Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2011, Article ID 596971, 9 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/596971

Research Article

Approximate Best Proximity Pairs in Metric Space

S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini,[1] H. Mazaheri,[2] and M. A. Dehghan[1]

[1] *Faculty of Mathematics, Valiasr Rafsanjan University, Rafsanjan, Iran*
[2] *Faculty of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran*

Correspondence should be addressed to S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini, amah@vru.ac.ir2

Received 8 January 2011; Accepted 12 February 2011

Academic Editor: Norimichi Hirano

Copyright © 2011 S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X and also $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$. We are going to consider element $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) \leq d(A, B) + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We call pair (A, B) an approximate best proximity pair. In this paper, definitions of approximate best proximity pair for a map and two maps, their diameters, T-minimizing a sequence are given in a metric space.

1. Introduction

Let X be a metric space and A and B nonempty subsets of X, and $d(A, B)$ is distance of A and B. If $d(x_0, y_0) = d(A, B)$, then the pair (x_0, y_0) is called a best proximity pair for A and B and put

$$\operatorname{prox}(A, B) := \{(x, y) \in A \times B : d(x, y) = d(A, B)\} \tag{1.1}$$

as the set of all best proximity pair (A, B). Best proximity pair evolves as a generalization of the concept of best approximation. That reader can find some important result of it in [1–4].

Now, as in [5] (see also [4, 6–11]), we can find the best proximity points of the sets A and B, by considering a map $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Best proximity pair also evolves as a generalization of the concept of fixed point of mappings. Because if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, every best proximity point is a fixed point of T.

We say that the point $x \in A \cup B$ is an approximate best proximity point of the pair (A, B), if $d(x, Tx) \leq d(A, B) + \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.

In the following, we introduce a concept of approximate proximity pair that is stronger than proximity pair.

Definition 1.1. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X and $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ a map such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$. put

$$P_T^a(A,B) = \{x \in A \cup B : d(x,Tx) \leq d(A,B) + \epsilon \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\}. \tag{1.2}$$

We say that the pair (A,B) is an approximate best proximity pair if $P_T^a(A,B) \neq \emptyset$.

Example 1.2. Suppose that $X = \mathbf{R}^2$, $A = \{(x,y) \in X : (x-y)^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$, and $B = \{(x,y) \in X : (x+y)^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ with $T(x,y) = (-x,y)$ for $(x,y) \in X$. Then $d((x,y),T(x,y)) \leq d(A,B) + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Hence $P_T^a(A,B) \neq \emptyset$.

2. Approximate Best Proximity

In this section, we will consider the existence of approximate best proximity points for the map $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$, such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$, and its diameter.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X. Suppose that the mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$, and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d\left(T^n x, T^{n+1} x\right) = d(A,B) \quad \textit{for some } x \in A \cup B. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the pair (A,B) is an approximate best proximity pair.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and $x \in A \cup B$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = d(A,B)$; then there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq N_0 : d\left(T^n x, T^{n+1} x\right) < d(A,B) + \epsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

If $n = N_0$, then $d(T^{N_0}(x), T(T^{N_0}(x))) < d(A,B) + \epsilon$, and $T^{N_0}(x) \in P_T^a(A,B)$ and $P_T^a(A,B) \neq \emptyset$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X. Suppose that the mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and*

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha d(x,y) + \beta\left[d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)\right] + \gamma d(A,B) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in A \cup B$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then the pair (A,B) is an approximate best proximity pair.

