

BOURGIN-YANG-TYPE THEOREM FOR a -COMPACT PERTURBATIONS OF CLOSED OPERATORS. PART I. THE CASE OF INDEX THEORIES WITH DIMENSION PROPERTY

SERGEY A. ANTONYAN, ZALMAN I. BALANOV, AND BORIS D. GEL'MAN

Received 26 June 2005; Accepted 1 July 2005

A variant of the Bourgin-Yang theorem for a -compact perturbations of a closed linear operator (in general, unbounded and having an infinite-dimensional kernel) is proved. An application to integrodifferential equations is discussed.

Copyright © 2006 Sergey A. Antonyan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

1.1. Goal. Among several different, but equivalent, formulations of the famous Borsuk-Ulam theorem, the following one is of our interest: if $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous odd map, then there exists an $x \in S^n$ such that $f(x) = f(-x) = 0$ (see [17] for other formulations, generalizations, and applications, and [11, 13] for a connection with the corresponding Brouwer degree results).

Under the “stronger” assumption that $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where $m < n$, one can expect that there are bigger coincidence sets. The results which measure the size of the set $A := \{x \in S^n \mid f(-x) = f(x)\}$ in topological terms, like dimension, (co)homology, genus (or other index theory), are usually called “Bourgin-Yang theorems.” The simplest result in this direction (cf. [5, 19]) can be formulated as follows: (i) $\dim A(f) \geq n - m$ (covering or cohomological dimension) and (ii) $g(A(f)) \geq n - m + 1$, where $g(\cdot)$ stands for the genus with respect to the antipodal action (see Example 2.4). We refer to [17] for extensions of this result to more complicated (finite-dimensional) G -spaces, where G is a compact Lie group, as well as to index theories different from genus.

Holm and Spanier were the first to extend the Bourgin-Yang theorem to infinite dimensions (see [10], where the solution set to the equation $a(x) = f(x)$ was studied in the case a is a proper C^∞ -smooth Fredholm operator and f is a compact map; both equivariant with respect to a free involution). It should be pointed out that the assumptions on a required in [10] allow a clear finite-dimensional reduction (the kernels and images in question are complementable). At the same time, the methods developed in [10] cannot be

2 Bourgin-Yang-type theorem

applied to treat the case when F is not Fredholm. The first step in this direction was done in recent papers [8, 9], where the author studied the situation when a is a continuous (resp., linear closed) linear operator without any restrictions with respect to $\dim \ker(a)$ (in fact, in these papers only, the “dimension part” of the Bourgin-Yang theorem was proved in the presence of the antipodal symmetry). The main new ingredient in [8, 9] allowing the author to go around the “complementability problem” is the application of the Michael selection theorem *respecting the antipodal symmetry* to the *multivalued* map a^{-1} . Observe, however, that the corresponding “equivariant selection theorem” was proved in [7] for free actions of a finite group—by no means to be extended to *nonfree actions of compact Lie groups*.

The *main goal* of our paper is to extend the results from [8–10] in several directions:

- (i) a is an *arbitrary* closed linear map (in general, unbounded, and having an infinite-dimensional kernel) equivariant with respect to *arbitrary* compact Lie group representations;
- (ii) f is a so-called a -compact G -equivariant map (see Definition 4.1);
- (iii) the coincidence set is estimated in terms of an arbitrary index theory with the so-called “dimension property” (cf. [4, 17], [14, Chapter 5]).

To this end, based on the results from [1], we establish a general equivariant version of the Michael selection theorem (without any restrictions with respect to G -actions) which, in our opinion, is interesting in its own. This result allows us to construct for a an equivariant section taking bounded sets to the bounded ones (see Lemma 3.6). Using this lemma, we reduce the coincidence problem to the fixed point problem.

1.2. Overview. After Section 1, the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly discuss “index theories.” Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the equivariant Michael selection theorem and Lemma 3.6. After the reduction to the fixed point problem (see Section 4), we prove the main result (Theorem 4.3) in Section 5. In the last section, we give an application of the main result to integrodifferential equations. For the equivariant jargon, frequently used in this paper, we refer to [6].

2. Index theories

Convention and notations. Hereafter, G stands for a compact Lie group.

Without loss of generality, we will assume all Banach G -representations to be isometric.

