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1. Introduction

Lotka-Volterra predator-prey models have been extensively and deeply investigated (see monographs [1–5]). If we let \( x(t) \) denote the density of prey and let \( y(t) \) be the density of predator, then the classical Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model is given by the following system:

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = (r_1 - c_1 y - b_1 x)x, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = (-c_2 + \rho_2 x)y.
\]  

(1.1)

The equations in system (1.1) set no upper limit on the per-capita growth rate of the predator (the second term of model (1.1)) which is unrealistic. For example, for mammals, such a limit will be determined in part by physiological factors (length of the gestation period, the shortest interval between litters, the maximum average number of daughters per-litter, the age at which breeding first starts, and so on [6]). Leslie modeled the effect of such limitations...
via a predator-prey model where the “carrying capacity” of the predator’s environment was assumed to be proportional to the number of prey. Hence, if \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) denote the prey and predator density, respectively, then Leslie’s model is given by the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= (r_1 - c_1 y - b_1 x)x, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= (r_2 - c_2 \frac{y}{x})y,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_i, c_i, i = 1,2 \), and \( b_1 \) are positive constants. The first equation of system (1.2) is standard but the second is not because it contains the “so-called” Leslie-Gower term, namely, \( c_2(y/x) \). The rationale behind this term is based on the view that as the prey becomes numerous \( (x \to \infty) \) then the per-capita growth rate of the predator achieves its maximum \( ((1/y)dy/dt \to r_2) \). Conversely as the prey becomes scarce \( x \to 0 \), we have that \( (1/y)(dy/dt) \to -\infty \). That is, the predator must go extinct. Recently, the use of a Holling-type II functional for the prey has led various researchers [7, 8] to the consideration of the following model (a modification of system (1.2)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= \left( r_1 - \frac{c_1 y}{x + k_1} - b_1 x \right)x, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= \left( r_2 - \frac{c_2 y}{x + k_2} \right)y,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_1 \) is the per-capita growth rate of the prey \( x \); \( b_1 \) is a measure of the strength of prey (on prey) interference competition; \( c_1 \) is the maximum value of the per-capita reduction rate of \( x \) due to \( y \); \( k_1 \) measures the extent to which the environment provides protection to prey \( x \) \( (k_2 \text{ for } y) \); \( r_2 \) gives the maximal per-capita growth rate of \( y \); \( c_2 \) has a similar meaning to that of \( c_1 \).

In [9], the global stability of the unique coexisting interior equilibrium of system (1.2) is established. In [7], the existence and boundedness of solutions (including that of an attracting set) are established as well as the global stability of the coexisting interior equilibrium for model (1.3). There have been additional extensions, for example, in [10, 11] a Leslie-Gower type model with impulse was introduced and investigated.

The study of the role of dispersal in continuous-time metapopulation models is extensive (see [12–16] and the references cited therein). They show that dispersal can have a stabilizing influence on the system (see [12, 13]) and also can have a destabilizing influence on the system (see [14, 15]).

On the other hand, most prey species have a life history that includes multiple stages (juvenile and adults or immature and mature). In Aiello and Freedman [17], the population dynamics of a single species with two identifiable stages was modeled by the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1'(t) &= ax_2(t) - \gamma x_1(t) - a e^{-\tau} x_2(t - \tau), \\
x_2'(t) &= a e^{-\tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \beta x_2^2(t),
\end{align*}
\]
where \(x_1(t), x_2(t)\) denote the immature and mature population densities, respectively. Here, \(\alpha > 0\) represents the per-capita birth rate; \(\gamma > 0\) is the per-capita immature death rate; \(\beta > 0\) is the death rate due to overcrowding, and \(\tau\) is the “fixed” time to maturity; the term \(ae^{-\tau t}x_2(t-\tau)\) models the immature individuals who were born at time \(t-\tau\) (i.e., \(ax_2(t-\tau)\)) and survive and mature at time \(t\). The derivation and analysis of system (1.4) can be found in [17]. More and More researchers (see [16–22] and the references cited therein) have investigated many kinds of predator-prey model under various stage-structure assumptions. In Xu et al. [16], they discussed a Lotka-Volterra-type predator-prey model with stage structure for predator and prey dispersal in two-patch environments. They obtained sufficient conditions of permanence and impermanence and global asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium; they also discussed the local stability of the positive equilibrium. In [22], they studied a generalized version of the Leslie-Gower predator-prey model that incorporates the prey structure and obtained sufficient conditions of permanence and stability of the nonnegative equilibrium.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper we study the effects of stage structure for prey and predator dispersal on the global dynamics of modified version of the Leslie-Gower and Holling-type II predator-prey system. Following [16, 23], we assume the following.

