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We introduce a new hybrid iterative scheme for finding a common element in the solutions set of a system of equilibrium problems and the common fixed points set of an infinitely countable family of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the framework of Banach spaces. We prove the strong convergence theorem by the shrinking projection method. In addition, the results obtained in this paper can be applied to a system of variational inequality problems and to a system of convex minimization problems in a Banach space.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space, and let $E^*$ be the dual of $E$. Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ be bifunctions from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers and $\Lambda$ is an arbitrary index set. The system of equilibrium problems is to find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that

\[ f_j(\bar{x},y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \; j \in \Lambda. \] (1.1)

If $\Lambda$ is a singleton, then problem (1.1) reduces to find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that

\[ f(\bar{x},y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \] (1.2)

The set of solutions of the equilibrium problem (1.2) is denoted by $EP(f)$. 
Combettes and Hirstoaga [1] introduced an iterative scheme for finding a common element in the solutions set of problem (1.1) in a Hilbert space and obtained a weak convergence theorem.

In 2004, Matsushita and Takahashi [2] introduced the following algorithm for a relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ in a Banach space $E$: for any initial point $x_0 \in C$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_C J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JTn), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$, $\Pi_C$ is the generalized projection from $E$ onto $C$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$. They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to fixed point of $T$ under some suitable conditions on $\{\alpha_n\}$.

In 2008, Takahashi and Zembayashi [3] introduced the following iterative scheme which is called the shrinking projection method for a relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ and an equilibrium problem in a Banach space $E$:

$$x_0 \in C, \quad C_0 = C,$$

$$y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)JTn),$$

$$u_n \in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Jy - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C,$$

$$C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_0, \quad n \geq 0.$$ 

They proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap EP(f)}x_0$ under some appropriate conditions.

2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

Let $E$ be a real Banach space, and let $U = \{ x \in E : ||x|| = 1 \}$ be the unit sphere of $E$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if, for any $x, y \in U$,

$$x \neq y \text{ implies } \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| < 1.$$ 

(2.1)

It is also said to be uniformly convex if, for each $\epsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $x, y \in U$,

$$||x - y|| \geq \epsilon \text{ implies } \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| < 1 - \delta.$$ 

(2.2)
It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex. The function $\delta : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is called the modulus of convexity of $E$ is defined as follows:

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} : x, y \in E, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The space $E$ is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be smooth if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for all $x, y \in U$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit (2.4) is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U$. The duality mapping $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \left\{ x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2 \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $J = I$, where $I$ is the identity operator. It is also known that, if $E$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subset of $E$ (see [4] for more details).

Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space. The function $\phi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in E$. In a Hilbert space $H$, we have $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of $E$, and let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into itself. A point $p$ in $C$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ [5] if $C$ contains a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\tilde{F}(T)$. A mapping $T$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive [6–8] if $\tilde{F}(T) = F(T)$ and $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$ for all $p \in F(T)$ and $x \in C$. The asymptotic behavior of a relatively nonexpansive mapping was studied in [6, 7]. $T$ is said to be relatively quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$ for all $p \in F(T)$ and $x \in C$. It is obvious that the class of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings is more general than the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings. The class of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings was studied by many authors (see, for example, [9–12]). Recall that $T$ is closed if

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \quad Tx_n \rightarrow y \text{ imply } Tx = y. \quad (2.7)$$

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new hybrid projection algorithm for finding a common element in the solutions set of a system of equilibrium problems and the common fixed points set of an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the frameworks of Banach spaces.

We will need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 (Kamimura and Takahashi [8]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space, and let $\{x_n, y_n\}$ be two sequences of $E$. If $\phi(x_n, y_n) \to 0$ and either $\{x_n\}$ or $\{y_n\}$ is bounded, then $\|x_n - y_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $E$ be a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. The generalized projection mapping, introduced by Alber [13], is a mapping $\Pi_C : E \to C$ that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the function $\phi(y, x)$; that is, $\Pi_C x = \overline{x}$, where $\overline{x}$ is the solution of the minimization problem

$$\phi(\overline{x}, x) = \min \{ \phi(y, x) : y \in C \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

The existence and uniqueness of the operator $\Pi_C$ follows from the properties of the functional $\phi$ and strict monotonicity of the duality mapping $J$ (see, for instance, [4, 8, 13–15]). In a Hilbert space, $\Pi_C$ is coincident with the metric projection.

