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We all-sidedly consider a three-point boundary value problem for \( p \)-Laplacian differential equation with nonlinear term involving derivative. Some new sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of at least one, triple, or arbitrary odd positive pseudosymmetric solutions by using pseudosymmetric technique and fixed-point theory in cone. As an application, two examples are given to illustrate the main results.

1. Introduction

Recent research results indicate that considerable achievement was made in the existence of positive solutions to dynamic equations; for details, please see [1–6] and the references therein. In particular, the existence of positive pseudosymmetric solutions to \( p \)-Laplacian difference and differential equations attract many researchers' attention, such as [7–11]. The reason is that the pseudosymmetry problem not only has theoretical value, such as in the study of metric manifolds [12], but also has practical value itself; for example, we can apply this characteristic into studying the chemistry structure [13]. On another hand, there are much attention paid to the positive solutions of boundary value problems (BVPs) for differential equation with the nonlinear term involved with the derivative explicitly [14–18]. Hence, it is natural to continue study pseudosymmetric solutions to \( p \)-Laplacian differential equations with the nonlinear term involved with the first-order derivative explicitly.

First, let us recall some relevant results about BVPs with \( p \)-Laplacian, We would like to mention the results of Avery and Henderson [7, 8], Ma and Ge [11] and Sun and Ge [16].
Throughout this paper, we denote the $p$-Laplacian operator by $\varphi_p(u)$; that is, $\varphi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ for $p > 1$ with $(\varphi_p)^{-1} = \varphi_q$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

For the three-point BVPs with $p$-Laplacian

$$
(\varphi_p(u'(t)))' + h(t)f(t,u(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],
$$

$$
u(0) = 0, \quad u(\eta) = u(1),
$$

(1.1)

here, $\eta \in (0,1)$ is constant, by using the five functionals fixed point theorem in a cone [19], Avery and Henderson [8] established the existence of at least three positive pseudosymmetric solutions to BVPs (1.1). The authors also obtained the similar results in their paper [7] for the discrete case. In addition, Ma and Ge [11] developed the existence of at least two positive pseudosymmetric solutions to BVPs (1.1) by using the monotone iterative technique.

For the three-point $p$-Laplacian BVPs with dependence on the first-order derivative

$$
(\varphi_p(u'(t)))' + h(t)f(t,u(t),u'(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],
$$

$$
u(0) = 0, \quad u(\eta) = u(1),
$$

(1.2)

Sun and Ge [16] obtained the existence of at least two positive pseudosymmetric solutions to BVPs (1.2) via the monotone iterative technique again. However, it is worth mentioning that the above-mentioned papers [7, 8, 10, 11, 16], the authors only considered results on the existence of positive pseudosymmetric solutions partly, they failed to further provide comprehensive results on the existence of positive pseudosymmetric solutions to $p$-Laplacian. Naturally, in this paper, we consider the existence of positive pseudosymmetric solutions for $p$-Laplacian differential equations in all respects.

Motivated by the references [7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18], in present paper, we consider all-sidedly $p$-Laplacian BVPs (1.2), using the compression and expansion fixed point theorem [20] and Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem [21]. We obtain that there exist at least one, triple or arbitrary odd positive pseudosymmetric solutions to problem (1.2). In particular, we not only get some local properties of pseudosymmetric solutions, but also obtain that the position of pseudosymmetric solutions is determined under some conditions, which is much better than the results in papers [8, 11, 16]. Correspondingly, we generalize and improve the results in papers Avery and Henderson [8]. From the view of applications, two examples are given to illustrate the main results.

Throughout this paper, we assume that

(S1) $f(t,u,u') : [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \times (-\infty,+\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous, does not vanish identically on interval $[0,1]$, and $f(t,u,u')$ is pseudosymmetric about $\eta$ on $[0,1]$,

(S2) $h(t) \in L([0,1],[0,\infty))$ is pseudosymmetric about $\eta$ on $[0,1]$, and does not vanish identically on any closed subinterval of $[0,1]$. Furthermore, $0 < \int_0^1 h(t)dt \leq \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

In the preceding of this section, we state the definition of cone and several fixed point theorems needed later [20, 22]. In the rest of this section, we will prove that solving BVPs (1.2) is equivalent to finding the fixed points of a completely continuous operator.
We first list the definition of cone and the compression and expansion fixed point theorem [20, 22].

Definition 2.1. Let \( E \) be a real Banach space. A nonempty, closed, convex set \( P \subset E \) is said to be a cone provided the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) if \( x \in P \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \), then \( \lambda x \in P \),

(ii) if \( x \in P \) and \( -x \in P \), then \( x = 0 \).