Given a Banach G -representation E ,

- (i) S_R stands for the sphere in E of radius R centered at the origin;
- (ii) $E^G = \{x \in E \mid gx = x, \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ —the fixed point set.

Let us recall the standard construction of the join.

Definition 2.1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be topological spaces and $\Delta^{n-1} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1\}$ —the $(n-1)$ -dimensional standard simplex. The *join* $X_1 * \dots * X_n$ is the quotient space of the product $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \times \Delta^{n-1}$ under the following equivalence relation: $(x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \sim (x'_1, \dots, x'_n, t'_1, \dots, t'_n)$ if and only if $t_i = t'_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $x_i = x'_i$ whenever $t_i = t'_i > 0$.

It is convenient to denote a point of the join $X_1 * \cdots * X_n$ in the form of a formal convex combination: $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$.

If $X_1 = \cdots = X_n = X$, then write $J_n X$ for $X_1 * \cdots * X_n$.

If X_1, \dots, X_n are G -spaces, then so is $X_1 * \cdots * X_n$ via $g \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i := \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g x_i$, $g \in G$.

Example 2.2. Obviously, $J_n S^0 = S^{n-1}$, $J_n S^1 = S^{2n-1}$, and $J_n S^3 = S^{4n-1}$. Also, if we consider S^0 (resp., S^1 and S^3) as free \mathbb{Z}_2 —(resp., S^1 - and $SU(2)$ -spaces), then the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $J_n S^0$ (resp., S^1 on $J_n S^1$ and $SU(2)$ on $J_n S^3$) corresponds to the antipodal action (resp., scalar multiplication in $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and scalar multiplication in $S^{4n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, where \mathbb{H} stands for the quaternions).

Following [4], [14, Chapter 5], [17], we give the following definition.

Definition 2.3. A function “ind” that assigns to every G -space A a number $\text{ind}(A) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ or $\{\infty\}$ is called an *index theory* if it satisfies the following properties.

- (i) $\text{ind}(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$.
- (ii) *Subadditivity.* If a G -space A is the union of two of its closed invariant subsets A_1 and A_2 , then $\text{ind}(A) \leq \text{ind}(A_1) + \text{ind}(A_2)$.
- (iii) *Continuity.* If A is a closed invariant subset of a G -space X , then there exists a closed invariant neighborhood \mathcal{U} of A in X such that $\text{ind}(A) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{U})$.
- (iv) *Monotonicity.* If A_1 and A_2 are two G -spaces and there exists an equivariant map $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$, then $\text{ind}(A_1) \leq \text{ind}(A_2)$.

In particular, (a) if $A_1 \subset A_2$, then $\text{ind}(A_1) \leq \text{ind}(A_2)$, and (b) if $\varphi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is an equivariant homeomorphism, then $\text{ind}(A_1) = \text{ind}(A_2)$.

Example 2.4 (genus). For a G -space A set $g(A) = k$ if there exist closed subgroups H_1, \dots, H_k of G , $H_i \neq G$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and a G -equivariant map $A \rightarrow G/H_1 * \cdots * G/H_k$, where k is minimal with this property (G acts on G/H_i by left translations). If such k does not exist, put $g(A) := \infty$. Also, $g(\emptyset) = 0$.

It is easy to check (see [3]) that the function g satisfies all the properties required for an index theory.

In fact, there is a “myriad” of nonequivalent index theories (mostly, cohomological (see [3] and references therein)).

In this paper, we are dealing with index theories satisfying an additional property (cf. [4], [14, Chapter 5], [17]). Namely, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.5 (dimension property). An index theory ind is said to satisfy the *dimension property* if there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any Banach G -representation E , one has $\text{ind}(E^{kd} \cap S_1) = k$ for all invariant kd -dimensional subspaces E^{kd} of E satisfying $E^{kd} \cap E^G = \{0\}$.

As an immediate consequence of the dimension property, one has (cf. [4]) that $\text{ind}(A) < \infty$ for any compact invariant subset $A \subset E$ of a Banach G -representation E with $A \cap E^G = \emptyset$. Although, in general, the genus does not satisfy the dimension property, there are some important (from the application point of view) classes of groups for which it does (see the examples following below).