Proof. If $x \in A \cup B$, then

$$d\left(Tx, T^2 x\right) \leq \alpha d(x,Tx) + \beta\left[d(x,Tx) + d\left(Tx, T^2 x\right)\right] + \gamma d(A,B). \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore,

$$d\left(Tx, T^2x\right) \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta} d(x, Tx) + \frac{\gamma}{1-\beta} d(A, B). \tag{2.5}$$

Now if $k = (\alpha+\beta)/(1-\beta)$, then

$$d\left(Tx, T^2x\right) \leq kd(x, Tx) + (1-k)d(A, B) \tag{2.6}$$

also

$$d\left(T^2x, T^3x\right) \leq k^2 d(x, Tx) + \left(1-k^2\right)d(A, B). \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore,

$$d\left(T^n x, T^{n+1}x\right) \leq k^n d(x, Tx) + (1-k^n)d(A, B), \tag{2.8}$$

and so

$$d\left(T^n x, T^{n+1}x\right) \longrightarrow d(A, B), \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $P_T^a(A, B) \neq \emptyset$; then pair (A, B) is an approximate best proximity pair. □

Definition 2.3. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X. Suppose that the mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$. We say that the sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq A \cup B$ is T-minimizing if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(z_n, Tz_n) = d(A, B). \tag{2.10}$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space X, suppose that the mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$. If $\{T^n x\}$ is a T-minimizing for some $x \in A \cup B$, then (A, B) is an approximate best pair proximity.*

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d\left(T^n x, T^{n+1}x\right) = d(A, B) \quad \text{for some } x \in A \cup B, \tag{2.11}$$

therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $P_T^a(A, B) \neq \emptyset$; then pair (A, B) is an approximate best proximity pair. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a normed space X such that $A \cup B$ is compact. Suppose that the mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$, T is continuous and*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \tag{2.12}$$

where $(x, y) \in A \times B$. Then $P_T^a(A, B)$ is nonempty and compact.

Proof. Since $A \cup B$ compact, there exists a $z_0 \in A \cup B$ such that

$$\|z_0 - Tz_0\| = \inf_{z \in A \cup B} \|z - Tz\|. \tag{$*$}$$

If $\|z_0 - Tz_0\| > d(A, B)$, then $\|Tz_0 - T^2 z_0\| < \|z_0 - Tz_0\|$ which contradict to the definition of z_0, ($Tz_0 \in A \cup B$ and by $(*)$ $\|Tz_0 - T(Tz_0)\| \geq \|z_0 - Tz_0\|$). Therefore, $\|z_0 - Tz_0\| = d(A, B) \leq d(A, B) + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $z_0 \in P_T^a(A, B)$. Therefore, $P_T^a(A, B)$ is nonempty.

Also, if $\{z_n\} \subseteq P_T^\epsilon(A, B)$, then $\|z_n - Tz_n\| < d(A, B) + \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon > 0$, and by compactness of $A \cup B$, there exists a subsequence z_{n_k} and a $z_0 \in A \cup B$ such that $z_{n_k} \to z_0$ and so

$$\|z_0 - Tz_0\| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|z_{n_k} - Tz_{n_k}\| < d(A, B) + \epsilon \tag{2.13}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$, hence $P_T^a(A, B)$ is compact. □

Example 2.6. If $A = [-3, -1]$, $B = [1, 3]$, and $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ such that

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1 - x}{2}, & x \in A, \\ \dfrac{-1 - x}{2}, & x \in B, \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

then $P_T^a(A, B)$ is compact, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_T^a(A, B) &= \{x \in A \cup B : \ d(x, Tx) < d(A, B) + \epsilon \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\} \\ &= \{x \in A \cup B : \ d(x, Tx) < 2 + \epsilon \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\} \\ &= \{1, -1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

That is compact.

In the following, by $\operatorname{diam}(P_T^a(A, B))$ for a set $P_T^a(A, B) \neq \emptyset$, we will understand the diameter of the set $P_T^a(A, B)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a continuous map such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We define diameter $P_T^a(A,B)$ by

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(P_T^a(A,B)\right) = \sup\left\{d(x,y) : x, y \in P_T^a(A,B)\right\}. \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$, such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. If there exists an $\alpha \in [0,1]$ such that for all $(x,y) \in A \times B$*

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha d(x,y), \tag{2.17}$$

then

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(P_T^a(A,B)\right) \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{1-\alpha} + \frac{2d(A,B)}{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. If $x, y \in P_T^a(A,B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,y) &\leq d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,Ty) + d(Ty,y) \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \alpha d(x,y) + 2d(A,B) + \epsilon_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Put $\epsilon = \operatorname{Max}\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, therefore, $d(x,y) \leq 2\epsilon/(1-\alpha) + (2d(A,B))/(1-\alpha)$. Hence $\operatorname{diam}(P_T^a(A,B)) \leq 2\epsilon/(1-\alpha) + (2d(A,B))/(1-\alpha)$. □

3. Approximate Best Proximity for Two Maps

In this section, we will consider the existence of approximate best proximity points for two maps $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$, and its diameter.