Lemma 2.2 (Alber [13], Kamimura and Takahashi [8]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $x \in E$, and let $z \in C$. Then $z = \Pi_C x$ if and only if

$$\langle y - z, Jx - Jz \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

Lemma 2.3 (Alber [13], Kamimura and Takahashi [8]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Then

$$\phi(x, \Pi_C y) + \phi(\Pi_C y, y) \leq \phi(x, y) \quad \forall x \in C, y \in E.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

Lemma 2.4 (Qin et al. [16]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex, smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $T$ be a closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

For solving the equilibrium problem, let us assume that a bifunction $f$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) $f(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;

(A2) $f$ is monotone; that is, $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;

(A3) for all $x, y, z \in C$, $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} f(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq f(x, y)$;

(A4) for all $x \in C$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 2.5 (Blum and Oettli [17]). Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4), and let $r > 0$ and $x \in E$. Then there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)
Lemma 2.6 (Takahashi and Zembayashi [18]). Let C be a closed and convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space E, and let f be a bifunction from C × C to \( \mathbb{R} \) which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4). For all \( r > 0 \) and \( x \in E \), define the mapping \( T^f_r : E \to C \) as follows:

\[
T^f_r(x) = \left\{ z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.
\]

(2.12)

Then, the following statements hold:

1. \( T^f_r \) is single valued;
2. \( T^f_r \) is of firmly nonexpansive type [19]; that is, for all \( x, y \in E \),

\[
\langle T^f_r x - T^f_r y, JT^f_r x - JT^f_r y \rangle \leq \langle T^f_r x - T^f_r y, Jx - Jy \rangle;
\]

(2.13)

3. \( F(T^f_r) = EP(f) \);
4. \( EP(f) \) is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.7 (Takahashi and Zembayashi [18]). Let C be a closed and convex subset of a smooth, strictly, and reflexive Banach space E, let f be a bifunction from C × C to \( \mathbb{R} \) which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4), and let \( r > 0 \). Then, for all \( x \in E \) and \( q \in F(T^f_r) \),

\[
\phi(q, T^f_r x) + \phi(T^f_r x, x) \leq \phi(q, x).
\]

(2.14)

3. Strong Convergence Theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E. Let \( \{ f_j \}_{j=1}^{M} \) be bifunctions from C × C to \( \mathbb{R} \) which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4), and let \( \{ T_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from C into itself. Assume that \( F := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} EP(f_j)) \neq \emptyset \). For any initial point \( x_0 \in E \) with \( x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0 \) and \( C_1 = C \), define the sequence \{xn\} as follows:

\[
y_{n,i} = f^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JT_i x_n), \quad u_{n,i} = T_{1,i}^{f_1} \cdots T_{r_i,i}^{f_{r_i}} y_{n,i}, \quad C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\}, \quad x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

(3.1)

Assume that \( \{ \alpha_n \} \) and \( \{ r_{j,n} \} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) are sequences which satisfy the following conditions:

1. \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n < 1 \);
2. \( \inf_{n \to \infty} r_{j,n} > 0 \).

Then the sequence \{xn\} converges strongly to \( \Pi_{F} x_0 \).
Proof. We divide our proof into six steps as follows.

Step 1. \( F \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

From Lemma 2.4 we know that \( F(T_i) \) is closed, and convex for all \( i \geq 1 \). From Lemma 2.6(4), we also know that \( \text{EP}(f_j) \) is closed and convex for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). Hence \( F := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{EP}(f_j)) \) is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of \( C \). Clearly \( C_1 = C \) is closed and convex. Suppose that \( C_k \) is closed and convex for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For each \( z \in C_k \) and \( i \geq 1 \), we see that

\[
2(z, Jx_k) - 2(z, J u_{k,i}) \leq \|x_k\|^2 - \|u_{k,i}\|^2.
\]

By the construction of the set \( C_{k+1} \), we see that

\[
C_{k+1} = \left\{ z \in C_k : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{k,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_k) \right\}
\]

\[
= \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ z \in C_k : \phi(z, u_{k,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_k) \right\}.
\]

Hence \( C_{k+1} \) is also closed and convex.

