq \psi(x)$. Let $G(y) = ay^{p-1} - y^{y-1}$. Since $G'(y) = y^{p-2}[a(p-1) - (y-1)y^{y-p}]$, $G(y) \leq L = G(y_0)$, where $y_0 = [a(p-1)/(y-1)]^{1/(y-p)}$. Let ϕ be the positive solution of

$$-\Delta_p \phi = L \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\phi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

Then for $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla w \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} Lw \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} [a\phi^{p-1} - \phi^{y-1}]w \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} [a\phi^{p-1} - \phi^{y-1} - ch(x)]w \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Thus ϕ is a supersolution of (1.1). Also since $-\Delta_p \psi \leq a\psi^{p-1} - \psi^{y-1} - ch(x) \leq L = -\Delta_p \phi$, by the weak comparison principle (see [4, 5]), we obtain $\phi \geq \psi \geq 0$. Hence there exists a solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi \geq u \geq \psi$. From the regularity results (see [4, pages 115–116] and the references therein), $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. $\square$

Remark 2.1. If $\tilde{u}$ is any $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ solution of (1.1), then by the weak comparison principle, $\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty}$, where ϕ is as in (2.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Theorem 1.1, we know that for c small, there exists a positive solution. Whenever (1.1) has a positive solution u , (1.1) also has a maximal positive solution. This easily follows since ϕ in (2.6) is always a supersolution such that $\phi \geq u$. Next if for $c = \bar{c}$, we have a positive solution $u_{\bar{c}}$, then for all $c < \bar{c}$, $u_{\bar{c}}$ is a positive subsolution. Hence again using ϕ in (2.6) as the supersolution, we obtain a maximal positive solution for c . From (1.3), it is easy to see that for large c , there does not exist any positive solution. Hence there exists a $c_1(a) > 0$ such that there exists a maximal positive solution for $c \in (0, c_1)$ and no positive solution for $c > c_1$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. The use of the antimaximum principle in the creation of the subsolution helps us to easily modify the proof of Theorem 1.1 to obtain a positive maximal solution for all $c < c_2(a) = \sup_{K \geq 1} (((a - \lambda_*)(K\alpha)^{p-1} + (K^{p-1} - 1))/(K\alpha)^{y-1})^{(p-1)/(y-p)}$ even in the case when $h(x) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Here $c_2(a) \geq c_0(a)$. (Of course when $h(x) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, our solution does not satisfy $u(x) \geq (ch(x)/\lambda_1)^{1/(p-1)}$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.) Hence Theorem 1.2 also holds in the case when $h(x) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Acknowledgment

The research of the second author is partially supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) Grant DMS-0314736, College of William and Mary summer research Grants, and a Grant from Science Council of Heilongjiang Province, China.

References

- [1] H. Dang, K. Schmitt, and R. Shivaji, *On the number of solutions of boundary value problems involving the p -Laplacian*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **1996** (1996), no. 1, 1–9.
- [2] H. Dang, R. Shivaji, and C. Maya, *An existence result for a class of superlinear p -Laplacian semi-positone systems*, Differential Integral Equations **14** (2001), no. 2, 231–240.
- [3] P. Drábek and J. Hernández, *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic problems*, Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods **44** (2001), no. 2, 189–204.
- [4] P. Drábek, P. Krejčí, and P. Takáč, *Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 404, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Florida, 1999.
- [5] J. Fleckinger-Pellé and P. Takáč, *Uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear cooperative systems with the p -Laplacian*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), no. 4, 1227–1253.
- [6] S. Oruganti, J. Shi, and R. Shivaji, *Diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting. I. Steady states*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 9, 3601–3619.

Shobha Oruganti: Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Penn State Erie, The Behrend College, Penn State University, PA 16563, USA

E-mail address: sxo12@psu.edu

Junping Shi: Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA; School of Mathematics, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150080, China

E-mail address: shij@math.wm.edu

Ratnasingham Shivaji: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA

E-mail address: shivaji@ra.msstate.edu