Definition 3.1. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X,d) and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup BS : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ two maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $S(B) \subseteq A$. A point (x,y) in $A \times B$ is said to be an approximate-pair fixed point for (T,S) in X if there exists $\epsilon > 0$

$$d(Tx,Sy) \leq d(A,B) + \epsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

We say that the pair (T,S) has the approximate-pair fixed property in X if $P_{(T,S)}^a(A,B) \neq \emptyset$, where

$$P_{(T,S)}^a(A,B) = \left\{(x,y) \in A \times B : d(Tx,Sy) \leq d(A,B) + \epsilon \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X,d) and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be two maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $S(B) \subseteq A$. If, for every $(x,y) \in A \times B$,*

$$d\left(T^n(x), S^n(y)\right) \longrightarrow d(A,B), \tag{3.3}$$

then (T,S) has the approximate-pair fixed property.

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0$, Suppose $(x, y) \in A \times B$. Since

$$d(T^n(x), S^n(y)) \longrightarrow d(A, B),$$
$$\exists n_0 > 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \forall n \geq n_0 : d(T^n(x), S^n(y)) < d(A, B) + \epsilon, \tag{3.4}$$

then $d(T(T^{n-1}(x), S(S^{n-1}(y)) < d(A, B) + \epsilon$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Put $x_0 = T^{n_0-1}(x)$ and $y_0 = S^{n_0-1}(y))$. Hence $d(T(x_0), S(y_0)) \leq d(A, B) + \epsilon$ and $P^a_{(T,S)}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be two maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $S(B) \subseteq A$ and, for every $(x, y) \in A \times B$,*

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)] + \gamma d(A, B), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha+2\beta+\gamma < 1$. Then if x is an approximate fixed point for T, or y is an approximate fixed point for S, then $P^a_{(T,S)}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $(x, y) \in A \times B$, then

$$d(Tx, S(Tx)) \leq \alpha d(x, Tx) + \beta[d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, S(Tx))] + \gamma d(A, B). \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore,

$$d(Tx, S(Tx)) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} d(x, Tx) + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} d(A, B). \tag{3.7}$$

Now if $k = (\alpha + \beta)/(1 - \beta)$, then

$$d(Tx, S(Tx)) \leq k d(x, Tx) + (1 - k) d(A, B) \tag{$*$}$$

also

$$d(Sy, T(Sy)) \leq k d(y, Sy) + (1 - k) d(A, B). \tag{$**$}$$

If x is an approximate fixed point for T, then there exists a $\epsilon > 0$ and by $(*)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, S(Tx)) &\leq k d(x, Tx) + (1 - k) d(A, B) \\ &\leq k(d(A, B) + \epsilon) + (1 - k) d(A, B) \\ &= d(A, B) + k\epsilon \\ &< d(A, B) + \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

And $(x, Tx) \in P^a_{(T,S)}(A, B)$; also if y is an approximate fixed point for S, then there exists a $\epsilon > 0$ and by $(**)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sy, T(Sy)) &\leq kd(y, Sy) + (1-k)d(A, B) \\ &\leq k(d(A, B) + \epsilon) + (1-k)d(A, B) \\ &= d(A, B) + k\epsilon \\ &< d(A, B) + \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

And $(y, Sy) \in P^a_{(T,S)}(A, B)$. Therefore, $P^a_{(T,S)}(A, B) \neq \emptyset$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be two continuous maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $S(B) \subseteq A$. If, for every $(x, y) \in A \times B$,*