It is obvious that \( F \subset C_1 = C \). Now, suppose that \( F \subset C_k \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and let \( p \in F := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{EP}(f_j)) \). Then

\[
\phi(p, u_{k,i}) = \phi\left(p, T_{r_{M,n}}^{f_{M-1}} \cdots T_{r_{1,n}}^{f_1} y_{k,i}\right)
\]

\[
\leq \phi\left(p, T_{r_{M-1,n}}^{f_{M-2}} \cdots T_{r_{1,n}}^{f_1} y_{k,i}\right)
\]

\[
\quad \vdots
\]

\[
\leq \phi\left(p, T_{r_{1,n}}^{f_1} y_{k,i}\right)
\]

\[
\leq \phi(p, y_{k,i})
\]

\[
= \phi\left(p, J^{-1}(\alpha_k Jx_k + (1 - \alpha_k) JT_ix_k)\right)
\]

\[
= \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, \alpha_k Jx_k + (1 - \alpha_k) JT_ix_k \rangle
\]

\[
+ \alpha_k \|Jx_k\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_k) \|JT_ix_k\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|p\|^2 - 2\alpha_k \langle p, Jx_k \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_k) \langle p, JT_ix_k \rangle
\]

\[
+ \alpha_k \|x_k\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_k) \|T_ix_k\|^2
\]

\[
= \alpha_k \phi(p, x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k) \phi(p, T_ix_k)
\]

\[
\leq \phi(p, x_k).
\]

Hence \( F \subset C_{k+1} \). By induction, we can conclude that \( F \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).
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Step 2. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \) exists.

From \( x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0 \) and \( x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n \), we have

\[
\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.5}
\]

From Lemma 2.3 we get that

\[
\phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_0, x_0) \leq \phi(p, x_0) - \phi(p, x_n) \leq \phi(p, x_0). \tag{3.6}
\]

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we get that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \) exists.

Step 3. \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( C \).

Since \( x_m = \Pi_{C_m} x_0 \in C_m \subseteq C_n \) for \( m > n \), we obtain from Lemma 2.3 that

\[
\phi(x_m, x_n) = \phi(x_m, \Pi_{C_n} x_0) \leq \phi(x_m, x_0) - \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_0, x_0) = \phi(x_m, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0). \tag{3.7}
\]

We see that \( \phi(x_m, x_n) \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \), which implies with Lemma 2.1 that \( \|x_m - x_n\| \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \). Therefore \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of the space \( E \) and the closedness of the set \( C \), we can assume that \( x_n \to q \in C \) as \( n \to \infty \). Moreover, we get that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \tag{3.8}
\]

Since \( x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \), we have for all \( i \geq 1 \) that

\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \to 0. \tag{3.9}
\]

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.8) and (3.9), we derive

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_{n,i} - x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.10}
\]

This shows that \( u_{n,i} \to q \) as \( n \to \infty \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). Since \( J \) is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of \( E \), we obtain that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ju_{n,i} - Jx_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.11}
\]

Step 4. \( q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \).

Denote \( \Theta^j_n = T_{r_{i,n}}^{f_{j}^{-1}} \cdots T_{r_{i,n}}^{f_{j}} \) for any \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \) and \( \Theta^0_n = I \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). We note that \( u_{n,i} = \Theta^M_n y_{n,i} \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). From (3.4) we observe that

\[
\phi\left(p, \Theta^{M-1}_n y_{n,i}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, \Theta^{M-2}_n y_{n,i}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \phi(p, y_{n,i}) \leq \phi(p, x_n), \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.12}
\]
Since \( p \in \text{EP}(f_M) = F(T_{r_{Mn}}) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), it follows from (3.12) and Lemma 2.7 that

\[
\phi(u_{n,i}, \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}) \leq \phi(p, \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}) - \phi(p, u_{n,i}) \\
\leq \phi(p, x_n) - \phi(p, u_{n,i}).
\] (3.13)