\[\text{(A1) The prey population:}\ \text{the prey only lives in patch 1. For immature prey,} \ \alpha \text{ is birth rate, } r_1 \text{ is death rate, and the term } ae^{-\tau t}x_2(t-\tau) \text{ represents the number of immature prey that was born at time } t-\tau \text{, which still survive at time } t \text{ and are transferred from the immature stage to the mature stage at time } t. \text{ For mature prey, } r_2 \text{ is death rate, } r_3 \text{ is the intraspecific competition rate of mature prey, } a_1 \text{ is the maximum value of the per-capita reduction rate of } x_2 \text{ due to } y_1, \text{ and } k_1 (\text{resp., } k_2) \text{ measures the extent to which environment provides protection to prey } x_2 \text{ (resp., to the predator } y_1).\]

\[\text{(A2) The predator population: } \beta, \text{ are the birth rate of predator in patch } i, i = 1, 2; D_i \text{ is the dispersion rate of predator between two patches; } r_4 \text{ is death rate of predator in patch } 2; a_2 \text{ has a similar meaning to } a_1. \text{ It is assumed that predators in patch 1 do not capture immature prey, then we have the following delayed differential system:}\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= \alpha x_2(t) - r_1 x_1(t) - ae^{-\tau t}x_2(t-\tau), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= ae^{-\tau t}x_2(t-\tau) - r_2 x_2(t) - r_3 x_2^2(t) - \frac{a_1 y_1(t)x_2(t)}{x_2(t) + k_1}, \\
\dot{y}_1(t) &= \left(\beta_1 - \frac{a_2 y_1(t)}{x_2(t) + k_2}\right) y_1(t) + D_1 (y_2(t) - y_1(t)), \\
\dot{y}_2(t) &= \left(\beta_2 - r_4 y_2(t)\right) y_2(t) + D_2 (y_1(t) - y_2(t)),
\end{align*}
\]

(1.5)

where \(x_1(t)\) and \(x_2(t)\) represent the densities of immature and mature individual prey in patch 1 at time \(t\), \(y_i(t)\) denote the density of predator species in patch \(i, i = 1, 2\) at time \(t\), all parameters of (1.5) are positive constants.

The initial conditions for system (1.5) take the form of

\[
\begin{align*}
x_i(\theta) &= \Phi_i(\theta), & y_i(\theta) &= \Psi_i(\theta), & x_i(0) > 0, & y_i(0) > 0, & i = 1, 2,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.6)

where \((\Phi_1(\theta), \Phi_2(\theta), \Psi_1(\theta), \Psi_2(\theta)) \in C([-\tau, 0], R^4_{\tau_0}),\) the Banach space of continuous function mapping the interval \([-\tau, 0]\) into \(R^4_{\tau_0}\), where \(R^4_{\tau_0} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}.)
For continuity of the initial conditions, we further require

\[
x_1(0) = \int_{-\tau}^{0} ae^{ts} \Phi_2(s) ds.
\]  

(1.7)

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will discuss the uniform persistence of system (1.5). In Section 3, we are concerned with the global stability of a positive equilibrium of system (1.5) by constructing Lyapunov functional and also present two numerical simulations to illustrate our main results.

## 2. Uniform Persistence

In this section, we will discuss the uniform persistence of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7).

**Definition 2.1.** System (1.5) is said to be uniformly persistent if there exists a compact region \( D \subset \text{Int} \mathbb{R}_{+}^4 \) such that every solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7) eventually enters and remains in the region \( D \).

**Lemma 2.2.** Solutions of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are positive for all \( t \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \((x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))\) be a solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7); we first consider \( y_1(t) \) and \( y_2(t) \) for \( t \in [0, \tau] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1(t) \big|_{y_1=0} &= D_1 y_2(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } y_2 > 0, \\
y_2(t) \big|_{y_2=0} &= D_2 y_1(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } y_1 > 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.1)

Thus, it follows that \( y_1(t) > 0, y_2(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [0, \tau] \).