Lemma 2.2 (see [20, 22]). Let \( P \) be a cone in a Banach space \( E \). Assume that \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) are open bounded subsets of \( E \) with \( 0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2 \). If \( A : P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to P \) is a completely continuous operator such that either

(i) \( \| Ax \| \leq \| x \|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and \( \| Ax \| \geq \| x \|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_2 \), or

(ii) \( \| Ax \| \geq \| x \|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and \( \| Ax \| \leq \| x \|, \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_2 \).

Then, \( A \) has a fixed point in \( P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \).

Given a nonnegative continuous functional \( \gamma \) on a cone \( P \) of a real Banach space \( E \), we define, for each \( d > 0 \), the set \( P(\gamma, d) = \{ x \in P : \gamma(x) < d \} \).

Let \( \gamma \) and \( \theta \) be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on \( P \), \( \alpha \) a nonnegative continuous concave functional on \( P \), and \( \psi \) a nonnegative continuous functional on \( P \) respectively. We define the following convex sets:

\[
P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) = \{ x \in P : b \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq d \},
\]

\[
P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) = \{ x \in P : b \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq c, \gamma(x) \leq d \},
\]

and a closed set \( R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) = \{ x \in P : a \leq \psi(x), \gamma(x) \leq d \} \).

Next, we list the fixed point theorem due to Avery-Peterson [21].

Lemma 2.3 (see [21]). Let \( P \) be a cone in a real Banach space \( E \) and \( \gamma, \theta, \alpha, \psi \) defined as above; moreover, \( \psi \) satisfies \( \psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda' \psi(x) \) for \( 0 \leq \lambda' \leq 1 \) such that for some positive numbers \( h \) and \( d \),

\[
\alpha(x) \leq \psi(x), \quad \| x \| \leq h \gamma(x),
\]

for all \( x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \). Suppose that \( A : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \to \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \) is completely continuous and there exist positive real numbers \( a, b, c \) with \( a < b \) such that

(i) \( \{ x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) : \alpha(x) > b \} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \alpha(A(x)) > b \) for \( x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) \),

(ii) \( \alpha(A(x)) > b \) for \( x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) \) with \( \theta(A(x)) > c \),

(iii) \( 0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) \) and \( \psi(A(x)) < a \) for all \( x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) \) with \( \psi(x) = a \).

Then, \( A \) has at least three fixed points \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \) such that

\[
\gamma(x_i) \leq d \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, b < \alpha(x_1), a < \psi(x_2), \alpha(x_2) < b \text{ with } \psi(x_3) < a.
\]
Now, let $E = C^1([0,1],\mathbb{R})$. Then, $E$ is a Banach space with norm
\[
\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \{ \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|, \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \}. \tag{2.4}
\]

Define a cone $P \subset E$ by
\[
P = \{ u \in E \mid u(0) = 0, u \text{ is concave, nonnegative on } [0,1] \text{ and } u \text{ is symmetric on } [\eta,1] \}. \tag{2.5}
\]

The following lemma can be founded in [11], which is necessary to prove our result.

**Lemma 2.4** (see [11]). If $u \in P$, then the following statements are true:

(i) $u(t) \geq (u(\omega_1)/\omega_1) \min\{t, 1 + \eta - t\} \text{ for } t \in [0,1], \text{ here } \omega_1 = (\eta + 1)/2$,

(ii) $u(t) \geq (\eta/\omega_1) u(\omega_1) \text{ for } t \in [\eta, \omega_1]$,

(iii) $\max_{t \in [0,1]} u(t) = u(\omega_1)$.

**Lemma 2.5.** If $u \in P$, then the following statements are true:

(i) $u(t) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)|$,

(ii) $\|u(t)\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| = \max\{|u'(0)|, |u'(1)|\}$,

(iii) $\min_{t \in [0,\omega_1]} u(t) = u(0) \text{ and } \max_{t \in [\omega_1,1]} u(t) = u(1)$.

**Proof.** (i) Since
\[
u(t) = u(0) + \int_0^t u'(t)dt \text{ for } t \in [0,1], \tag{2.6}
\]

which reduces to
\[
u(t) \leq \int_0^t |u'(t)|dt \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)|. \tag{2.7}
\]

(ii) By using $u''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in [0,1]$, we have $u'(t)$ is monotone decreasing function on $[0,1]$. Moreover,
\[
\max_{t \in [0,1]} u(t) = u\left(\frac{\eta + 1}{2}\right) = u(\omega_1), \tag{2.8}
\]

which implies that $u'(\omega_1) = 0$, so, $u'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0,\omega_1]$ and $u'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in [\omega_1,1]$. \qed
Abstract and Applied Analysis

Now, we define the operator $A : P \to E$ by

$$
(Au)(t) = \begin{cases}
\int_0^t \varphi_q \left( \int_s^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds & \text{for } t \in [0,\omega_1], \\
\omega(\eta) + \int_t^1 \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds & \text{for } t \in [\omega_1,1],
\end{cases}
$$

(2.9)

here, $\omega(\eta) = (Au)(\eta)$.