From (3.10) and (3.11), we get that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(u_{n,i}, \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). From Lemma 2.1, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| u_{n,i} - \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\] (3.14)

From (3.10) and (3.14), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_n - \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1,
\] (3.15)

and hence,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| Jx_n - J\Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\] (3.16)

Again, since \( p \in \text{EP}(f_{M-1}) = F(T_{r_{M-1,n}}) \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), it follows from (3.12) and Lemma 2.7 that

\[
\phi\left(\Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}, \Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i}\right) \leq \phi\left(p, \Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i}\right) - \phi\left(p, \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}\right) \\
\leq \phi\left(p, x_n\right) - \phi\left(p, \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i}\right).
\] (3.17)

From (3.15) and (3.16), we also have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \Theta_{n}^{M-1} y_{n,i} - \Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\] (3.18)

Hence, from (3.15) and (3.18), we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_n - \Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1,
\] (3.19)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| Jx_n - J\Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i} \right\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.
\] (3.20)

In a similar way, we can verify that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \Theta_{n}^{M-2} y_{n,i} - \Theta_{n}^{M-3} y_{n,i} \right\| = \cdots = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \Theta_{n}^{1} y_{n,i} - y_{n,i} \right\| = 0
\] (3.21)
for all $i \geq 1$,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - \Theta^{M-3}_n y_{n,i}\| = \cdots = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y_{n,i}\| = 0
\]  
(3.22)

for all $i \geq 1$,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jx_n - J\Theta^{M-3}_n y_{n,i}\| = \cdots = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Jx_n - Jy_{n,i}\| = 0
\]  
(3.23)

for all $i \geq 1$. Hence, we can conclude that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\|\Theta^j_n y_{n,i} - \Theta^{j-1}_n y_{n,i}\right\| = 0
\]  
(3.24)

for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $i \geq 1$. Observe that
\[
\|Jy_{n,i} - Jx_n\| = \|\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) JT_ix_n - Jx_n\|
\]
\[= (1 - \alpha_n) \|JT_ix_n - Jx_n\|, \tag{3.25}\]

then we obtain from (B1) and (3.23) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|JT_ix_n - Jx_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.26}\]

Since $J^{-1}$ is also uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets, we get that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_ix_n - x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3.27}\]

Since $T_i$ is closed for all $i \geq 1$ and $x_n \to q$, we conclude that $q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$.

Step 5. $q \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{EP}(f_j)$.

From (3.24) and (B2), we have that $\|J\Theta^j_n y_{n,i} - J\Theta^{j-1}_n y_{n,i}\| / r_{j,n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, we obtain that
\[
f_j\left(\Theta^j_n y_{n,i}, y\right) + \frac{1}{r_{j,n}} \left(\langle y - \Theta^j_n y_{n,i}, J\Theta^j_n y_{n,i} - J\Theta^{j-1}_n y_{n,i}\rangle\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.28}\]

From (A2) we have that
\[
\left\|y - \Theta^j_n y_{n,i}\right\| \frac{\|J\Theta^j_n y_{n,i} - J\Theta^{j-1}_n y_{n,i}\|}{r_{j,n}} \geq \frac{1}{r_{j,n}} \left(\langle y - \Theta^j_n y_{n,i}, J\Theta^j_n y_{n,i} - J\Theta^{j-1}_n y_{n,i}\rangle\right)
\]
\[\geq -f_j\left(\Theta^j_n y_{n,i}, y\right) \geq f_j\left(y, \Theta^j_n y_{n,i}\right), \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.29}\]
From (A4) and the fact that \( \Theta^i_{n,j} \to q \) for \( i \geq 1 \), we get \( f_j(y, q) \leq 0 \) for all \( y \in C \). For each \( 0 < t < 1 \) and \( y \in C \), denote \( y_t = ty + (1-t)q \). Then \( y_t \in C \), which implies that \( f_j(y_t, q) \leq 0 \). From (A1) and (A4), we obtain that \( 0 = f_j(y_t, y_t) \leq tf_j(y_t, y) + (1-t)f_j(y_t, q) \leq tf_j(y_t, y) \). Thus, \( f_j(y_t, y) \geq 0 \). From (A3), we have \( f_j(q, y) \geq 0 \) for all \( y \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). Hence \( q \in \bigcap_{j=1}^M \text{EP}(f_j) \).