For \( t \in [0, \tau] \), it follows from the second equation of system (1.5) that

\[
\dot{x}_2(t) \geq \left[ -r_2 - r_3 x_2(t) - \frac{a_1 y_1(t)}{x_2(t) + k_1} \right] x_2(t). 
\]  

(2.2)

Consider the following auxiliary equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(t) &\geq \left[ -r_2 - r_3 u(t) - \frac{a_1 y_1(t)}{u(t) + k_1} \right] u(t), \\
u(t) &= u(0) \exp \left( -\int_{0}^{t} \left( r_2 + r_3 u(s) + \frac{a_1 y_1(s)}{u(s) + k_1} \right) ds \right) > 0. 
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.3)

For \( t \in [0, \tau] \), \( u(0) = x_2(0) > 0 \); thus, \( x_2(t) \geq u(t) > 0 \).

In a similar way, we consider the intervals \([\tau, 2\tau] \cdots [n\tau, (n + 1)\tau], n \in \mathbb{N}\). Thus, \( x_2(t) > 0, y_1(t) > 0, y_2(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \).
Lemma 2.3. Consider the following equation:

\[ \dot{x}(t) = ax(t - \tau) - bx(t) - cx^2(t), \]  

where \( a, b, c, \) and \( \tau \) are positive constants, \( x(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in [-\tau, 0] \). We have the following:

(i) if \( a > b \), then \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = (a - b)/c \);

(ii) if \( a < b \), then \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \).

Lemma 2.4. Let \( (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t)) \) be a solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7). Then there exists a \( T_3 > 0 \) such that

\[ x_i(t) \leq N, \quad y_i(t) \leq N, \quad (i = 1, 2) \text{ for } t \geq T_3, \]  

where \( N \) is a constant and

\[ N > \max\{N_1, N_2, N^*\}, \]

\[ N_1 = aN_2 \left( 1 - e^{-r_1\tau} \right), \]

\[ N_2 = \frac{ae^{-r_1\tau}}{r_3} + \varepsilon, \]

\[ N^* = \frac{a^2}{4Ar_3} + \frac{(A + D_2 + \beta_1)^2(N_2 + k_2)}{4Aa_2} + \frac{(A + D_1 + \beta_2)^2}{4Ar_1}, \]

\[ A = \min\{r_1, r_2\}. \]

Proof. Suppose \( X(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t)) \) to be any positive solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7). It follows from the second equation of system (1.5) that for \( t \geq \tau \),

\[ \dot{x}_2(t) \leq ae^{-r_1\tau} x_2(t - \tau) - r_3x_2^2(t). \]  

Consider the following auxiliary equation:

\[ \dot{u}(t) = ae^{-r_1\tau} u(t - \tau) - r_3u^2(t). \]  

Therefore the positivity of \( x_1(t) \) for \( t \geq 0 \) follows, this completes the proof. \( \square \)
By Lemma 2.3 we obtain that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau}}{r_3}. \quad (2.10)$$

Using comparison principle, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup x_2(t) \leq \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau}}{r_3}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( T_1 > \tau \) such that if \( t \geq T_1 \),

$$x_2(t) \leq \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau}}{r_3} + \varepsilon := N_2. \quad (2.12)$$

Setting \( T_2 = T_1 + \tau \), it then follows (2.4) and (2.12) that, for \( t \geq T_2 \),

$$x_1(t) \leq \frac{aN_2}{r_1} (1 - e^{-r_1 \tau}) := N_1. \quad (2.13)$$

We define

$$\rho(t) = x_1(t) + x_2(t) + y_1(t) + y_2(t),$$

$$\dot{\rho}(t) \leq -A\rho(t) + \frac{a^2}{4r_3} + \frac{(A + D_2 + \beta_1)^2 (N_2 + k_2)}{4a_2} + \frac{(A + D_1 + \beta_2)^2}{4r_1}, \quad (2.14)$$

where \( A = \min\{r_1, r_2\} \).

