Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2008, Article ID 915292, 8 pages
doi:10.1155/2008/915292

Research Article

Approximation of Generalized Left Derivations

Sheon-Young Kang[1] and Ick-Soon Chang[2]

[1] *Department of Industrial Mathematics, National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 305-340, South Korea*
[2] *Department of Mathematics, Mokwon University, Daejeon 302-729, South Korea*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ick-Soon Chang, ischang@mokwon.ac.kr

Received 26 February 2008; Accepted 15 April 2008

Recommended by Paul Eloe

We need to take account of the superstability for generalized left derivations (resp., generalized derivations) associated with a Jensen-type functional equation, and we also deal with problems for the Jacobson radical ranges of left derivations (resp., derivations).

Copyright © 2008 S.-Y. Kang and I.-S. Chang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$. An additive mapping $d : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *left derivation* (resp., *derivation*) if the functional equation $d(xy) = xd(y) + yd(x)$ (resp., $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$) holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, if the functional equation $d(\lambda x) = \lambda d(x)$ is valid for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then d is a *linear left derivation* (resp., *linear derivation*). An additive mapping $G : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a *generalized left derivation* (resp., *generalized derivation*) if there exists a left derivation (resp., derivation) $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional equation $G(xy) = xG(y) + y\delta(x)$ (resp., $G(xy) = xG(y) + \delta(x)y$) is fulfilled for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. In addition, if the functional equations $G(\lambda x) = \lambda G(x)$ and $\delta(\lambda x) = \lambda\delta(x)$ hold for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then G is a *linear generalized left derivation* (resp., *linear generalized derivation*).

It is of interest to consider the concept of stability for a functional equation arising when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. The study of stability problems had been formulated by Ulam [1] during a talk in 1940: *"Under what condition does there exists a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism?"* In the following year 1941, Hyers [2] was answered affirmatively the question of Ulam for Banach spaces, which states that *if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a map with $\mathcal{X}$, a normed space, $\mathcal{Y}$, a Banach space, such that*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \le \varepsilon, \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique additive map $T : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed x in $\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers, then T is linear. This stability phenomenon is called the *Hyers-Ulam stability* of the additive functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately additive mappings was given by Aoki [3] and for approximate linear mappings was presented by Rassias [4] in 1978 by considering the case when the inequality (1.1) is unbounded. Due to the fact that the additive functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ is said to have *the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* property. The stability result concerning derivations between operator algebras was first obtained by Šemrl [5]. Recently, Badora [6] gave a generalization of the Bourgin's result [7]. He also dealt with the Hyers-Ulam stability and the Bourgin-type superstability of derivations in [8].

In 1955, Singer and Wermer [9] obtained a fundamental result which started investigation into the ranges of linear derivations on Banach algebras. The result, which is called the Singer-Wermer theorem, states that any continuous linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps into the Jacobson radical. They also made a very insightful conjecture, namely, that the assumption of continuity is unnecessary. This was known as the Singer-Wermer conjecture and was proved in 1988 by Thomas [10]. The Singer-Wermer conjecture implies that any linear derivation on a commutative semisimple Banach algebra is identically zero which is the result of Johnson [11]. After then, Hatori and Wada [12] showed that a zero operator is the only derivation on a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with the maximal ideal space without isolated points. Note that this differs from the above result of B.E. Johnson. Based on these facts and a private communication with Watanabe [13], Miura et al. proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and Bourgin-type superstability of derivations on Banach algebras in [13]. Various stability results are given by Moslehian and Park, see, for example, [14–18].

The main purpose of the present paper is to consider the superstability of generalized left derivations (resp., generalized derivations) on Banach algebras associated to the following Jensen type functional equation:

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) = \frac{f(x)}{k} + \frac{f(y)}{k}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $k > 1$ is an integer. This functional equation is introduced in [19]. Moreover, we will investigate the problems for the Jacobson radical ranges of left derivations (resp., derivations) on Banach algebras. We use the direct method and some ideas of Amyari et al. [19].