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \gamma d(A, B), \tag{3.10}$$

where $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \gamma = 1$, also let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = Sy_n, \quad y_{n+1} = Tx_n \quad \text{for some } (x_1, y_1) \in A \times B,\ n \in N. \tag{3.11}$$

If $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in A, then there exists a $x_0 \in A$ such that $d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_n, Sy_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_n, y_n) + \gamma(d(A, B) \\ &\leq \cdots \\ &\leq \alpha^{n+1} d(x_0, y_0) + (1 + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha^n)\gamma d(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

If $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$ converges to $x_1 \in A$, that is, $x_{n_k} \to x_1$, then

$$d(x_{n_{K+1}}, y_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \alpha^{n_{k+1}} d(x_0, y_0) + (1 + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha_k^n)\gamma d(A, B). \tag{3.13}$$

Since T is continuous, then

$$d(x_{n_{k+1}}, Tx_{n_k}) \longrightarrow \frac{\gamma}{1-\alpha} d(A, B) = d(A, B). \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, $d(x_1, Tx_1) = d(A, B)$. □

Definition 3.5. Let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be continues maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $S(B) \subseteq A$. We define diameter $P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)$ by

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)\right) = \sup\{d(x,y) : d(Tx,Ty) \le \epsilon + d(A,B) \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Example 3.6. Suppose $A = \{(x,0) : 0 \le x \le 1\}$, $B = \{(x,1) : 0 \le x \le 1\}$, $T(x,0) = T(x,1) = (1/2,1)$, and $S(x,1) = S(x,0) = (1/2,0)$. Then $d(T(x,0),S(y,1)) = 1$ and $\operatorname{diam}(P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)) = \operatorname{diam}(A \times B) = \sqrt{2}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be continuous maps such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $S(B) \subseteq A$. If there exists a $k \in [0,1]$,*

$$d(x,Tx) + d(Sy,y) \le kd(x,y), \tag{3.16}$$

then

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)\right) \le \frac{\epsilon}{1-k} + \frac{d(A,B)}{1-k} \quad \textit{for some } \epsilon > 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. If $(x,y) \in P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,y) &\le d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,Sy) + d(Sy,y) \\ &\le \epsilon + kd(x,y) + d(A,B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore, $d(x,y) \le \epsilon/(1-k) + (d(A,B))/(1-k)$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(P^a_{(T,S)}(A,B)) \le \epsilon/(1-k) + (d(A,B))/(1-k)$. □

References

[1] K. Fan, "Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 112, pp. 234–240, 1969.

[2] W. K. Kim and K. H. Lee, "Corrigendum to "Existence of best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs" [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316 (2006) 433-446] (DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.04.053)," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 329, no. 2, pp. 1482–1483, 2007.

[3] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich, and P. Veeramani, "Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 24, no. 7-8, pp. 851–862, 2003.

[4] V. Vetrivel, P. Veeramani, and P. Bhattacharyya, "Some extensions of Fan's best approximation theorem," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 397–402, 1992.

[5] I. Singer, *Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 171, Publishing House of the Academy of the Socialist Republic of Romania, Bucharest, Romania, 1970.

[6] A. A. Eldred and P. Veeramani, "Existence and convergence of best proximity points," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 323, no. 2, pp. 1001–1006, 2006.

[7] G. Beer and D. Pai, "Proximal maps, prox maps and coincidence points," *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, vol. 11, no. 5-6, pp. 429–448, 1990.

[8] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and A. Banach, "Best proximity points for cyclic and noncyclic set-valued relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3332–3341, 2009.

[9] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and A. Banach, "Erratum to: "Best proximity points for cyclic and noncyclic set-valued relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in uniform spaces" [Nonlinear Anal. (2008), doi: 10.1016/j.na.2008.04.037]," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 3585–3586, 2009.

[10] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak, and C. Obczyński, "Convergence theorems, best approximation and best proximity for set-valued dynamic systems of relatively quasi-asymptotic contractions in cone uniform spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 794–805, 2010.

[11] X. B. Xu, "A result on best proximity pair of two sets," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 322–325, 1988.









Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com