It follows from (2.14) that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup \rho(t) \leq \frac{a^2}{4Ar_3} + \frac{(A + D_2 + \beta_1)^2 (N_2 + k_2)}{4Aa_2} + \frac{(A + D_1 + \beta_2)^2}{4Ar_1} := N^*. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, there exists a \( T_3 = T_2 + \tau \) and

$$N > \max\{N_1, N_2, N^*\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Such that if \( t \geq T_3 \), \( x_i(t) \leq N \), \( y_i(t) \leq N \) \( (i = 1, 2) \). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.5.** System (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7) is uniformly persistent provided that

\[(H1) \ ae^{-r_1 \tau} > r_2 + a_1 N/k_1, \text{ where } N \text{ is defined by(2.7).}\]
Proof. Suppose $X(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))$ to be any positive solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7). It follows from the second equation of system (1.5) that for $t \geq T_3 + \tau$,

$$
x_2(t) \geq ae^{-r_1 \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \left( r_2 + \frac{a_1 N}{k_1} \right) x_2(t) - r_3 x_2^2(t).
$$

(2.17)

Consider the following auxiliary equation:

$$
\dot{u}(t) = ae^{-r_1 \tau} u(t - \tau) - \left( r_2 + \frac{a_1 N}{k_1} \right) u(t) - r_3 u^2(t).
$$

(2.18)

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau} - r_2 - a_1 N/k_1}{r_3}.
$$

(2.19)

According to comparison principle it follows that

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf x_2(t) \geq \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau} - r_2 - a_1 N/k_1}{r_3}.
$$

(2.20)

Therefore, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $T_4 = T_3 + \tau$ such that if $t \geq T_4$,

$$
x_2(t) \geq \frac{ae^{-r_1 \tau} - r_2 - a_1 N/k_1}{r_3} - \epsilon := n_2.
$$

(2.21)

By the third and forth equation of system (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_1(t) &\geq \left( \beta_1 - \frac{a_2 y_1(t)}{n_2 + k_2} \right) y_1(t) + D_1 (y_2(t) - y_1(t)), \\
y_2(t) &\geq \left( \beta_2 - r_4 y_2(t) \right) y_2(t) + D_2 (y_1(t) - y_2(t)), \quad t \geq T_4 + \tau.
\end{align*}
$$

(2.22)

Consider the following auxiliary equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_1(t) &= \left( \beta_1 - \frac{a_2 u_1(t)}{n_2 + k_2} \right) u_1(t) + D_1 (u_2(t) - u_1(t)), \\
\dot{u}_2(t) &= \left( \beta_2 - r_4 u_2(t) \right) u_2(t) + D_2 (u_1(t) - u_2(t)).
\end{align*}
$$

(2.23)

Define

$$
V_{11}(t) = \min \{ u_1(t), u_2(t) \}.
$$

(2.24)
Abstract and Applied Analysis

Using a similar argument in the proof of [25, Lemma 2.1] we obtain

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf V_{11}(t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{\beta_1(n_2 + k_2)}{a_2}, \frac{\beta_2}{r_4} \right\} := n^*_3. \tag{2.25}
\]

Therefore, for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( T_5 = T_4 + \tau \) such that if \( t \geq T_5 \),

\[
y_1(t) \geq n^*_3 - \varepsilon := n_3, \quad y_2(t) \geq n^*_3 - \varepsilon := n_3. \tag{2.26}
\]

Setting \( T_6 = T_5 + \tau \), then by (2.4), we have

\[
x_1(t) \geq \frac{an_2(1 - e^{-r_1 \tau})}{r_1} := n_1, \quad t \geq T_6. \tag{2.27}
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We now state a result on the extinction of the mature and immature prey.

**Theorem 2.6.** The mature and immature prey population will go to extinction if \((H2)\) holds

\[ (H2) \quad ae^{-r_1 \tau} < r_2. \]

**Remark 2.7.** From the \((H2)\), we know that if the death rate of mature prey \( r_2 \) is more than the product of birth rate of immature prey \( a \) and the surviving probability of each immature prey becomes mature \( e^{-r_1 \tau} \), then the mature and immature prey population will go to extinction.