4 Bourgin-Yang-type theorem

Example 2.6. (i) If $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p is prime), then the genus satisfies the dimension property with $d = 1$ (cf. [3]).

(ii) If $G = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$, then the genus satisfies the dimension property with $d = 2$ (cf. [3]).

Remark 2.7. Restricting the genus to free G -spaces, one can define a “restricted index theory” satisfying the dimension property with $d = 1 + \dim G$ (cf. [3]). Recall that if G acts freely on a finite-dimensional sphere, then G is either finite, or S^1 , or S^3 , or the normalizer of S^1 in S^3 (cf. [6, Chapter 4, Theorem 6.2]). All finite groups admitting a free action on a finite-dimensional sphere are described in [18].

3. Equivariant selection theorem

We begin this section with recalling the Michael selection theorem. To this end, we need several definitions.

Definition 3.1. (i) Let X and Y be topological spaces. It will be said that F is a multivalued map from X to Y if F associates with each point $x \in X$ a nonempty subset $F(x)$ of Y . If, in addition, X and Y are G -spaces, then F is called a multivalued G -map or a multivalued equivariant map, if $F(gx) = gF(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$, where $gF(x) = \{gy \mid y \in F(x)\}$.

(ii) A multivalued map F from X to Y is called *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.) if for any open subset $U \subset Y$, the set

$$F^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \quad (3.1)$$

is open in X .

Definition 3.2. (i) A continuous (single-valued) map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *selection* for a multivalued map F from X to Y if $f(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) Assume X and Y are G -spaces and F is a multivalued G -map. A selection f of F is called a G -selection if, in addition, f is a G -map.

The following fact is well known as the Michael selection theorem.

THEOREM 3.3 (see [15]). *Let X be a paracompact space, Y a Banach space, and F an l.s.c. multivalued map from X to Y such that $F(x)$ is a nonempty, closed, convex set for all $x \in X$. Then F admits a selection.*

Below, we formulate and prove an equivariant version of the Michael selection theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let X be a paracompact G -space, Y a Banach G -representation, and F a multivalued l.s.c. G -map from X to Y such that for all $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is a closed, convex set. Then F admits a G -selection.*

Proof. According to the Michael selection theorem (Theorem 3.3), there exists a continuous selection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of F . Let dg be the normalized Haar measure on G . Define a new

single-valued map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\varphi(x) = \int_G g^{-1} f(gx) dg, \quad x \in X, \quad (3.2)$$

(the symbol on the right-hand side denotes the vector-valued integral with respect to the Haar measure).

We claim that φ is the desired G -selection of F . Indeed, since $f(gx) \in F(gx) = gF(x)$, we see that $g^{-1}f(gx) \in g^{-1}(gF(x)) = F(x)$ for all $g \in G$. Since $F(x)$ is a closed convex set, we infer that the closed convex hull $\overline{\text{conv}(A_f)}$ of the set $A_f := \{g^{-1}f(gx) \mid g \in G\}$ is contained in $F(x)$. But the above integral belongs to $\overline{\text{conv}(A_f)}$ (see [16, Part 1, Theorem 3.27]). This yields that $\varphi(x) \in F(x)$.

Continuity and equivariance of the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ can be easily derived from the corresponding properties of the integral presented in the following lemma \square

LEMMA 3.5 (see [1]). *Assume that V is a complete (in the sense of the natural uniformity induced from Z) convex invariant subset of a locally convex topological vector space Z on which a compact group G acts linearly. Let $C(G, V)$ denote the set of all continuous maps $f : G \rightarrow V$ endowed with the compact-open topology. Then the vector-valued Haar integral $\int : C(G, V) \rightarrow V$ is a well-defined continuous map satisfying the following properties:*

- (a) $\int_h f = \int f = \int f_h$ for any $f \in C(G, V)$ and any $h \in G$, where ${}_h f(g) = f(hg)$ and $f_h(g) = f(gh)$ for all $g \in G$;
- (b) $\int g * f = g \int f$ for any $f \in C(G, V)$ and any $g \in G$, where the action $g * f$ of G on $C(G, V)$ is defined by $(g * f)(x) = gf(x)$, $x \in G$;
- (c) $\int f = v_0$, if $f(G) = \{v_0\}$ for a point $v_0 \in V$.

Also, assuming in addition that G is finite or Z is finite-dimensional, one can remove the completeness requirement on V .