2. Main results

Throughout this paper, the element e of an algebra will denote a unit. We now establish the superstability of a generalized left derivation associated with the Jensen type functional equation as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with unit. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional inequality:*

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{k} + zw\right) - \frac{f(x)}{k} - \frac{f(y)}{k} - zf(w) - wg(z) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, f is a generalized left derivation, and g is a left derivation.

Proof. Substituting $w = 0$ in (2.1), we get

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) - \frac{f(x)}{k} - \frac{f(y)}{k} \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Let us take $y = 0$ and replace x by kx in the above relation. Then, it becomes

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f(kx)}{k} \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. An induction implies that

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{k}{k-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k^n}\right)\varepsilon, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. By virtue of (2.4), one can easily check that for $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - \frac{f(k^m x)}{k^m} \right\| &= \frac{1}{k^m}\left\| \frac{f(k^{n-m}.k^m x)}{k^{n-m}} - f(k^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^{m-1}(k-1)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{n-m}}\right)\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So, the sequence $\{f(k^n x)/k^n\}$ is Cauchy. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is complete, $\{f(k^n x)/k^n\}$ converges. Let $d : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the mapping defined by ($x \in \mathcal{A}$)

$$d(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n}. \tag{2.6}$$

By letting $n \to \infty$ in (2.4), we get

$$\| f(x) - d(x) \| \leq \frac{k}{k-1}\varepsilon, \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now, we assert that d is additive. Replacing x and y by $k^n x$ and $k^n y$ in (2.2), respectively, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k^n} f\left(\frac{k^n x + k^n y}{k}\right) - \frac{1}{k}\frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - \frac{1}{k}\frac{f(k^n y)}{k^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k^n}\varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$d\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) = \frac{d(x)}{k} + \frac{d(y)}{k}, \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting $y = 0$ in the previous identity yields $d(x/k) = d(x)/k$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So, (2.9) becomes $d(x+y) = d(x) + d(y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, namely, d is additive.

To demonstrate the uniqueness of the additive mapping d subject to (2.7), we assume that there exists another additive mapping $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality (2.7), for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $D(k^n x) = k^n D(x)$ and $d(k^n x) = k^n d(x)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(x) - d(x)\| &= \frac{1}{k^n}\|D(k^n x) - d(k^n x)\| \\ &\le \frac{1}{k^n}\left[\|D(k^n x) - f(k^n x)\| + \|f(k^n x) - d(k^n x)\|\right] \\ &\le \frac{2}{k^{n-1}(k-1)}\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in this inequality, we conclude that $D = d$, that is, d is unique.

Next, we are going to prove that f is a generalized left derivation. If we take $x = y = 0$ in (2.1), we also have

$$\|f(zw) - zf(w) - wg(z)\| \le \varepsilon, \tag{2.11}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, if we replace z and w with $k^n z$ and $k^n w$ in (2.11), respectively, and then divide both sides by k^{2n}, we get

$$\left\|\frac{f(k^{2n}zw)}{k^{2n}} - z\frac{f(k^n w)}{k^n} - w\frac{g(k^n z)}{k^n}\right\| \le \frac{1}{k^{2n}}\varepsilon, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} w\frac{g(k^n z)}{k^n} = d(zw) - zd(w), \tag{2.13}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $w = e$ in the above equation. Then, it follows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(k^n z)}{k^n} = d(z) - zd(e), \tag{2.14}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, if $\delta(z) := d(z) - zd(e)$, then by the additivity of d, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x+y) &= d(x) + d(y) - xd(e) - yd(e) \\ &= \left(d(x) - xd(e)\right) + \left(d(y) - yd(e)\right) = \delta(x) + \delta(y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, δ is additive.