**Proof.** Suppose \( X(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t)) \) to be any positive solution of system (1.5) with initial conditions (1.6) and (1.7). It follows from the second equation of system (1.5) that there is a \( T_{11} > 0 \),

\[
x_2(t) \leq ae^{-r_1 \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - r_2 x_2(t) - r_3 x_2^2(t). \tag{2.28}
\]

Consider the following auxiliary equation:

\[
\dot{u}(t) = ae^{-r_1 \tau} u(t - \tau) - r_2 u(t) - r_3 u^2(t). \tag{2.29}
\]

By Lemma 2.3, we derived from (2.29) and \((H2)\) that

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = 0. \tag{2.30}
\]

A standard comparison argument shows that

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} x_2(t) = 0. \tag{2.31}
\]
Therefore, \( \forall \varepsilon > 0 \), there is a \( T_\varepsilon > T_0 \) such that if \( t \geq T_\varepsilon \), \( 0 < x_2(t) < r_1 \varepsilon / 2\alpha (1 - e^{-n_T}) \). Thus, we derive from (2.4) that for \( t \geq T_\varepsilon + \tau \),

\[
    x_1(t) \leq \alpha \int_{t-\tau}^t e^{-n_T (t-s)} \frac{r_1 \varepsilon}{2\alpha (1 - e^{-n_T})} ds < \varepsilon .
\]  

(2.32)

We therefore obtain that

\[
    \lim_{t \to +\infty} x_1(t) = 0.
\]  

(2.33)

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

### 3. Global Stability

In this section, we study the global asymptotic stability of a positive equilibrium of system (1.5). By Theorem 2.5 we see that if (H1) satisfies, system (1.5) is uniformly persistent, which implies that system (1.5) must have at least one positive equilibrium. So in the following we assume that a positive equilibrium exists and denote it by \( E^* (x_1^*, x_2^*, y_1^*, y_2^*) \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (H1) hold. Assume further that

\( (H3) \) \( \bar{A}_i > 0, \ i = 1, 3, \) where

\[
    \bar{A}_1 = \frac{a_2}{N + k_2} + \frac{a_1 x_2^*}{4(x_2^* + k_1)} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{4(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)},
\]

\[
    \bar{A}_3 = r_3 n_2 + \frac{a_1 k_3 n_3}{(N + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} + \frac{a_1 (x_2^* - y_1^*)}{x_2^* + k_1} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)},
\]

(3.1)

where \( n_2 = ( (ae^{-n_T} - r_2) - a_1 N/k_1 ) / r_3 - \varepsilon, \ n_3 = \min\{ \beta_1 (n_2 + k_2) / a_2, \beta_2 / r_4 \} - \varepsilon, \ \varepsilon > 0 \) is a sufficient small constant, and \( N \) is defined by (2.7).

Then the positive equilibrium \( E^* (x_1^*, x_2^*, y_1^*, y_2^*) \) of system (1.5) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.1 shows that if the time delay due to maturity is sufficiently small, the positive equilibrium of system (1.5) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** We first consider the following subsystem:

\[
    \begin{align*}
    \dot{x}_2(t) &= a e^{-n_T} x_2(t - \tau) - r_2 x_2(t) - r_3 x_2^2(t) - \frac{a_1 y_1(t) x_2(t)}{x_2(t) + k_1}, \\
    \dot{y}_1(t) &= \left( \beta_1 - \frac{a_2 y_1(t)}{x_2(t) + k_2} \right) y_1(t) + D_1 (y_2(t) - y_1(t)), \\
    \dot{y}_2(t) &= (\beta_2 - r_1 y_2(t)) y_2(t) + D_2 (y_1(t) - y_2(t)).
    \end{align*}
\]  

(3.2)
Noting that $E^*(x^*_2, y^*_1, y^*_2)$ is a positive equilibrium of system (3.2), we can rewrite system (3.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_2(t) &= ae^{-\gamma(t)}(x_2(t) - x^*_2) - r_2(x_2(t) - x^*_2) - r_3(x_2(t) + x^*_2)(x_2(t) - x^*_2), \\
y_1(t) &= \left( -\frac{a_2(y_1(t) - y^*_1)}{x_2(t) + k_2} + \frac{a_2(y_1(t) - y^*_1)}{x_2(t) + k_2} \right) y_1(t) \\
y_2(t) &= (-r_4(y_2(t) - y^*_2)) y_2(t) - \frac{D_2}{y^*_2} y_1(t)(y_2(t) - y^*_2) + \frac{D_2}{y^*_2} y_2(t)(y_1(t) - y^*_1).
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
V_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_i \left( y_i(t) - y^*_i - y^*_i \ln \frac{y_i(t)}{y^*_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} c_3(x_2(t) - x^*_2)^2.
$$