Next, we will apply Theorem 3.4 to prove the existence of a special G -selection of a linear G -equivariant closed map of Banach G -representations.

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces, $a : D(a) \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ a linear closed surjective map. Take the natural projection $p : E_1 \rightarrow E_1/\text{Ker}(a) := \overline{E_1}$ and consider the (invertible) map $a_1 : D(a_1) \subset \overline{E_1} \rightarrow E_2$, where $D(a_1) := p(D(a))$ and $a_1([x]) := a(x)$. Put (see, e.g., [8, 9])

$$\beta(a) := \sup_{y \in E_2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|a_1^{-1}(y)\|}{\|y\|} = \sup_{y \in E_2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\inf \{\|x\| \mid x \in E_1, a(x) = y\}}{\|y\|}. \quad (3.3)$$

LEMMA 3.6. *Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach isometric G -representations, $a : D(a) \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ a G -equivariant linear closed surjective map, and $k > \beta(a)$ (cf. (3.3)). Then there exists a G -equivariant continuous map $q : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $a(q(y)) = y$ for all $y \in E_2$;
- (ii) $q(y) \leq k\|y\|$ for all $y \in E_2$.

Proof. Denote by a^{-1} a multivalued map from E_2 to E_1 “inverse” to a , that is, a^{-1} assigns to each $y \in E_2$ its full inverse image under a . Obviously, a^{-1} is a multivalued G -map with nonempty closed convex values. Moreover (cf. [2, Chapter 3], [8, 9]), a^{-1} is l.s.c. (even Lipschitzian with the Lipschitz constant $\beta(a)$).

6 Bourgin-Yang-type theorem

Consider together with a^{-1} another multivalued map Φ from E_2 to E_1 defined by $\Phi(y) := B_{r(y)}[0]$, where $B_{r(y)}[0]$ is the closed ball of radius $r(y) = \beta(a)\|y\| + 1$ centered at the origin of E_1 . Obviously, Φ is also G -equivariant. Put $F(y) := a^{-1}(y) \cap \Phi(y)$. Still F is a G -equivariant l.s.c map with nonempty closed convex values.

By Theorem 3.4, there exists a G -equivariant selection $q : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ of F . By construction, q is as required. \square

Remark 3.7. Lemma 3.6 is quite obvious in the case $\dim \ker(a) < \infty$. Indeed, one has a direct sum decomposition $E_1 = V \oplus \ker(a)$ and V is isomorphic to E_2 as a G -representation. However, in general, $\ker(a)$ is not complementable and, therefore, one can think of q as a nonlinear equivariant replacement for the corresponding G -isomorphism (the use of G -selections in this case seems to be unavoidable).

4. Main result: formulation and reduction to a fixed point problem

To formulate the main result of this paper (see Theorem 4.3), we need some preliminaries.

Definition 4.1. Let E_1, E_2 be Banach spaces, $a : D(a) \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ a closed surjective linear map. A continuous map $g : X \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is said to be a -compact if the set $g(B \cap a^{-1}(A))$ is compact for any bounded sets $A \subset E_2$ and $B \subset X$ (the empty set is compact by definition).

To give a simple criterion for the a -compactness of g , recall that the graph norm makes $D(a)$ a Banach space, denoted by \tilde{E} . Clearly, the embedding $j : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E_1$ is continuous. Put $\tilde{X} := j^{-1}(X)$ and consider the map $\tilde{g} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow E_2$ defined by $\tilde{g}(x) = g(j(x))$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Under the above notations, g is a -compact if and only if \tilde{g} is compact.*

As the proof of this proposition is straightforward, we omit it.

Here is our main result.

THEOREM 4.3. *Take an index theory ind satisfying the dimension property with some natural number d (cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.5). Let E_1, E_2 be Banach G -representations and $E_2^G = \{0\}$. Let, further, $a : D(a) \subset E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a closed surjective G -equivariant linear map such that E_1^G is a proper finite-dimensional subspace of $\ker(a)$, and denote by p the codimension of E_1^G in $\ker(a)$ (the case $p = \infty$ is not excluded). Let $f : D(f) \subset S_R \rightarrow E_2$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) $D(f) = D(a) \cap S_R$;
- (ii) f is G -equivariant;
- (iii) f is a -compact.