**Step 6.** \( q = \Pi_F x_0 \).

From \( x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_0 \), we have

\[
\langle Jx_0 - Jx_n, x_n - z \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in C_n.
\] (3.30)

Since \( F \subseteq C_n \), we also have

\[
\langle Jx_0 - Jx_n, x_n - p \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in F.
\] (3.31)

Letting \( n \to \infty \) in (3.31), we obtain that

\[
\langle Jx_0 - Jq, q - p \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in F.
\] (3.32)

From Lemma 2.2 we conclude that \( q = \Pi_F x_0 \). This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.1 improves and extends Theorem 3.1 of Takahashi and Zembayashi in [3] in the following senses:

(i) from the case of an equilibrium problem to a finite family of equilibrium problems;

(ii) from a single relatively nonexpansive mapping to an infinitely countable family of relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings;

(iii) if \( M = 1 \) and \( T_i = T \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), then our restriction on \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is weaker than that of Theorem 3.1 of [3].

**Remark 3.3.** The iteration (3.1) is a modification of (1.4) in the following ways.

(i) We use the composition of mappings \( \{T^i_{r_n}\}_{i=1}^M \) in the second step.

(ii) We construct the set \( C_{n+1} \) by using the concept of supremum concerning an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings \( \{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \). If \( M = 1 \) and \( T_i = T \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), then the iteration (3.1) reduces to that of (1.4).

If we take \( \alpha_n = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of \( E \). Let \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^M \) be bifunctions from \( C \times C \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) which satisfies
conditions (A1)–(A4), and let \( \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from \( C \) into itself. Assume that \( F := (\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} EP(f_j)) \neq \emptyset \). For any initial point \( x_0 \in E \) with \( x_1 = \Pi_C x_0 \) and \( C_1 = C \), define the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) as follows:

\[
y_{n,i} = T_i x_n,
\]
\[
u_{n,i} = T_{\alpha_{M,n}}^{f_M} T_{\alpha_{M-1,n}}^{f_{M-1}} \cdots T_{\alpha_{1,n}}^{f_1} y_{n,i},
\]
\[
C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\},
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

If \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} r_{j,n} > 0 \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_F x_0 \).

### 4. Applications

In this section, we give several applications of Theorem 3.1 in the framework of Banach spaces and Hilbert spaces.

Let \( A : C \to E^* \) be a nonlinear mapping. The classical variational inequality problem is to find that \( \tilde{x} \in C \) such that

\[
\langle A\tilde{x}, y - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

The solutions set of (4.1) is denoted by \( VI(C, A) \). For each \( r > 0 \) and \( x \in E \), define the mapping \( T_r^A : E \to C \) as follows:

\[
T_r^A(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \langle Az, y - z \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \left(\|y - z\| + |Jz - Jx|\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.
\]

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of \( E \). Let \( \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{M} \) be continuous and monotone operators from \( C \) to \( E^* \), and let \( \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from \( C \) into itself such that \( F := (\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} VI(C, A_j)) \neq \emptyset \). For any initial point \( x_0 \in E \) with \( x_1 = \Pi_C x_0 \) and \( C_1 = C \), define the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) as follows:

\[
y_{n,i} = f^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J T_i x_n),
\]
\[
u_{n,i} = T_{\alpha_{M,n}}^{A_M} T_{\alpha_{M-1,n}}^{A_{M-1}} \cdots T_{\alpha_{1,n}}^{A_1} y_{n,i},
\]
\[
C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\},
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]
Assume that \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{r_{jn}\} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) are sequences which satisfy conditions (B1) and (B2) of Theorem 3.1.

Then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_F x_0 \).