Calculating the derivative of $V_1(t)$ along solution of system (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dV_1(t)}{dt} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_i(y_i(t) - y^*_i) \frac{y_i(t)}{y_i(t)} + c_3(x_2(t) - x^*_2)\dot{x}_2(t) \\
&= -c_1 \frac{a_2(y_1(t) - y^*_1)^2}{x_2(t) + k_2} + c_1 \frac{a_2 y^*_1(x_2(t) - x^*_2)(y_1(t) - y^*_1)}{x_2(t) + k_2} - \frac{c_1D_1}{y^*_1 y_1(t)} y_2(t)(y_1(t) - y^*_1)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{c_1D_1}{y^*_1} (y_1(t) - y^*_1) (y_2(t) - y^*_2) - c_2 r_4 (y_2(t) - y^*_2)^2 - \frac{c_2D_2}{y^*_2} y_1(t)(y_2(t) - y^*_2)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{c_2D_2}{y^*_2} (y_1(t) - y^*_1) (y_2(t) - y^*_2) + c_3 ae^{-\gamma(t)}(x_2(t) - x^*_2) (x_2(t) - x^*_2) \\
&\quad - c_3 r_2(x_2(t) - x^*_2)^2 - c_3 r_3(x_2(t) + x^*_2)(x_2(t) - x^*_2)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{c_3 a_1 k_1 y_1(t)(x_2(t) - x^*_2)^2}{(x_2(t) + k_1)(x^*_2 + k_1)} - \frac{c_3 a_1 x^*_2(x_2(t) - x^*_2)(y_1(t) - y^*_1)}{x^*_2 + k_1}.
\end{align*}
$$
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Setting \(c_1 = 1, c_2 = D_1 y_2^* / D_2 y_1^*\). By (3.5) we obtain

\[
\frac{dV_1(t)}{dt} = - \frac{a_2(y_1(t) - y_1^*)^2}{x_2(t) + k_2} - \frac{D_1 y_2^*}{D_2 y_1^*} r_4(y_2(t) - y_2^*)^2
\]

\[
- \frac{D_1}{y_1^*} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{y_2(t)}{y_1(t)}} (y_1(t) - y_1^*) - \sqrt{\frac{y_1(t)}{y_2(t)}} (y_2(t) - y_2^*) \right]^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{a_2 y_1^* (x_2(t) - x_2^*)(y_1(t) - y_1^*)}{(x_2(t) + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} - \frac{c_3 a_1 x_2^* (x_2(t) - x_2^*)(y_1(t) - y_1^*)}{x_2^* + k_1}
\]

\[
+ c_3 e^{-r \tau} (x_2(t - \tau) - x_2^*)(x_2(t) - x_2^*) - c_3 r_2 (x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2
\]

\[
- c_3 r_3 (x_2(t) + x_2^*)(x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2 - \frac{c_3 a_1 k_1 y_1(t)}{(x_2(t) + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} (x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2.
\]

Using the inequality \(ab \leq (1/2)ka^2 + (1/2)b^2\), it follows from (3.6) that

\[
\frac{dV_1(t)}{dt} \leq - \frac{a_2}{x_2(t) + k_2} (y_1(t) - y_1^*)^2 - \frac{D_1 y_2^*}{D_2 y_1^*} r_4(y_2(t) - y_2^*)^2
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{(x_2(t) + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} - \frac{c_3 a_1 x_2^*}{x_2^* + k_1} \right) \left( \frac{A(x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2}{2} + \frac{(y_1(t) - y_1^*)^2}{2A} \right)
\]

\[
+ c_3 e^{-r \tau} \left( \frac{B(x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2}{2} + \frac{(x_2(t - \tau) - x_2^*)^2}{2B} \right) - c_3 r_2 (x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2
\]

\[
- c_3 r_3 (x_2(t) + x_2^*)(x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2 - \frac{c_3 a_1 k_1 y_1(t)}{(x_2(t) + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} (x_2(t) - x_2^*)^2.
\]

where parameters \(A, B\) are positive constants to be determined.