Denote by $N(a, f)$ the solution set to the equation

$$a(x) = f(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Then,

$$\text{ind}(N(a, f)) \geq \frac{p}{d}. \quad (4.2)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.3 will be given in the next section. Here, by means of Lemma 3.6, we will reduce the study of (4.1) to a G -equivariant fixed point problem with a compact operator.

By assumption, E_1^G is finite-dimensional, hence we have a direct sum G -decomposition $E_1 = E_1^G \oplus \tilde{E}_1$. Put $\tilde{a} := a|_{\tilde{E}_1 \cap D(a)}$ —the restriction. Since, by assumption, $E_1^G \subset \ker(a)$, we still have that \tilde{a} is a closed G -equivariant surjective map. Let $q : E_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_1$ be the map provided by Lemma 3.6 (applied to \tilde{a}).

Next, define the map $g : D(a) \cap \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_2$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|x\|}{R} f\left(\frac{Rx}{\|x\|}\right), & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Further, take a direct sum G -decomposition $\ker(a) = E_1^G \oplus U$ ($\dim U = p$), consider the Banach G -representation $E := E_2 \oplus U$ equipped with diagonal G -action and the norm $\|(y, u)\| = \|y\| + \|u\|$, and define the map $\alpha : E \rightarrow E_2$ by $\alpha(y, u) := g(q(y) + u)$. Since q and g are equivariant, so is α . Let us show that α is a compact map.

Take a bounded set $A \subset E$. Without loss of generality, one can assume that $A = A_1 \times U_1$ with $A_1 \subset E_2$ and $U_1 \subset U$. By Lemma 3.6(ii), the set $A_2 := \{q(y) + u \mid (y, u) \in A\}$ is also bounded. Obviously, $A_2 \subset a^{-1}(A_1)$. By the a -compactness of g , one concludes that the set $g(A_2) = \alpha(A)$ is compact.

Finally, take the unit sphere $S \subset E$ and consider the equation

$$\alpha(y, u) = y \quad (y, u) \in S. \quad (4.4)$$

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $N(\alpha)$ be the solution set to (4.4), and define the map $\gamma : N(\alpha) \subset S \subset E \rightarrow S_R \subset E_1$ by $\gamma(y, u) := R((q(y) + u)/\|q(y) + u\|)$. Then*

- (i) γ is an equivariant homeomorphism onto its image;
- (ii) $\gamma(N(\alpha)) \subset N(a, f)$.

Statement (i) follows immediately from Lemma 3.6(i). To show statement (ii), take $(y_0, u_0) \in S$ being a solution to (4.4). Obviously, $z_0 := q(y_0) + u_0 \neq 0$. By direct computation,

$$f\left(\frac{Rz_0}{\|z_0\|}\right) = \frac{R}{\|z_0\|} y_0. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, using the linearity of a , one obtains

$$a(z_0) = y_0. \quad (4.6)$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) yields $x_0 := Rz_0/\|z_0\| \in N(a, f)$.

5. Proof of the main result (Theorem 4.3)

Throughout this section, we keep the same notations as in the previous section (in particular, $\ker(a) = E_1^G \oplus U$ and $E := E_2 \oplus U$). The proof of Theorem 4.3 splits into three steps.

8 Bourgin-Yang-type theorem

Step 1 (finite-dimensional case). Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, suppose that $\dim E < \infty$ and consider the equivariant map $\Phi : S \subset E \rightarrow E_2 \subset E$ defined by $\Phi(y, u) := \alpha(y, u) - y$. Then $N(\alpha) = \Phi^{-1}(0)$. By the continuity property of ind , there exists a closed neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $N(\alpha)$ such that

$$\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{U}). \quad (5.1)$$

By the subadditivity property, one has

$$\text{ind}(S) \leq \text{ind}(\mathcal{U}) + \text{ind}(\overline{S \setminus \mathcal{U}}). \quad (5.2)$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2) yields

$$\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) \geq \text{ind}(S) - \text{ind}(\overline{S \setminus \mathcal{U}}). \quad (5.3)$$

Observe that the equivariant map Φ takes $S \setminus \mathcal{U}$ to $E_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, by the monotonicity property,

$$\text{ind}(\overline{S \setminus \mathcal{U}}) \leq \text{ind}(E_2 \setminus \{0\}). \quad (5.4)$$