**Proof.** Define \( f_j(x, y) = \langle A_j x, y - x \rangle \) for all \( x, y \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). First, we see that \( F(T_{r_{jn}}) = \text{EP}(f_j) = \text{VI}(C, A_j) = F(T_{r_{jn}}^A) \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \).

Next, we show that \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy conditions (A1)–(A4).

(A1) Consider \( f_j(x, x) = \langle A_j x, x - x \rangle = 0 \) for all \( x \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \).

(A2) For each \( x, y \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), we observe that

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_j(x, y) + f_j(y, x) &= \langle A_j x, y - x \rangle + \langle A_j y, x - y \rangle \\
  &= \langle A_j x - A_j y, y - x \rangle. 
\end{align*}
\]  

By the monotonicity of \( A_j \), we obtain that \( f_j \) is monotone. Thus \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy condition (A2).

(A3) For each \( x, y, z \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), we have by the continuity of \( A_j \) that

\[
\begin{align*}
  \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} f_j(tz + (1-t)x, y) &= \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \langle A_j(tz + (1-t)x), y - (tz + (1-t)x) \rangle \\
  &= \langle A_j x, y - x \rangle \\
  &= f_j(x, y). 
\end{align*}
\]  

This shows that \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy condition (A3).

(A4) Let \( u, v \in C \) and \( s \in (0, 1) \). Then, for each \( x \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_j(x, su + (1-s)v) &= \langle A_j x, su + (1-s)v - x \rangle \\
  &= s \langle A_j x, u - x \rangle + (1-s) \langle A_j x, v - x \rangle \\
  &= sf_j(x, u) + (1-s)f_j(x, v). 
\end{align*}
\]  

Thus \( f_j \) is convex in the second variable. Let \( u_n \in C \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_j(x, u) &= \langle A_j x, u - x \rangle \\
  &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle A_j x, u_n - x \rangle \\
  &= \lim_{n \to \infty} f_j(x, u_n). 
\end{align*}
\]  

This shows that \( f_j \) is lower semicontinuous in the second variable. Hence \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy condition (A4). From Theorem 3.1 we obtain the desired result.

If we take \( \alpha_n = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) in Theorem 4.1, then we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4.2. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let \{\(A_j\)\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be continuous and monotone operators from $C$ to $E^*$, and let \(\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\) be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself such that $F := (\nbigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\nbigcap_{j=1}^{M} VI(C, A_j)) \neq \emptyset$. For any initial point $x_0 \in E$ with $x_1 = \Pi_C x_0$ and $C_1 = C$, define the sequence \{\(x_n\)\} as follows:

\[
y_{n,i} = T_i x_n,
\]
\[
u_{n,i} = T_{rM,i}^{A_i} \cdots T_{r1,i}^{A_i} y_{n,i},
\]
\[
C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\},
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

If $\lim \inf_k r_{i,n} > 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, then \{\(x_n\)\} converges strongly to $\Pi_F x_0$.

Let $\phi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function. The convex minimization problem is to find that $\tilde{x} \in C$ such that

\[
\phi(\tilde{x}) \leq \phi(y) \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

The solutions set of (4.9) is denoted by $\text{CMP}(\phi)$. For each $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, define the mapping $T_r^\phi : E \to C$ as follows:

\[
T_r^\phi(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \phi(y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, Jz - Jx) \geq \phi(z), \forall y \in C \right\}.
\]

Theorem 4.3. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let \{\(\phi_i\)\}_{i=1}^{M}$ be lower semicontinuous and convex functions from $C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and let \(\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\) be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself such that $F := (\nbigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\nbigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{CMP}(\phi_j)) \neq \emptyset$. For any initial point $x_0 \in E$ with $x_1 = \Pi_C x_0$ and $C_1 = C$, define the sequence \{\(x_n\)\} as follows:

\[
y_{n,i} = \phi^{-1}(\alpha_n \phi(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) J T_i x_n),
\]
\[
u_{n,i} = T_{rM,i}^{\phi_i} \cdots T_{r1,i}^{\phi_i} y_{n,i},
\]
\[
C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \phi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \right\},
\]
\[
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]
Assume that \( \{ x_n \} \) and \( \{ r_{jn} \} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) are sequences which satisfy conditions (B1) and (B2) of Theorem 3.1.