Define

\[
V(t) = V_1(t) + \frac{1}{2B} c_3 e^{-r \tau} \int_{t-\tau}^t (x_2(s) - x_2^*)^2 ds.
\]
Setting $A = 2$, $B = 1$, $c_3 = 1$, then it follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

\[
\frac{dV(t)}{dt} \leq - \left\{ \frac{a_2}{x_2(t) + k_2} + \frac{a_1 x_2^*}{4(x_2^* + k_1)} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{4(x_2(t) + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} \right\} (y_1(t) - y_1^2) - \frac{D_3 y_2^*}{D_2 y_1^*} r_4 (y_2(t) - y_2^2)
\]

\[
- \left\{ r_3 x_2(t) + \frac{a_1 k_1 y_1(t)}{(x_2(t) + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} + \frac{a_1 (x_2^* - y_1^*)}{x_2^* + k_1} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{(x_2(t) + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} \right\} (x_2(t) - x_2^2)
\]

\[
\leq - \left\{ \frac{a_2}{N + k_2} + \frac{a_1 x_2^*}{4(x_2^* + k_1)} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{4(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} \right\} (y_1(t) - y_1^2) - \frac{D_3 y_2^*}{D_2 y_1^*} r_4 (y_2(t) - y_2^2)
\]

\[
- \left\{ r_3 n_2 + \frac{a_1 k_1 n_3}{(N + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} + \frac{a_1 (x_2^* - y_1^*)}{x_2^* + k_1} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)} \right\} (x_2(t) - x_2^2)
\]

\[
:= -\overline{A}_1 (y_1(t) - y_1^2) - \overline{A}_2 (y_2(t) - y_2^2) - \overline{A}_3 (x_2(t) - x_2^2),
\]

(3.9)

where

\[
\overline{A}_1 = \frac{a_2}{N + k_2} + \frac{a_1 x_2^*}{4(x_2^* + k_1)} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{4(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)},
\]

\[
\overline{A}_2 = \frac{D_3 y_2^*}{D_2 y_1^*} r_4,
\]

\[
\overline{A}_3 = r_3 n_2 + \frac{a_1 k_1 n_3}{(N + k_1)(x_2^* + k_1)} + \frac{a_1 (x_2^* - y_1^*)}{x_2^* + k_1} - \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{(n_2 + k_2)(x_2^* + k_2)}.
\]

(3.10)

$N$, $n_2$, and $n_3$ are defined in (2.16), (2.21), and (2.26), respectively.

If (H1) and (H3) hold and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, we have $\overline{A}_i > 0$, $i = 1, 3$. In view of Lyapunov theorem [26], we conclude that the positive equilibrium $E^*(x_2^*, y_1^*, y_2^*)$ of system (3.2) is globally asymptotically stable. Thus, we have

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} x_2(t) = x_2^* \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} y_1(t) = y_1^*, \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} y_2(t) = y_2^*.
\]

(3.11)

Using L’Hospital’s rule, it follows from (2.4) and (3.11) that

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} x_1(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \alpha \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{-\tau(s)} x_2(s) ds
\]

\[
= \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\alpha}{r_1} x_2(t) - e^{-\tau} x_2(t - \tau)
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha x_2^*}{r_1} (1 - e^{-\tau}) = x_1^*.
\]

This completes the proof.
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It is interesting to discuss the local stability of the positive equilibrium \( E^* (x_1^*, x_2^*, y_1^*, y_2^*) \) of system (1.5).

The characteristic equation of the positive equilibrium \( E^* \) of system (1.5) is of the form

\[
(\lambda + r_1) \left[ P(\lambda) + Q(\lambda)e^{-\lambda \tau} \right] = 0, \tag{3.13}
\]

where

\[
P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + a_2 \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda + a_0, \tag{3.14}
\]

\[
Q(\lambda) = b_2 \lambda^2 + b_1 \lambda + b_0,
\]

here

\[
a_0 = \left( r_2 + 2r_3 + \frac{a_1 k_1 y_1^*}{(x_2^* + k_1)^2} \right) \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} \right) \left( r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} - D_1 D_2 \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{a_1 a_2 x_2^* y_1^*}{(x_2^* + k_1)(x_2^* + k_2)^2} \left( r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} \right),
\]

\[
a_1 = \left( r_2 + 2r_3 + \frac{a_1 k_1 y_1^*}{(x_2^* + k_1)^2} \right) \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} + r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left[ \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} \right) \left( r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} - D_1 D_2 \right) + \frac{a_1 a_2 x_2^* y_1^*}{(x_2^* + k_1)(x_2^* + k_2)^2} \right],
\]

\[
a_2 = r_2 + 2r_3 + \frac{a_1 k_1 y_1^*}{(x_2^* + k_1)^2} + \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} + r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*},
\]

\[
b_0 = -ae^{-r \tau} \left[ \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} \right) \left( r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} - D_1 D_2 \right) \right],
\]

\[
b_1 = -ae^{-r \tau} \left( \frac{a_2 y_1^*}{x_2^* + k_2} + D_1 \frac{y_1^*}{y_1^*} + r_4 y_2^* + D_2 \frac{y_1^*}{y_2^*} \right),
\]

\[
b_2 = -ae^{-r \tau}.
\]