Further, $S \cap E_2$ is a G -retract of $E_2 \setminus \{0\}$, therefore, it follows from (5.4) and monotonicity property that

$$\text{ind}(\overline{S \setminus \mathcal{U}}) \leq \text{ind}(S \cap E_2). \quad (5.5)$$

Combining (5.3) and (5.5) yields

$$\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) \geq \text{ind}(S) - \text{ind}(S \cap E_2). \quad (5.6)$$

Finally, using (5.6) and the dimension property of ind , one obtains

$$\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) \geq \frac{\dim E}{d} - \frac{\dim E_2}{d}, \quad (5.7)$$

and the result follows in the considered case.

Step 2 (finite-dimensional kernel). Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, suppose that $\dim U < \infty$ and reduce this situation to the previous step.

Put $X := \text{conv}(\alpha(S)) \subset E_2$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, take the finite-dimensional G -equivariant Schauder projection $p_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow X$ (see, e.g., [12, pages 69–70]) satisfying the property

$$\|y - p_\varepsilon(y)\| < \varepsilon \quad (y \in X), \quad (5.8)$$

and put $\alpha_\varepsilon := p_\varepsilon \alpha$. Denote by $N(\alpha_\varepsilon)$ the solution set to the equation $\alpha_\varepsilon(y, u) = y$, $(y, u) \in S$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Under the above notations, $\text{ind}(N(\alpha_\varepsilon)) \leq \text{ind}(N(\alpha))$ for all ε small enough.*

Proof. By continuity property of ind , there exists a closed invariant neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \supset N(\alpha)$ such that $\text{ind}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{ind}(N(\alpha))$. Since $N(\alpha)$ is compact, without loss of generality, one can assume that \mathcal{U} is a uniform δ -neighborhood: $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_\delta(N(\alpha)) := \{z \in E \mid \|z - N(\alpha)\| < \delta\}$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough.

Let us show, first, that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $N(\alpha_\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}_\delta(N(\alpha))$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Arguing indirectly, assume that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $(y_n, u_n) \in N(\alpha_{1/n})$ such that

$$\|(y_n, u_n) - N(\alpha)\| \geq \delta. \quad (5.9)$$

However, according to the definition of X and inequality (5.8), one has $\alpha(y_n, u_n) \in X$ and $\|y_n - \alpha(y_n, u_n)\| < 1/n$. Since X and the unit sphere of U are compact, without loss of generality, one can assume that $y_n \rightarrow y_*$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_*$. Moreover, $(y_*, u_*) \in S$. By passing to the limit, one obtains $\alpha(y_*, u_*) = y_*$ that contradicts (5.9).

Therefore, the statement of Lemma 5.1 follows from monotonicity property of ind . \square

Return to the proof of Theorem 4.3 in the considered case. Take ε small enough and the Schauder projection p_ε satisfying (5.8). Let $\mathbb{R}^k \subset E_2$ be the invariant finite-dimensional subspace containing $p_\varepsilon(X)$. Put $\alpha'_\varepsilon := \alpha_\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{R}^k \oplus U}$ and let $N(\alpha'_\varepsilon)$ stand for the solution set to the equation $\alpha'_\varepsilon(y, u) = y$. Combining the result obtained at the previous step with the monotonicity property of ind , one obtains

$$\frac{p}{d} \leq \text{ind}(N(\alpha'_\varepsilon)) \leq \text{ind}(N(\alpha_\varepsilon)) \leq \text{ind}(N(\alpha)). \quad (5.10)$$

Step 3 (infinite-dimensional kernel). Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, suppose that $p = \infty$ and take a finite-dimensional invariant subspace $V \subset U$ (cf. [20, Section 4 and Appendix C] or [21, page 57]). Put $E' := E_2 \oplus V$ and $\alpha_V := \alpha|_{E'}$. Denote by $N(\alpha_V)$ the solution set to the equation

$$\alpha_V(y, u) = y \quad (y \in E_2, u \in V). \quad (5.11)$$

By monotonicity property, $N(\alpha_V) \subset N(\alpha)$ implies that $\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) \geq \text{ind}(N(\alpha_V))$. However (see Step 2), $\text{ind}(\alpha_V) \geq \dim V/d$. Bearing in mind that $\dim V$ can be chosen arbitrarily large (see again [20, Section 4 and Appendix C]), one obtains $\text{ind}(N(\alpha)) = \infty$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.3, it remains to combine Steps 2 and 3 with Lemma 4.4 and the monotonicity property of ind .