**Lemma 2.6.** $A : P \to P$ is a completely continuous operator.

**Proof.** In fact, $(Au)(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0,1]$, $(Au)(\eta) = (Au)(1)$ and $(Au)(0) = 0$.

It is easy to see that the operator $A$ is pseudosymmetric about $\omega_1$ on $[0,1]$.

In fact, for $t \in [\eta,\omega_1]$, we have $1-t+\eta \in [\omega_1,1]$, and according to the integral transform, one has

$$
\int_1^{t+\eta} \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
= \int_0^t \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
\text{for } t \in [\omega_1,1],
$$

(2.10)

here, $s = 1-s_1+\eta$, $r = 1-r_1+\eta$. Hence,

$$
(Au)(1-t+\eta) = \omega(\eta) + \int_0^t \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
= \omega(\eta) + \int_0^t \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
+ \int_t^1 \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
= \int_0^t \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds = (Au)(t).
$$

(2.11)

For $t \in [\omega_1,1]$, we note that $1-t+\eta \in [\eta,\omega_1]$, by using the integral transform, one has

$$
\int_0^1 \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
= \int_t^1 \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \\
\text{for } t \in [\omega_1,1],
$$

(2.12)
where \( s = 1 - s_1 + \eta, r = 1 - r_1 + \eta \). Thus,

\[
(Au)(1 - t + \eta) = \int_{1-t}^{1} \varphi_\eta \left( \int_{s}^{w_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) \, dr \right) \, ds = w(\eta) + \int_{\eta}^{1} \varphi_\eta \left( \int_{s}^{w_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) \, dr \right) \, ds = (Au)(t).
\]

(2.13)

Hence, \( A \) is pseudosymmetric about \( \eta \) on \([0, 1]\).

In addition,

\[
(Au)'(t) = \varphi_\eta \left( \int_{t}^{w_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) \, ds \right) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, \omega_1]
\]

(2.14)

is continuous and nonincreasing in \([0, \omega_1]\); moreover, \( \varphi_\eta(x) \) is a monotone increasing continuously differentiable function

\[
\left( \int_{t}^{\omega_1} h(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) \, ds \right)' = -h(t) f(t, u(t), u'(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, \omega_1],
\]

(2.15)

it is easy to obtain \((Au)''(t) \leq 0\) for \( t \in [0, \omega_1] \). By using the similar way, we can deduce \((Au)''(t) \leq 0\) for \( t \in [\omega_1, 1] \). So, \( A : P \rightarrow P \). It is easy to obtain that \( A : P \rightarrow P \) is completely continuous. \( \square \)

Hence, the solutions of BVPs (1.2) are fixed points of the completely continuous operator \( A \).

3. One Solutions

In this section, we will study the existence of one positive pseudosymmetric solution to problem (1.2) by Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem in a cone.
Motivated by the notations in reference [23], for $u \in P$, let

$$f^0 = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{(t,u') \to (0,0)} \frac{f(t,u,u')}{\varphi_p(|u'|)},$$

$$f_0 = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{(t,u') \to (0,0)} \frac{f(t,u,u')}{\varphi_p(|u'|)},$$

$$f^\infty = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{u' \to (\infty,\infty)} \frac{f(t,u,u')}{\varphi_p(|u'|)},$$

$$f_\infty = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{u' \to (\infty,\infty)} \frac{f(t,u,u')}{\varphi_p(|u'|)}.$$  \quad (3.1)

In the following, we discuss the problem (1.2) under the following four possible cases.

**Theorem 3.1.** If $f^0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$, problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution $u$.

**Proof.** In view of $f^0 = 0$, there exists an $H_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(t,u,u') \leq \varphi_p(\varepsilon)\varphi_p(|u'|) = \varphi_p(\varepsilon|u'|)$$

for $(t,u,u') \in [0,1] \times (0,H_1] \times [-H_1,H_1]$. \quad (3.2)

Here, $\varepsilon > 0$ and satisfies

$$\varepsilon \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(s) ds \right) \leq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

If $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_1$, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$u(t) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \leq \|u\| = H_1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],$$

hence,

$$\|Au\| = \max \{|(Au)'(0)|, |(Au)'(1)|\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_0^\omega h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_0^\omega h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \varphi_q \left( \int_0^\omega h(s) ds \right) \leq \|u\|. \quad (3.5)$$

If set $\Omega_{H_1} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < H_1\}$, one has $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ for $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_1}$. According to $f_\infty = \infty$, there exists an $H_2^* > 0$ such that

$$f(t,u,u') \geq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_p(k)\varphi_p(|u'|) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_p(k|u'|), \quad (3.6)$$
where \((t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times [H_2', \infty) \times (-\infty, H_2') \cup [H_2', \infty), k > 0\) and satisfies
\[
   k \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)dr \right) \geq 1. \tag{3.7}
\]