Then the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to \( \Pi_F x_0 \).

**Proof.** Define \( f_j(x, y) = \varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(x) \) for all \( x, y \in C \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). Then \( F(T_{r_j}^j) = EP(f_j) = CMP(\varphi_j) = F(T_{r_j}^j) \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), and therefore \( \{ f_j \}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy conditions (A1) and (A2).

Next, we show that \( \{ f_j \}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy conditions (A3) and (A4). For each \( x, y, z \in C \), we have by the lower semicontinuity of \( \varphi_j \) that

\[
\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_{t \geq 0} f_j(tz + (1 - t)x, y) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_{t \geq 0} (\varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(tz + (1 - t)x)) \\
\leq \varphi_j(y) - \varphi_j(x) \\
= f_j(x, y).
\]

This implies that \( \{ f_j \}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy condition (A3).

Let \( u, v \in C \) and \( s \in (0, 1) \). For each \( x \in C \), we have by the convexity of \( \varphi_j \) that

\[
f_j(x, su + (1 - s)v) = \varphi_j(su + (1 - s)v) - \varphi_j(x) \\
\leq s\varphi_j(u) + (1 - s)\varphi_j(v) - \varphi_j(x) \\
= s(\varphi_j(u) - \varphi_j(x)) + (1 - s)(\varphi_j(v) - \varphi_j(x)) \\
= sf_j(x, u) + (1 - s)f_j(x, v).
\]

On the other hand, let \( u_n \in C \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u \). By the lower semicontinuity of \( \varphi_j \) we have

\[
f_j(x, u) = \varphi_j(u) - \varphi_j(x) \\
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n} (\varphi_j(u_n) - \varphi_j(x)) \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n} f_j(x, u_n).
\]

Thus \( \{ f_j \}_{j=1}^M \) satisfy condition (A4). From Theorem 3.1 we also obtain the desired result. \( \Box \)

If we take \( \alpha_n = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) in Theorem 4.3, then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space, and let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of \( E \). Let \( \{ \varphi_j \}_{j=1}^M \) be lower semicontinuous and convex
functions from $C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinitely countable family of closed and relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself such that $F := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{CIMP}(\varphi_j)) \neq \emptyset$. For any initial point $x_0 \in E$ with $x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0$ and $C_1 = C$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$y_{n,i} = T_i x_n,$$

$$u_{n,i} = T_{f_{M,n}}^{f_{M-1,n}} \ldots T_{f_{1,n}}^{f_{1,n}} y_{n,i},$$

$$C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \varphi(z, u_{n,i}) \leq \varphi(z, x_n) \right\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.15)$$

If $\liminf_{n \to \infty} r_{j,n} > 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_F x_0$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following application in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be bifunctions from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies conditions (A1)–(A4), and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinitely countable family of closed and quasi-nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself such that $F := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{M} \text{EP}(f_j)) \neq \emptyset$. For any initial point $x_0 \in H$ with $x_1 = P_{C_1} x_0$ and $C_1 = C$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$y_{n,i} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_i x_n,$$

$$u_{n,i} = T_{f_{M,n}}^{f_{M-1,n}} \ldots T_{f_{1,n}}^{f_{1,n}} y_{n,i},$$

$$C_{n+1} = \left\{ z \in C_n : \sup_{i \geq 1} \| z - u_{n,i} \| \leq \| z - x_n \| \right\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.16)$$

where $P$ is the metric projection. Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{r_{j,n}\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ are sequences which satisfy conditions (B1) and (B2) of Theorem 3.1.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F} x_0$.

**Proof.** Taking $E = H$ in Theorem 3.1, the result is obtained immediately.

**Remark 4.6.** Theorem 4.5 improves and extends the main results of [20–22] in the following senses:

(i) from the case of an equilibrium problem to a finite family of equilibrium problems;

(ii) from the class of nonexpansive mappings to the class of an infinitely countable family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings.
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