COROLLARY 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, suppose that $p = \infty$. Then $\dim N(a, f) = \infty$.*

Proof. Arguing indirectly, assume that $\dim N(a, f)$ is finite. Then $N(a, f)$ is compact and, therefore, $\text{ind}(N(a, f))$ is finite as well. The obtained contradiction completes the proof. \square

6. Application

Let Λ be a finite-dimensional linear space (thought of as a parameter space) and $b : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a continuous map. Let, further, $C_{[0, 2\pi]}^{2\pi}$ be the space of continuous functions $x : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x(0) = x(2\pi)$ (equipped with the standard sup-norm). Put $E_1 := C_{[0, 2\pi]}^{2\pi} \oplus \Lambda$ and $\|(x, \lambda)\|_{E_1} := \|x\| + \|\lambda\|$.

Consider the following problem.

PROBLEM 6.1. *Given a real number $R > 0$, do there exist a differentiable 2π -periodic vector-function $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$x'(t) = b(x(t), \lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} b(x(s), \lambda) ds \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.1)$$

and $\|(x, \lambda)\|_{E_1} = R$? In addition, what can be said about the topological structure of the solution set $N(b)$ to the above problem?

Assume, in addition, Λ is an (isometric) S^1 -representation satisfying the condition $(*) \Lambda^{S^1} = \{0\}$.

In particular, $\dim \Lambda$ is even and we will assume that

$(**) \dim \Lambda > 0$.

Identify $C_{[0, 2\pi]}^{2\pi}$ with the space of continuous functions $x : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and define on it the natural (isometric) S^1 -representation: $(hx)(t) = x(t + \varphi)$, where $h = \exp(i\varphi) \in S^1$. Equip E_1 with the diagonal S^1 -action.

Assume, further, the map b from Problem 6.1 to be S^1 -invariant in the second variable, that is,

$(***) b(x, h\lambda) = b(x, \lambda)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $h \in S^1$.

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Under the assumptions $(*)$, $(**)$, and $(***)$, one has the following genus estimate for $N(b)$:*

$$g(N(b)) \geq \frac{\dim \Lambda}{2} \quad (6.2)$$

(in particular, $N(b) \neq \emptyset$).

Proof. Observe, first, that by condition (*),

$$E_1^{S^1} = \{x(\cdot), 0 \mid x(\cdot) \text{ is a constant function}\}. \quad (6.3)$$

Next, denote by $C_{[0,2\pi]}$ the space of continuous functions from $[0, 2\pi]$ to \mathbb{R}^n with the standard sup-norm, and let $d : D(d) \subset C_{[0,2\pi]}^{2\pi} \rightarrow C_{[0,2\pi]}$ be the differentiation operator, where

$$D(d) = \{x(\cdot) \in C_{[0,2\pi]}^{[2\pi]} \mid x(\cdot) \text{ is smooth and } x'(0) = x'(2\pi)\}. \quad (6.4)$$

Obviously, d is closed and $\ker(d)$ coincides with the set of constant functions.

Consider now the operator $a : D(a) \subset E_1 \rightarrow C_{[0,2\pi]}$ defined by

$$a(x(\cdot), \lambda) := x'(\cdot). \quad (6.5)$$

Obviously, $D(a) = D(d) \oplus \Lambda$ and $\ker(a) = \ker(d) \oplus \Lambda$. Moreover, a is still a closed operator.

Put

$$E_2 := \text{Im}(a) = \left\{ y(\cdot) \in C_{[0,2\pi]} \mid \int_0^{2\pi} y(s) ds = 0, y(0) = y(2\pi) \right\}. \quad (6.6)$$

By direct computation, E_2 is a closed S^1 -invariant subset of $C_{[0,2\pi]}^{2\pi}$, and a is equivariant. Also, $E_2^{S^1} = \{0\}$.