Set
\[
   H_2 = \max \left\{ 2H_1, \frac{\omega_1 H_2'}{\eta} \right\}, \quad \Omega_{H_2} = \{ u \in E : \|u\| < 5H_2 \},
   \Omega_{H_2} = \{ u \in \Omega_{H_2} : u(\omega_1) < H_2 \}. \tag{3.8}
\]

For \(u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_2}\), we have \(u(\omega_1) = H_2\) since \(u(t) \leq |u'(t)|\) for \(u \in P\).
If \(u \in P\) with \(u(\omega_1) = H_2\), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 reduce to
\[
   \min_{t \in [\omega_1, 1]} |u'(t)| \geq \min_{t \in [\omega_1, 1]} u(t) = u(1) \geq \frac{\eta u(\omega_1)}{\omega_1} \geq H'_2. \tag{3.9}
\]

For \(u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_2}\), according to (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we get
\[
   \|Au\| = \max \left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right) \right\}
   \geq \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right)
   \geq k \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u'(t)| \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)dr \right) = \|u\|. \tag{3.10}
\]

Thus, by (i) of Lemma 2.2, the problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution \(u\) in \(P \cap (\Omega_{H_2} \setminus \Omega_{H_1})\). \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.2.** If \(f_0 = \infty\) and \(f^\infty = 0\), problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution \(u\).

**Proof.** Since \(f_0 = \infty\), there exists an \(H_3 > 0\) such that
\[
   f(t, u, u') \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \varphi_p (m) \varphi_p (|u'|) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \varphi_p (m |u'|), \tag{3.11}
\]
here, \((t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times (0, H_3] \times [-H_3, H_3]\) and \(m\) is such that
\[
   m \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)dr \right) \geq 1. \tag{3.12}
\]
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If $u \in P$ with $\|u\| = H_3$, Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$u(t) \leq \max_{\delta \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \leq \|u\| = H_3 \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],$$

(3.13)

now, by (3.11), (3.12), and (3.13), we have

$$\|Au\| = \max \left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_{0}^{\omega_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{3} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right) \right\}
\geq \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{3} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right)
\geq m \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{3} h(r)dr \right) = \|u\|.$$  

(3.14)

If let $\Omega_{H_3} = \{ u \in E : \|u\| < H_3 \}$, one has $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ for $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_3}$.

Now, we consider $f^\infty = 0$.
Suppose that $f$ is bounded, for some constant $K > 0$, then

$$f(t, u, u') \leq \varphi_p(K) \quad \forall (t, u, u') \in [0,1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty).$$

(3.15)

Pick

$$H_4 \geq \max \left\{ H_4', 2H_3, K\varphi_d \left( \int_{0}^{\omega_1} h(s)ds \right) \frac{C}{\delta} \right\},$$

(3.16)

here, $C$ is an arbitrary positive constant and satisfy the (3.21). Let

$$\Omega_{H_4} = \{ u \in E : \|u\| < H_4 \}.$$  

(3.17)

If $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_4}$, one has $\|u\| = H_4$, then (3.15) and (3.16) imply that

$$\|Au\| = \max \left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_{0}^{\omega_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^{3} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right) \right\}
\leq K\varphi_d \left( \int_{0}^{\omega_1} h(s)ds \right) \leq H_4 = \|u\|.$$  

(3.18)

Suppose that $f$ is unbounded.
By definition of $f^\infty = 0$, there exists $H_4' > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, u') \leq \varphi_p(\delta) \varphi_p(|u'|) = \varphi_p(\delta|u'|),$$

(3.19)

where $(t, u, u') \in [0, \omega_1] \times [H_4', \infty) \times (-\infty, H_1] \cup [H_4', \infty)$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfies

$$\delta \varphi_q \left( \int_{0}^{\omega_1} h(s)ds \right) \leq 1.$$  

(3.20)
From \( f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, \infty), [0, +\infty)) \), we have
\[
f(t, u, u') \leq q_p(C) \quad \text{for} \quad (t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times [0, H'_4] \times [-H'_4, H'_4],
\]
(3.21)

here, \( C \) is an arbitrary positive constant.

Then, for \((t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty), \) we have
\[
f(t, u, u') \leq \max\{q_p(C), q_p(\delta)q_p(|u'|)\}.
\]
(3.22)

If \( u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_4}, \) one has \( \|u\| = H_4, \) which reduces to
\[
\|Au\| = \max\left\{q_q\left(\int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(\phi_\delta(\phi_{\epsilon}(\phi_{\epsilon}(u(r), u'(r)))dr)\right), h\left(\int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(\phi_\delta(\phi_{\epsilon}(\phi_{\epsilon}(u(r), u'(r)))dr)\right)\right\}
\leq \max\{C, \|u'\|\}q_q\left(\int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)dr\right)
\leq H_4 = \|u\|.
\]
(3.23)

Consequently, for any cases, if we take \( \Omega_{H_4} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < H_4\}, \) we have \( \|Au\| \leq \|u\| \) for \( u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_4}. \) Thus, the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied.