Let $\Delta(z,w) = f(zw) - zf(w) - wg(z)$, for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Since, f and g satisfy the inequality given in (2.11), then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\Delta(k^n z, w)}{k^n} = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} d(zw) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(k^n zw)}{k^n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(k^n z \cdot w)}{k^n} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{k^n z f(w) + w g(k^n z) + \Delta(k^n z, w)}{k^n} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ z f(w) + w \frac{g(k^n z)}{k^n} + \frac{\Delta(k^n z, w)}{k^n} \right\} \\ &= z f(w) + w\delta(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Since δ is additive, we can rewrite (2.17) as

$$k^n z f(w) + k^n w \delta(z) = d(k^n z \cdot w) = d(z \cdot k^n w) = z f(k^n w) + k^n w \delta(z), \tag{2.18}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Based on the above relation, one has $zf(w) = z(f(k^n w)/k^n)$, for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we can obtain $zf(w) = zd(w)$, for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ as $n \to \infty$. If $z = e$, we also have that $f = d$. Therefore, we get

$$f(zw) = z f(w) + w\delta(z), \tag{2.19}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$.

We now want to verify that δ is a left derivation using the equations developed in the previous part. Indeed, using the facts that f satisfies (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(xy) &= f(xy) - xyf(e) = xf(y) + y\delta(x) - xyf(e) \\ &= x(f(y) - yf(e)) + y\delta(x) = x\delta(y) + y\delta(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, which means that f is a generalized left derivation.

We finally need to show that g is a left derivation. Let us replace w by $k^n w$ in (2.11). Then,

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^n zw)}{k^n} - z\frac{f(k^n w)}{k^n} - wg(z) \right\| \le \frac{1}{k^n}\varepsilon, \tag{2.21}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Passing the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get

$$d(zw) - zd(w) - wg(z) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. This implies that $d(zw) = zd(w) + wg(z)$, for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$, and thus if $w = e$, we deduce that $g(z) + zd(e) = d(z)$, for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, we get $g(z) = d(z) - zd(e) = \delta(z)$, for all $z \in \mathcal{A}$. Since, δ is a left derivation, we can conclude that g is a left derivation as well. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Employing the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get the following result for a generalized derivation.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with unit. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{k} + zw\right) - \frac{f(x)}{k} - \frac{f(y)}{k} - zf(w) - g(z)w \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.23}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, f is a generalized derivation, and g is a derivation.

In view of the Thomas' result [10], derivations on Banach algebras now belong to the noncommutative setting. Among various noncommutative version of the Singer-Wermer theorem, Brešar and Vukman [20] proved the following. *Any continuous linear left derivation on a Banach algebra maps into its Jacobson radical and also any left derivation on a semiprime ring is a derivation which maps into its center.*

The following is the functional inequality with the problem as in the above Brešar and Vukman's result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a semiprime Banach algebra with unit. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional inequality:*

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{k} + zw\right) - \alpha\frac{f(x)}{k} - \beta\frac{f(y)}{k} - zf(w) - wg(z) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then, f is a linear generalized left derivation. In this case, g is a linear derivation which maps $\mathcal{A}$ into the intersection of its center $Z(\mathcal{A})$ and its Jacobson radical $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. We consider $\alpha = \beta = 1 \in \mathbb{U}$ in (2.24) and then f satisfies the inequality (2.1). It follows from Theorem 2.1 that f is a generalized left derivation, and g is a left derivation, where

$$f(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n}, \qquad g(x) := f(x) - xf(e), \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting $w = 0$ in (2.24), we have

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{k}\right) - \alpha\frac{f(x)}{k} - \beta\frac{f(y)}{k} \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.26}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U}$. If we also replace x and y with $k^n x$ and $k^n y$ in (2.26), respectively, and then divide both sides by k^n, we see that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k^n} f\left(\frac{\alpha k^n x + \beta k^n y}{k}\right) - \alpha\frac{1}{k}\frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - \beta\frac{1}{k}\frac{f(k^n y)}{k^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k^n}\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.27}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U}$, as $n \to \infty$. So, we get

$$f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{k}\right) = \alpha\frac{f(x)}{k} + \beta\frac{f(y)}{k}, \tag{2.28}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U}$. From the additivity of f, we find that