Consider now a nonlinear continuous map f determined by the right-hand side of (6.1):

$$y(t) := f(x, \lambda)(t) = b(x(t), \lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} b(x(s), \lambda) ds. \quad (6.7)$$

Obviously, $y(0) = y(2\pi)$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} y(s) ds = 0$. Hence, f takes E_1 to E_2 . Moreover, since Λ is assumed to be finite-dimensional, the map f is a -compact. To check that f is S^1 -equivariant, take $h = \exp(i\varphi) \in S^1$. Using condition (**), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(h(x(t), \lambda)) &= f(h(x(t), h\lambda)) = f(h(x(t), \lambda)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int g(h(x(s)), \lambda) ds \\ &= g(x(t + \varphi), \lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(x(s + \varphi), \lambda) ds = hf(x(t), \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

To complete the proof of Proposition 6.2, take the sphere $S_R \subset E_1$ and apply Theorem 4.3 (cf. condition (***) and Example 2.6(ii)). \square

Remark 6.3. (i) In Proposition 6.2, one can take any index theory (for S^1) satisfying the dimension property. Also, the segment $[0, 2\pi]$ is taken to simplify the presentation.

(ii) In this paper, we restrict ourselves with the simplest illustrative example. In forthcoming papers, more involved applications (in particular, admitting closed operators with *infinite-dimensional* kernels) will be considered.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to A. Kushkuley and H. Steinlein for improving our understanding of the subject. The first author acknowledges support from Grants IN-105803 from PAPIIT, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) and C02-42563 from CONACYT (México). The second author acknowledges support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. The third author acknowledges support from the Grant 01-05-00100 from RFBR.

References

- [1] S. A. Antonyan, *Retracts in categories of G -spaces*, *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Armyanskoï SSR. Seriya Matematika* **15** (1980), no. 5, 365–378, English translation in: *Soviet Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis* **15** (1980), 30–43.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [3] T. Bartsch, *Topological Methods for Variational Problems with Symmetries*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1560, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] V. Benci, *On critical point theory for indefinite functionals in the presence of symmetries*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **274** (1982), no. 2, 533–572.
- [5] D. G. Bourgin, *On some separation and mapping theorems*, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **29** (1955), 199–214.
- [6] G. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [7] Z. Dzedzej, *Equivariant selections and approximations*, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, Gdansk Scientific Society, Gdansk, 1997, pp. 25–31.
- [8] B. D. Gel'man, *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, *Sbornik: Mathematics* **193** (2002), no. 1, 83–91.
- [9] ———, *An infinite-dimensional version of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* **38** (2004), no. 4, 1–5.
- [10] P. Holm and E. H. Spanier, *Involutions and Fredholm maps*, *Topology* **10** (1971), no. 3, 203–218.
- [11] J. Ize and A. Vignoli, *Equivariant Degree Theory*, De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 8, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003.
- [12] W. Krawcewicz and J. Wu, *Theory of Degrees with Applications to Bifurcations and Differential Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [13] A. Kushkuley and Z. I. Balanov, *Geometric Methods in Degree Theory for Equivariant Maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1632, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [14] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 74, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [15] E. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, *Annals of Mathematics* **63** (1956), 361–382.
- [16] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [17] H. Steinlein, *Borsuk's antipodal theorem and its generalizations and applications: a survey*, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis* (A. Granas, ed.), *Sém Mathematics Sup.*, vol. 95, Presses de l'Université of Montréal, Montreal, 1985, pp. 166–235.
- [18] I. A. Wolf, *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- [19] C.-T. Yang, *On theorems of Borsuk-Ulam, Kakutani-Yamabe-Yujobo and Dyson I*, *Annals of Mathematics* **60** (1954), 262–282.

- [20] D. P. Zhelobenko, *Introduction to Representation Theory*, Factorial Press, Moscow, 2001.
[21] D. P. Zhelobenko and A. I. Shtern, *Representations of Lie Groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1983.

Sergey A. Antonyan: Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 México DF, Mexico
E-mail address: antonyan@servidor.unam.mx

Zalman I. Balanov: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Netanya Academic College,
42365 Netanya, Israel
E-mail address: balanov@mail.netanya.ac.il

Boris D. Gel'man: Faculty of Mathematics, Voronezh State University, 1 Universitetskaya Pl.,
394006 Voronezh, Russia
E-mail address: gelman_boris@mail.ru



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