Consequently, the problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution
\[
u \in P \cap \left(\Omega_{H_4} \setminus \Omega_{H_5}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad H_3 \leq \|u\| \leq H_4.
\]
(3.24)

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) there exist nonzero finite constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) such that \( f^0 = c_1 \) and \( f_{\infty} = c_2, \)

(ii) there exist nonzero finite constants \( c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) such that \( f_0 = c_3 \) and \( f_{\infty} = c_4. \)

Then, problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution \( u. \)

**Proof.** (i) In view of \( f^0 = c_1, \) there exists an \( H_5 > 0 \) such that
\[
f(t, u, u') \leq q_p(\epsilon + c_{11})q_p(|u'|)
= q_p\left((\epsilon + c_{11})|u'|\right) \quad \text{for} \quad (t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times (0, H_5] \times [-H_5, H_5],
\]
(3.25)

here, \( c_1 = q_p(c_{11} + \epsilon), \) \( \epsilon > 0 \) and satisfies
\[
(\epsilon + c_{11})q_q\left(\int_0^{\omega_1} h(s)ds\right) \leq 1.
\]
(3.26)

If \( u \in P \) with \( \|u\| = H_5, \) by Lemma 2.5, we have
\[
u(t) \leq |u'(t)| \leq \|u\| = H_5 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]
(3.27)
Abstract and Applied Analysis 11

hence,

\[ \|Au\| = \max\{ |(Au)'(0)|, |(Au)'(1)| \} \]
\[ = \max\left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) \right\} \]
\[ \leq (\varepsilon + c_{11}) \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(s) ds \right) \leq \|u\|. \]  

(3.28)

If set \( \Omega_{H_5} = \{ u \in E : \|u\| < H_5 \} \), one has \( \|Au\| \leq \|u\| \) for \( u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H_5} \).

According to \( f_\infty = c_2 \), there exists a \( H'_6 > 0 \) such that

\[ f(t, u, u') \geq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_p (c_{22} - \varepsilon) \varphi_p (|u'|) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_p ((c_{22} - \varepsilon)|u'|), \]  

(3.29)

where \((t, u, u') \in [0,1] \times [H'_6, \infty) \times (-\infty, H'_6] \cup [H'_6, \infty), c_2 = \varphi_p (c_{22} - \varepsilon), \varepsilon > 0 \) and satisfies

\[ (c_{22} - \varepsilon) \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r) dr \right) \geq 1. \]  

(3.30)

Set

\[ H_6 = \max \left\{ 2H_5, \frac{\omega_1}{\eta} H'_6 \right\}, \quad H'_6 = \{ u \in E : \|u\| < 5H_6 \}, \]
\[ \Omega_{H'_6} = \{ u \in \Omega_{H'_6} : u(\omega_1) < H'_6 \}. \]  

(3.31)

If \( u \in P \) with \( u(\omega_1) = H_6 \), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 reduce to

\[ \min_{t \in [\omega_1, 1]} |u'(t)| \geq \min_{t \in [\omega_1, 1]} u(t) = u(1) \geq \frac{\eta u(\omega_1)}{\omega_1} \geq H'_6. \]  

(3.32)

For \( u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{H'_6} \), according to (3.29), (3.30) and (3.32), we get

\[ \|Au\| = \max\left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) \right\} \]
\[ \geq \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r) f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) \]
\[ \geq (c_{22} - \varepsilon) \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r) dr \right) = \|u\|. \]  

(3.33)

Thus, by (i) of Lemma 2.2, the problem (1.2) has at least one positive pseudosymmetric solution \( u \) in \( P \cap (\overline{\Omega_{H_6} \cup \Omega_{H'_6}}) \).

(ii) By using the similar way as to Theorem 3.2, we can prove to it. \( \Box \)
4. Triple Solutions

In the previous section, some results on the existence of at least one positive pseudosymmetric solutions to problem (1.2) are obtained. In this section, we will further discuss the existence criteria for at least three and arbitrary odd positive pseudosymmetric solutions of problems (1.2) by using the Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem [21].