Clearly, \( \lambda = -r_1 \) is a negative eigenvalue. If \( r_3 x_2^* + a_1 x_2^* y_1^*/(x_2^* + k_1)^2 > 0 \), which implies that \( a_i + b_i > 0 \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\), and \((a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2) - (a_0 + b_0) > 0\), then by Routh-Hurwitz Theorem the positive equilibrium \( E^* \) of system (1.5) is locally asymptotically stable when \( \tau = 0 \).

Let

\[
F(y) = |P(iy)|^2 - |Q(iy)|^2 = y^6 + ly^4 + my^2 + n = 0, \tag{3.16}
\]
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that system

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= 5x_2(t) - x_1(t) - 5e^{-\tau}x_2(t - \tau), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= 5e^{-\tau}x_2(t - \tau) - 1.5x_2(t) - 3x_2^2(t) - \frac{0.8y_1(t)x_2(t)}{x_2(t) + 8}, \\
y_1(t) &= \left(0.2 - \frac{1.5y_1(t)}{x_2(t) + 1.5}\right)y_1(t) + 0.5(y_2(t) - y_1(t)), \\
y_2(t) &= (1.5 - y_2(t))y_2(t) + 0.5(y_1(t) - y_2(t)),
\end{align*} \tag{4.1} \]

where the parameter \( \tau \) is a positive constant.

System (4.1) has a unique positive equilibrium \( E^*(0.9589, 0.4874, 0.7466, 1.2895) \). It is easy to show that if \( \tau < 0.8973 \), then (H1) and (H3) hold for system (4.1). By Theorem 2.5 we see that system (4.1) is uniformly persistent when \( \tau < 0.8973 \). By Theorem 3.1 we see that the positive equilibrium of system (4.1) is globally asymptotically stable when \( \tau = 0.5 \). Numerical
integration can be carried out using standard MATLAB algorithm. Numerical simulation also confirms the fact (see Figure 1).

Example 4.2. Consider the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= 5x_2(t) - x_1(t) - 5e^{-\tau}x_2(t-1), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= 5e^{-\tau}x_2(t-1) - 2x_2(t) - 3x_2^2(t) - \frac{2y_1(t)x_2(t)}{x_2(t) + 8}, \\
\dot{y}_1(t) &= \left(1 - \frac{2y_1(t)}{x_2(t) + 2}\right)y_1(t) + y_2(t) - y_1(t), \\
\dot{y}_2(t) &= (1 - y_2(t))y_2(t) + y_1(t) - y_2(t).
\end{align*}
\]

System (4.2) has a unique boundary equilibrium \( E^*(0,0,1,1) \). It is easy to show that (H2) holds for system (4.2). By Theorem 2.6 we see that mature and immature prey population goes to extinction. Numerical integration can be carried out using standard MATLAB algorithm. Numerical simulation also confirms the fact (see Figure 2).

5. Discussion

In this paper, we discussed a generalized Leslie-Gower-type predator-prey model with stage structure for prey and predator dispersal in two-patch environments. By using comparison arguments we established sufficient conditions for system (1.5) to be permanent. By constructing Lyapunov functionals, sufficient conditions are derived for the global
asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium of system (1.5). By Theorem 3.1 we see that if the birth rate of immature prey and the extent to which environment provides protection to mature prey and predator in patch 1, respectively, are high and the maximum value of the per-capita reduction rate of mature prey due to predator in patch 1 is low satisfying \((H1)\) and \((H3)\), the positive equilibrium of system (1.5) is globally asymptotically stable. By Theorem 2.6 we see that if the death rate of mature prey is more than the transformation rate of immatures to matures satisfying \((H2)\), the immature and mature prey population will go to extinction.
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