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y), \tag{2.29}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U}$. Let us now assume that λ is a nonzero complex number and that L a positive integer greater than $|\lambda|$. Then by applying a geometric argument, there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $2(\lambda/L) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. In particular, due to the additivity of f, we obtain $f((1/2)x) = (1/2)f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x) &= f\left(\frac{L}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{L} x\right) = L f\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{L} x\right) = \frac{L}{2} f\left((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x\right) \\ &= \frac{L}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) f(x) = \frac{L}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{L} f(x) = \lambda f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, it is obvious that $f(0x) = 0 = 0f(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, f is $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Therefore, f is a linear generalized left derivation, and so g is also a linear left derivation. According to the Brešar and Vukman's result which tells us that g is a linear derivation which maps $\mathcal{A}$ into its center $Z(\mathcal{A})$. Since $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is a commutative Banach algebra, the Singer-Wermer conjecture tells us that $g_{|Z(\mathcal{A})}$ maps $Z(\mathcal{A})$ into $\operatorname{rad}(Z(\mathcal{A})) = Z(\mathcal{A}) \cap \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$ and thus $g^2(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$. Using the semiprimeness of $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$ as well as the identity, we have

$$2g(x)yg(x) = g^2(xyx) - xg^2(yx) - g^2(xy)x + xg^2(y)x, \tag{2.31}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $g(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, g is a linear derivation which maps $\mathcal{A}$ into the intersection of its center $Z(\mathcal{A})$ and its Jacobson radical $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$. The proof of the theorem is ended. □

The next corollary is the Brešar and Vukman's result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with unit. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a continuous mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional inequality* (2.26). *Then, $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a linear generalized left derivation. In this case, g maps $\mathcal{A}$ into its Jacobson radical* $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. On account of Theorem 2.3, g is a linear left derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, g maps $\mathcal{A}$ into its Jacobson radical $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$ by the Brešar and Vukman's result, which completes the proof. □

With the help of Theorem 2.2, the following property can be derived along the same argument in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative Banach algebra with unit. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional inequality:*

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{k} + zw\right) - \alpha \frac{f(x)}{k} - \beta \frac{f(y)}{k} - zf(w) - g(z)w \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.32}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then, f is a linear generalized derivation. In this case, g maps $\mathcal{A}$ into its Jacobson radical $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 2.6. We can generalize our results by substituting another functions or another forms satisfying suitable conditions (see, e.g., [19, 21]) for the bound ε of the functional inequalities connected to the Jensen type functional equation.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank referees for their valuable comments regarding a previous version of this paper. The corresponding author dedicates this paper to his late father.

References

[1] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, chapter VI, Science Editions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
[2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
[3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
[4] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
[5] P. Šemrl, "The functional equation of multiplicative derivation is superstable on standard operator algebras," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 118–122, 1994.
[6] R. Badora, "On approximate ring homomorphisms," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 276, no. 2, pp. 589–597, 2002.
[7] D. G. Bourgin, "Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 385–397, 1949.
[8] R. Badora, "On approximate derivations," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 167–173, 2006.
[9] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, "Derivations on commutative normed algebras," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 129, pp. 260–264, 1955.
[10] M. P. Thomas, "The image of a derivation is contained in the radical," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 128, no. 3, pp. 435–460, 1988.
[11] B. E. Johnson, "Continuity of derivations on commutative algebras," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 1969.
[12] O. Hatori and J. Wada, "Ring derivations on semi-simple commutative Banach algebras," *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 223–229, 1992.
[13] T. Miura, G. Hirasawa, and S.-E. Takahasi, "A perturbation of ring derivations on Banach algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 319, no. 2, pp. 522–530, 2006.
[14] M. Amyari, C. Baak, and M. S. Moslehian, "Nearly ternary derivations," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1417–1424, 2007.
[15] M. S. Moslehian, "Ternary derivations, stability and physical aspects," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 100, no. 2, pp. 187–199, 2008.
[16] M. S. Moslehian, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 93942, 8 pages, 2006.
[17] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between C^*-algebras, linear*-derivations on a C^*-algebra and the Cauchy-Rassias stability," *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 751–776, 2005.
[18] C.-G. Park, "Linear derivations on Banach algebras," *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 359–368, 2004.
[19] M. Amyari, F. Rahbarnia, and Gh. Sadeghi, "Some results on stability of extended derivations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 329, no. 2, pp. 753–758, 2007.
[20] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, "On left derivations and related mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 7–16, 1990.
[21] P. Găvruţă, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.









Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com