Choose a \( r \in (\eta, \omega_1) \), for the notational convenience, we denote

\[
M = \omega_1 \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r) dr \right), \quad N = \eta \varphi_q \left( \int_{\eta}^{\omega_1} h(r) dr \right), \quad W = \varphi_q \left( \int_{\eta}^{\omega_1} h(r) dr \right). \tag{4.1}
\]

Define the nonnegative continuous convex functionals \( \theta \) and \( \gamma \), nonnegative continuous concave functional \( \alpha \), and nonnegative continuous functional \( \varphi \), respectively, on \( P \) by

\[
\gamma(u) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| = \max \{ u'(0), u'(1) \} = \| u \|, \\
\varphi(u) = \Theta(u) = \max_{t \in [\eta, \omega_1]} u(t) = u(\omega_1) \leq \| u \|, \\
\alpha(u) = \min_{t \in [\eta, \omega_1]} u(t) = u(\eta). \tag{4.2}
\]

Now, we state and prove the results in this section.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that there exist constants \( a^*, b^* \), and \( d^* \) such that \( 0 < a^* < b^* < (N/W)d^* \). In addition, \( f \) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( f(t, u, u') \leq \varphi_p \left( d^*/W \right) \) for \( (t, u, u') \in [0,1] \times [0, d^*] \times [-d^*, d^*] \),

(ii) \( f(t, u, u') > \varphi_p \left( b^*/N \right) \) for \( (t, u, u') \in [\eta, \omega_1] \times [b^*, d^*] \times [-d^*, d^*] \),

(iii) \( f(t, u, u') < \varphi_p \left( a^*/M \right) \) for \( (t, u, u') \in [0, \omega_1] \times [0, a^*] \times [-d^*, d^*] \).

Then, problem (1.2) has at least three positive pseudosymmetric solutions \( u_1, u_2, \) and \( u_3 \) such that

\[
\| x_i \| \leq d^* \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad b^* < \min_{t \in [\eta, \omega_1]} u_3(t), \quad a^* < \max_{t \in [0,1]} u_2(t),
\]

\[
\min_{t \in [\eta, \omega_1]} u_2(t) < b^* \quad \text{with} \quad \max_{t \in [0,1]} u_3(t) < a^*. \tag{4.3}
\]

**Proof.** According to the definition of completely continuous operator \( A \) and its properties, we need to show that all the conditions of Lemma 2.3 hold with respect to \( A \).

It is obvious that

\[
\varphi(\lambda u) = \lambda u(\omega_1) = \lambda \varphi(u) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \\
\alpha(u) \leq \varphi(u) \quad \forall u \in P, \tag{4.4}
\]

\[
\| u \| = \gamma(u) \quad \forall u \in P.
\]
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Firstly, we show that $A : P(\gamma, d^*) \to P(\gamma, d^*)$.

For any $u \in P(\gamma, d^*)$, we have

$$u(t) \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u'(t)| \leq ||u|| = \gamma(u) \leq d^* \quad \text{for} \ t \in [0, 1],$$

hence, the assumption (i) implies that

$$||Au|| = \max \left\{ \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right), \varphi_q \left( \int_{\omega_1}^1 h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right) \right\} \leq \frac{d^*}{W} \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)dr \right) = d^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

From the above analysis, it remains to show that (i)–(iii) of Lemma 2.3 hold.

Secondly, we verify that condition (i) of Lemma 2.3 holds; let $u(t) \equiv (tb^*/\eta) + b^*, t \in [0, 1]$, and it is easy to see that

$$a(u) = u(\eta) = 2b^* > b^*,$$

$$\theta(u) = u(\omega_1) = \frac{\omega_1 b^*}{\eta} + b^* \leq \frac{\omega_1 b^*}{\eta} + b^*,$$

in addition, we have $\gamma(u) = (b^*/\eta) < d^*$, since $b^* < (N/W)d^*$. Thus

$$\left\{ u \in P \left( \gamma, \theta, \alpha, b^*, \frac{\omega_1 b^*}{\eta} + b^*, d^* \right) : a(x) > b^* \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

For any

$$u \in P \left( \gamma, \theta, \alpha, b^*, \frac{\omega_1 b^*}{\eta} + b^*, d^* \right),$$

one has

$$b^* \leq u(t) \leq ||u|| \leq d^* \quad \forall t \in [\eta, \omega_1],$$

it follows from the assumption (ii) that

$$a(Au) = (Au)(\eta) = \int_0^{\eta} \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right)ds \geq \int_0^{\eta} \varphi_q \left( \int_\eta^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr \right)ds$$

$$> \frac{b^*}{N} \varphi_q \left( \int_\eta^{\omega_1} h(r)dr \right) = b^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)
Thirdly, we prove that the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.3 holds. In fact,

\[ a(Au) = Au(\eta), \]
\[ \theta(Au) = \max_{t \in [0,\omega_1]} A(u) = Au(\omega_1). \]  

(4.12)

For any \( u \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b^*, d^*) \) with \( \theta(Au) > (\omega_1 b^*/\eta) + b^* \), we have

\[ a(Au) = Au(\eta) \geq \frac{\eta}{\omega_1} Au(\omega_1) \geq \frac{\eta}{\omega_1} \theta(Au) = b^* + \frac{\omega_1 b^*}{\eta} > b^*. \]  

(4.13)

Finally, we check condition (iii) of Lemma 2.3. Clearly, since \( \varphi(0) = 0 < a^* \), we have \( 0 \notin R(\gamma, \varphi, a^*, d^*) \). If

\[ u \in R(\gamma, \varphi, a^*, d^*) \]  

with \( \varphi(u) = \max_{t \in [0,\omega_1]} u(t) = u(\omega_1) = a^* \),

(4.14)

then

\[ 0 \leq u(t) \leq a^* \quad \forall t \in [0,\omega_1], \]
\[ \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| = \|u\| = \gamma(u) \leq d^*. \]  

(4.15)

Hence, by assumption (iii), we have

\[ \varphi(Au) = (Au)(\omega_1) \]
\[ \leq \int_0^{\omega_1} \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)f(r,u(r),u'(r))dr \right)ds \]
\[ < \frac{a^*}{M} \omega_1 \varphi_q \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r)dr \right) = a^*. \]  

(4.16)

Consequently, from above, all the conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied. The proof is completed.

\[ \Box \]

**Corollary 4.2.** If the condition (i) in Theorem 4.1 is replaced by the following condition (i'):

\[ (i') \lim_{(u,u') \to (-\infty,\infty)} \frac{f(t,u,u')/(\varphi_p(|u'|))}{\varphi_p(1/W)} \leq \varphi_p(1/W), \]

then the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 also holds.

**Proof.** From Theorem 4.1, we only need to prove that (i') implies that (i) holds. That is, assume that (i') holds, then there exists a number \( d^* \geq (W/N)b^* \) such that

\[ f(t,u,u') \leq \varphi_p \left( \frac{d^*}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \ (t,u,u') \in [0,1] \times [0,d^*] \times [-d^*,d^*]. \]  

(4.17)
Suppose on the contrary that for any \( d^* \geq (W/N)b^* \), there exists \((u_c, u'_c) \in [0, d^*] \times [-d^*, d^*]\) such that

\[
f(t, u_c, u'_c) > \varphi_p \left( \frac{d^*}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1].
\]  

(4.18)

Hence, if we choose \( c^*_n > (W/N)b^* \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) with \( c^*_n \to \infty\), then there exist \((u_n, u'_n) \in [0, c^*_n] \times [-c^*_n, c^*_n]\) such that

\[
f(t, u_n, u'_n) > \varphi_p \left( \frac{c^*_n}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]  

(4.19)

and so

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(t, u_n, u'_n) = \infty \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1].
\]  

(4.20)

Since the condition \((i')\) holds, there exists \( \tau > 0 \) satisfying

\[
f(t, u, u') \leq \varphi_p \left( \frac{|u'|}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad (t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times [\tau, \infty) \times (-\infty, \tau) \cup [\tau, \infty).
\]  

(4.21)

Hence, we have

\[
u_n < |u'_n| \leq \tau.
\]  

(4.22)

Otherwise, if

\[
|u'_n| > u_n > \tau \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]  

(4.23)

it follows from (4.21) that

\[
f(t, u_n, u'_n) \leq \varphi_p \left( \frac{u_n}{W} \right) \leq \varphi_p \left( \frac{c^*_n}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]  

(4.24)

which contradicts (4.19).

Let

\[
W = \max_{(t,u,u') \in [0,1] \times [0,\tau] \times [-\tau,\tau]} f(t,u,u'),
\]  

(4.25)

then

\[
f(t, u_n, u'_n) \leq W (n = 1, 2, \ldots),
\]  

(4.26)

which also contradicts (4.20).
Consider the following BVPs:

**Example 5.1.** In this section, we present two simple examples to illustrate our results.

**5. Examples**

Suppose that there exist constants \(a^*_i, b^*_i, \) and \(d^*_i\) such that

\[
0 < a^*_1 < b^*_1 < \frac{N}{W} d^*_2 < a^*_2 < b^*_2 < \frac{N}{W} d^*_3 < \cdots < a^*_n < b^*_n < \frac{N}{W} d^*_{n+1},
\]

where, \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). In addition, suppose that \(f\) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \(f(t, u, u') \leq \varphi_p(d^*_i/W)\) for \((t, u, u') \in [0, 1] \times [0, d^*_i] \times [-d^*_i, d^*_i]\),

(ii) \(f(t, u, u') > \varphi_p(b^*_i/N)\) for \((t, u, u') \in [\eta, \omega_1] \times [b^*_i, d^*_i] \times [-d^*_i, d^*_i]\),

(iii) \(f(t, u, u') < \varphi_p(a^*_i/M)\) for \((t, u, u') \in [0, \omega_1] \times [0, a^*_i] \times [-d^*_i, d^*_i]\).

Then, problem (1.2) has at least \(2n-1\) positive pseudosymmetric solutions.

**Proof.** When \(n = 1\), it is immediate from condition (i) that

\[
A : \overline{P}_{a^*_1} \longrightarrow P_{a^*_1} \subset \overline{P}_{a^*_1}.
\]

It follows from the Schauder fixed point theorem that \(A\) has at least one fixed point

\[
u_1 \in \overline{P}_{a^*_1},
\]

which means that

\[
\|u_1\| \leq a^*_1.
\]

When \(n = 2\), it is clear that Theorem 4.1 holds (with \(a^*_1 = a^*_i, b^*_1 = b^*_i, \) and \(d^*_1 = d^*_i\)). Then, there exists at least three positive pseudosymmetric solutions \(u_1, u_2, \) and \(u_3\) such that

\[
\|x_1\| \leq d^*_2, \quad \|x_2\| \leq d^*_2, \quad \|x_3\| \leq d^*_2, \quad b^* < \min_{te[\eta,\omega_1]} u_1(t), \quad a^*_1 < \max_{te[0,1]} u_2(t),
\]

\[
\min_{te[\eta,\omega_1]} u_2(t) < b^*_1 \text{ with } \max_{te[0,1]} u_3(t) < a^*_1.
\]

Following this way, we finish the proof by induction. The proof is complete. \(\square\)

**5. Examples**

In this section, we present two simple examples to illustrate our results.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the following BVPs:

\[
(q_p(u'(t)))' + f(t + 1 + |u'(t)|^{p-2}) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]

\[
u(0) = 0, \quad u(0.2) = u(1).
\]
Note that

\[ f_0 = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{\xi \to (0,0)} \frac{t + 1 + |u'(t)|^{p-2}}{|u(t)|^{p-2} u'(t)} = \infty, \]

\[ f^\infty = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \lim_{\xi \to (\infty,\infty)} \frac{t + 1 + |u'(t)|^{p-2}}{|u(t)|^{p-2} u'(t)} = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

Hence, Theorem 3.2 implies that the BVPs in (5.1) have at least one pseudosymmetric solution \( u \).

**Example 5.2.** Consider the following BVPs with \( p = 3 \):

\[ (\varphi_p(u'(t)))' + h(t)f(t,u(t),u'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [0,1], \]

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u(0.2) = u(1), \] \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where \( h(t) = 2t \) and

\[ f(t,u,u') = \begin{cases} 
  t + 4 + \left( \frac{u'}{5.5} \right)^2, & u \in [0,0.9], \\
  t + 750u - 671 + \left( \frac{u'}{5.5} \right)^2, & u \in [0.9,1], \\
  t + 79 + \left( \frac{u'}{5.5} \right)^2, & u \in [1,5.5], \\
  t + 14.364u + \left( \frac{u'}{5.5} \right)^2, & u \in [5.5,\infty). 
\end{cases} \] \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

Note that \( \eta = 0.2, \omega_1 = 0.6 \), then a direct calculation shows that

\[ M = \omega_1 \varphi_p \left( \int_0^{\omega_1} h(r) \, dr \right) = 0.6 \times 0.6 = 0.36, \quad N \approx 0.1131, \quad W = 0.6. \] \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

If we take \( a' = 0.9, \quad b' = 1, \quad d' = 5.5, \) then \( a' < b' < (N/W)d' \) holds; furthermore,

\[ f(t,u,u') < 82 < 84.028 \approx \varphi_p \left( \frac{d'}{W} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad (t,u,u') \in [0.6] \times [0.5,5.5] \times [-5.5,5.5], \]

\[ f(t,u,u') > 79 > 78.176 \approx \varphi_p \left( \frac{b'}{N} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad (t,u,u') \in [0.6,1] \times [1,5.5] \times [-5.5,5.5], \] \hspace{1cm} (5.6)

\[ f(t,u,u') < 6.2 < 6.25 = \varphi_p \left( \frac{a'}{M} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad (t,u,u') \in [0.6] \times [0.9] \times [-5.5,5.5]. \]
By Theorem 4.1, we see that the BVPs in (5.3) have at least three positive pseudosymmetric solutions $u_1, u_2, u_3$ such that

$$\|x_i\| \leq 5.5 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad 1 < \min_{t \in [0.2,0.6]} u_1(t), 0.9 < \max_{t \in [0.1]} u_2(t),$$

$$\min_{t \in [0.2,0.6]} u_2(t) < 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \max_{t \in [0.1]} u_3(t) < 0.9. \quad (5.7)$$

Acknowledgments

Y. H. Su Sponsored by Qing Lan Project and XZIT (no. XKY2010101). X. Yan Supported by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Grant (no. 2010QNA40).

References


